

Conjugation of two finite subnormal subgroups

C. Y. Ho

Abstract. A necessary and sufficient condition for two finite subnormal subgroups to be conjugate is presented in this paper.

The present short note is devoted to the study of conjugacy relationship of finite subnormal subgroups of a group G . That is, given $A = G_{10} \leq \dots \leq G_{1n} = G$ and $B = G_{20} \leq \dots \leq G_{2m} = G$, where G_{1i} is a normal subgroup of $G_{1,i+1}$ and G_{2j} is a normal subgroup of $G_{2,j+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq m-1$, we seek conditions which guarantee the existence of g in G such that $A = g^{-1}Bg$. We present such a condition in Theorem 6. Also we give an example showing that the following necessary condition is not a sufficient condition for two finite subnormal subgroups A and B to be conjugate: Any Sylow subgroup of A is conjugate to a subgroup of B and any Sylow subgroup of B is conjugate to a subgroup of A . However we show in Proposition 9 that the above condition is a sufficient condition if the local structure of A is somewhat restricted. This work resulted from a conversation with Prof. Wielandt on this subject.

Some remarks on the terminology and notation are the following. For any subset S of G , the subnormal closure of S is the intersection of all subnormal subgroups of G containing S . For $g \in G$ we write $S^g = g^{-1}Sg$ and $S^G = \langle S^g \mid g \in G \rangle$. The notation $S \xrightarrow{G} B$ means that there exists $g \in G$ such that $S^g \leq B$.

Let T be any subset of G . Then $C_S(T) = \{s \in S \mid st = ts \text{ for all } t \in T\}$ and $[S, T]$ is the subgroup generated by $s^{-1}t^{-1}st$, where $s \in S$ and $t \in T$. Let π be a set of prime numbers. A π -subgroup of G is a subgroup H of G such that either $H = 1$ or all primes divisors of $|H|$ belongs to π .

For the convenience of the reader we record two known results which will be used.

Lemma 1. Let G be a finite group and let π be a set of prime numbers. Define πG to be the subgroup of G generated by all π -subgroups of G . Then $G = \pi G$ if and only if the index of each maximal normal subgroup of G contains a prime factor from π .

Proof. 3.2 of [2].

Lemma 2. Let M be an abelian normal q -subgroup of the finite group G , where q is a prime. Let P be a subgroup of G of order prime to q . Then $M = C_M(P) \times [M, P]$.

Proof. Theorem 2.3 on page 117 of [1].

Lemma 3. Let A and B be two subnormal subgroups of a group G . Suppose $S \leq A$ and $S^A = A$. Then the subnormal closure of S in G equals A . In particular $S \xrightarrow{G} B$ implies $A \xrightarrow{G} B$ in this case.

Proof. By the general fact that two conjugate subsets have their subnormal closures also conjugate, the second conclusion follows from the first. Let the subnormal closure of S be T . Since A is a subnormal subgroup, $T \leq A$. If $T \neq A$, then $T^A \neq A$. However we have $A = S^A \leq T^A$. Therefore $T = A$ as desired.

Proposition 4. Let p be a prime and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of a finite subnormal subgroup A of a group G . Suppose every proper maximal normal subgroup of A has index divisible by p . If $P \xrightarrow{G} B$, where B is a subnormal subgroup of G , then $A \xrightarrow{G} B$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 we see that $A = P^A$. Now Lemma 3 completes the proof of the proposition.

Lemma 5. Let A be a finite subnormal subgroup of a group G and p a prime. Suppose B is a subnormal subgroup of G . If $N_A(P) \xrightarrow{G} B$ for some Sylow p -subgroup P of A , then $A \xrightarrow{G} B$.

Proof. By Lemma 3 it suffices to show that $A = (N_A(P))^A$. Let $M = (N_A(P))^A$. Since $N_A(P) \leq M$, $N_A(M) = M$. Since M is normal in A , $M = A$. The proof of the lemma is complete.

Theorem 6. Let A and B be two finite subnormal subgroups of a group G . Let p be a prime, P a Sylow p -subgroup of A and Q a Sylow p -subgroup of B . Then A is conjugate to B if and only if $N_A(P) \xrightarrow{G} B$ and $N_B(Q) \xrightarrow{G} A$.

Proof. Clear from Lemma 5.

Example 7. Let p and q be two different primes. Let $S \cong Z_p$ and $T \cong Z_q$. Let G be the wreath product of $S \times T$ by Z_2 , i.e., $G = A_1 \times B_1 \times \langle x \rangle$, where $x^2 = 1$, $A_1 \cong A_2 \cong Z_p$ and $B_1 \cong B_2 \cong Z_q$. Let $A = A_1 \times B_1$ and $B = A_1 \times B_2$. Then A and B are two non conjugate finite subnormal subgroups of G . Note that any Sylow subgroup of A is conjugate to a subset of B and vice versa.

Lemma 8. Let A be a finite group such that every element of A has prime power order. Then $A = S^A$ for some Sylow s -subgroup S of A .

Proof. We apply induction on $|A|$. Let M be a minimal normal subgroup of A . Then M is either an elementary abelian p -group or a

non-abelian simple group. If $M = A$, then the result is clear. Suppose $M \neq A$. Let $\bar{A} = A/M$. By induction we have $\bar{A} = \bar{P}^{\bar{A}}$ for some Sylow p -subgroup \bar{P} of \bar{A} . Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of A such that $PM/M = \bar{P}$. If M is non-abelian, then M is the unique minimal normal subgroup of A . Hence $M \leq P^A$ and $P^A = A$ in this case. If M is a p -group, then $M \leq P$ and $P^A = A$. Suppose M is an elementary abelian q -group, where $p \neq q$. By Lemma 2 we have $M = C_M(P) \times [M, P]$. Since $q \neq p$, $C_M(P) = 1$. Hence $M = [M, P] \leq P^M \leq P^A$. Therefore $P^A = A$. The proof of the lemma is complete.

Proposition 9. Let A be a finite subnormal subgroup of a group G such that any element of A has prime power order. Let B be a subnormal subgroup of G . If for every prime p there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of A such that $P \xrightarrow{G} B$, then $A \xrightarrow{G} B$.

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemmas 3 and 8.

In the next example we show the following condition is not a sufficient condition for two finite subnormal subgroups A and B to be conjugate. For any two prime divisors p and q of $|A|$, a Hall $_{p,q}$ -subgroup of A is conjugate to a subset of B and vice versa.

Example 9. Let p, q and r be three distinct primes. Let K be the subgroup of Σ_6 generated by $x = (25)(36)$ and $y = (14)(36)$. Let $V = P_1 \times P_2 \times P_3 \times P_4 \times P_5 \times P_6$, where $P_1 \cong P_4 \cong Z_p$, $P_2 \cong P_5 \cong Z_q$ and $P_3 \cong P_6 \cong Z_r$. Let G be the semi-direct product of V by K such that K acts on $\{P_1, \dots, P_6\}$ in the same way as K acts on $\{1, \dots, 6\}$.

Let $A = P_1 \times P_2 \times P_3$ and $B = P_1 \times P_2 \times P_6$. Then A and B are two non-conjugate finite subnormal subgroups of G . However $P_1 \times P_2 = P_1 \times P_2$, $(P_2 \times P_3)^y = P_2 \times P_6$ and $(P_1 \times P_3)^x = P_1 \times P_6$.

We would like to express gratitude to Professor Wielandt for his conversations and suggestions, and to Drs. H. Lausch, A. Gonçalves, H. Kuhn for their interest in this work. Also we thank the International Bureau of GMD and the Mathematisches Institut of Tübingen.

References

- [1] Gorenstein, D. (1968), "Finite Groups", Haper and Row, New York.
- [2] Wielandt, H., Der Normalisator einer subnormalen Untergruppe, Acta Sci. Math. Szegediensis 21, 324-336, (1960).

Departamento de Matemática
Universidade de Brasília
70.000 — Brasília, D.F.
Brasil