

A Note on Generated Systems of Sets*

ARLETE CERQUEIRA LIMA

I - Introduction

The existence of a smallest algebra (σ -algebra) containing a given collection of subsets of a set X is an elementary and well known fact (cf. [3] p. 22). Considering a modified definition of algebra (σ -algebra) (see the definition below) where the unit is not necessary the whole X , the existence of the smallest algebra (σ -algebra) in this generalized sense can not be guaranteed. This fact was noted by A. B. Brown and G. Freilich, in [1], where a necessary and sufficient condition was given as follows:

THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{S} be a collection of subsets of X such that $\bigcup \mathcal{S} \neq X$. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a smallest σ -algebra (in the sense of the below definition) containing \mathcal{S} is the existence of a countable collection $\{S_n\}$, $S_n \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $Z = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$.*

Note that the condition $Z \neq X$ which is not stated in [1] can not be omitted.

The notations of algebra and σ -algebra are in the theory of quantum probability spaces frequently substituted by that of q -algebra and q - σ -algebra. The last are usually named s -class or σ -class respectively (see [2], [4], [5]).

The difference between the notions q -algebra and q - σ -algebra is in substituting the condition of closedness with respect to unions, by the condition of closedness with respect to disjoint unions (see the definition below). When the q -algebras and q - σ -algebras with a unit different of X are considered, evidently the existence of a smallest one needs not be guaranteed. It seems to be natural that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence can be obtained if the condition $Z = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ in Theorem is substituted by the

*Recebido pela SBM em 2 de outubro de 1973.

similar one where $\{S_n\}$ are required to be pairwise disjoint. But this is not the case as we show in this note.

II - Notations and notions

DEFINITION. A nonempty collection \mathcal{A} of subsets of a set X is said to be *algebra* if

- a) there exists $E \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $E \supset A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$;
- b) if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ then $E - A \in \mathcal{A}$;
- c) if $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ then $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$.

NOTE 1. E is called the *unit* of \mathcal{A} . In the case $E = X$ we get the definition in the usual sense (see [3]).

NOTE 2. To obtain the notion of σ -algebra we substitute c) by the usual condition of countable unions.

NOTE 3. The notions of ring and σ -ring are used in the same sense as in [3].

DEFINITION 2. A nonempty collection \mathcal{A} of subsets of X is said to be a *q-ring* if

- a) $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, $A \subset B$ implies $B - A \in \mathcal{A}$;
- b) $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, $A \cap B = \phi$ implies $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$.

If moreover there is $E \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A \subset E$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ then is said to be a *q-algebra*.

NOTE 4. The notions of q - σ -ring and q - σ -algebra are defined in a natural way substituting the conditions of the closedness with respect to finite disjoint unions by that countable disjoint unions in the q -anel and q -algebra respectively.

III - Results

THEOREM 1. Let \mathcal{S} be a collections of subsets of X such that $\bigcup \mathcal{S} = Z$.

i) A sufficient condition for the existence of a smallest q -algebra (q - σ -algebra) containing \mathcal{S} is

$$Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i \quad \text{where} \quad S_i \in \mathcal{S}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad S_i \cap S_j = \phi, \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j;$$

$$(Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i, \quad S_i \in \mathcal{S}, \quad S_i \cap S_j = \phi, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j)$$

ii) If $Z \neq X$ a necessary condition for the existence of a smallest q -algebra (q - σ -algebra) containing \mathcal{S} is

$$Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i, \quad S_i \in \mathcal{S}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

$$(Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i, \quad S_i \in \mathcal{S}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

iii) The condition i) is not necessary and the condition ii) is not sufficient.

PROOF. We shall give a proof for q -algebras (the proof for q - σ -algebras is analogous).

i) Considering all the q -algebras with the fixed unit Z the usual approach gives the existence of the smallest one containing \mathcal{S} belonging to this collection. Let \mathcal{A} be this q -algebra. We shall prove that \mathcal{A} is the smallest among all q -algebras containing \mathcal{S} , with or not the fixed unit Z . In fact, let $\mathcal{A}' \supset \mathcal{S}$ be any q -algebra. The condition

$$Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i, \quad S_i \in \mathcal{S}, \quad S_i \cap S_j = \phi \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j,$$

gives $Z \in \mathcal{A}'$. Let $\mathcal{E} = \{A : A \in \mathcal{A}, A \in \mathcal{A}', Z - A \in \mathcal{A}'\}$. The system \mathcal{E} is a q -algebra with the unit Z because $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{E}$, $A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi$ implies $A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, $A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{A}'$ and $Z - (A_1 \cup A_2) = (Z - A_1) - A_2 \in \mathcal{A}'$ because of the fact $A_2 \subset Z - A_1$.

On the other hand $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{E}$. Thus $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{E}$. But $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}'$ hence, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}'$.

ii) If Z is not a finite union of the elements of \mathcal{S} then it is possible using the method of [1] to construct an algebra \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{S}$ and $Z \notin \mathcal{A}$. A smallest q -algebra \mathcal{A}' containing \mathcal{S} cannot exist. In fact, if it exists then it

is easy to prove that $Z \in \mathcal{A}'$. But \mathcal{A} being algebra is also a q -algebra, hence $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$. Thus $Z \in \mathcal{A}$, what is a contraction.

iii) Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 8, 9\}$ and $Z = \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$.

Let \mathcal{S} be the collection containing the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and all three elements subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$. Evidently Z is not a disjoint union of the elements of \mathcal{S} . Nevertheless the smallest q -algebra containing \mathcal{S} exists and it is the q -algebra of all subsets of Z . Hence the condition i) is not necessary.

To show that (ii) is not sufficient let

$$X = \{a, b, c, d\}, \quad \mathcal{S} = \{\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\} \quad \text{and} \quad Z = \{a, b, c\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A} = \{\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{c\}, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, \{b\}, \emptyset\}$ is a q -algebra containing \mathcal{S} with unit $E = \{a, b, c\}$

Since \mathcal{A} is the smallest q -algebra of subsets of Z with the unit Z containing \mathcal{S} then, as we know, if there exists a smallest q -algebra \mathcal{A}^* containing \mathcal{S} it should coincide with \mathcal{A} . But \mathcal{A} is not the smallest q -algebra containig \mathcal{S} . In fact if

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, d\}, \emptyset\}$$

then \mathcal{B} is a q -algebra which contains \mathcal{S} , but $\mathcal{A} \not\subset \mathcal{B}$.

NOTE. The part (iii) of the preceeding theorem shows that an analogy of the Theorem proved in [1] is not valid for q -algebra (q - σ -algebras). The following is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a smallest q -algebra and can be formulated in the same manner also for algebras.

THEOREM 2. Let \mathcal{S} be a collection of subsets of X such that $\bigcup \mathcal{S} = Z \neq X$. Denote by \mathcal{A}_Z the smallest q -algebra of subsets of Z which contains \mathcal{S} and by \mathcal{A} the smallest q -ring (which always exists) containing \mathcal{S} . A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the smallest q -algebra \mathcal{A}_0 containing \mathcal{S} is $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_Z$.

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{A}_Z$. Then evidently $Z \notin \mathcal{A}$. Choose $\alpha \in X$, $\alpha \notin Z$ and put

$$\mathcal{B} = \{A : A \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{or} \quad (Z \cup \{\alpha\}) - A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

\mathcal{B} is a q -algebra which contains \mathcal{S} . The fact $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is obvious.

Now let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$, $A \subset B$. If both $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$, hence $A \cup B \in \mathcal{B}$. If under the same conditions $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $Z \cup \{\alpha\} - B \in \mathcal{A}$, then $(Z \cup \{\alpha\}) - (A \cup B) = (Z \cup \{\alpha\}) - B - A \in \mathcal{A}$. The case $B \in \mathcal{A}$, $(Z \cup \{\alpha\}) - A \in \mathcal{A}$ is analogous. The case $(Z \cup \{\alpha\}) - A \in \mathcal{A}$, $(Z \cup \{\alpha\}) - B \in \mathcal{A}$ is not possible because A, B are disjoint.

The fact that $A \subset (Z \cup \{\alpha\})$ for any $A \in \mathcal{B}$ is evident as well fact when $A \in \mathcal{B}$ also the complement $(Z \cup \{\alpha\}) - A \in \mathcal{B}$. Hence \mathcal{B} is a q -algebra with the unit $Z \cup \{\alpha\}$.

Evidently $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{B}$. But $Z \notin \mathcal{B}$ because $Z \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(Z \cup \{\alpha\}) - Z = \{\alpha\} \notin \mathcal{A}$. Hence a smallest q -algebra \mathcal{A}_0 , containing \mathcal{S} doesn't exist. Suppose it exists; then we have $Z \in \mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$, which is impossible.

Now let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_Z$. Then \mathcal{A} is a q -algebra which contains \mathcal{S} with a unit Z . If $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is any q -algebra containing \mathcal{S} then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a q -ring. This $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \supset \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_Z$. Hence $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}_Z = \mathcal{A}$ is the smallest q -algebra which contains \mathcal{S} .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] A. B. BROWN and G. FREILICH, *A condition for existence of a smallest Borel algebra containing a given collection of sets*, Enseignement Math. 2 (1967), 107-109.
- [2] GUDDER, *Quantum probability spaces*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 21 (1969) pp. 296-302.
- [3] P. HALMOS, *Measure Theory*, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc.
- [4] NEUBRUNN, *A note on quantum probability spaces*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society vol. 25, n.º 3, July, 1970.
- [5] NEUBRUNN, *A certain generalized random variables* (to appear).

Instituto de Matemática
Universidade Federal da Bahia
Salvador - Brasil