

# Regular interval Cantor sets of $S^1$ and minimality

## Aldo Portela\*

**Abstract.** It is known that not every Cantor set of  $S^1$  is  $C^1$ -minimal. In this work we prove that every member of a subfamily of what we here call *regular interval Cantor set* is not  $C^1$ -minimal. We also prove that no member of a class of Cantor sets that includes this subfamily is  $C^{1+\epsilon}$ -minimal, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Keywords:** Cantor sets,  $C^1$ -minimal sets.

Mathematical subject classification: 37E10, 37C45.

## 1 Introduction

If  $f: S^1 \to S^1$  is a diffeomorphism without periodic points, there exists a unique set  $\Omega(f) \subset S^1$  minimal for f (we say that  $\Omega(f)$  is  $C^1$ -minimal for f). In this case  $\Omega(f)$  is either a Cantor set or  $S^1$ . The  $C^1$ -minimal Cantor sets known up to now are the Denjoy examples and its conjugates. On the other hand, we know that some families are not  $C^1$ -minimal. For example, in [2] Mc Duff shows that the usual middle thirds Cantor set is not  $C^1$ -minimal and gives some conditions for a Cantor set not to be  $C^1$ -minimal. In [6] we can find other conditions that also imply they are not  $C^1$ -minimal. In [5] A. Norton shows that the affine Cantor sets are not  $C^1$ -minimal. In this work we construct new families of Cantor sets that are not  $C^1$ -minimal and other families of Cantor sets that are not  $C^{1+\epsilon}$ -minimal, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

## 1.1 Regular interval Cantor sets

The regular interval Cantor set construction imitates the procedure used to obtain the usual middle thirds Cantor set. Given two sequences  $\{m_i\}$  and  $\{\theta_i\}$  with  $m_i$ a positive integer and  $0 < \theta_i < 1$ , we proceed as follows. First we remove from

Received 21 June 2006.

<sup>\*</sup>Partially supported by CNPq-Brasil and PEDECIBA-Uruguay.

the circle  $m_1$  open intervals with the same measure, distributed in the same way, obtaining the closed set  $K_1 = \bigcup \Delta_{i_1}$   $(i_1 = 1, ..., m_1)$  with Lebesgue measure  $|K_1| = \theta_1$ , where  $\Delta_{i_1}$  are the connected components of  $K_1$ . In the second step, we remove from each connected component  $\Delta_{i_1}$ ,  $m_2$  open intervals of the same measure, distributed in the same way, obtaining the closed set  $K_2 = \bigcup \Delta_{i_1i_2}$  $(i_2 = 1, ..., m_2 + 1)$  with measure  $|K_2| = \theta_2 |K_1|$ , where  $\Delta_{i_1i_2}$  are the connected components of  $K_2$ . Proceeding like this, we obtain, for each n, a closed set  $K_n \subset S^1$ , contained in  $K_{n-1}$ , with measure  $|K_n| = \theta_n |K_{n-1}|$ , and  $K_n = \bigcup \Delta_{i_1...i_n}$  $(i_n = 1, ..., m_n + 1)$ , where  $\Delta_{i_1...i_n}$  are connected components of  $K_n$ . We define  $K = \bigcap K_n$ . This set is a Cantor set, and we will call **regular interval Cantor set** every set K constructed in this way.

### 1.2 Quasi regular interval Cantor sets

Now we are going to construct a family of Cantor sets that contains the regular interval Cantor sets. Given a sequence  $\{n_i\}$  of positive integers with  $\sum_{i < j} n_i \le n_j$ , we proceed as follows. First we remove from  $S^1$ ,  $n_1$  open intervals of the same measure, obtaining a closed set  $K_1 = \bigcup \Delta_{1i_1}$   $(i_1 = 1, \ldots, n_1)$ , where  $\Delta_{1i_1}$  are the connected components of  $K_1$ . In the second step, we remove from  $K_1$ ,  $n_2$  open intervals of the same measure, removing at least an interval of each connected component of  $K_1$ , obtaining the closed set  $K_2 = \bigcup \Delta_{2i_2}$   $(i_2 = 1, \ldots, n_1 + n_2)$ , where  $\Delta_{2i_2}$  are the connected components of  $K_2$ . We do not require the intervals removed to be likewise distributed. Inductively, for each mwe obtain a closed set  $K_m \subset S^1$  contained in  $K_{m-1}$  and we write  $K_m = \bigcup \Delta_{mi_m}$  $(i_m = 1, \ldots, n_1 + \cdots + n_m)$  where  $\Delta_{mi_m}$  are the connected components of  $K_m$ . Then, we define  $K = \bigcap K_m$ . The set K is a Cantor set if, and only if,

$$\nu_m = \max\left\{|\Delta_{mi_m}|: i_m = 1, \dots, n_1 + \dots + n_m\right\} \to 0$$

when  $m \to \infty$ . We will call **quasi regular interval Cantor set** every Cantor set *K* constructed in this way. Note that with this procedure we do not obtain all Cantor sets of  $S^1$ . If

$$\mu_m = \min \{ |\Delta_{mi_m}| : i_m = 1, \dots, n_1 + \dots + n_m \},\$$

the number  $\delta = \inf\{\mu_m / \nu_m : m \in \mathbf{N}\}$  gives an idea of the irregularity of the Cantor set *K*. This number depends on the set *K* as well as on the procedure to obtain *K*. Then, we define the regularity of *K* as the supreme of the set of  $\delta's$ , taken over all the possible procedures to obtain *K*. Note that if the Cantor set *K* is a regular interval Cantor set, its regularity is 1.

## 2 Main results

**Theorem 1.** If the Cantor set K is  $C^1$ -minimal for a diffeomorphism f, and  $K^c$  has only one orbit of wandering intervals, then K is not a quasi regular interval Cantor set.

**Theorem 2.** If K is a quasi regular interval Cantor set of regularity different from 0, then K is not  $C^{1+\epsilon}$ -minimal for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

As all regular interval Cantor sets have regularity 1 then, from the previous theorem, we have the following result.

**Corollary 1.** If K is a regular interval Cantor set, then K is not  $C^{1+\epsilon}$ -minimal for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

If the regular interval Cantor set K has positive measure and we suppose that it is  $C^1$ -minimal for f we obtain several conditions for f'. Let  $m_i$  be the number of intervals removed in the step i of the construction of K.

**Theorem 3.** If K is a regular interval Cantor set of positive measure and the sequence  $\{m_i\}$  is not bounded, then K is not  $C^1$ -minimal.

**Definition 2.1.** If *K* is a regular interval Cantor set, for each prime integer we define  $A_q = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : m_i + 1 = 0 \pmod{q}\}.$ 

For the case that  $A_q$  is an infinite set we denote its elements by  $t_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), with  $t_n < t_{n+1}$ . Now we can state the following result.

**Theorem 4.** If K is a regular interval Cantor set of positive measure and there exists a prime integer q such that  $A_q$  is infinite and  $t_{n+1} - t_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then K is not  $C^1$ -minimal.

## **3** Generalities

The following lemmas are going to be very useful in the proofs of the main results.

**Definition 3.1.** If  $f: S^1 \to S^1$  is a diffeomorphism, then for each  $x \in S^1$  and for each positive integer *n* we define

$$F(x, n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log f'(f^i(x)) = \log(f^n)'(x).$$

ALDO PORTELA

**Lemma 3.1.** If the Cantor set K is  $C^1$ -minimal for f, then there exists  $x \in K$  such that  $F(x, n) \ge 0$ , for all positive integer n.

**Proof.** Assume that for all  $x \in K$  there exists  $m_x$  such that  $F(x, m_x) < 0$ . By the continuity of f', for each  $x \in K$  there exists  $\delta_x > 0$  such that for every point y in the interval  $(x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x)$ ,  $F(y, m_x) < 0$ . As the family of intervals  $(x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x)$  with  $x \in K$  is a covering of K, and K is a Cantor set, then there exists a finite refinement  $\{I_i, i = 1, ..., p\}$  of this covering of open intervals, pairwise disjoint, that is a covering of K. So, for each  $I_i$ there exists  $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $y \in I_i$  we have  $F(y, m_i) < 0$ . Besides,  $S^1 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^p I_i$  is a finite union of closed intervals, each of which is contained in a connected component of  $K^c$  that we call  $J_i$ , with i = 1, ..., p. We consider  $m = \max\{m_i : i = 1, ..., p\}$  and  $M \ge 1$  the maximum of f'. We consider a wandering interval T of the past of  $J_1$  such that  $|T|M^m < \min\{|J_1|, ..., |J_p|\}$ . Now we will show that if j is a positive integer then  $|f^j(T)| < |J_1|$ , getting a contradiction. By the choice of T, we know that T is contained in  $I_i$  for some i.

$$|f^{m_i}(T)| = |T|(f^{m_i})'(\theta).$$

As  $F(\theta, m_i) < 0$ , we have  $(f^{m_i})'(\theta) < 1$  and so

 $|f^{m_i}(T)| < |T|.$ 

We can repeat this process with  $f^{m_i}(T)$  instead of T. Inductively we conclude that there exists a sequence  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_k, ...$  with  $v_k \in \{m_1, ..., m_p\}$  such that for all positive integer r

$$|f^{\sum_{k=1}^{\prime}\nu_k}(T)| < |T|.$$

As for all *j* there exists  $r_0 \ge 0$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{r_0} \nu_k \le j < \sum_{k=1}^{r_0+1} \nu_k,$$

we have

$$|f^{j}(T)| = |f^{j - \sum_{k=1}^{r_{0}} \nu_{k}}(f^{\sum_{k=1}^{r_{0}} \nu_{k}}(T))| \le M^{m}|T| < |J_{1}|.$$

Let *K* be a Cantor set of the circle and let  $K^c = \bigcup I_j$ , where  $I_j$  are the connected components of  $K^c$ . We define the spectrum of *K* ( $E_K$ ) as the ordered set  $\{\lambda_i\}$  ( $\lambda_{i+1} < \lambda_i$ ), with  $\lambda_i$  the length of  $I_j$ , for some *j*.

**Lemma 3.2.** If the Cantor set K is  $C^1$ -minimal for f and  $\lambda_n/\lambda_{n+1} \neq 1$ , there exists  $\eta > 0$  and  $x \in K$  such that  $F(x, m) \leq -\eta$ , for all positive integer m.

**Proof.** As  $\lambda_n/\lambda_{n+1} \neq 1$ , there exist  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and a sequence  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $1 + \epsilon_0 \leq \frac{\lambda_{n_k}}{\lambda_{n_k+1}}$ . Let  $I_{n_k}$  be a connected component of  $K^c$  such that  $|I_{n_k}| \geq \lambda_{n_k}$  and for all j > 1,  $|f^j(I_{n_k})| \leq \lambda_{n_k+1}$ . By the choice of  $I_{n_k}$  we have that  $|I_{n_k}| \to 0$  when  $k \to \infty$ . Let x be an accumulation point of the set of the intervals  $I_{n_k}$  ( $x \in K$ ) and  $\{k_i\}$  a sequence such that  $d(x, I_{n_{k_i}}) \to 0$  when  $i \to \infty$ . Therefore, for every  $m \geq 1$ , there exists *i* sufficiently large such that

$$1 + \epsilon_0 \le \frac{\lambda_{n_{k_i}}}{\lambda_{n_{k_i}+1}} \le \frac{|I_{n_{k_i}}|}{|f^m(I_{n_{k_i}})|}$$

Then

$$F(x,m) = \log(f^{m})'(x) = \log\left(\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{|f^{m}(I_{n_{k_{i}}})|}{|(I_{n_{k_{i}}})|}\right) \le -\log(1 + \epsilon_{0}). \quad \Box$$

**Lemma 3.3.** If the Cantor set K is  $C^1$ -minimal for f and  $\lambda_n/\lambda_{n+1} \not\rightarrow 1$  then for every point  $x \in K$ , F(x, m) is not bounded.

**Proof.** By the transitivity of *K* (for *f*), it is enough to prove the property for any point of *K*. Let *x* and the number  $\eta$  be as in lemma 3.2 and suppose by contradiction that F(x, m) is bounded. Therefore if  $y = \inf\{F(x, m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , there exists a positive integer *p* such that  $|F(x, p) - y| < \eta/2$ . So

$$F(f^{p}(x), m) = F(x, m + p) - F(x, p)$$
  
=  $F(x, m + p) - y - (F(x, p) - y) > \frac{-\eta}{2}$  (1)

for all positive integer *m*. We consider  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $f^{p+n_k}(x)$  has limit *x* when  $k \to \infty$ . From the uniform continuity of f' we have that

$$|F(f^{p}(x), p + n_{k}) - F(x, p + n_{k})|$$
  

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} |\log f'(f^{p+n_{k}+i}(x)) - \log f'(f^{i}(x))|$$
  

$$= \delta(n_{k}) \to 0$$

when  $k \to \infty$ . Then

$$F(f^p(x), p+n_k) < F(x, p+n_k) + \delta(n_k) < -\eta + \delta(n_k),$$

so using (1) we have a contradiction.

Bull Braz Math Soc, Vol. 40, N. 1, 2009

 $\square$ 

#### 4 Geometric rigidity

In this section we are going to prove two geometric properties for the quasi regular interval Cantor sets and that if, we suppose that a Cantor set K of this family is  $C^1$ -minimal for f, we obtain rigidity conditions for f'.

**Lemma 4.1.** If K is a quasi regular interval Cantor set,  $\mu_n < \frac{2\pi}{2^{n-1}}$ , for all integer n > 1.

**Proof.** We are going to prove that if

$$\mu_n < \frac{2\pi}{2^{n-1}}, \quad \mu_{n+1} < \frac{2\pi}{2^n}.$$

As a result of this,  $\mu_1 < 2\pi$  and we would have proved the lemma. From the construction of *K* we know that there exist integers  $j_1$ ,  $j_2$  and  $j_3$  such that  $\Delta_{nj_1} < \frac{2\pi}{2^{n-1}}$  and such that  $\Delta_{n+1,j_2}$  and  $\Delta_{n+1,j_3}$  are contained in  $\Delta_{nj_1}$ . Therefore

$$\min\left\{|\Delta_{n+1,j_2}|, |\Delta_{n+1,j_3}|\right\} \le \frac{|\Delta_{n,j_1}|}{2} < \frac{2\pi}{2^n},$$

and from here the lemma follows.

## **Lemma 4.2.** If K is a quasi regular interval Cantor set then $\lambda_n/\lambda_{n+1} \neq 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{l_i\}$  be the sequence where  $l_i$  is the length of the open intervals removed in the step *i* of the construction of *K*. From the construction of *K* we have that the open intervals removed in the step *n* are contained in  $K_{n-1}$ , so from the previous lemma we have that  $l_n < 2\pi/2^{n-2}$  for n > 2. Then, for n > 2 we have

$$\# \left( \{ \log \lambda_i \} \cap \left[ -(n-2) \log 2 + \log 2\pi, 0 \right] \right) < n.$$
(2)

Suppose that  $\lambda_n/\lambda_{n+1} \to 1$ . Then for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 > 0$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

 $0 < \log \lambda_{n_0+n-i} - \log \lambda_{n_0+n+1-i} < \log(1+\varepsilon)$ 

with i = 0, ..., n, so

 $0 > \log \lambda_{n_0+n} > \log \lambda_{n_0} - n \log(1+\varepsilon).$ 

Then

$$#(\{\log \lambda_i\} \cap [\log \lambda_{n_0} - n \log(1 + \varepsilon), 0]) \ge n_0 + n.$$
(3)

Bull Braz Math Soc, Vol. 40, N. 1, 2009

Applying the inequalities (2) and (3) we have

$$#(\{\log \lambda_i\} \cap [-(n-2)\log 2 + \log 2\pi, 0]) < n < n_0 + n \\ \le #(\{\log \lambda_i\} \cap [\log \lambda_{n_0} - n \log(1 + \epsilon), 0]).$$

Therefore

$$-(n-2)\log 2 + \log 2\pi \ge \log \lambda_{n_0} - n\log(1+\epsilon).$$

As this inequality is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , taking  $\epsilon$  such that  $\log(1 + \epsilon) < \log 2$  we get contradiction.

**Lemma 4.3.** If a quasi regular interval Cantor set K is  $C^1$ -minimal for f, there exists  $x \in K$  such that f'(x) > 1.

**Proof.** From the previous lemma, we know that there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and an increasing sequence of positive integers  $\{n_j\}$  such that  $\lambda_{n_j}/\lambda_{n_j+1} > 1 + \epsilon_0$ , for all  $n_j$ . Let *I* be a connected component of  $K^c$ . Then, the family  $\{f^{-n}(I)\}$  with  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  is a family of open intervals, pairwise disjoint, so  $|f^{-n}(I)| \to 0$  when  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore, if *j* is sufficiently large there exists  $p(j) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f^{-p(j)}(I)| \le \lambda_{n_j+1}$  and  $|f^{-p(j)+1}(I)| \ge \lambda_{n_j}$ . Then, we have

$$\frac{|f^{-p(j)+1}(I)|}{|f^{-p(j)}(I)|} \ge \frac{\lambda_{n_j}}{\lambda_{n_j+1}} > 1 + \epsilon_0.$$
(4)

Applying the Mean Value Theorem, there exists a point  $\theta_{p(j)} \in f^{-p(j)}(I)$  such that

$$|f^{-p(j)+1}(I)| = f'(\theta_{p(j)})|f^{-p(j)}(I)|$$

so

$$\frac{|f^{-p(j)+1}(I)|}{|f^{-p(j)}(I)|} = f'(\theta_{p(j)}).$$
(5)

From (4) and (5) we have

$$f'(\theta_p) > 1 + \varepsilon_0. \tag{6}$$

If x is an accumulation point of the set  $\{f^{-p(j)}(I)\}$ , it is an accumulation point of the set  $\{\theta_{p(j)}\}$  too and, as  $f \in C^1$ , we have that  $f'(\theta_p) \to f'(x)$  when  $j \to \infty$ , so from (6) we obtain that f'(x) > 1.

If *K* is a quasi regular interval Cantor set and  $y \in K$  we denote by  $K_n^y$  the connected component of  $K_n$  that contains *y*. The following remarks will be useful for the proofs of the next lemmas.

1. If *K* is a quasi regular interval Cantor set,  $C^1$ -minimal for *f*, for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $n(\epsilon)$  such that if  $n > n(\epsilon)$  and  $x_1, x_2$  belong to the same connected component of  $K_n$ ,

$$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} < \frac{f'(x_1)}{f'(x_2)} < 1+\varepsilon.$$

2. For all positive integer *n* and all point  $x \in K$  there exists a positive number  $\upsilon$  such that if  $\lambda$  is an element of the spectrum of *K*, smaller than  $\upsilon$ , there exists a connected component of  $K^c$ , of length  $\lambda$ , contained in  $K_n^{f(x)}$  such that its preimage is contained in  $K_n^x$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let K be a quasi regular interval Cantor set that is  $C^1$ -minimal for f and x any point in K. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , any integer n and any small enough I, connected component of  $K^c$ , there exists a connected component  $I^*$  of  $K^c$  such that

$$\frac{(f'(x))^m}{1+\varepsilon} < \frac{|I^*|}{|I|} < (f'(x))^m (1+\varepsilon).$$

**Proof.** First we suppose that  $m \ge 0$ . We consider  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  sufficiently small and  $n = n(\epsilon_1)$  as in remark 1. Let  $K_n$  be as in the construction of K. If I is a short enough connected component of  $K^c$ , there exists another connected component  $I_1$  of  $K^c$ , contained in  $K_n^x$  such that its length is |I|. From the Mean Value Theorem we have that there exists  $\theta \in I_1$  such that

$$|f(I_1)| = f'(\theta)|I_1| = f'(\theta)|I|.$$

As  $\theta \in K_n^x$ , by remark 1 we have

$$\frac{f'(x)}{1+\epsilon_1} < \frac{|f(I_1)|}{|I|} < f'(x)(1+\epsilon_1).$$

If *I* is sufficiently small we can repeat this procedure with  $f(I_1)$  instead of *I*. Then there exists  $I_2$ , connected component of  $K^c$ , such that

$$\frac{f'(x)}{1+\epsilon_1} < \frac{|f(I_2)|}{|f(I_1)|} < f'(x)(1+\epsilon_1).$$

By induction we conclude that there exist  $I_3, \ldots, I_m$ , connected components of  $K^c$ , such that

$$\frac{f'(x)}{1+\epsilon_1} < \frac{|f(I_{i+1})|}{|f(I_i)|} < f'(x)(1+\epsilon_1),$$

with i = 1, ..., m - 1. So

$$\frac{(f'(x))^m}{(1+\epsilon_1)^m} < \frac{|f(I_m)|}{|I|} < (f'(x))^m (1+\epsilon_1)^m.$$
(7)

Given  $\epsilon > 0$  we choose  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  such that  $(1 + \epsilon_1)^m < 1 + \epsilon$ . Then, from (7) the lemma follows. In the case m < 0 we proceed as follows. If I is a connected component of  $K^c$ , sufficiently small, there exists  $I_1$ , connected component of  $K^c$  too, of length |I|, contained in  $K_n^{f(x)}$  such that  $f^{-1}(I_1)$  is contained in  $K_n^x$ . Therefore, there exists  $\theta \in I_1$  such that

$$|f^{-1}(I_1)| = (f^{-1})'(\theta)|I_1| = \frac{|I_1|}{f'(f^{-1}(\theta))}$$

As  $f^{-1}(\theta) \in K_n^x$ , from remark 1 we have

$$\frac{1}{(1+\epsilon_1)f'(x)} < \frac{|f^{-1}(I_1)|}{|I_1|} = \frac{1}{f'(f^{-1}(\theta))} < \frac{1+\epsilon_1}{f'(x)}$$

So, as in the first case, we obtain the desired result.

**Lemma 4.5.** If the quasi regular interval Cantor set K is  $C^1$ -minimal for f, then f' takes finitely many values. Moreover, if the set of values of f' restricted to K is  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ , then  $\log a_i / \log a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$   $(a_j \neq 1)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\epsilon_0$  and  $\{n_j\}$  be as in the proof of lemma 4.3. We need to prove that  $A = \{f'(x) : x \in K\}$  is a finite set. Assume that A is infinite. As f' is continuous in  $S^1$ , the set A has an accumulation point. We conclude that there exist  $a, b \in K, a \neq b$ , such that

$$\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_0} < \frac{f'(a)}{f'(b)} < 1.$$
 (8)

Let  $\epsilon_1$  be a positive number such that

$$1 + \epsilon_1 < \min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{f'(b)}{f'(a)}}, \sqrt{(1 + \epsilon_0)\frac{f'(a)}{f'(b)}}\right\}$$

From remark 1 we have that there exists  $n(\varepsilon_1)$  such that if  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are in the same connected component of  $K_{n(\varepsilon_1)}$ , then

$$\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_1} < \frac{f'(x_1)}{f'(x_2)} < 1+\epsilon_1.$$
(9)

Bull Braz Math Soc, Vol. 40, N. 1, 2009

 $\square$ 

Let  $I_1$  be a connected component of  $K^c$  contained in the connected component of  $K_{n(\epsilon_1)}$  that contains the point *a*. From the construction of *K* we have that  $K_{n(\epsilon_1)}^c$  only contains a finite number of connected components of  $K^c$ . By the Mean Value Theorem, there exists  $\theta_1 \in I_1$  such that

$$|f(I_1)| = |I_1|f'(\theta_1).$$

By (9), as  $\theta_1$  and *a* are in the same connected component of  $K_{n(\epsilon_1)}$ , we have

$$\frac{|I_1|f'(a)}{1+\epsilon_1} < |f(I_1)| < |I_1|(1+\epsilon_1)f'(a).$$
(10)

If  $|I_1|$  is sufficiently small there exists  $I_2$ , connected component of  $S^1 \setminus K$ , of length  $|f(I_1)|$ , such that  $f^{-1}(I_2)$  is in the connected component of  $K_{n(\varepsilon_1)}$ that contains *b* (remark 2). By the Mean Value Theorem there exists  $\theta_2 \in I_2$ such that

$$|f^{-1}(I_2)| = |I_2|(f^{-1})'(\theta_2) = \frac{|I_2|}{f'(f^{-1}(\theta_2))}$$

From the choice of  $I_2$  we have that  $f^{-1}(\theta_2)$  and b are in the same connected component of  $K_{n(\varepsilon_1)}$ ; so applying (9) we obtain

$$\frac{|f(I_1)|}{f'(b)} \frac{1}{1+\epsilon_1} \le |f^{-1}(I_2)| \le \frac{|f(I_1)|}{f'(b)} (1+\epsilon_1).$$

From this last inequality and (10) we have

$$\frac{|I_1|}{(1+\epsilon_1)^2} \frac{f'(a)}{f'(b)} \le |f^{-1}(I_2)| \le |I_1|(1+\epsilon_1)^2 \frac{f'(a)}{f'(b)},$$

and therefore, by the choice of  $\epsilon_1$ , we have

$$1 < \frac{|I_1|}{|f^{-1}(I_2)|} < 1 + \epsilon_0.$$

Summarizing, we have proved that if *I* is a connected component of  $S^1 \setminus K$  with sufficiently small length, there exists another connected component  $I^*$  of  $K^c$  such that

 $1 < |I|/|I^*| < 1 + \epsilon_0.$ 

Taking *I* of length  $\lambda_{n_i}$  sufficiently small we have

$$1 + \epsilon_0 > \frac{|I|}{|I^*|} \ge \frac{\lambda_{n_j}}{\lambda_{n_j+1}} > 1 + \epsilon_0$$

and this is a contradiction. Then, A is finite.

Now, assume that there exist *i* and *j* such that  $\log a_i / \log a_j \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . We are going to prove (as in the previous case) that if *I* is a short enough connected component of  $K^c$ , there exists another connected component  $I^*$  of  $K^c$  such that

$$1 < |I|/|I^*| < 1 + \varepsilon_0$$

and we get a contradiction. As  $\log a_i / \log a_j \notin \mathbb{Q}$  then for all  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  there exist integers *m* and *n* such that

$$-\epsilon_1 < m \log a_i - n \log a_i < 0$$

so there exist  $x, y \in K$  such that

$$e^{-\epsilon_1} < (f'(x))^m (f'(y))^{-n} < 1.$$
(11)

From lemma 4.4 we have that given  $\epsilon_2 > 0$  and *I* a sufficiently small connected component of  $K^c$ , there exist  $I^*$  and  $I^{**}$  such that

$$\frac{(f'(x))^m}{1+\epsilon_2} < \frac{|I^{**}|}{|I|} < (f'(x))^m (1+\epsilon_2)$$
(12)

and

$$\frac{(f'(x))^{-n}}{1+\epsilon_2} < \frac{|I^*|}{|I^{**}|} < (f'(x))^{-n}(1+\epsilon_2)$$
(13)

By equations (11), (12) and (13) we have

$$\frac{(f'(x))^{-m}(f'(y))^n}{(1+\epsilon_2)^2} < \frac{|I|}{|I^*|} < \frac{(1+\epsilon_2)^2}{e^{-\epsilon_1}}.$$
(14)

We take  $\epsilon_2$  such that

$$\frac{(f'(x))^{-m}(f'(y))^n}{(1+\epsilon_2)^2} > 1,$$

and  $\epsilon_1$  such that

$$\frac{(1+\epsilon_2)^2}{e^{-\epsilon_1}} < 1+\epsilon_0$$

So, by (14) we have proved what we want.

## 5 **Proof of the theorem 1**

**Remark 1.** Let  $R_{\theta}: S^1 \to S^1$  be the rotation of angle  $\theta$  (irrational in  $\pi$ ). Take  $x \in S^1$  and m a positive integer. There exist n > m such that the set  $A_n = \{R_{\theta}^i(x): i = 0, ..., n\}$  determines the intervals  $T_1, ..., T_p$  (with the same length) and  $J_1, ..., J_q$  (with the same length) such that  $f(T_i) = T_{i+1}$  and  $f(J_j) = J_{j+1}$ . This can be easily deduced from basic facts about the combinatorics of rotations.

 $\square$ 

**Remark 2.** If  $f: S^1 \to S^1$  is a continuous function and  $R_{\theta}$  is the rotation of irrational angle  $\theta$ , for all point  $x \in S^1$  we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0 \le i \le n} f(R^i_{\theta}(x)) = \int_{S^1} f \, dx.$$

This follows from the fact that f is continuous in  $S^1$  applying Birkhoff theorem (see [4]).

Now we prove theorem 1.

**Proof.** Assume, that there exists a quasi regular interval Cantor set K,  $C^1$ -minimal for f, and that  $K^c$  has only one orbit of wandering intervals. Let  $h: S^1 \to S^1$  be the semiconjugate such that  $h \circ f = R_\theta \circ h$ , with  $R_\theta: S^1 \to S^1$  the rotation of angle  $\theta$  (irrational in  $\pi$ ). From lemma 4.5 we have that there exists a covering of K formed by pairwise disjoints closed intervals  $H_1, \ldots, H_r$ , such that  $f'/H_i \cap K = a_i$ . It is possible to choose the intervals  $H_i$  so that each connected component of the complement of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^r H_i$  is a connected component of  $K^c$ . If  $L_1, \ldots, L_r$  are the connected components of the complement of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^r H_i$ , then the image of each  $L_i$  by h is a point  $y_i$ . As f has only one orbit of wandering intervals, then the points  $y_i$  are in the same orbit in the rotation  $R_\theta$ . Let  $A_m, T_1, \ldots, T_p, J_1, \ldots, J_q$  be as in remark 1 such that  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_r\} \subset A_m$ . Now, we define

$$g\colon \bigcup_{1}^{p} T_{i} \cup \bigcup_{1}^{q} J_{j} \to \mathbb{R}$$

such that  $g(x) = f'(h^{-1}(x))$  (note that g is well defined even in the case that  $h^{-1}(x)$  is an interval). By the choice of the intervals  $T_i$  and  $J_j$  we have that g is constant in each of them. Even more, if y is a point of  $S^1$  such that h(y) does not belong to  $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} R_{\theta}^{-j}(A_m)$  (preorbit of the end points of the intervals  $T_i$  and  $J_j$ ) then

$$F(y, n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log(g(R_{\theta}^{i}(h(y)))).$$

**Claim.**  $\int_{(\bigcup T_i)\cup(\bigcup J_j)} \log g \, dx = 0.$ 

Assume that  $\int_{(\bigcup T_i)\cup(\bigcup J_i)} \log g \, dx \neq 0$ . Supposing that

$$\int_{(\bigcup T_i)\cup(\bigcup J_j)}\log g\,dx>0,$$

we have that there exists a continuous function  $g_1: S^1 \to S^1$  such that  $g_1 < g$ and  $\int_{S^1} \log g_1 dx > 0$ . So, by remark 2 we have that given  $x \in S^1$  and k > 0there exists n = n(x, k) such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log\left(g_1(R^i_\theta(x))\right) > k.$$

Therefore, if  $x \in K$  and  $h(x) \notin \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} R_{\theta}^{-j}(A_m)$  we have that for each k > 0 there exists a positive integer *n* such that

$$F(x,n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log\left(g(f^i(x))\right) \ge \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log\left(g_1(R^i_\theta(h(x)))\right) > k.$$
(15)

As for each point  $x \in K$  there exists a positive integer *s* such that  $h(f^s(x))$  does not belong to  $\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} R_{\theta}^{-j}(A_m)$ , taking *k* sufficiently large and applying (15) for the point  $h(f^s(x))$ , we have that there exists a positive integer *n* such that

$$F(x,n) > 0.$$

Therefore, the result obtained contradicts lemma 3.2. If

$$\int_{S^1} \log g \, dx < 0,$$

working in analogous form we have that for every  $x \in K$  there exists a positive integer *n* such that F(x, n) < 0. This result contradicts lemma 3.1. Then we have proved the claim. Now, we are going to prove that

$$\int_{\bigcup T_i} \log g \, dx = \int_{\bigcup J_j} \log g \, dx = 0.$$
(16)

We denote  $a_i = g/T_i e b_j = g/J_j$ . Then

$$\int_{(\bigcup T_i)\cup(\bigcup J_j)} \log g \, dx = \sum |T_i| \log a_i + \sum |J_j| \log b_j$$
  
=  $|T_1| \sum \log a_i + |J_1| \sum \log b_j = 0.$  (17)

If  $\sum \log a_i \neq 0$ , from lemma 4.5 we have  $\sum \log b_j / \sum \log a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ . So, by (17) we have that  $|T_1|/|J_1| \in \mathbb{Q}$  and this is a contradiction because the end points of the intervals  $T_i$  and  $J_j$  share an orbit of the irrational rotation  $R_{\theta}$ . Then

$$\sum \log b_j = \sum \log a_i = 0.$$

Now, let  $y \in K$  be such that  $x = h(y) \in T_1$ . From the construction of the intervals  $T_i$  and  $J_j$  we have that  $R_{\theta}^{p+1}(x)$  belongs to  $T_1$  or  $J_1$ . If  $R_{\theta}^{p+1}(x)$  belongs to  $T_1$ , then  $R_{\theta}^{2p+1}(x)$  belongs to  $T_1$  or  $J_1$ . If  $R_{\theta}^{p+1}(x)$  belongs to  $J_1$ , then  $R_{\theta}^{p+q+1}(x)$  belongs to  $T_1$  or  $J_1$ . Inductively, we have that there exists an increasing sequence  $n_k$  such that  $n_{k+1} - n_k$  only takes values p and q and  $R_{\theta}^{n_k+1}(x)$  belongs to  $T_1$  or  $J_1$ . Therefore, from (16) we have that  $F(y, n_k) = 0$ , for all k. Finally, given a positive integer n there exists  $k_0$  such that  $n_{k_0} \le n < n_{k_0+1}$  and therefore,

$$F(y, n) = F(y, n_{k_0}) + F(f^{n_{k_0}}(y), n - n_{k_0}) = F(f^{n_{k_0}}(y), n - n_{k_0}).$$

As  $n - n_{k_0}$  is bounded, F(y, n) is also bounded and this contradicts lemma 3.3, so the proof is finished.

## 6 Covering and levels

Note that if the quasi regular interval Cantor set K is  $C^1$ -minimal for f, for each positive integer n we have that if I is a connected component of  $K^c$ , as small as necessary, I and f(I) are contained in  $K_n$ .

**Definition 6.1.** The positive integer *s* is the level of an interval  $I \subset S^1$ , if *I* was removed from the construction of *K* in step *s* (we denote  $s = \mathcal{L}(I)$ ).

**Lemma 6.1.** If  $\{\mathcal{T}_{ij}\}$ , with  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and i = 1, ..., n, is a family of closed intervals contained in  $S^1$  such that  $v_j = \max\{|\mathcal{T}_{ij}|; i = 1, ..., n\}$  has limit 0 when  $j \to \infty$ , there exist a positive integer k and a finite set of pairwise disjoint intervals  $\{\mathcal{J}_t\}$ , contained in  $S^1$ , such that  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup \mathcal{J}_t \supset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{T}_{ik}$  and every interval of  $\mathcal{A}^c$  has a greater measure than the measure of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof.** For the proof we will work by induction in *n*. If n = 1 it is immediate. Assume the property is true for  $n \ge 1$ . We are going to prove that the property is true for n + 1. For each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{B}_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{T}_{ij}$  and by  $\mathcal{Y}_{sj}$  $(s = 1, \ldots, n_j, \text{ with } n_j \le n+1)$  the connected components of the complement of  $\mathcal{B}_j$ . Consider two cases. First, suppose that  $a_j = \min\{|\mathcal{Y}_{kj}|; k = 1, \ldots, n_j\}$ does not have limit 0 when  $j \to \infty$ . Then, there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and an increasing sequence  $\{j_t\}$  such that  $a_{j_t}, > \epsilon$  for all *t*. By assumption we know that  $v_j \to 0$ when  $j \to \infty$ , then there exists  $r \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $v_{j_r} < \epsilon/(n+1)$ , so

$$|\mathcal{B}_{j_r}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\mathcal{T}_{ij_r}| < (n+1)\frac{\epsilon}{n+1} = \epsilon.$$

As  $a_{j_r} > \epsilon$ , we have that every interval of the complement of  $\mathcal{B}_{j_r}$  has length greater than  $|\mathcal{B}_{j_r}|$ . If we define the intervals  $\mathcal{J}_t$  as the connected components of  $\mathcal{B}_{j_r}$ , we have proved the inductive step in this case. Now, we suppose that  $a_j \to 0$  when  $j \to \infty$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{Y}_j^*$  one of the connected components of the complement of  $\mathcal{B}_j$  such that its length is  $a_j$ . We can suppose, without loss of generality, that  $\mathcal{Y}_j^*$  is the interval  $\operatorname{Arc}(\mathcal{T}_{1j}, \mathcal{T}_{2j}) \setminus (\mathcal{T}_{1j} \cup \mathcal{T}_{2j})$  (considering *j* sufficiently large and reordering the intervals  $\mathcal{T}_{ij}$  as necessary). Now we consider the family of intervals  $\mathcal{T}_{ij}^*$  defined as follows. We take

$$\mathcal{T}_{1j}^* = \mathcal{T}_{1j} \cup \mathcal{Y}_j^* \cup \mathcal{T}_{2j}$$

and for  $i = 2, \ldots, n$ 

$$\mathcal{T}_{i,j}^* = \mathcal{T}_{i+1,j}.$$

Then by induction there exist a number k and a family of intervals  $\mathcal{J}_t$  that satisfy the lemma for the intervals  $\mathcal{T}_{ij}^*$ . The number k and the family of intervals  $\mathcal{J}_t$ obtained for the family of intervals  $\mathcal{T}_{ij}^*$  satisfy the thesis of the lemma for the family of intervals  $\mathcal{T}_{ij}$ . The proof is therefore finished.

If the point x is the end point of a connected component of  $K^c$  of level  $s_0$ , for each integer  $s > s_0$  we denote by  $I_s$  the connected component of  $K^c$  closest to x. Note that if s is sufficiently large then  $I_s$  is unique.

**Definition 6.2.** Let x be the end point of a connected component of  $K^c$  of level  $s_0$ . For each integer  $s > s_0$  we define

$$\varphi_x(s) = s - \mathcal{L}(f(I_s)).$$

**Lemma 6.2.** If the quasi regular interval Cantor set K, has regularity different from 0, is  $C^1$ -minimal for f and x is the end point of a connected component of  $K^c$  of level  $s_0$ , then  $\varphi_x$  is upper bounded.

**Proof.** As the regularity of *K* is not 0, there exists a procedure that determines *K* such that  $\delta = \inf\{\mu_m/\nu_m \colon m \in \mathbb{N}\} > 0$ . We suppose that for each k > 0 there exists a positive integer  $s_k$ , such that  $\varphi(s_k) = s_k - \mathcal{L}(f(I_{s_k})) > k$ . We denote  $r_k = \mathcal{L}(f(I_{s_k}))$ . By the construction of *K* we have that  $\mu_{s_k} \leq 2^{-k}\mu_{r_k}$ . If  $I_{s_k} = (a_k, b_k)$ , with  $a_k$  between *x* and  $b_k$ , we have that there exists  $\theta_k \in [x, a_k]$  such that  $d(f(x), f(a_k)) = f'(\theta_k)d(x, a_k)$ . So

$$d(f(x), f(a_k)) \leq f'(\theta_k) v_{s_k} \leq f'(\theta_k) \frac{\mu_{s_k}}{\delta} \leq \frac{f'(\theta_k)}{\delta} 2^{-k} \mu_{r_k}$$
$$\leq \frac{f'(\theta_k)}{\delta} 2^{-k} d(f(x), f(a_k)).$$

From here it follows that  $f'(\theta_k) \to \infty$  when  $k \to +\infty$ , and this is a contradiction.

## 7 **Proof of the theorem 2**

**Proof.** Assume that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and a diffeomorphism f, of class  $C^{1+\epsilon}$  such that K is minimal for f.

First, we will show that it is possible to choose a set  $A = \{\lambda^1, \lambda^2, ..., \lambda^r\} \subset E_K$ , with  $r \leq q$ , and  $\nu > 0$  such that the set  $\{\lambda^i \nu^m : m \in N^+, i \in \{1, ..., r\}\}$  is close as necessary from  $E_K$ . Then, applying lemma 6.1, we will show that  $E_K$  satisfies the Mc Duff's condition (see [2]), getting a contradiction.

By lemmas 4.5 and 4.3 we have that there exist a positive integer  $n_0$  and an end point *x* of a connected component of  $K^c$ , such that:

1. the restriction of f' to K is constant in each connected component of  $K_{n_0}$ .

2. 
$$f'(x) = v > 1$$
.

3. by the continuity of f' we have that if  $n_0$  is sufficiently large, for every connected component I of  $K^c$ , contained in  $K^x_{n_0}$  (connected component of  $K_{n_0}$  that contains x), we have that |f(I)| > |I|, so f(I) and I have different level.

Given a positive integer *n* we denote by  $I_n = (a_n, b_n)$  the interval of level  $n + n_0$  contained in  $K_{n_0}^x$  nearest to *x*. We fix *m* and for each integer n > m we consider the family of intervals  $\{I_n^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  with the following properties:

- 1. the interval  $I_n^0 = I_n$ .
- 2. the interval  $I_n^j$  is the connected component of  $K^c$  with the same level that the level of  $f(I_n^{j-1})$  closest to x (in the proof we are going to work only with a finite number of the  $I_n^j$ ).

Let  $q = \max\{\mathcal{L}(I) - \mathcal{L}(f(I))\}$  be the integer given by lemma 6.2. We define  $p_n = \min\{j : \mathcal{L}(I_n^j) \le \mathcal{L}(I_{m+q-1}) = n_0 + m + q - 1\}$ . We need to prove that the set  $D_n = \{j : \mathcal{L}(I_n^j) \le \mathcal{L}(I_{m+q-1})\}$  is not empty. Assume that  $D_n$  is empty. Then, for all j we have that  $|I_n^{j-1}| < |I_n^j|$  and that  $I_n^j$  is between x and  $I_{m+q-1}$  and this is a contradiction. So  $D_n$  is not empty. Now, we consider the finite family  $\{I_n^j\}$  with  $j = 1, \ldots, p_n$ . By lemma 6.2 follows that  $n_0 + m + q > \mathcal{L}(I_n^{p_n}) \ge n_0 + m$ . So the set  $A = \{I_n^{p_n}\}$  has r elements with  $r \le q$ . By the

Mean Value Theorem we know that there exist  $\theta_j \in I_n^j$ ,  $j = 0, ..., p_n - 1$  such that  $|f(I_n^j)| = f'(\theta_j)|I_n^j| = |I_n^{j+1}|$ . Therefore,

$$|I_n| = \frac{|I_n^{p_n}|}{f'(\theta_0)\dots f'(\theta_{p_n-1})}.$$
(18)

We denote  $r_j = \mathcal{L}(I_n^j)$ , with  $j = 0, ..., p_n - 1$ . Note that as  $i \neq j, r_i \neq r_j$  and  $r_j \ge m + n_0$ , for every j. For every j, we have that  $\theta_j$  and x are in the same connected component of  $K_{r_j-1}$ , so from lemma 4.1 and if  $r_j$  is sufficiently large we have

$$|\theta_j - x| < \frac{2}{\delta 2^{r_j - 2}}.$$

Therefore, as f is the class  $C^{1+\varepsilon}$  (this is  $|f'(x) - f'(y)| \le \widetilde{k}|x - y|^{\varepsilon}$ ) we have

$$1 - \frac{k}{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{(r_j - 2)\epsilon}} < \frac{f'(\theta_j)}{\nu} < 1 + \frac{k}{\nu} \frac{1}{2^{(r_j - 2)\epsilon}},\tag{19}$$

where  $k = \widetilde{k}(\frac{2}{\delta})^{\epsilon}$ . From (18) e (19) we have

$$\frac{|I_n^{p_n}|}{\nu^{p_n}}\prod_{i=0}^{p_n-1}\left\{1+\frac{k}{\nu}\left(\frac{1}{2^{r_i-2}}\right)^{\epsilon}\right\}^{-1} \le |I_n| \le \frac{|I_n^{p_n}|}{\nu^{p_n}}\prod_{i=0}^{p_n-1}\left\{1-\frac{k}{\nu}\left(\frac{1}{2^{r_i-2}}\right)^{\epsilon}\right\}^{-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\log |I_n^{p_n}| - p_n \log \nu - P_2(m) \le \log |I_n| \le \log |I_n^{p_n}| - p_n \log \nu - P_1(m)$$
(20)

where

$$P_1(m) = \sum_{j=m+n_0}^{\infty} \log\left\{1 - \frac{k}{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{2^{j-2}}\right)^{\epsilon}\right\} \le \log\prod_{i=0}^{p_n-1} \left\{1 - \frac{k}{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{2^{r_i-2}}\right)^{\epsilon}\right\} < 0$$

and

$$P_2(m) = \sum_{j=m+n_0}^{\infty} \log\left\{1 + \frac{k}{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{2^{j-2}}\right)^{\epsilon}\right\} \ge \log\prod_{i=0}^{p_n-1} \left\{1 + \frac{k}{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{2^{r_i-2}}\right)^{\epsilon}\right\} > 0.$$

For each *m* we define the set  $A_m = \{\log |I_r|; r > m\}$  (the difference between this set and the set  $\{\log \lambda_i\}$  is a finite number of elements). Now, we consider the quotient  $A_m / \log \nu \cdot \mathbb{R} = A_m$  as a subset of the affine manifold  $S = \mathbb{R} / \log \nu \cdot \mathbb{R}$ that is isomorphic to  $S^1$ . From the inequality (20) we have that for each *m* there exists a finite number of closed intervals  $\mathcal{T}_{mj}$ , j = 1, ..., q, contained in *S* such that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{q} \mathcal{T}_{mj} \supset \mathcal{A}_m$  and  $a_m = \max\{|\mathcal{T}_{mj}|; j = 1, ..., q\} = P_2(m) - P_1(m)$ . By the definitions of  $P_1(m)$  and  $P_2(m)$ ,  $a_m$  has limit 0 when  $m \to \infty$ . By lemma 6.1 we know that there exist  $m_0$  and a family of intervals  $\mathcal{J}_k$  contained in *S*, with k = 1, ..., h, such that

$$\mathcal{A}_{m_0} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^q \mathcal{T}_{m_0 j} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{J}_k = \mathcal{M}$$

and every connected component of the complement of  $\mathcal{M}$  has length greater than  $|\mathcal{M}|$ . If we consider the lifting of the previous sets we have that there exist a number  $\delta > 0$  and a family of intervals  $[\alpha_s, \beta_s]$ , with  $\alpha_s \leq \beta_s$  e  $\beta_{s+1} < \alpha_s, s = 1, \ldots, \infty$  (they are the lifting of the intervals  $\mathcal{J}_t$ ) such that  $A_{m_0} \subset \bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} [\alpha_s, \beta_s]$  and  $\alpha_s - \beta_{s+1} < \beta_s - \alpha_s + \delta$ . It is easy to see that this condition implies the Mc Duff condition and this is a contradiction (see Proposition 4.2 in [2]).

#### 8 **Proof of the theorems 3 and 4**

We will start by proving certain lemmas that will be useful in the proofs of theorems 3 and 4. If *I* and *J* are sets contained in  $S^1 \setminus K$ , we denote by Arc(I, J) the smaller arch that contains *I* and *J*.

**Lemma 8.1.** Let K be a regular interval Cantor set and let  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $I_3$  and  $I_4$  be connected components of  $S^1 \setminus K$ , pairwise disjoint, removed in steps  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ ,  $n_3$ and  $n_4$  of the construction of K, respectively. If  $n_4 \ge \max\{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$  and  $\operatorname{Arc}(I_3, I_4) \setminus (I_3 \cup I_4)$  is a connected component of  $K_{n_4}$ , there exists a positive integer m such that  $|K \cap \operatorname{Arc}(I_1, I_2)| = m|K \cap \operatorname{Arc}(I_3, I_4)|$ .

**Proof.** From the construction of K, we know that  $I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4 \subset S^1 \setminus K_{n_4}$ , so  $\operatorname{Arc}(I_1, I_2) \cap K_{n_4}$  is a union of m connected components of  $K_{n_4}$ , that we denote by  $K_{n_4}^1, \ldots, K_{n_4}^m$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Arc}(I_1, I_2) \cap K = (\operatorname{Arc}(I_1, I_2) \cap K_{n_4}) \cap K = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m K_{n_4}^i\right) \cap K.$$

Therefore,  $|\operatorname{Arc}(I_1, I_2) \cap K| = \sum_{i=1}^m |K_{n_4}^i \cap K|$ . So, by the construction of K, we have

$$|\operatorname{Arc}(I_1, I_2) \cap K| = m |K_{n_4}^1 \cap K|.$$
 (21)

As Arc( $I_3$ ,  $I_4$ ) \ ( $I_3 \cup I_4$ ) is a connected component of  $K_{n_4}$  then

$$|K_{n_4}^1 \cap K| = |(\operatorname{Arc}(I_3, I_4) \setminus (I_3 \cup I_4)) \cap K| = |\operatorname{Arc}(I_3, I_4) \cap K|.$$
(22)

Then from (21) e (22) we have

$$|K \cap \operatorname{Arc}(I_1, I_2)| = m|K \cap \operatorname{Arc}(I_3, I_4)|.$$

**Lemma 8.2.** If the regular interval Cantor set K, of positive measure, is  $C^{1}$ -minimal for f and f'(x) > 1 for  $x \in K$ , then f'(x) is a positive integer.

**Proof.** Let  $\epsilon_0$ ,  $\{n_j\}$  and  $\{\lambda_{n_j}\}$  be as in the proof of lemma 3.2, and we consider  $\epsilon_1 = \min\{\epsilon_0, f'(x) - 1\}$ . By lemma 4.5 and the construction of K we know that there exists a positive integer n such that f' is constant in the intersection of K with each connected component of  $K_n$  and if n is sufficiently large, by the continuity of f' we have

$$\frac{1}{1+\epsilon_1} < \frac{f'(x_1)}{f'(x_2)} < 1+\epsilon_1$$

with  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in the same connected component of  $K_n$ . Without loss of generality, we can suppose that x is an end point of a connected component I of  $K^c$ such that I and f(I) are contained in  $S^1 \setminus K_n$ . We consider  $j_0$  such that  $\lambda_{n_{j_0}}$  is smaller than the length of some connected components of  $K^c$  contained in  $K_n$ . For each  $j > j_0$  we consider  $I_j$  as the connected component  $K^c$  contained in  $K_n^x$ (connected component of  $K_n$  that contains x) nearest to x and  $|I_j| \ge \lambda_{n_j}$ . Then, we have that  $|I_j| \to 0$  and  $d(x, I_j) \to 0$  when  $j \to \infty$ . This implies that there exists a positive integer  $j_1$  such that if  $j \ge j_1$  then  $f(I_j)$  is contained in  $K_n^{f(x)}$ . By the choice of  $\epsilon_1$  we have that

$$d(f(x), f(I_j)) > \frac{f'(x)}{1 + \epsilon_1} d(x, I_j) \ge d(x, I_j).$$
(23)

Now, we will prove that if  $j \ge j_1$  there does not exist another connected component of  $K^c$  with length  $|f(I_j)|$ , contained in  $K_n^{f(x)}$  and within f(x) and  $f(I_j)$ . We suppose that there exists  $I^*$  in the previous conditions. Then  $f^{-1}(I^*)$  is between x and  $I_j$ . By the Mean Value Theorem we know that there exists  $\theta^* \in f^{-1}(I^*)$  and  $\theta_j \in I_j$  such that

$$|f^{-1}(I^*)| = \frac{|I^*|}{f'(\theta^*)}$$
 and  $|f(I_j)| = f'(\theta_j)|I_j|,$ 

so  $|f^{-1}(I^*)| = \frac{f'(\theta_j)}{f'(\theta^*)} |I_j|$ . As  $\theta^*$  and  $\theta_j$  are in the same connected component of  $K_n$ , we have

$$\frac{|I_j|}{1+\epsilon_1} < |f^{-1}(I^*)| < |I_j|(1+\epsilon_1)$$

so

$$|f^{-1}(I^*)| > \frac{|I_j|}{1+\epsilon_1} > \frac{|I_j|}{1+\epsilon_0} \ge \frac{\lambda_{n_j}}{1+\epsilon_0} > \lambda_{n_j+1}.$$

From here we conclude that  $|f^{-1}(I^*)| \ge \lambda_{n_j}$  and this contradicts the definition of  $I_j$ . Moreover, utilizing (23) we have that if  $f(I_j)$  was removed in the step  $n_1$ and  $I_j$  was removed in the step  $n_2$ ,  $n < n_1 < n_2$ . This observation allows us to apply lemma 8.1, so there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|K \cap \operatorname{Arc}(f(x), f(I_j))| = p|K \cap \operatorname{Arc}(x, I_j)|.$$
(24)

As f' restrict to  $K \cap \operatorname{Arc}(x, I_j)$  is constant, then

$$|f(K \cap \operatorname{Arc}(x, I_j))| = f'(x)|K \cap \operatorname{Arc}(x, I_j)| = |K \cap \operatorname{Arc}(f(x), f(I_j))|.$$
(25)

Therefore, from (24) e (25) and utilizing that |K| > 0 we have that  $1 < f'(x) = p \in \mathbb{N}$  and this concludes the proof.

Now we prove theorem 3.

**Proof.** We suppose, that *K* is  $C^1$ -minimal for *f* and  $\{m_i\}$  is not bounded. By lemmas 4.3 and 8.2 we know that there exists an end point of a wandering interval *I*, that we call *x*, such that  $f'(x) = p \in \mathbb{N}$  with p > 1. Therefore, by the uniform continuity of *f'* and by lemma 4.1 we know that there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that  $f'/(K \cap K_{n_0}^x) = p$ , where  $K_{n_0}^x$  is the connected component of  $K_{n_0}$  that contains *x*. As  $\{m_i\}$  is not bounded, there exists  $i_0$  sufficiently large such that  $m_{i_0} > p + 2$ . Let  $J_{i_0}$  be the interval of level  $i_0$  closest to *x* and  $K_{i_0}^x = [x, y_{i_0}]$ (connected component of  $K_{i_0}$  that contains *x*). As *f'* restricted to  $K \cap K_{n_0}^x$  is *p*, then

$$|f(K \cap K_{i_0}^x)| = |K \cap [f(x), f(y_{i_0})]| = p|K \cap K_{i_0}^x|.$$

As *K* has positive measure we have that the interval  $[f(x), f(y_{i_0})]$  contains exactly *p* connected components of  $K_{i_0}$ . As f(x) is an end point of f(I) (its level is greater than  $i_0$ , if  $i_0$  is sufficiently large) and in step  $i_0$  we removed more than p+2 intervals, the level of  $f(J_{i_0})$  is  $i_0$ . Therefore  $|J_{i_0}| = |f(J_{i_0})|$ . Besides, we have that  $J_{i_0} \subset K_{i_0-1}$  and  $|K_{i_0-1}| \to 0$  when  $i_0 \to \infty$ . But then, by the continuity of f', we know that if  $i_0$  is sufficiently large  $|J_{i_0}| < |f(J_{i_0})|$ , and this is a contradiction.

The following lemmas will be useful in proving theorem 4.

**Lemma 8.3.** If the regular interval Cantor set K, of positive measure, is  $C^1$ -minimal for f, and there exists  $x \in K$  and a positive integer p (p > 1) such that f'(x) = p, then p is multiple of  $m_i + 1$  for large enough i.

**Proof.** From lemma 4.5 we can suppose that *x* is an end point of a connected component of  $K^c$ . We denote by  $I_i = (a_i, b_i)$  the connected component of  $K^c$  of level *i* nearest to *x* (if *i* is large enough,  $I_i$  is determined). Then,  $f([x, a_i])$  contains exactly *p* connected components of  $K_i$ , so the level of  $f(I_i)$  is less than or equal to *i*. If *i* is sufficiently large we have that  $|f(I_i)| > |I_i|$ , so the level of  $f(I_i)$  is less than *i*. Therefore, the quantity of connected components of  $K_i$  that contains  $f([x, a_i])$  is multiple of  $m_i + 1$ .

**Lemma 8.4.** If K is a regular interval Cantor set of positive measure, then  $\frac{l_n}{\sigma_n} \rightarrow 0$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\sigma_n$  is the length of the connected components of  $K_n$  and  $l_n$  is the length of the open intervals removed in step n of the construction of K.

**Proof.** By the construction of *K* we have that  $|K| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \theta_1 \dots \theta_n > 0$ , so  $\theta_n \to 1$ . If *x* is an end point of some open interval that was removed in step *j*, then for all n > j + 1 we have

$$\theta_n = \frac{|K_n|}{|K_{n-1}|} = \frac{|K_n^x|(m_n+1)}{|K_{n-1}^x|} = \frac{|K_n^x|(m_n+1)}{|K_n^x|(m_n+1) + m_n l_n},$$
  
0 when  $n \to +\infty$ .

so  $\frac{l_n}{|K_n^x|} \to 0$  when  $n \to +\infty$ .

Now we prove theorem 4.

**Proof.** We suppose by contradiction that *K* is  $C^1$ -minimal for *f*. Let *x*, *I*, *p* and  $n_0$  be as in the proof of theorem 3. For each  $i > n_0$ , we denote by  $J_i = (y_i, z_i)$  the wandering interval of level *i* closest to f(x). By hypothesis, there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that if  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $t_{n+1} - t_n > 3p$ .

**Claim 1:** For all  $i > t_{n_0}$ , if  $f^{-1}(J_i)$  is the interval of level j closest to x then  $f^{-1}(J_j)$  is not the interval of level  $k = \mathcal{L}(f^{-1}(J_j))$  nearest to x. We suppose by contradiction that  $f^{-1}(J_j)$  is not in the desired conditions. Therefore  $[x, f^{-1}(y_i)]$  is a connected component of  $K_j$  and  $[x, f^{-1}(y_j)]$  is a connected component of  $K_k$ . Then  $(m_{i+1} + 1) \dots (m_j + 1) = p$  and  $(m_{j+1} + 1) \dots (m_k + 1) = p$ . Utilizing lemma 8.2 and that q is a prime number we have that there exist less than two elements of the set  $\{(m_{i+1} + 1), \dots, (m_j + 1), \dots, (m_k + 1)\}$  that are multiple of q. As this set doest not have more than 2p elements, if i is large enough we have a contradiction. Then we have demonstrated claim 1.

**Claim 2:** If *i* is large enough there exists k > i such that

$$\frac{|J_k|}{|K_k^{f(x)}|} > \frac{3}{2} \frac{|J_i|}{|K_i^{f(x)}|}$$

By the Mean Value Theorem, for all *i*, there exist  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  (they depend on *i*) contained in  $[x, f^{-1}(z_i)]$  such that

$$|J_i| = |f^{-1}(J_i)|f'(\theta_1)$$
 and  $|(f(x), y_i)| = |(x, f^{-1}(y_i))|f'(\theta_2).$ 

Then

$$\frac{|J_i|}{|K_i^{f(x)}|} = \frac{|J_i|}{|(f(x), y_i)|} = \frac{f'(\theta_1)}{f'(\theta_2)} \frac{|f^{-1}(J_i)|}{|(x, f^{-1}(y_i))|} \to \frac{|f^{-1}(J_i)|}{|(x, f^{-1}(y_i))|}, \quad (26)$$

when  $i \to \infty$ . We have two possibilities.

1. If  $f^{-1}(J_i)$  is the interval closest to x of level  $j = \mathcal{L}(f^{-1}(J_i))$ , from claim 1, we have that  $f^{-1}(J_j)$  is not the interval of level  $k = \mathcal{L}(f^{-1}(J_j))$  closest to x, therefore  $|(x, f^{-1}(y_j))| > 2 \cdot |K_k^x|$ . Then, by (26),

$$\frac{|J_i|}{|K_i^{f(x)}|} \to \frac{|J_j|}{|K_j^{f(x)}|} \to \frac{|J_k|}{|(x, f^{-1}(y_j))|} < \frac{|J_k|}{2|K_k^{f(x)}|},$$

when  $i \to \infty$ . So, claim 2 follows.

2. If  $f^{-1}(J_i)$  is not the interval closest to x of level  $k = \mathcal{L}(f^{-1}(J_i))$ , then  $|(x, f^{-1}(y_i))| > 2 \cdot |K_k^x|$ . So the proof follows in analogous form to the previous item.

From claim 2 we have that  $\frac{|J_n|}{|K_n^{f(x)}|} \neq 0$  when  $n \to \infty$  and this contradicts lemma 8.4.

Acknowledgment. This work is part of my PHD thesis. I would like to thank my Advisor, Edson de Faria, not only for helpful discussions and his many useful remarks on mathematical structure and style, but also for his constant encouragement. I would also like to thank Alvaro Rovella and Jorge Iglesias, for helpful discussions.

## References

- A. Denjoy. Sur les courbes défines par les équations différentielles à la surface du tore. J. de Math Pure et Appl., 9(11) (1932), 333–375.
- [2] D. McDuff. C<sup>1</sup>-minimal subset of the circle. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 31 (1981), 177–193.
- [3] M.R. Herman. Sur la conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle à des rotations. Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **49** (1979), 5–234.
- [4] R. Mañé. *Introdução à teoria ergódica*. Projeto Euclides, 14. Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, (1983).
- [5] A. Norton. *Denjoy minimal sets are far from affine*. Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., 22 (2002), 1803–1812.
- [6] A. Portela. New examples of Cantor sets in  $S^1$  that are not  $C^1$ -minimal. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series, **38**(4) (2007), 623–633.

## **Aldo Portela**

Instituto de Matemática y Estadística Facultad de Ingeniería CC30, CP 11300 Universidad de la Republica Montevideo URUGUAY

E-mail: aldo@fing.edu.uy