Seventh International Conference on Geometry, Integrability and Quantization June 2–10, 2005, Varna, Bulgarla Ivaïlo M. Mladenov and Manuel de León, Editors SOFTEX. Sofia 2005, pp 292–306



# ON SPECIAL TYPES OF MINIMAL AND TOTALLY GEODESIC UNIT VECTOR FIELDS

ALEXANDER YAMPOLSKY

Geometry Department, Kharkov National University 61077 Kharkov, Ukraine

**Abstract.** We present a new equation with respect to a unit vector field on Riemannian manifold  $M^n$  such that its solution defines a totally geodesic submanifold in the unit tangent bundle with Sasakian metric and apply it to some classes of unit vector fields. We introduce a class of covariantly normal unit vector fields and prove that within this class the Hopf vector field is a unique global one with totally geodesic property. For the wider class of geodesic unit vector fields on a sphere we give a new necessary and sufficient condition to generate a totally geodesic submanifold in  $T_1S^n$ .

#### 1. Introduction

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give definitions of harmonic and minimal unit vector fields, rough Hessian and harmonicity tensor for the unit vector field. In Section 3 we give definition of a totally geodesic unit vector field and prove a basic Lemma 2 which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the unit vector field to be totally geodesic. Theorem 2 contains a necessary and sufficient condition on strongly normal unit vector field to be minimal. In Section 4 we apply Lemma 2 to the case of a unit sphere (Lemma 4) and describe the geodesic unit vector fields on the sphere with totally geodesic property (Theorem 5). We also introduce a notion of covariantly normal unit vector field and prove that within this class the Hopf vector field is a unique one with a totally geodesic property (Theorem 3). This theorem is a revised and simplified version of Theorem 2.1 in [27]. Section 5 contains an observation that the Hopf vector field on a unit sphere provides an example of global imbedding of Sasakian space form into Sasakian manifold as a Sasakian space form with a specific  $\varphi$ -curvature (Theorem 6).

## 2. Preliminaries

#### 2.1. Sasakian Metric

Let (M, g) be n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric g. Denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  a scalar product with respect to g. A natural Riemannian metric on the tangent bundle has been defined by S. Sasaki [20]. We describe it briefly in terms of the connection map.

At each point  $Q=(q,\xi)\in TM$  the tangent space  $T_QTM$  can be split into the so-called *vertical* and *horizontal* parts

$$T_OTM = \mathcal{H}_OTM \oplus \mathcal{V}_OTM.$$

The vertical part  $\mathcal{V}_QTM$  is tangent to the fiber, while the horizontal part is transversal to it. If  $(u^1, \dots, u^n; \xi^1, \dots, \xi^n)$  form the natural induced local coordinate system on TM, then for  $\tilde{X} \in T_QTM^n$  we have

$$\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}^i \partial / \partial u^i + \tilde{X}^{n+i} \partial / \partial \xi^i$$

with respect to the natural frame  $\{\partial/\partial u^i,\partial/\partial \xi^i\}$  on TM.

Denote by  $\pi:TM\to M$  the tangent bundle projection map. Then its differential  $\pi_*:T_QTM\to T_qM$  acts on  $\tilde{X}$  as  $\pi_*\tilde{X}=\tilde{X}^i\partial/\partial x^i$  and defines a linear isomorphism between  $\mathcal{V}_QTM$  and  $T_qM$ .

The so-called **connection map**  $K: T_QTM \to T_qM$  acts on  $\tilde{X}$  by the rule  $K\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}^{n+i} + \Gamma^i_{jk}\xi^j\tilde{X}^k)\partial/\partial u^i$  and defines a linear isomorphism between  $\mathcal{H}_QTM$  and  $T_qM$ . The images  $\pi_*\tilde{X}$  and  $K\tilde{X}$  are called *horizontal* and *vertical* projections of  $\tilde{X}$ , respectively. It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{V}_Q = \ker \pi_*|_Q$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_Q = \ker K|_Q$ .

Let  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in T_QTM$ . The Sasakian metric on TM is defined by the following scalar product

$$\left. \left\langle \left\langle \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \right\rangle \right\rangle \right|_{Q} = \left. \left\langle \pi_{*} \tilde{X}, \pi_{*} \tilde{Y} \right\rangle \right|_{q} + \left. \left\langle K \tilde{X}, K \tilde{Y} \right\rangle \right|_{q}$$

at each point  $Q=(q,\xi)$ . Horizontal and vertical subspaces are mutually orthogonal with respect to Sasakian metric.

The operations inverse to projections are called *lifts*. Namely, if  $X \in T_q M^n$ , then  $X^h = X^i \partial/\partial u^i - \Gamma^i_{jk} \xi^j X^k \partial/\partial \xi^i$  is in  $\mathcal{H}_Q T M$  and it is called a **horizontal lift** of X, while  $X^v = X^i \partial/\partial \xi^i$ , which is in  $\mathcal{V}_Q T M$ , is called a **vertical lift** of X.

The Sasakian metric can be completely defined by scalar product of combinations of lifts of vector fields from M to TM as

$$\left. \left\langle \left\langle X^h, Y^h \right\rangle \right\rangle \right|_{Q} = \left\langle X, Y \right\rangle \big|_{q}, \quad \left\langle \left\langle X^h, Y^v \right\rangle \right\rangle \big|_{Q} = 0, \quad \left\langle \left\langle X^v, Y^v \right\rangle \right\rangle \big|_{Q} = \left\langle X, Y \right\rangle \big|_{q}.$$

#### 2.2. Harmonic and Minimal Unit Vector Fields

Suppose, as above, that  $u:=(u^1,\ldots,u^n)$  are the local coordinates on  $M^n$ . Denote by  $(u,\xi):=(u^1,\ldots,u^n;\xi^1,\ldots,\xi^n)$  the natural local coordinates in the tangent bundle  $TM^n$ . If  $\xi(u)$  is a (unit) vector field on  $M^n$ , then it defines a mapping

$$\xi: M^n \to TM^n$$
 or  $\xi: M^n \to T_1M^n$ , when  $|\xi| = 1$ 

given by  $\xi(u) = (u, \xi(u))$ .

For the mappings  $f:(M,g)\to (N,h)$  between Riemannian manifolds the *energy* of f is defined as

$$E(f) := \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\mathrm{d} f|^2 \,\mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_M$$

where |d f| is a norm of 1-form d f in the co-tangent bundle  $T^*M$ . Supposing on  $T_1M$  the Sasakian metric, the following definition becomes natural.

**Definition 1.** A unit vector field is called **harmonic**, if it is a critical point of energy functional of mapping  $\xi: M^n \to T_1 M^n$ .

Up to an additive constant, the energy functional of the mapping is a total bending of a unit vector field [24]

$$B(\xi) := c_n \int_M |\nabla \xi|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{vol}_M$$

where  $c_n$  is some normalizing constant and  $|\nabla \xi|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla_{e_i} \xi|^2$  with respect to orthonormal frame  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ .

Introduce a point-wise linear operator  $A_{\xi}: T_qM^n \to \xi_q^{\perp}$ , acting as

$$A_{\xi}X = -\nabla_X \xi.$$

In case of integrable distribution  $\xi^{\perp}$  the unit vector field  $\xi$  is called **holonomic** [1]. In this case the operator  $A_{\xi}$  is symmetric and is known as **Weingarten** or a **shape operator** for each hypersurface of the foliation. In general,  $A_{\xi}$  is not symmetric, but formally preserves the Codazzi equation. Namely, a covariant derivative of  $A_{\xi}$  is defined by

$$-(\nabla_X A_{\xi})Y = \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \xi. \tag{1}$$

Then for the curvature operator of  $\mathcal{M}^n$  we can write down the Codazzi-type equation

$$R(X,Y)\xi = (\nabla_Y A_\xi)X - (\nabla_X A_\xi)Y.$$

From this viewpoint, it is natural to call the operator  $A_{\xi}$  as non-holonomic shape operator. Remark, that the right hand side is, up to constant, a skew symmetric part of the covariant derivative of  $A_{\xi}$ .

Introduce a symmetric tensor field

$$\operatorname{Hess}_{\xi}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} [(\nabla_Y A_{\xi}) X + (\nabla_X A_{\xi}) Y]$$
 (2)

which is the symmetric part of the covariant derivative of  $A_{\xi}$ . The trace

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Hess}_{\xi}(e_i,e_i) := \Delta \xi$$

where  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  is an orthonormal frame, is known as **rough Laplacian** [2] of the field  $\xi$ . Therefore, one can treat the tensor field (2) as a **rough Hessian** of the field  $\xi$ .

With respect to the above given notations, the unit vector field is harmonic if and only if [24]

$$\Delta \xi = -|\nabla \xi|^2 \xi.$$

Introduce a tensor field

$$\operatorname{Hm}_{\xi}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} [R(\xi, A_{\xi}X)Y + R(\xi, A_{\xi}Y)X]$$
 (3)

which is a symmetric part of the tensor field  $R(\xi, A_{\xi}X)Y$ . The trace

$$\operatorname{trace} \operatorname{Hm}_{\xi} := \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Hm}_{\xi}(e_i, e_i)$$

is responsible for harmonicity of mapping  $\xi: M^n \to T_1 M^n$  in terms of general notion of harmonic maps [10]. Precisely, a harmonic unit vector field  $\xi$  defines a harmonic mapping  $\xi: M^n \to T_1 M^n$  if and only if [11]

$$\operatorname{trace} \operatorname{Hm}_{\xi} = 0.$$

From this viewpoint, it is natural to refer to the tensor field (3) as harmonicity tensor of the field  $\xi$ .

Consider now the image  $\xi(M^n) \subset T_1 M^n$  with a pull-back Sasakian metric.

**Definition 2.** A unit vector field  $\xi$  on Riemannian manifold  $M^n$  is called minimal if the image of (local) imbedding  $\xi: M^n \to T_1 M^n$  is minimal submanifold in the unit tangent bundle  $T_1 M^n$  with Sasakian metric.

A number of results on minimal unit vector fields one can find in [4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 21, 22, 23]. In [25], the author has found explicitly the second fundamental form of  $\xi(M^n)$  and presented some examples of unit vector fields of constant mean curvature.

# 3. Totally Geodesic Unit Vector Fields

**Definition 3.** A unit vector field  $\xi$  on Riemannian manifold  $M^n$  is called totally geodesic if the image of (local) imbedding  $\xi: M^n \to T_1 M^n$  is totally geodesic submanifold in the unit tangent bundle  $T_1 M^n$  with Sasakian metric.

Using the explicit expression for the second fundamental form [25], the author gave a full description of the totally geodesic (local) unit vector fields on two-dimensional Riemannian manifold.

**Theorem 1** ([28]). Let  $(M^2, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold with a sign-preserving Gaussian curvature K. Then M admits a totally geodesic unit vector field  $\xi$  if and only if there is a local parametrization of M with respect to which the metric g is of the form

$$ds^2 = du^2 + \sin^2 \alpha(u) dv^2$$

where  $\alpha(u)$  solves the differential equation  $\frac{d\alpha}{du} = 1 - \frac{a+1}{\cos \alpha}$ . The corresponding local unit vector field  $\xi$  is of the form

$$\xi = \cos(av + \omega_0)\partial_u + \frac{\sin(av + \omega_0)}{\sin\alpha(u)}\partial_v$$

where a and  $\omega_0$  are constants.

For the case of *flat* Riemannian two-manifold, the totally geodesic unit vector field is either parallel or moves helically along a pencil of parallel straight lines on a plane with a constant angle speed [26]. It is easy to see that the following corollary is true.

**Corollary 1.** Integral trajectories of a totally geodesic (local) unit vector field on the non-flat Riemannian manifold  $M^2$  are locally conformally equivalent to the integral trajectories of totally geodesic unit vector field on a plane. Moreover, with respect to Cartesian coordinates (x, y) on the plane, these integral trajectories are

$$x = c$$
 for  $a = 0$ 

$$y(x) = -\frac{1}{a} \ln|\sin(ax)| + c \qquad \qquad for \ a \neq 0$$

where c is a parameter.

In what follows, we present a new differential equation with respect to a unit vector field such that its solution generates a totally geodesic submanifold in  $T_1M^n$ .

In terms of horizontal and vertical lifts of vector fields from the base to its tangent bundle, the differential of mapping  $\xi: M^n \to TM^n$  is acting as

$$\xi_* X = X^h + (\nabla_X \xi)^v = X^h - (A_{\xi} X)^v \tag{4}$$

where  $\nabla$  means Levi-Civita connection on  $M^n$  and the lifts are considered to points of  $\xi(M^n)$ .

It is well known that if  $\xi$  is a *unit* vector field on  $M^n$ , then the vertical lift  $\xi^v$  is a *unit normal* vector field on a hypersurface  $T_1M^n \subset TM^n$ . Since  $\xi$  is of unit length,  $\xi_*X \perp \xi^v$  and hence in this case  $\xi_*: TM^n \to T(T_1M^n)$ .

Denote by  $A^t_{\xi}: \xi_q^{\perp} 
ightarrow T_q M^n$  a formal adjoint operator

$$\langle A_{\xi}X, Y \rangle_q = \langle X, A_{\xi}^t Y \rangle_q.$$

Denote by  $\xi^{\perp}$  a distribution on  $M^n$  with  $\xi$  as its normal unit vector field. Then for each vector field  $N \in \xi^{\perp}$ , the vector field

$$\tilde{N} = (A_{\xi}^t N)^h + N^v \tag{5}$$

is normal to  $\xi(M^n)$ . Thus, (5) presents the normal distribution on  $\xi(M^n)$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $M^n$  be Riemannian manifold and  $T_1M^n$  its unit tangent bundle with Sasakian metric. Let  $\xi$  a smooth (local) unit vector field on  $M^n$ . The second fundamental form  $\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{N}}$  of  $\xi(M^n) \subset T_1M^n$  with respect to the normal vector field (5) is of the form

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{N}}(\xi_* X, \xi_* Y) = -\langle \operatorname{Hess}_{\xi}(X, Y) + A_{\xi} \operatorname{Hm}_{\xi}(X, Y), N \rangle \tag{6}$$

where X and Y are arbitrary vector fields on  $M^n$ .

**Proof:** By definition, we have

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{N}}(\xi_*X,\xi_*Y) = \langle \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{\xi_*X} \, \xi_*Y, \tilde{N} \rangle \rangle_{(q,\xi(q))}$$

where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection of Sasakian metric on  $TM^n$ . To calculate  $\tilde{\nabla}_{\xi_*X}\xi_*Y$ , we can use the formulas [18]

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\nabla}_{X^h} Y^h &= (\nabla_X Y)^h - \frac{1}{2} (R(X, Y)\xi)^v, \qquad \tilde{\nabla}_{X^v} Y^h = \frac{1}{2} (R(\xi, X)Y)^h \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{X^h} Y^v &= (\nabla_X Y)^v + \frac{1}{2} (R(\xi, Y)X)^h, \qquad \tilde{\nabla}_{X^v} Y^v = 0. \end{split}$$

A direct calculation yields

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\xi_* X} \xi_* Y = \left( \nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{2} R(\xi, \nabla_X \xi) Y + \frac{1}{2} R(\xi, \nabla_Y \xi) X \right)^h + \left( \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \frac{1}{2} R(X, Y) \xi \right)^v.$$

The derivative above is not tangent to  $\xi(M^n)$ . It contains a projection on "external" normal vector field, i.e. on  $\xi^v$  which is a unit normal of  $T_1M^n$  inside  $TM^n$ . To correct the situation, we should subtract this projection, namely  $-\langle \nabla_X \xi, \nabla_Y \xi \rangle \xi$ , from the vertical part of the derivative.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{N}}(\xi_*X,\xi_*Y) &= \langle \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi + \langle \nabla_X \xi, \nabla_Y \xi \rangle \xi - \frac{1}{2} R(X,Y) \xi, N \rangle \\ &+ \langle \nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{2} R(\xi,\nabla_X \xi) Y + \frac{1}{2} R(\xi,\nabla_Y \xi) X, A_\xi^t N \rangle \end{split}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{N}}(\xi_* X, \xi_* Y) = \langle \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi + \langle \nabla_X \xi, \nabla_Y \xi \rangle \xi - \frac{1}{2} R(X, Y) \xi 
+ A_{\xi} (\nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{2} R(\xi, \nabla_X \xi) Y + \frac{1}{2} R(\xi, \nabla_Y \xi) X), N \rangle.$$

Taking into account (1), (2), (3) and (5), and also

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_Y \nabla_X \xi - \nabla_{[X,Y]} \xi$$

we can write

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{N}}(\xi_*X,\xi_*Y) = -\langle \operatorname{Hess}_{\xi}(X,Y) + A_{\xi}\operatorname{Hm}_{\xi}(X,Y), N \rangle$$

which completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $M^n$  be Riemannian manifold and  $T_1M^n$  its unit tangent bundle with Sasakian metric. Let  $\xi$  be a smooth (local) unit vector field on  $M^n$ . The vector field  $\xi$  generates a totally geodesic submanifold  $\xi(M^n) \subset T_1M^n$  if and only if  $\xi$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{Hess}_{\xi}(X,Y) + A_{\xi} \operatorname{Hm}_{\xi}(X,Y) - \langle A_{\xi}X, A_{\xi}Y \rangle \xi = 0 \tag{7}$$

for all (local) vector fields X, Y on  $M^n$ .

**Proof:** Taking into account (6), the condition on  $\xi$  to be totally geodesic takes the form

$$-\operatorname{Hess}_{\xi}(X,Y) - A_{\xi}\operatorname{Hm}_{\xi}(X,Y) = \lambda \xi.$$

Multiplying the equation above by  $\xi$ , we can find easily  $\lambda = -\langle A_{\xi}X, A_{\xi}Y \rangle$ .  $\square$ 

Following [16], we call a unit vector field  $\xi$  strongly normal if

$$\langle (\nabla_X A_{\varepsilon}) Y, Z \rangle = 0$$

for all  $X,Y,Z\in \xi^{\perp}$ . In other words,  $(\nabla_X A_{\xi})Y=\lambda \xi$  for all  $X,Y\in \xi^{\perp}$ . It is easy to find the function  $\lambda$ . Indeed, we have

$$\lambda = \langle (\nabla_X A_{\xi}) Y, \xi \rangle = \langle \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \xi - \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi, \xi \rangle$$
$$= -\langle \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \nabla_X \xi, \nabla_Y \xi \rangle.$$

Thus, the strongly normal unit vector field can be characterized by the equation

$$(\nabla_X A_{\varepsilon})Y = \langle A_{\varepsilon} X, A_{\varepsilon} Y \rangle \xi \tag{8}$$

for all  $X, Y \in \xi^{\perp}$ .

The strong normality condition highly simplifies the second fundamental form of  $\xi(M^n) \subset T_1 M^n$ . An orthonormal frame  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$  is called *adapted* to the field  $\xi$  if  $e_1 = \xi$  and  $e_2, \ldots, e_n \in \xi^{\perp}$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\xi$  be a unit strongly normal vector field on Riemannian manifold  $M^n$ . With respect to the adapted frame, the matrical components of the second fundamental form of  $\xi(M^n) \subset T_1(M^n)$  simultaneously take the form

$$ilde{\Omega}_{ ilde{N}} = egin{pmatrix} * & * & \ldots & * \ * & 0 & \ldots & 0 \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ * & 0 & \ldots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof:** Set  $N_{\sigma} = e_{\sigma}$ ,  $\sigma = 2, \ldots, n$ . The condition (8) implies

$$R(X,Y)\xi = 0,$$
  $\operatorname{Hess}_{\xi}(X,Y) = \langle A_{\xi}X, A_{\xi}Y \rangle \xi,$   $\operatorname{Hm}_{\xi}(X,Y) \sim \xi$ 

for all  $X,Y\in\xi^{\perp}$ . Therefore, with respect to the adapted frame

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\sigma}(\xi_* e_{lpha}, \xi_* e_{eta}) = 0, \qquad lpha, eta = 2, \dots, n$$

for all 
$$\sigma = 2, \ldots, n$$
.

The following assertion is a natural corollary of the Lemma 3.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\xi$  be a unit strongly normal vector field. Denote by k the geodesic curvature of its integral trajectories and by  $\nu$  the principal normal unit vector field of the trajectories. The field  $\xi$  is minimal if and only if

$$k[\xi,\nu] + \xi(k)\nu - kA_{\xi}R(\nu,\xi)\xi + k^{2}\xi = 0$$

where  $[\xi, \nu] = \nabla_{\xi} \nu - \nabla_{\nu} \xi$ .

Proof: Indeed,

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\sigma}(\xi_* e_1, \xi_* e_1) = -\langle \operatorname{Hess}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \xi) + A_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Hm}_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \xi), e_{\sigma} \rangle.$$

Denote by  $\nu$  a vector field of the principal normals of  $\xi$ -integral trajectories and by k their geodesic curvature function. Then

$$\operatorname{Hess}_{\xi}(\xi,\xi) = \nabla_{\nabla_{\xi}\xi} - \nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}\xi = k\nabla_{\nu}\xi - \nabla_{\xi}(k\nu) = k[\nu,\xi] - \xi(k)\nu$$

$$\operatorname{Hm}_{\xi}(\xi,\xi) = -R(\xi,\nabla_{\xi}\xi)\xi = -kR(\xi,\nu)\xi$$

and we get

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\sigma}(\xi_* e_1, \xi_* e_1) = \langle k[\xi, \nu] + \xi(k)\nu - kA_{\xi}R(\nu, \xi)\xi, e_{\sigma} \rangle.$$

Finally, to be minimal, the field  $\xi$  should satisfy

$$k[\xi, \nu] + \xi(k)\nu - kA_{\xi}R(\nu, \xi)\xi = \lambda \xi.$$

Multiplying by  $\xi$ , we get

$$\lambda = k\langle [\xi, \nu], \xi \rangle = k\langle \nabla_{\xi} \nu, \xi \rangle = -k^2$$

which completes the proof.

Thus, we get the following

**Corollary 2** ([16]). Every unit strongly normal geodesic vector field is minimal.

Most of examples of minimal unit vector fields in [16] are based on this Corollary.

## 4. The Case of a Unit Sphere

If the manifold is a unit sphere  $S^{n+1}$ , the equation (7) can be simplified essentially.

**Lemma 4.** A unit (local) vector field  $\xi$  on a unit sphere  $S^{n+1}$  generates a totally geodesic submanifold  $\xi(S^{n+1}) \subset T_1S^{n+1}$  if and only if  $\xi$  satisfies

$$(\nabla_X A_{\xi}) Y = \frac{1}{2} \Big[ (\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g)(X, Y) A_{\xi} \xi + \langle \xi, X \rangle (A_{\xi}^2 Y + Y) + \langle \xi, Y \rangle (A_{\xi}^2 X - X) \Big] + \langle A_{\xi} X, A_{\xi} Y \rangle \xi$$

$$(9)$$

where  $(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g)(X,Y) = \langle \nabla_X \xi, Y \rangle + \langle X, \nabla_Y \xi \rangle$  is a Lie derivative of metric tensor in a direction of  $\xi$ .

**Proof:** Indeed, on the unit sphere

$$(\nabla_Y A_{\xi})X - (\nabla_X A_{\xi})Y = R(X, Y)\xi = \langle \xi, Y \rangle X - \langle \xi, X \rangle Y.$$

Hence.

$$\operatorname{Hess}_{\xi}(X,Y) = (\nabla_X A_{\xi})Y + \frac{1}{2}[\langle \xi, Y \rangle X - \langle \xi, X \rangle Y].$$

For  $\operatorname{Hm}_{\mathcal{E}}(X,Y)$  we have

$$\operatorname{Hm}_{\xi}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[ \langle \nabla_{X}\xi, Y \rangle \xi - \langle \xi, Y \rangle \nabla_{X}\xi + \langle \nabla_{Y}\xi, X \rangle \xi - \langle \xi, X \rangle \nabla_{Y}\xi \Big]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g)(X,Y)\xi + \frac{1}{2} \Big[ \langle \xi, Y \rangle A_{\xi}X + \langle \xi, X \rangle A_{\xi}Y \Big].$$

Finally, we find

$$(\nabla_X A_{\xi})Y = \frac{1}{2} \Big[ (\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g)(X, Y) A_{\xi} \xi + \langle \xi, X \rangle (A_{\xi}^2 Y + Y) + \langle \xi, Y \rangle (A_{\xi}^2 X - X) \Big] + \langle A_{\xi} X, A_{\xi} Y \rangle \xi.$$

Remind that the operator  $A_{\xi}$  is symmetric if and only if the field  $\xi$  is holonomic, and is skew-symmetric if and only if the field  $\xi$  is a Killing vector field. Both types of these fields can be included into a class of **covariantly normal unit vector fields**.

**Definition 4.** A regular unit vector field on Riemannian manifold is said to be covariantly normal if the operator  $A_{\xi}:TM\to \xi^{\perp}$  defined by  $A_{\xi}X=-\nabla_X\xi$  satisfies the normality condition

$$A_{\varepsilon}^t A_{\xi} = A_{\xi} A_{\varepsilon}^t$$

with respect to some orthonormal frame.

The integral trajectories of holonomic and Killing unit vector fields are always geodesic. Every covariantly normal unit vector field possesses this property.

**Lemma 5.** Integral trajectories of a covariantly normal unit vector field are geodesic lines.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\xi$  is a unit covariantly normal vector field on a Riemannian manifold  $M^{n+1}$ . Find a unit vector field  $\nu_1$  such that

$$\nabla_{\xi}\xi = -k\nu_1.$$

Geometrically, the function k is a geodesic curvature of the integral trajectory of the field  $\xi$ .

Complete up the pair  $(\xi, \nu_1)$  to the orthonormal frame  $(\xi, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ . Then we can set

$$\nabla_{\xi}\xi = -k\nu_1, \qquad \nabla_{\nu_{\alpha}}\xi = -a_{\alpha}^{\beta}\nu_{\beta}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n$ . With respect to the frame  $(\xi, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$  the matrix  $A_{\xi}$  takes the form

$$-A_{\xi} = egin{pmatrix} 0 & k & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & a_1^1 & a_2^1 & \dots & a_n^1 \ dots & dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & a_1^n & a_2^n & \dots & a_n^n \end{pmatrix}$$

and, therefore,

$$A_{\xi}A_{\xi}^{t} = egin{pmatrix} k^{2} & ka_{1}^{1} & \dots & ka_{1}^{n} \ ka_{1}^{1} & * & \dots & * \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ ka_{1}^{n} & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_{\xi}^{t}A_{\xi} = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & * & \dots & * \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}$$

which allows to conclude that k = 0.

Now we can easily prove the following

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\xi$  be a global covariantly normal unit vector field on a unit sphere  $S^{n+1}$ . Then  $\xi$  is a totally geodesic if and only if n=2m and  $\xi$  is a Hopf vector field.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\xi$  is covariantly normal and totally geodesic. Then

$$A_{\xi}\xi = -\nabla_{\xi}\xi = 0$$

by Lemma 5 and the equation (9) takes the form

$$(\nabla_X A_{\xi})Y = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \langle \xi, X \rangle (A_{\xi}^2 Y + Y) + \langle \xi, Y \rangle (A_{\xi}^2 X - X) \right] + \langle A_{\xi} X, A_{\xi} Y \rangle \xi. \tag{10}$$

Setting  $X=Y=\xi$  we get an identity. Set  $Y=\xi$  and take arbitrary unit  $X\perp\xi$ . Then we get

$$2(\nabla_X A_{\varepsilon})\xi + X = A_{\varepsilon}^2 X.$$

On the other hand, directly

$$(\nabla_X A_{\xi})\xi = -(\nabla_X \nabla_{\xi} \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_X \xi} \xi) = A_{\xi}^2 X.$$

Hence,

$$A_{\xi}^2\big|_{\xi^{\perp}} = -E.$$

Therefore, n=2m. Since  $A_{\xi}$  is real normal linear operator, there exists an orthonormal frame such that

with zero all other entries. Therefore,  $A_{\xi} + A_{\xi}^{t} = 0$  and  $\xi$  is a Killing vector field. Since  $\xi$  is supposed global,  $\xi$  is a Hopf vector field.

Finally, if we take  $X, Y \perp \xi$ , we get the equation

$$(\nabla_X A_{\xi})Y = \langle A_{\xi} X, A_{\xi} Y \rangle \xi.$$

But for a Killing vector field  $\xi$  we have [16]

$$(\nabla_X A_{\xi})Y = R(\xi, X)Y = \langle X, Y \rangle \xi.$$

Since  $\xi$  is a Hopf vector field,  $\langle A_{\xi}X, A_{\xi}Y \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle$ . So, in this case we have an identity.

If we suppose now that  $\xi$  is a Hopf vector field on a unit sphere, then  $\xi$  is covariantly normal as a Killing vector field and totally geodesic [27] as a characteristic vector field of a standard contact metric structure on  $S^{2m+1}$ .

Theorem 3 is a correct and simplified version of Theorem 2.1 [27], where the normality of the operator  $A_{\xi}$  was implicitly used in a proof.

In the case of a weaker condition on the field  $\xi$  to be only a geodesic one, the result is not so definite. We begin with some preparations.

The almost complex structure on  $TM^n$  is defined by

$$JX^h = X^v, \qquad JX^v = -X^h$$

for all vector field X on  $M^n$ . Thus,  $TM^n$  with Sasakian metric is an almost Kählerian manifold. It is Kählerian if and only if  $M^n$  is flat [9].

The unit tangent bundle  $T_1M^n$  is a hypersurface in  $TM^n$  with a unit normal vector  $\xi^v$  at each point  $(q,\xi) \in T_1M^n$ . Define a unit vector field  $\bar{\xi}$ , a 1-form  $\bar{\eta}$  and a (1,1) tensor field  $\bar{\varphi}$  on  $T_1M^n$  by

$$\bar{\xi} = -J\xi^v = \xi^h, \qquad JX = \bar{\varphi}X + \bar{\eta}(X)\xi^v.$$

The triple  $(\bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{\varphi})$  form a standard almost contact structure on  $T_1M^n$  with Sasakian metric  $g_S$ . This structure is not almost contact *metric* one. By taking

$$ilde{\xi}=2ar{\xi}=2\xi^h, \qquad ilde{\eta}=rac{1}{2}ar{\eta}, \qquad ilde{arphi}=ar{arphi}, \qquad g_{cm}=rac{1}{4}g_S$$

at each point  $(q, \xi) \in T_1 M^n$ , we get the almost contact metric structure  $(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\varphi})$  on  $(T_1 M^n, g_{cm})$ .

In a case of a general almost contact metric manifold  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$  the following definition is known [7].

**Definition 5.** A submanifold N of a contact metric manifold  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$  is called invariant if  $\tilde{\varphi}(T_pN) \subset T_pN$  and anti-invariant if  $\tilde{\varphi}(T_pN) \subset (T_pN)^{\perp}$  for every  $p \in N$ .

If N is the invariant submanifold, then the characteristic vector field  $\tilde{\xi}$  is *tangent* to N at each of its points.

After all mentioned above, the following definition is natural [3].

**Definition 6.** A unit vector field  $\xi$  on a Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$  is called invariant (anti-invariant) is the submanifold  $\xi(M^n) \subset (T_1M^n, g_{cm})$  is invariant (anti-invariant).

It is easy to see from (4) that the *invariant* unit vector field is always a geodesic one, i.e. its integral trajectories are geodesic lines.

Binh, Boeckx and Vanhecke [3] have considered this kind of unit vector fields and proved the following

**Theorem 4.** A unit vector field  $\xi$  on  $(M^n, g)$  is invariant if and only if  $(\tilde{\xi} = \xi, \tilde{\eta} = \langle \cdot, \xi \rangle_g, \tilde{\varphi} = A_{\xi})$  is an almost contact structure on  $M^n$ . In particular,  $\xi$  is a geodesic vector field on  $M^n$  and n = 2m + 1.

Now we can formulate the result.

**Theorem 5.** A unit geodesic vector field  $\xi$  on  $S^{n+1}$  is totally geodesic if and only if n = 2m and  $\xi$  is a strongly normal invariant unit vector field.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\xi$  is a geodesic and totally geodesic unit vector field. Then  $A_{\xi}\xi=0$  and the equation (9) takes the form (10). Follow the proof of Theorem 3, we come to the following conditions on the field  $\xi$ 

$$A_{\xi}^{2}X = -X, \qquad (\nabla_{X}A_{\xi})Y = \langle A_{\xi}X, A_{\xi}Y\rangle\xi \tag{11}$$

for all  $X, Y \in \xi^{\perp}$ . From the left equation in (11) we conclude that n = 2m. Comparing the right one with (8), we see that  $\xi$  is a strongly normal vector field.

Consider now a (1,1) tensor field  $\varphi = A_{\xi} = -\nabla \xi$  and a 1-form  $\eta = \langle \cdot, \xi \rangle$ . Taking into account the left equation in (11) and  $A_{\xi} \xi = 0$ , we see that

$$\varphi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \qquad \varphi\xi = 0, \qquad \eta(\varphi X) = 0, \qquad \eta(X) = 1$$

for any vector field X on the sphere. Therefore, the triple

$$\tilde{\varphi} = A\xi, \qquad \tilde{\xi} = \xi, \qquad \tilde{\eta} = \langle \cdot, \xi \rangle$$

form an *almost contact structure* with the field  $\xi$  as a characteristic vector field of this structure. By Theorem 4, the field  $\xi$  is invariant.

Conversely, suppose  $\xi$  is strongly normal and invariant vector field on  $S^{n+1}$ . Then, by Theorem 4,  $\xi$  is geodesic and n=2m. The rest of the proof is a direct checking of formula (10).

## 5. A Remarkable Property of the Hopf Vector Field

It is well-known that for a unit sphere  $S^n$  the standard contact metric structure on  $T_1S^n$  is a Sasakian one. If  $\xi$  is a Hopf unit vector field on  $S^{2m+1}$ , then  $\xi$  is a characteristic vector field of a standard contact metric structure on the unit sphere  $S^{2m+1}$ . By Theorem 4, the submanifold  $\xi(S^{2m+1})$  is invariant submanifold in  $T_1S^{2m+1}$ . Therefore,  $\xi(S^{2m+1})$  is also Sasakian with respect to the induced structure [29]. Since the Hopf vector field is strongly normal, by Theorem 5, the submanifold  $\xi(S^{2m+1})$  is totally geodesic. The sectional curvature of the submanifold  $\xi(S^{2m+1})$  was found in [27] and implies a remarkable corollary.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\xi$  be a Hopf vector field on the unit sphere  $S^{2m+1}$ . With respect to the induced structure, the manifold  $\xi(S^{2m+1})$  is a Sasakian space form of  $\varphi$ -curvature 5/4.

In other words, the Hopf vector field provides an example of embedding of a Sasakian space form of  $\varphi$ -curvature 1 into Sasakian manifold such that the image is contact, totally geodesic Sasakian space form of  $\varphi$ -curvature 5/4 with respect to the induced structure.

# Acknowledgements

The author thanks the Organizing Committee of the Conference for a partial financial support and the Euro-Commission for a travel grant. A special gratitude to Professor Ivaïlo Mladenov for invitation and hospitality.

## References

- [1] Aminov Yu., The Geometry of Vector Fields, Gordon and Breach, 2000.
- [2] Besse A., Einstein Manifolds, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [3] Binh T., Boeckx E. and Vanhecke L., *Invariant and Anti-invariant Unit Vector Fields*, to appear.
- [4] Boeckx E. and Vanhecke L., Harmonic and Minimal Vector Fields on Tangent and Unit Tangent Bundles, Differential Geom. Appl. 13 (2000) 77–93.
- [5] Boeckx E. and Vanhecke L., *Harmonic and Minimal Radial Vector Fields*, Acta Math. Hungar. **90** (2001) 317–331.
- [6] Boeckx E. and Vanhecke L., *Isoparametric Functions and Harmonic and Minimal Unit Vector Fields*, Contemporary Math. **288** (2001) 20–31.
- [7] Blair D., Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds, Progress in Mathematics 203, Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [8] Brito F., Chacon P. and Naveira A., On the Volume of Vector Fields on Spaces of Constant Sectional Curvature, Comment. Math. Helv. 79 (2004) 300-316.
- [9] Dombrowski P., On the Geometry of Tangent Bundle, J. Reine Angew. Math. 210 (1962) 73-88.
- [10] Eels J. and Lemaire L., A Report on Harmonic Maps, Bull. London Math. Soc. 20 (1978) 385-524.
- [11] Gil-Medrano O., Relationship Between Volume and Energy of Unit Vector Fields, Diff. Geom. Appl. 15 (2001) 137–152.
- [12] Gil-Medrano O. and Llinares-Fuster E., Second Variation of Volume and Energy of Vector Fields. Stability of Hopf Vector Fields, Math. Ann. 320 (2001) 531-545.
- [13] Gil-Medrano O., González-Dávila J. and Vanhecke L., Harmonic and Minimal Invariant Unit Vector Fields on Homogeneous Riemannian Manifolds, Houston J. Math. 27 (2001) 377-409.
- [14] Gil-Medrano O. and Llinares-Fuster E., Minimal Unit Vector Fields, Tôhoku Math. J. 54 (2002) 71–84.
- [15] Gluck H. and Ziller W., On the Volume of a Unit Vector Field on the Three-Sphere, Comm. Math. Helv. **61** (1986) 177–192.
- [16] González-Dávila J. and Vanhecke L., Examples of Minimal Unit Vector Fields, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 18 (2000) 385–404.
- [17] González-Dávila J. and Vanhecke L., Minimal and Harmonic Characteristic Vector Fields on Three-Dimensional Contact Mentic Manifolds, J. Geom. 72 (2001) 65–76.

- [18] Kowalski O., Curvature of the Induced Riemannian Metric on the Tangent Bundle of a Riemannian Manifold, J. Reine Angew. Math. 250 (1971) 124-129.
- [19] Pedersen S., Volumes of Vector Fields on Spheres, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 336 (1993) 69-78.
- [20] Sasaki S., On the Differential Geometry of Tangent Bundles of Riemannian Manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J. 10 (1958) 338-354.
- [21] Tsukada K. and Vanhecke L., *Invariant Minimal Unit Vector Fields on Lie Groups*, Period. Math. Hungar. **40** (2000) 123–133.
- [22] Tsukada K. and Vanhecke L., Minimal and Harmonic Vector Fields on  $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$  and Its Dual Space, Monatsh. Math. 130 (2000) 143–154.
- [23] Tsukada K. and Vanhecke L., *Minimality and Harmonicity for Hopf Vector Fields*, Illinois J. Math. **45** (2001) 441–451.
- [24] Weigmink G., Total Bending of Vector Fields on Riemannian Manifolds, Math. Ann. 303 (1995) 325-344.
- [25] Yampolsky A., On the Mean Curvature of a Unit Vector Field, Math. Publ. Debrecen, **60** (2002) 131–155.
- [26] Yampolsky A., On the Intrinsic Geometry of a Unit Vector Field, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 43 (2002) 299-317.
- [27] Yampolsky A., A Totally Geodesic Property of Hopf Vector Fields, Acta Math. Hungar. 101 (2003) 73–92.
- [28] Yampolsky A., Full Description of Totally Geodesic Unit Vector Fields on 2-Dimensional Riemannian Manifold, Matematicheskaya Fizika, Analiz, Geometriya 11 (2004) 355-365.
- [29] Yano K. and Kon M., CR-Submanifolds of Kählerian and Sasakian Manifolds, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.