

Discrete subgroups of PU(2, 1) acting on $P_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and the Kobayashi metric

Juan-Pablo Navarrete and Waldemar Barrera*

Abstract. In this paper, we prove the following: If $G \subset PU(2, 1)$ is an infinite, discrete group, acting on $P_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ without complex invariant lines, then the component containing $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ of the domain of discontinuity $\Omega(G) = P_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \Lambda(G)$, according to Kulkarni, is *G*-invariant complete Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Keywords: limit set, discrete subgroup, complex hyperbolic plane, Kobayashi hyperbolic, complex projective plane.

Mathematical subject classification: 51M10, 32H.

1 Introduction

The group PU(2, 1) is the projectivization of

$$U(2,1) = \{g \in GL(3,\mathbb{C}) \mid \langle g(u), g(v) \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle \quad \forall u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{2,1} \},\$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{C}^{2,1} \times \mathbb{C}^{2,1} \to \mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ is the Hermitian form $\langle Z, W \rangle = z_1 \bar{w_1} + z_2 \bar{w_2} - z_3 \bar{w_3}$, where $Z = (z_1, z_2, z_3)$ and $W = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$. Moreover, PU(2, 1) is the group of isometries of the complex hyperbolic space

$$\mathbb{H}^{2}_{\mathbb{C}} = \left\{ [z_{1} : z_{2} : z_{3}] \in P^{2}_{\mathbb{C}} \, | \, |z_{1}|^{2} + |z_{2}|^{2} - |z_{3}|^{2} > 0 \right\},\$$

equipped with the Bergman metric.

The limit set, according to Kulkarni [4], of the group $G \subset PU(2, 1)$ acting on complex projective space $P_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is defined as follows: $L_0(G)$ is the closure of the set of points in $P_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ with infinite isotropy group and $L_1(G)$ is the closure of the set of accumulation points of $\{g(z)\}_{g\in G}$, where z runs over $P_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus L_0(G)$.

Received 4 July 2008.

^{*}The authors were supported by the Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán and the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

Finally, $L_2(G)$ is the closure of the accumulation points of $\{g(K)\}_{g\in G}$, where K runs over compact subsets of $P^2_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (L_0(G) \cup L_1(G))$.

The set $\Lambda(G) = L_0(G) \cup L_1(G) \cup L_2(G)$ is called the limit set according to Kulkarni. The set $\Omega(G) = P_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \Lambda(G)$ is called the *domain of discontinuity of G*. The group *G* is called a complex Kleinian group when $\Omega(G)$ is not empty (see [6, 7]).

On the other hand, when G is a discrete subgroup of PU(2, 1), there is an action on the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ by holomorphic isometries. The limit set L(G) of the group G according to Chen-Greenberg [1] is the set of accumulation points of any G-orbit of a point in $\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$; in a similar way, as it happens for classical Kleinian groups of real hyperbolic geometry, L(G) is contained in the sphere at infinite $S^3 = \partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$.

It is proved in [5] that if G is a discrete subgroup of PU(2, 1) acting in $P_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, and $\Lambda(G)$ is the limit set according to Kulkarni [4] of G, then

$$\Lambda(G) = \bigcup_{z \in L(G)} l_z,$$

where l_z is the only complex projective line tangent to $\partial \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ at z; also L(G) is the limit set, according to Chen-Greenberg [1], of the group G when considered as acting on $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Furthermore, if G is non-elementary, then the orbit of each line l_z , with $z \in L(G)$, is dense in $\Lambda(G)$ (though the action of G on $\Lambda(G)$ is not minimal).

The Kobayashi pseudo-distance is defined as follows (see [3]): Let X be a complex space and p, q two points in X; we consider a *chain of holomorphic discs* from p to q; i.e. a chain of points $p = p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_k = q$ of X, and pairs of points $a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_k, b_k$ in the unit disc $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, and holomorphic functions $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in Hol(D, X)$ such that

$$f_i(a_i) = p_{i-1}$$
 and $f_i(b_i) = p_i$ for $i = 1, ..., k$.

Let us denote this chain by α ; we define its length $l(\alpha)$ by

$$l(\alpha) = \rho(a_1, b_1) + \ldots + \rho(a_k, b_k),$$

where ρ is the Poincaré-Bergman metric in the unit disc *D*. The pseudodistance d_X is defined by

$$d_X(p,q) = \inf_{\alpha} l(\alpha),$$

where the infimum is taken over all chains α of holomorphic discs from p to q. When the pseudodistance d_X is actually a distance, the space X is called

Kobayashi hyperbolic and d_X is called the Kobayashi metric. Moreover, if X is complete respect to the distance d_X , then X is called *complete Kobayashi* hyperbolic.

The Kobayashi distance can be considered as a generalization of the Poincaré distance because both agree on the unit disc $D \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Now we state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. If $G \subset PU(2, 1)$ is an infinite discrete group acting on $P_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ without invariant complex projective lines, then the connected component of the domain of discontinuity containing $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is *G*-invariant and complete Kobayashi hyperbolic.

The following two theorems will be useful to prove Theorem 1.1. The reader may find the proofs in [3].

Theorem 1.2. The complement of 2n + 1 or more hyperplanes in general position in $P^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ is complete Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Theorem 1.3. Let X and X_i , $i \in I$, be complex subspaces of a complex space Y such that $X = \bigcap_i X_i$. If all X_i are complete Kobayashi hyperbolic, so is X.

2 Proof of the Main Theorem

Below, we consider (unless otherwise specified) that $G \subset PU(2, 1)$ is a discrete subgroup acting on $P_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ without invariant complex projective lines.

Lemma 2.1. If p is a point in $L(G) \subset \partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$, then there are two different points $p_1, p_2 \in L(G)$ such that the three complex projective lines l_p, l_{p_1}, l_{p_2} (tangent to $\partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ at the points p, p_1, p_2) are in general position.

Proof. Since the group G is infinite and it has no invariant complex projective line, G is non-elementary; so there is $p_1 \neq p$ in L(G). We denote by $q \in P_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \overline{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2}$ the intersection point of l_p and l_{p_1} . We proceed by contradiction and we assume that every complex projective line in $\Lambda(G) = \bigcup_{x \in L(G)} l_x$ contains q; then, q is a fixed point of G. Therefore, G leaves the polar line to q invariant, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.2. If p is a point in L(G), then there are three different points $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in L(G)$ such that the complex projective lines $l_p, l_{p_1}, l_{p_2}, l_{p_3}$ (tangent to $\partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ at the corresponding points) are in general position.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, there exist points p_1 , p_2 such that the complex projective lines l_p , l_{p_1} , l_{p_2} are in general position. We denote by q_0 , q_1 , q_2 the intersection points of l_p and l_{p_1} , l_{p_1} and l_{p_2} , l_{p_2} and l_p (see Fig. 1).



Figure 1 – Three lines in general position.

We proceed by contradiction and we assume that every line in $\Lambda(G) = \bigcup_{x \in L(G)} l_x$ contains q_0, q_1 or q_2 . Since there are infinitely many lines in $\Lambda(G)$, we may assume that infinitely many lines in $\Lambda(G)$ contain q_0 . Now, either q_1 or q_2 is contained in infinitely many lines in $\Lambda(G)$ because otherwise the line l_{p_2} is isolated in $\Lambda(G)$, and this cannot happen. So we assume that q_1 is contained in infinitely many lines of $\Lambda(G)$. If it happens that q_2 is contained only in finitely many lines in $\Lambda(G)$, then the set $\{q_0, q_1\}$ is *G*-invariant, which implies that l_{p_1} is *G*-invariant, a contradiction. Hence, the set of the points in $P_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ where infinitely many lines in $\Lambda(G)$ are concurrent, is precisely $\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$; then, this set is *G*-invariant. If *g* is any element in *G* then $g^{3!}$ fixes the points p, p_1 , and p_2 are fixed by $g^{3!}$; then, *g* is elliptic. We conclude that *G* is finite, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.3. For every point $p \in L(G)$ there are four different points p_1 , p_2 , p_3 , p_4 in L(G) such that the complex projective lines l_p , l_{p_1} , l_{p_2} , l_{p_3} , l_{p_4} (tangent to $\partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ at the corresponding points) are in general position.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 there are three different points p_1 , p_2 , p_3 in L(G) such that the complex projective lines l_p , l_{p_1} , l_{p_2} , l_{p_3} (tangent to $\partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ at the corresponding points) are in general position.

Let $q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5$ be the intersection points of l_{p_1} and l_{p_2} , l_p and l_{p_2} , l_p and l_{p_3} , l_{p_1} and l_{p_3} , l_p and l_{p_3} , respectively (see Fig. 2).

We proceed by contradiction and we assume that every line in $\Lambda(G)$ contains some point of the set $\{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5\}$. We assume that there are infinitely



Figure 2 – Four lines in general position.

many lines in $\Lambda(G)$ through q_0 . If it happens that through none of the points q_i , i = 1, ..., 5 there are infinitely many lines of $\Lambda(G)$, then the line l_{p_0} is an isolated line in $\Lambda(G)$ and it cannot happen. So there is at least one point $q_i \neq q_0$ contained in an infinite number of lines in $\Lambda(G)$. There must be another point $q_i, q_0 \neq q_i \neq q_i$, contained in infinitely many lines in $\Lambda(G)$ because otherwise the line determined by q_0 and q_i is G-invariant, a contradiction. So there are at least three points of the set $\{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5\}$, each contained in infinitely many lines in $\Lambda(G)$. If there are precisely three of such points, then they are not aligned because otherwise the line determined by them is G-invariant. An analogous reasoning to that of Lemma 2.2 shows that $g^{3!}$ is an elliptic element for any $g \in G$, which implies that G is finite. Hence, we can assume that each of the four points q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 is contained in infinitely many lines in $\Lambda(G)$, and three out of these four points, e. g. q_0, q_1, q_2 , are in general position. Also, there is a fixed natural number n_0 such that for any $g \in G$, g^{n_0} fixes the points q_0, q_1, q_2 ; then, the three lines determined by these points are g^{n_0} -invariant. If each of these three lines is tangent to $\partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$, then g^{n_0} has three different fixed points in $\partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$; so, g is elliptic for all $g \in G$; hence, G is finite. On the other hand, if one of these three lines is not tangent to $\partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$, then its pole, denoted by z, is a fixed point of g^{n_0} , and we have two possibilities,

$$z \in \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$$
 or $z \in P^2_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}};$

in any case, it is not hard to see that g^{n_0} is elliptic, therefore g, is elliptic; we conclude that G is finite.

An analogous reasoning to the proof of Lemma 2.3 may be used to prove (under the same hypothesis) that given a point $p \in L(G)$ and a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is possible to find *n* different points $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in L(G)$ such that $l_p, l_{p_1}, \ldots, l_{p_n}$ are n + 1 complex projective lines in $P_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ (tangent to $\partial \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ at the corresponding points) in general position.

Proof of the main theorem. Let p be a point in L(G). By Lemma 2.1, there are four points p_0 , p_1 , p_2 , p_3 in L(G) such that l_p , l_{p_0} , l_{p_1} , l_{p_2} , l_{p_3} are in general position. We note that $X_p = P_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus (l_p \cup l_{p_0} \cup l_{p_1} \cup l_{p_2} \cup l_{p_3})$ is complete Kobayashi hyperbolic; moreover,

$$\Omega(G) = P_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{p \in L(G)} l_p = \bigcap_{p \in L(G)} X_p.$$

Thus, $\Omega(G)$ is complete Kobayashi hyperbolic by theorem 1.2. Given that $\Omega_0(G) \subset \Omega(G)$ is closed in $\Omega(G)$, we conclude that $\Omega_0(G)$ is complete Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Finally, we give examples for both cases: when $\Omega(G) = P_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \Lambda(G)$ is connected, and when it is not connected. For definitions and theorems about chains and \mathbb{R} -circles, see [2].

Examples. If $G \subset PO(2, 1)$ is a discrete subgroup, then it can be considered a discrete subgroup of PU(2, 1) by means of the canonical inclusion $PO(2, 1) \hookrightarrow PU(2, 1)$. Since G is discrete in PU(2, 1), it acts properly and discontinuously on $\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ and leaves invariant the totally geodesic subspace

$$\mathbb{H}^{2}_{\mathbb{R}} = \left\{ [r_{1} : r_{2} : r_{3}] \in \mathbb{H}^{2}_{\mathbb{C}} : r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

We must mention that $\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$ is not a complex geodesic. Moreover, the limit set according to Chen-Greenberg, L(G), is contained in the G-invariant closed set

$$\partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}} = \left\{ [r_1 : r_2 : 1] \in \partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}} : r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

(the set $\partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called a \mathbb{R} -circle) (see [2]). We notice that the group G acts on $P^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ without invariant complex lines whenever G is non elementary.

i) Let G be a discrete subgroup of PO(2, 1) such that L(G) is a Cantor set. Let p = [z₁ : z₂ : 1] be a point in ∂H²_C \ ∂H²_R; we define the function π_p : ∂H²_C \ {p} → l_p as follows. If q is any point in ∂H²_C \ {p}, then π_p(q) is the intersection point of l_p and l_q.

The image of the \mathbb{R} -circle $\partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$ under the map π_p is a curve parametrized as $c(t) = [\cos t - z_1 : \sin t - z_2 : \overline{z_1} \cos t + \overline{z_2} \sin t - 1]$, and a straightforward computation shows that this curve is regular.

Let z be a point in $(P_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2) \cap \Omega(G)$; then there is a complex line l_p containing z and tangent to $\partial \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ at a point $p \in \partial \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \partial \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$. Now, $l_p \cap \Lambda(G)$ is the image under π_p of the set L(G) and it cannot disconnect this complex line; so, we can join z and p by a path completely contained in $\Omega(G)$. It follows that $\Omega(G)$ is connected and by Theorem 1.1 it is complete Kobayashi hyperbolic.

ii) On the other hand, when G is a discrete subgroup of PO(2, 1) with $L(G) = \partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see [2]), we claim that $\Omega(G)$ is not connected.

To prove this statement, let us consider a chain *C* with non-zero linking number with respect to the \mathbb{R} -circle $\partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let *z* be the polar point to *C*; then,

$$z \in \Omega(G) \setminus \overline{\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}}.$$

If the point z can be joined by a path γ_1 in $\Omega(G)$ to some point $p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus L(G)$, then z and p can be joined by a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to \Omega(G)$ so that the image is contained in

$$\Omega(G)\setminus \overline{\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}},$$

except for the point $\gamma(1) = p \in \partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$. Thus the polar to $\gamma(t)$ induces a homotopy of chains $C_{\gamma(t)}$ contained in $\partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus L(G)$, where $C_{\gamma(0)} = C_z = C$ and $C_{\gamma(1)} = p$; this is a contradiction.

The main difference between the examples above is the following: in the example i), the connected component of $\Omega(G)$ containing $\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ is equal to $\Omega(G)$; hence, by Theorem 1.1, $\Omega(G)$ is complete Kobayashi hyperbolic. On the other hand, in the example ii) the connected component of $\Omega(G)$ containing $\mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not equal to $\Omega(G)$, though it is a *G*-invariant connected component and, by Theorem 1.1, it is complete Kobayashi hyperbolic.

We remark that the groups in the examples above are \mathbb{R} -Fuchsian groups, and these groups have no invariant complex lines whenever they are not elementary. Moreover, the fact that the limit set L(G) of these groups is contained in an \mathbb{R} -circle, points out to the existence of many complex lines in $\Lambda(G)$ in general position. The situation is different for \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian groups, because they have an invariant complex line, and this kind of groups does not satisfy the hypothesis of our main Theorem 1.1. In fact, the limit set L(G) of a \mathbb{C} -Fuchsian group is contained in a chain, so all tangent complex lines to $\partial \mathbb{H}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ at points in L(G) are concurrent; therefore, the limit set $\Lambda(G)$ has at most two lines in general position. Acknowledgements. We want to thank José Seade, Alberto Verjosky and Angel Cano for their helpful suggestions. We thank Luis Rodríguez for his encouragement. We also thank Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán and Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México for their financial support.

References

- [1] S.S. Chen and L. Greenberg. Hyperbolic Spaces. Contributions to Analysis. Academic Press, New York. pp. 49–87 (1974).
- [2] W. Goldman. *Complex Hyperbolic Geometry*. Oxford Science Publications (1999).
- [3] S. Kobayashi. *Hyperbolic Complex Spaces*. A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 318, Springer-Verlag.
- [4] R.S. Kulkarni. *Groups with Domains of Discontinuity*. Mathematische Annalen Num., 237 (1978), 253–272.
- J.P. Navarrete. On the Limit Set of Discrete Subgroups of PU(2, 1). Geometriae Dedicata, 122(1) (2006), 1–13, October.
- [6] J. Seade and A. Verjovsky. *Actions of Discrete Groups on Complex Projective Spaces*. Contemporary Mathematics Num., **269** (2001), 155–178.
- [7] J. Seade and A. Verjovsky. *Higher Dimensional Complex Kleinian Groups*. Mathematische Annalen Num., **322** (2002), 279–300.

Juan Pablo Navarrete and Waldemar Barrera

Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán Facultad de Matemáticas Anillo Periférico Norte Tablaje Cat 13615 Chuburna de Hidalgo Mérida, Yucatán MÉXICO

E-mails: jp.navarrete@uady.mx / bvargas@uady.mx