

## Poincaré-Bendixon Theorem for $\mathbb{R}^2$ -actions\*

C. CAMACHO

### §1. Preliminaries

Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^k \times M \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^r$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , action on a differentiable manifold  $M$ . This means that  $\varphi(0, p) = p$  and  $\varphi(r_1 + r_2, p) = \varphi(r_1, \varphi(r_2, p))$  for any  $p \in M$  and  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . The orbit of a point  $p \in M$  is the set  $\mathcal{O}_p = \{\varphi(r, p) \mid r \in \mathbb{R}^k\}$ . The isotropy group of  $p$  is defined as  $G_p = \{r \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid \varphi(r, p) = p\}$ . Fixing  $p \in M$ , the map  $\mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow M$  given by  $r \rightarrow \varphi(r, p)$  induces an injective immersion of the homogeneous space  $\mathbb{R}^k/G_p$  into  $M$  whose image is  $\mathcal{O}_p$ . Thus any orbit of  $\varphi$  is the image by an injective immersion of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  or  $\mathbb{R}^m \times T^n$  where  $m, n$  are integers,  $m \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $m + n \leq k$  and  $\mathbb{R}^0$  denotes a point.

A minimal set of  $\varphi$  is a nonempty invariant closed subset  $\mu \subset M$  such that no proper subset of  $\mu$  has these three properties.

A version of the Poincaré-Bendixon theorem for flows ( $k = 1$ ) states that if  $M = \mathbb{R}^2$  or  $S^2$  then any minimal set of  $\varphi$  is an orbit. We generalize this theorem as follows. An invariant subset  $\Lambda \subset M$  is called a *locally finite collection of orbits* if for any point  $p \in \Lambda$  there is a neighborhood  $U \subset M$  of  $p$  intersecting *finitely many orbits* in  $\Lambda$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^2 \times M \rightarrow M$  be an action on a simply connected, 3-manifold  $M$ , compact or not, satisfying.*

- (i) *Any orbit of dimension one is embedded in  $M$ .*
- (ii) *The union of all orbits of dimension less than two is a locally finite collection of orbits.*

*Then any minimal set of  $\varphi$  is an orbit.\*\**

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a locally free  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -action. Then no orbit of  $\varphi$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .*

\*Recebido pela SBM em 21 de novembro de 1973.

\*\*In a paper of J. Plante [7] we just received, appears a version similar to the above of the Poincaré-Bendixon theorem for actions of nilpotent Lie groups on compact manifolds. His method however does not hold for noncompact manifolds.



Recall that G. Hector [4], proved that there are codimension one foliations of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that all leaves are everywhere dense.

Another version of the Poincaré-Bendixon theorem for flows on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  characterizes the  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega(p)$  of a point  $p$  as follows: Suppose  $\omega(p)$  is bounded and contains at most finitely many fixed points of the flow. Then either  $\omega(p)$  is a periodic orbit or it is an embedded graph whose vertices are fixed points and whose sides are 1-orbits.

One could be tempted to conjecture that the  $\omega$ -limit set of a point is an invariant embedded complex of dimension  $\leq 2$  provided the action has finitely many orbits of dimension  $\leq 1$ .

At the end of §2 we show that this is false. There we define an  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -action on  $S^3$  satisfying these conditions and exhibiting orbits which are dense in an embedded solid torus.

## §2. Proof of the Theorem

Given  $p \in M$  define  $\partial\mathcal{O}_p = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{O}_p - K_n}$  where each  $K_n \subset \mathcal{O}_p$  is a compact neighborhood of  $p$  in  $\mathcal{O}_p$ ,  $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$ , and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = \mathcal{O}_p$ . Clearly  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_p} = \mathcal{O}_p \cup \partial\mathcal{O}_p$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a minimal set of  $\varphi$  and  $p \in \mu$ . If  $\mu \neq \mathcal{O}_p$  then  $\mathcal{O}_p$  is not embedded. In fact,  $\partial\mathcal{O}_p = \mu = \overline{\mathcal{O}_p} \supset \mathcal{O}_p$ . Therefore for  $\dim \mathcal{O}_p > 2$ ,  $\mu = \mathcal{O}_p$ .

Suppose now that  $\mu \neq \mathcal{O}_p$  and  $\dim \mathcal{O}_p = 2$ . Call  $\Sigma$  the union of orbits of dimension less than two. The 2-orbits of  $\varphi$  define a  $C^r$  foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of codimension one of the manifold  $M - \Sigma$ . It is clear that one can find a closed path  $\alpha: S^1 \rightarrow M - \Sigma$  passing through  $p$  transverse to the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $M$  is simply connected there is a map  $\Psi: D^2 \rightarrow M$  such that  $\Psi/\partial D^2 = \alpha$ . We can assume (see [2]), that  $\Psi$  is a  $C^s$  immersion  $s \geq 2$ . We prove now that arbitrarily  $C^s$  close to  $\Psi$  there is an immersion  $\Phi: D^2 \rightarrow M$  which is transverse to all orbits of dimension less than two.

Since the fixed points of  $\varphi$  are isolated we take  $\Psi$  such that  $\Psi(D^2)$  does not contain fixed points of  $\varphi$ . The set of orbits of dimension one intersecting

$\Psi(D^2)$  is finite and partially ordered by the relation:  $\mathcal{O}_p > \mathcal{O}_q$  iff  $\partial\mathcal{O}_p \supset \mathcal{O}_q$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}^1$  be a minimal element under this relation. We have then  $\partial\mathcal{O}^1 \cap \Psi(D^2) = \emptyset$ . Thus by Thom's transversality theorem, we can find  $\Psi^1: D^2 \rightarrow M$  arbitrarily  $C^s$  close to  $\Psi$  such that  $\Psi^1$  is transverse to  $\mathcal{O}^1$ . Repeating the same argument for all minimal elements we take  $\Psi^1$  transverse to all of them.

Call  $\Sigma^0$  the union of all fixed points of  $\varphi$  and  $\Sigma^1$  the union of  $\Sigma^0$  with the 1-orbits minimal under the relation defined above. Clearly  $\Sigma^1 \cap \Psi^1(D^2)$  is finite and since  $\varphi$  is  $C^1$  there is a neighborhood  $W^1 \supset \Sigma^1 \cap \Psi^1(D^2)$  such that for any 1-orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  intersecting  $W^1$  we have  $\Psi^1$  transverse to  $\mathcal{O} \cap W^1$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}^2$  be a 1-orbit such that  $\mathcal{O}^2 \cap \Psi^1(D^2) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\partial\mathcal{O} \subset \overline{\Sigma^1}$ . This means that  $\mathcal{O}^2$  is immediately above some orbit of  $\Sigma^1$  in the order relation. Let  $K^2 \subset \mathcal{O}^2$  be an embedded compact cell such that all points of intersection of  $\mathcal{O}^2 - K^2$  with  $\Psi^1(D^2)$  are in  $W^1$ . Modify  $\Psi^1$  outside  $(\Psi^1)^{-1}(W^1)$  to find  $\Psi^2: D^2 \rightarrow M$   $C^s$  close to  $\Psi^1$  and transverse to  $K^2$ . This implies  $K^2 \cap \Psi^2(D^2)$  is finite and so there is a neighborhood  $W^2 \supset K^2 \cap \Psi^2(D^2)$  such that for any 1-orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  touching  $W^2$  one has  $\Psi^2$  transverse to  $\mathcal{O} \cap W^2$ .

Repeating this construction process we find maps  $\Psi^1, \Psi^2, \Psi^3, \dots$ . Since the set of 1-orbits intersecting  $\Psi(D^2)$  is finite this process comes to an end for some  $n$  yielding a map  $\Phi = \Psi^n: D^2 \rightarrow M$  which is arbitrarily  $C^s$  close to  $\Psi$  and transverse to all 1-orbits of  $\varphi$ .

From the definition of  $\Phi$  one obtains a neighborhood  $N(\Phi)$  of  $\Phi$  in the  $C^s$  topology such that any  $\tilde{\Phi} \in N(\Phi)$  is transverse to all 1-orbits of  $\varphi$ .

Given  $\tilde{\Phi} \in N(\Phi)$  the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $M - \Sigma$  induces via  $\tilde{\Phi}$  a foliation with singularities of  $D^2$ . Call  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\Phi})$  this foliation and  $S(\tilde{\Phi}) \subset D^2$  the set of singularities of  $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\Phi})$ . Then  $S(\tilde{\Phi})$  can be written as  $S(\tilde{\Phi}) = S_1(\tilde{\Phi}) \cup S_2(\tilde{\Phi})$  where  $S_1(\tilde{\Phi})$  is the union of points of tangency of  $\tilde{\Phi}$  with the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $S_2(\tilde{\Phi})$  is the union of points of intersection of  $\tilde{\Phi}$  with the orbits of dimension one. It follows from [2] page 316 that there is an immersion  $\chi: D^2 \rightarrow M$ ,  $\chi \in N(\Phi)$  such that:

(a) Any point  $q \in S_1(\chi)$  is a nondegenerate point of tangency, i.e. there is a system of coordinates  $(x_1, x_2)$  in a neighborhood  $W$  of  $q = (0, 0)$  such that the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}(\chi)/W$  are the level curves of a function  $f$  of one of the types  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ ,  $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^2 + x_2^2$  or  $f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^2 - x_2^2$ .



(b)  $\chi(D^2)$  is tangent at most once to the same orbit. It is immediate that  $S_1(\chi)$  is finite and using partition of unity one shows there is a  $C^{r-1}$  vector field  $X$  on  $D^2$  tangent to  $\mathcal{F}(\chi)$  such that  $X(q) = 0$  if and only if  $q \in S(\chi)$ .

Assume  $X$  is entering on  $\partial D^2$  and fix  $q_0 \in \partial D^2$  such that  $\chi(q_0) = p \in \mu$ . The  $\omega$ -limit set of  $q_0$ ,  $\omega(q_0)$ , cannot contain points of  $S_2(\chi)$  because otherwise  $\mu$  would contain a 1-dimensional orbit and so by minimality  $\mu \subset \Sigma^1$  which is absurd.

Since  $S_1(\chi)$  is finite we can assume by perturbing  $\chi$  if necessary that  $\omega(q_0)$  is not a point. By (a) and (b) and the theorem of Poincaré-Bendixon for flows  $\omega(q_0)$  is then a periodic orbit of  $X$  or a graph with only one vertex which is a saddle point.

The set  $\chi(\omega(q_0))$  is the image of a closed curve  $\gamma: S^1 \rightarrow M$  lying on an orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  of dimension two. The curve  $\gamma$  is not homotopic to a constant map because the element of the holonomy group of  $\mathcal{F}$  associated to  $\gamma$  is not the identity. Therefore  $\mathcal{O}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$  or  $S^1 \times S^1$ . Since  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mu$  and  $\mathcal{O} \neq \mu$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$  cannot be a torus. Thus  $\mathcal{O}$  is an immersed cylinder  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$  and  $\partial \mathcal{O} \supset \mathcal{O}$ . We show now that this is impossible.

We follow arguments of Lima in [5]. Take  $w \in \mathcal{O}$ . Since  $G_w \neq 0$  there are numbers  $a_1, a_2$  such that the orbit  $\Gamma$  of  $Z = a_1 X_1 + a_2 X_2$  passing through  $w$  is periodic, here  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are commuting vector fields generating  $\varphi$ . Fixing a Riemannian metric on  $M$  call  $C$  the union of small integral curves passing through  $\Gamma$  of the vector field normal to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mu \neq \mathcal{O}$  the orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  returns infinitely many times to the fence  $C$  leaving as intersection a sequence  $\{\Gamma_n\}$  of closed simple curves in  $C$  arbitrarily close to  $\Gamma$  for large  $n$ .

Suppose the vector field  $X_1$  is not tangent to  $\Gamma$ . Then there is a tubular neighborhood  $T(\Gamma) \subset C$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $X_1$  is not tangent to  $C$  at points on  $T(\Gamma)$ . Let  $\eta$  be the flow induced by  $X_1$ . For  $z \in \Gamma$  call  $t^+(z)$  the smallest  $t > 0$  such that  $\eta(t, z) \in C$  and assume  $\{\eta(t^+(z), z) | z \in \Gamma\} = \Gamma^+ \subset T(\Gamma)$ . Similarly let  $t^-(z) < 0$  be the largest  $t < 0$  such that  $\eta(t, z) \in C$  and suppose  $\{\eta(t^-(z), z) | z \in \Gamma\} = \Gamma^- \subset T(\Gamma)$ . Eventually  $\Gamma^+$  or  $\Gamma^-$  is empty but not both.

Let  $\delta > 0$  be the distance between  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma^+ \cup \Gamma^-$ . Given  $\varepsilon = \delta/2$  there is  $z_0 \in \Gamma$  and  $\tau$  such that  $\eta(\tau, z_0) \in C$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $\Gamma$ .

Suppose  $\tau > 0$  and call  $C_1$  the part of  $C$  between  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma^+$ . Then the union of  $C_1$  and the piece of  $\mathcal{O}$  given by  $D = \{\eta(t, z) | 0 \leq t \leq t^+(z), z \in \Gamma\}$  is a topological torus bounding an open set  $V$  of  $M$ . The vector field  $X_1$  is tangent to  $D$  and at points on  $C_1$  it points toward  $V$ . Thus we have  $\eta(t, z_0) \in V$  for every  $t > t^+(z_0)$ . Therefore  $\eta(\tau, z_0) \in C$  implies that the distance between  $\eta(\tau, z_0)$  and  $\Gamma$  is greater than  $\delta$  which is absurd.

One deals with the case  $\tau < 0$  in a similar manner. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

EXAMPLE. Define on  $D^2 \times S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$  the following vector fields:

$$\begin{aligned} X(x_1, x_2, e^{i\theta}) &= (\rho(r) x_1 - \beta x_2, \beta x_1 + \rho(r) x_2, 0) \\ Y(x_1, x_2, e^{i\theta}) &= (-\alpha x_2, \alpha x_1, 2\pi i e^{i\theta}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$  and  $\rho(r)$  is a  $C^\infty$  nonnegative function satisfying,  $\rho(r) = 0$  iff  $r = 1$ ,  $\rho^{(n)}(1) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $\rho(r) = 1$  for  $r$  in a neighborhood of zero.  $\beta = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4\pi^2}$  and  $\alpha$  is rationally independent of  $\pi$ . The vector fields  $X, Y$  commute and so they define an  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -action on  $D^2 \times S^1$ . One easily verifies that  $\partial(D^2 \times S^1)$  and  $\{0\} \times S^1$  are orbits of this action. The remaining orbits are immersed planes dense in  $D^2 \times S^1$ .

Using the decomposition of  $S^3$  in two solid tori we obtain from this example an  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -action on  $S^3$ .

### §3. Remarks on Locally Free $\mathbb{R}^2$ -actions

In what follows  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  denotes a locally free action i.e. all orbits have dimension two.

(a) One shows that any orbit of  $\varphi$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Thus from a theorem of Haefliger [3]  $\varphi$  admits a first integral. Moreover any orbit of  $\varphi$  is embedded and homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (see [5] page 77).

(b) Let  $\mathcal{LF}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3)$  be the space of locally free  $C^r$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -actions on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  endowed with the uniform  $C^r$  topology. In a forthcoming paper we show using techniques of [1] that the set of structurally stable  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -actions is dense in  $\mathcal{LF}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3)$  (see [6] for definitions).



# REFERENCES

- [1] C. CAMACHO and R. MAÑÉ, *Stability theorems for flows on open two dimensional manifolds*. To appear.
- [2] A. HAEFLIGER, *Structures feuilletées et cohomologie à valeur dans un faisceau de groupoids*, Comm. Math. Helv. **32** (1958), 249-329.
- [3] A. HAEFLIGER, *Sur les Feuilletages des Variétés de dimension  $n$  par des feuilles fermées de dimension  $n-1$* , Colloque de Topologie de Strasbourg, 1954/55.
- [4] G. HECTOR, *Sur un théorème de Structure des feuilletages de codimension un*, Strasbourg, 1972, These.
- [5] E. LIMA, *Commuting vector fields on  $S^3$* , Ann. of Math. **81** (1965), 70-81.
- [6] S. SMALE, *Differentiable Dynamical Systems*, Bulletin of the Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967) 747-817.
- [7] J. PLANTÉ, *A generalization of the Poincaré-Bendixon theorem for foliations of codimension one*, Topology vol. **12** (1973), 177-181.

Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada  
Rio de Janeiro - Brasil