On the Rauch Comparison Theorem for Volumes*

KETI TENENBLAT

It is already known, that given a Riemannian manifold M, whose sectional curvature K_M satisfies $L \leq K_M \leq H$, then it is possible to compare the volume of a normal ball in M, with the volume of a normal ball with the same radius, contained on a space form with constant curvature L or H ([1], [3], [4]). In this paper we investigate the case when the Ricci curvature on M satisfies $Ricc_M \geq H$.

Given an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold M, with curvature tensor R, consider the transformation $R_X: Y \longrightarrow R(X, Y)X$. If X is a unitary vector tangent to M, $Ricc(X) = \frac{1}{n-1} tr R_X$. Let γ be a geodesic on M, such that $\gamma(0) = m$. We denote by $\mu(t)$ the Jacobian determinant of exp_m at $t\gamma'(0)$. We will prove the following:

THEOREM 1. Let M be a complete, n-dimensional, Riemannian manifold and γ : $[0, a] \longrightarrow M$ a geodesic on M such that $\gamma(0) = m$. If m has no conjugate point on $\gamma(0, a]$ and $Ricc(\gamma'(t)) \ge H$, then

$$\mu(t) \le \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\operatorname{sen}\sqrt{H}\,t}{\sqrt{H}\,t}\right)^{n-1}, & \text{if} \quad H > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad H = 0 \\ \left(\frac{\operatorname{senh}\sqrt{-H}\,t}{\sqrt{-H}\,t}\right)^{n-1}, & \text{if} \quad H < 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $t \in (0, a]$. If equality holds, then $Ricc(\gamma'(t)) \equiv H$.

As a consequence of this theorem we get the following:

COROLLARY 1. Let M be a complete, n-dimensional, Riemannian manifold, such that $Ricc_M \geq H$. If B(m, r) and $B(\tilde{m}, r)$ are normal balls with radius r, centered

^{*}Recebido pela SBM em 26 de março de 1973.

respectively at $m \in M$ and $\tilde{m} \in M$, where \tilde{M} is the simply connected n-dimensional manifold with constant curvature H, then

$$v(B(m,r)) \leq v(B(\tilde{m},r)).$$

If equality holds, then $Ricc(\gamma'(t)) \equiv H$, t < r, for all radial geodesic γ on B(m, r).

The purpose of this paper is to present a counter-example, which shows that, in contrast with what happens when sectional curvature is concerned ([4], Corol. 1), $Ricc(\gamma'(t)) \equiv H$ in Theorem 1 does not imply equality for the Jacobian determinant $\mu(t)$. Consequently, in Corollary 1, we may have $Ricc_M \equiv H$ without obtaining equality for the volumes of the normal balls. Moreover this counter-example also shows, that it is not possible to obtain results analogous to Theorem 1 and Corollary 1, when $Ricc_M \leq H$.

In section 1 we prove Theorem 1 an Corollary 1, based essentially in the proof given in ([1], pg. 253). In section 2, we present the counter-example mentioned above.

I want to thank Prof. Manfredo P. do Carmo for helpful conversations on this paper.

§1. We use the same notation as in [2]. Every geodesic is parametrized by arc length. M denotes a complete Riemannian manifold and S^n the unitary n-dimensional sphere contained in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . In the following proofs, we need the index form of a geodesic and its properties (see [2]). If W is a vector field along a geodesic γ : $[0, a] \longrightarrow M$, we denote

$$I(W,r) = \int_0^r \left\{ \left\langle W', W' \right\rangle - \left\langle R(\gamma', W) \gamma', W \right\rangle \right\} dt,$$

where $r \in (0, a]$ and R is the curvature tensor on M. Moreover we need the following lemma:

LEMMA A. Let $\gamma: [0, a] \longrightarrow M$ be a geodesic with no conjugate points to $\gamma(0)$ along $\gamma(0, l]$, $l \le a$. Let J be a Jacobi field along γ and W a vector field along γ such that $\langle J, \gamma' \rangle = \langle W, \gamma' \rangle = 0$. If J(0) = W(0) = 0 and J(l) = W(l), then

$$I(J, l) \leq I(W, l)$$
.

Equality holds if and only if J = W.

A proof of this lemma can be found in ([2], pg. 205).

Consider a geodesic γ on an *n*-dimensional, Riemannian manifold, such that $\gamma(0) = m$. If $v_i(t)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, are linearly independent vectors, normal to $\gamma'(0)$ at $t\gamma'(0)$, then the Jacobian determinant of exp_m at $t\gamma'(0)$, is

$$\mu(t) = \frac{\left| d exp_m v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d exp_m v_{n-1} \right|}{\left| v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n-1} \right|},$$

where $v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n-1}$ is the exterior product of the vectors v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1} . We can now prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1: Let \tilde{M} be the *n*-dimensional, simply connected space, with constant curvature H > 0. Let $\tilde{\gamma} \colon [0, a] \longrightarrow \tilde{M}$ be a geodesic on \tilde{M} , such that $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \tilde{m}$. Denote by $\tilde{\mu}(t)$ the Jacobian determinant of \exp_m at $t\tilde{\gamma}'(0)$. We will prove that, for all $t \in (0, a]$.

$$\mu(t) \le \tilde{\mu}(t) = \left(\frac{\operatorname{sen}\sqrt{H}\,t}{\sqrt{H}\,t}\right)^{n-1}.$$

Fix $r \in (0, a]$. Let $J_i(t)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n-1, be Jacobi fields along γ , orthogonal to $\gamma'(t)$ and such that $J_i(r)$ are orthonormal. For each i, consider $J_i(t) = d \exp_m t A_i$, where A_i is a parallel vector field along $t\gamma'(0)$. Then

$$\mu(t) = \frac{\left|J_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge J_{n-1}\right|}{t^{n-1}\left|A_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge A_{n-1}\right|}.$$

Differentiating $\mu^2(t)$ at t = r, we get

$$2\mu(r) \mu'(r) = \frac{2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle J'_i(r), J_i(r) \rangle r^{2(n-1)} - 2(n-1) r^{2n-3}}{r^{4(n-1)} |A_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge A_{n-1}|^2}$$

Hence

(1)
$$\frac{\mu'(r)}{\mu(r)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\langle J_i'(r), J_i(r) \right\rangle - \frac{n-1}{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I(J_i, r) - \frac{n-1}{r}$$

Consider $\{\tilde{Z}_1,\ldots,\tilde{Z}_n\}$ orthonormal vector fields, parallel along $\tilde{\gamma}$, such that $\tilde{Z}_n(t) = \tilde{\gamma}'(t)$ and let $\tilde{J}_i(t)$, $i = 1,\ldots,n-1$, be the Jacobi fields along $\tilde{\gamma}$, defined by

$$\widetilde{J}_i(t) = \frac{\operatorname{sen} \sqrt{H} t}{\operatorname{sen} \sqrt{H} r} \widetilde{Z}_i(t), \qquad i = 1, \dots, n-1$$

With the same reasoning we did for J_i we get

$$\frac{\widetilde{\mu}'(r)}{\widetilde{\mu}(r)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I(\widetilde{J}_i, r) - \frac{n-1}{r}.$$

If we denote $f(t) = \frac{\sin \sqrt{H} t}{\sin \sqrt{H} r}$ we conclude that

(2)
$$\frac{\tilde{\mu}'(r)}{\tilde{\mu}(r)} = (n-1) \int_0^r ((f')^2 - f^2 H) dt - \frac{n-1}{r}.$$

Now consider $\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}$ orthonormal vector fields, parallel along γ , generated by $J_i(r)$ and $\gamma'(r)$. For each vector field

$$\tilde{W}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(t) \tilde{Z}_i(t)$$

along $\tilde{\gamma}$, we associate a vector field

$$\psi(\tilde{W})(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(t) Z_i(t)$$

along γ . Then if \tilde{W}_1 and \tilde{W}_2 are vector fields along $\tilde{\gamma}$,

$$\langle \psi(\tilde{W}_1), \psi(\tilde{W}_2) \rangle = \langle \tilde{W}_1, \tilde{W}_2 \rangle,$$

 $(\psi(W))' = \psi(W').$

It follows from these properties, Lemma A and from the hypothesis $Ricc(\gamma'(t)) \ge H$, that

(3)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I(J_i, r) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I(\psi(\widehat{J}_i), r)$$

$$= (n-1) \int_0^r ((f')^2 - f^2 \operatorname{Ricc}(\gamma'(t))) dt$$

$$\le (n-1) \int_0^r (f')^2 - f^2 H dt.$$

From (1), (2) and (3) we obtain

$$\frac{\mu'(r)}{\mu(r)} \leq \frac{\tilde{\mu}'(r)}{\tilde{\mu}(r)}.$$

The point $r \in (0, a]$ was arbitrarily fixed, hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\mu(t)}{\tilde{\mu}(t)} \le 0$$
, for all $t \in (0, a]$.

Since $\mu(0) = \tilde{\mu}(0) = 1$, we conclude that

$$\mu(t) \le \tilde{\mu}(t)$$
, for all $t \in (0, a]$.

In order to prove that

$$\tilde{\mu}(t) = \left(\frac{\operatorname{sen}\sqrt{H}\ t}{\sqrt{H}\ t}\right)^{n-1},$$

we substitute f and f' in (2), and integrate from $\varepsilon > 0$ to $t \in (0, a]$ obtaining

$$\log \frac{\tilde{\mu}(t)}{\tilde{\mu}(\varepsilon)} = (n-1) \log \left(\frac{\operatorname{sen} \sqrt{H} t}{t} \times \frac{\varepsilon}{\operatorname{sen} \sqrt{H} \varepsilon} \right) .$$

Hence

$$\frac{\tilde{\mu}(t)}{\tilde{\mu}(\varepsilon)} = \left(\frac{\operatorname{sen}\sqrt{H}\,t}{t} \times \frac{\varepsilon}{\operatorname{sen}\sqrt{H}\,\varepsilon}\right)^{n-1}$$

Considering the limit, when $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0^+$, we conclude that

$$\widetilde{\mu}(t) = \left(\frac{\operatorname{sen}\sqrt{H}\ t}{\sqrt{H}\ t}\right)^{n-1}.$$

Finally if $\mu(t) = \tilde{\mu}(t)$, for all $t \in [0, a]$, then equality holds in (3) and hence $Ricc(\gamma'(t)) \equiv H$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1, when H > 0.

If H = 0 or H < 0, we have similar proofs, considering the Jacobi fields

 \tilde{J}_i along $\tilde{\gamma}$, defined by $\tilde{J}_i(t) = \frac{t}{r} \tilde{Z}_i(t)$ when H = 0, and

$$\widetilde{J}_i(t) = \frac{\operatorname{senh} \sqrt{-H} t}{\operatorname{senh} \sqrt{-H} r} \widetilde{Z}_i(t)$$

when H < 0. q.e.d.

Proof of Corollary 1. It follows from Theorem 1, since the volume of a normal ball is obtained by integrating the Jacobian determinant of the exponencial map, on a ball with the same radius on the tangent space. q.e.d.

§2. In this section we give a counter-example, which shows that in Theorem 1, $Ricc(\gamma'(t)) \equiv H$ does not imply equality for the Jacobian determinant $\mu(t)$, and also in Corollary 1, $Ricc_M \equiv H$ does not imply equality for the volumes of the normal balls. First we summarize some properties of the product manifolds, which will be necessary for the counter-example.

Let M_1 and M_2 be Riemannian manifolds and $M=M_1\times M_2$ the Riemannian product of M_1 and M_2 . If γ_1 and γ_2 are curves in M_1 and M_2 , X_1 and X_2 are parallel vector fields along γ_1 and γ_2 respectively, then X_1+X_2 is a parallel vector field along the curve $(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t))$ in M. Conversely a parallel vector field on M is obtained in this form. Hence the geodesics on M have the form $(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t))$, where γ_1 and γ_2 are geodesics on M_1 and M_2 respectively. Moreover, the curvature tensor R of M at a point (m_1, m_2) satisfies the following equality

$$R_{(m_1,m_2)}(X_1 + X_2, Y_1 + Y_2) = R_{m_1}(X_1, Y_1) + R_{m_2}(X_2, Y_2),$$

where X_1 , $Y_1 \in T_{m_1}M_1$, X_2 , $Y_2 \in T_{m_2}M_2$ and R_{m_1} , R_{m_2} are the curvature tensors of M_1 and M_2 at m_1 and m_2 respectively. It is clear that if $X_1 \in T_{m_1}M_1$ and $X_2 \in T_{m_2}M_2$, then

$$R_{(m_1,m_2)}(X_1, X_2) = R_{(m_1,m_2)}(X_2, X_1) = 0.$$

LEMMA 1. Let $M=M_1\times M_2$ be the n-dimensional Riemannian product of M_1 and M_2 . If M_1 and M_2 have dimensions n_1 and n_2 respectively and the Ricci curvatures satisfy

$$(n_1 - 1)Ricc_{M_1} = (n_2 - 1)Ricc_{M_2} = \lambda,$$

then

$$(n-1)Ricc_{\mathbf{M}} = \lambda.$$

PROOF. Let X be a unitary vector, tangent to M at (m_1, m_2) . Consider $Z_1, \ldots, Z_{n_1}, Z_{n_1+1}, \ldots, Z_n$ an orthonormal frame at $(m_1, m_2) \in M$, such that $Z_1, \ldots, Z_{n_1} \in T_{m_1}M_1$ and $Z_{n_1+1}, \ldots, Z_n \in T_{m_2}M_2$. Then

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i Z_i$$
 and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 = 1$.

Hence

$$(n-1)\operatorname{Ricc}(X) \stackrel{=}{=} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{i}x_{j} \langle R_{(m_{1},m_{2})}(Z_{i}, Z_{k})Z_{j}, Z_{k} \rangle =$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{1}} x_{i}x_{j} \langle R_{m_{1}}(Z_{i}, Z_{k})Z_{j}, Z_{k} \rangle + \sum_{i,j=n_{1}+1}^{n} \sum_{k=n_{1}+1}^{n} x_{i}x_{j} \langle R_{m_{2}}(Z_{i}, Z_{k})Z_{j}, Z_{k} \rangle$$

If we consider $i, j = 1, ..., n_1, i \neq j$, then using the fact that

$$(n_1 - 1) \operatorname{Ricc}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z_i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z_j\right) = \lambda,$$

we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \left\langle R_{m_1}(Z_i, Z_k) Z_j, Z_k \right\rangle = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{k=n_1+1}^n \left\langle R_{m_2}(Z_i, Z_k) Z_j, Z_k \right\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } i, j = n_1 + 1, \dots, n \text{ and } i \neq j.$$

Hence

$$(n-1)\operatorname{Ricc}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} x_i^2 \left\langle R_{m_1}(Z_i, Z_k) Z_i, Z_k \right\rangle +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n} \sum_{k=n_1+1}^{n} x_i^2 \left\langle R_{m_2}(Z_i, Z_k) Z_i, Z_k \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} x_i^2 \lambda + \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n} x_i^2 \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \lambda = \lambda.$$

q.e.d.

Counter-Example. Let $M_1 = S^2$ and $M_2 = S^2$. Consider $M = M_1 \times M_2$ the Riemannian product of M_1 and M_2 .

It follows from Lemma 1, that $Ricc(X) = \frac{1}{3}$, for any unitary vector X tangent to M. Consider a geodesic $\gamma(t) = (m_1, \gamma_2(t))$ on M, such that $m_1 \in M_1$ and $\gamma_2(t)$ is a geodesic on M_2 , defined for $t \in [0, \pi\sqrt{3}/2]$. It is not difficult to verify that $0 \le K_M \le 1$ and hence it follows from Rauch Comparision theorem ([2], pg. 206), that two consecutive, conjugate points occur at a a distante $\ge \pi$. Consequently there is no conjugate point of $\gamma(0)$ along γ . We shall prove that the Jacobian determinant of $\exp_{\gamma(0)}$ is not equal to

$$\left(\frac{\operatorname{sen} t\sqrt{3}/3}{t\sqrt{3}/3}\right)^3.$$

Fix $r \in (0, \pi\sqrt{3}/2]$ and consider Jacobi fields $J_i(t)$, i=1, 2, 3, along γ , orthogonal to $\gamma'(t)$ and such that $J_i(r)$ are orthonormal vectors, $J_1(r)$ and $J_2(r)$ tangent to M_1 and $J_3(r)$ tangent to M_2 . Let $\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_4\}$ be parallel vector fields along γ , generated by $J_i(r)$ and $\gamma'(r)$. Consider $\tilde{M} = S_{\sqrt{3}}^4$ a sphere of radius, $\sqrt{3}$, contained in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^5 . Let $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$, $t \in [0, \pi\sqrt{3}/2]$ be a geodesic on \tilde{M} , and $\{\tilde{Z}_1, \ldots, \tilde{Z}_4\}$ parallel vector fields, orthonormal along $\tilde{\gamma}$, such that $\tilde{Z}_4(t) = \tilde{\gamma}'(t)$. Let $\tilde{J}_i(t)$, i=1, 2, 3, Jacobi fileds along $\tilde{\gamma}$ defined by

$$\widetilde{J}_i(t) = \frac{\operatorname{sen} t \sqrt{3/3}}{\operatorname{sen} r \sqrt{3/3}} \widetilde{Z}_i(t), \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

From (1), (2) and (3) in the proof of Theorem 1, we know that

(4)
$$\frac{\mu'(r)}{\mu(r)} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} I(J_i, r) - \frac{3}{r} \le \sum_{i=1}^{3} I(\psi(\tilde{J}_i), r) - \frac{3}{r} = \frac{\tilde{\mu}'(r)}{\tilde{\mu}(r)}$$

where

$$\psi(\tilde{J}_i)(t) = \frac{\sin t \sqrt{3/3}}{\sin r \sqrt{3/3}} Z_i(t).$$

We claim that $\psi(\tilde{J}_3)$ is not a Jacobi field along γ . In fact

$$\psi(\tilde{J}_3)'' + R(\gamma', \psi(\tilde{J}_3)) \gamma' \neq 0$$

since

$$\langle \psi(\tilde{J}_3)'', Z_3 \rangle + \langle R(\gamma', \psi(\tilde{J}_3)) \gamma', Z_3 \rangle = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\sin t \sqrt{3/3}}{\sin r \sqrt{3/3}}$$

It follows from Lemma A, that

$$I(J_3, r) < I(\psi(\tilde{J}_3), r)$$

and hence from (4), we conclude that

$$\frac{\mu'(r)}{\mu(r)} < \frac{\tilde{\mu}'(r)}{\tilde{\mu}(r)}.$$

Since r was fixed arbitrarily, we have that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\mu(t)}{\tilde{\mu}(t)} < 0, \quad \text{for} \quad t \in (0, \pi \sqrt{3}/2].$$

That is

$$\mu(t) < \tilde{\mu}(t) = \left(\frac{\operatorname{sen} t\sqrt{3}/3}{t\sqrt{3}/3}\right)^3, \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in (0, \pi\sqrt{3}/2].$$

This justifies the remark about Theorem 1.

If $B((m_1, m_2), r)$ and $B(\tilde{m}, r)$ are normal balls centered respectively at $(m_1, m_2) \in M$ and $\tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}$, with radius r, then it follows from what we have just seen, about the Jacobian determinant of $exp_{(m_1, m_2)}$, that

$$v(B(m_1, \overline{m}_2), r) < v(B(\widetilde{m}, r)).$$

Remark. It is clear from this counter-example, that it is not possible to obtain results analogous to Theorem 1 and Corollary 1, when $Ricc \leq H$.

REFERENCES

- [1] BISHOP, R. and CRITTENDEN, R., Geometry of Manifolds, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [2] CARMO, M. do, Notas de Geometria Riemanniana, Rio de Janeiro, IMPA, 1971.
- [3] GUNTHER, P., Einige Satze Uber das Volumenelement eines Riemannschen Raumes, Publ. Math. Debrecen 7 (1960), 78-93.
- [4] TENENBLAT, K., Uma estimativa para o comprimento de geodésicas fechadas em variedades riemanianas, Tese, Rio de Janeiro, IMPA, 1972.

Departamento de Matemática Universidade de Brasília Brasília - BRASIL