

The exactness of the log homotopy sequence

Yuichiro Hoshi

July 2006

Abstract

In the present paper, we develop the theory of the log homotopy exact sequence associated to proper log smooth morphisms and morphisms whose characteristic sheaf is locally constant with stalk isomorphic to \mathbb{N} . In the process of developing this theory, we also show the existence of a logarithmic version of the Stein factorization and develop the theory of the algebraization of log formal schemes.

Contents

0	Introduction	1
1	The log Stein factorization	5
2	The log homotopy exact sequence	13
3	Log formal schemes and the algebraization	17
4	Morphisms of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$	32
A	Appendix	52

0 Introduction

In the study of the geometry of log schemes, the following objects often appear:

- (i) a proper log smooth fibration over a log regular base log scheme,
- (ii) a morphism (of log schemes) whose characteristic sheaf is locally constant with stalk isomorphic to \mathbb{N} .

In this paper, the behavior of the log fundamental group for such an object is studied; in particular, it is shown that the homotopy sequence associated to such a morphism is *exact*. In addition to situting a basic tool in the reserch of the log fundamental group, the theory developed in the present paper may also be regarded as technical preparation for [6].

This paper is organized as follows:

In Section 1, we prove the existence of a *logarithmic version of the Stein factorization* under some hypotheses (cf. Definition 1.11, Theorem 1.9, also Remark 1.13). In [5], Exposé X, Corollaire 1.4, the exactness of the homotopy sequence associated to a proper separable morphism is proven. In this proof, the existence of the Stein factorization plays an essential role. Therefore, to prove a logarithmic analogue of the exactness of the homotopy sequence, we consider the existence of a logarithmic analogue of the Stein factorization.

In Section 2, we prove a logarithmic analogue of [5], Exposé X, Corollaire 1.4, i.e., the exactness of the *log homotopy sequence* by means of the existence of the log Stein factorization (cf. Theorem 2.3). Moreover, a logarithmic analogue of the fact that the fundamental group of the scheme obtained by taking the product of schemes is naturally isomorphic to the product of the fundamental groups of these schemes (cf. [5], Exposé X, Corollaire 1.7) is proven (cf. Proposition 2.4).

In Section 3, we define the notion of a log structure on a formal scheme and establish a theory of *algebraizations* of log formal schemes. One can develop a theory of algebraizations of log formal schemes (cf. Theorem 3.6) in a similar fashion to the classical theory of algebraizations of formal schemes (for example, the theory considered in [2], §5). However, in the case of algebraizations of log formal schemes, it is insufficient only to assume a “compactness condition” of the sort that is required in the classical algebraization theory of formal schemes; in addition to such a “compactness condition”, a certain reducedness hypothesis is necessary (cf. Remarks 3.7; 3.8). This algebraization theory of formal log schemes implies a logarithmic analogue of the fact that the fundamental group of a proper smooth scheme over a “complete base” is naturally isomorphic to the fundamental group of the closed fiber (cf. [5], Exposé X, Théorème 2.1, also [15], Théorème 2.2, (a)) (cf. Corollary 3.9). This result is used in the next Section.

In Section 4, we define the notion of a *morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$* and consider fundamental properties of such a morphism. Roughly speaking, a morphism of log schemes is of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ if the relative characteristic sheaf is locally constant with stalk isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$. The main result of this Section is the fact that at the level of anabelioids (i.e., Galois categories) (determined by ket coverings), certain morphisms of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ can be regarded as “ $\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}$ -fibrations” (cf. Theorem 4.17). Moreover, following [11], Lemma 4.4, we give

a sufficient condition for the homomorphism from the log fundamental group of the fiber of the “ $\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}$ -fibration” determined by such a morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ to the log fundamental group of total space of the “ $\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}$ -fibration” to be injective (cf. Proposition 4.22).

Finally, in the Appendix, we prove the well-known fact that the category of ket coverings of a connected locally noetherian fs log scheme is a *Galois category*; this implies, in particular, the existence of log fundamental groups (cf. Theorem A.1, also Theorem A.2). The log fundamental group has already been constructed by several people (e.g., [1]; [7], 4.6; [14], 3.3; [15], 1.2). Since, however, at the time of writing, a proof of this fact was not available in published form, and, moreover, various facts used in the proof of this fact are necessary elsewhere in this paper, we decided to give a proof of this fact. Moreover, although other authors approach the problem of showing that the category of ket coverings of a log scheme is a Galois category by considering the category of locally constant sheaves on the Kummer log étale site, we take a more direct approach to this problem which allows us to avoid the use of locally constant sheaves on the Kummer log étale site.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my advisor, Professor Shinichi Mochizuki, for suggesting the topics, helpful discussions, warm encouragements, and valuable advices. Without his warm and constant help, this paper could not be written. The author is supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

Notation

Symbols:

We shall denote by \mathbb{Z} the set of rational integers, by \mathbb{N} the set of rational integers $n \geq 0$, by \mathbb{Q} the set of rational numbers and by $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ the profinite completion of \mathbb{Z} .

Subscripts:

For a ring A (respectively, a scheme X), we shall denote by A_{red} (respectively, X_{red}) the quotient ring by the ideal of all nilpotent elements of A (respectively, the reduced closed subscheme of X associated to X). For a ring A , we shall denote by A^* the group of unity of A . For a field k , we shall use the notation k^{sep} to denote a separable closure of k . For a monoid P , (respectively, a sheaf of monoids \mathcal{P}) we shall denote by P^{gp} the group associated to P (respectively, \mathcal{P}^{gp} the sheaf of groups associated to \mathcal{P}). For

a group G , we shall denote by G^{ab} the abelianization of G .

Log schemes:

For a log scheme X^{log} , we shall denote by \mathcal{M}_X the sheaf of monoids that defines the log structure of X^{log} .

Let \mathcal{P} be a property of schemes [for example, “quasi-compact”, “connected”, “normal”, “regular”] (respectively, morphisms of schemes [for example, “proper”, “finite”, “étale”, “smooth”]). Then we shall say that a log scheme (respectively, a morphism of log schemes) satisfies \mathcal{P} if the underlying scheme (respectively, the underlying morphism of schemes) satisfies \mathcal{P} .

For a log scheme X^{log} (respectively, a morphism f^{log} of log schemes), we shall denote by X the underlying scheme (respectively, by f the underlying morphism of schemes). For fs log schemes X^{log} , Y^{log} and Z^{log} , we shall denote by $X^{\text{log}} \times_{Y^{\text{log}}} Z^{\text{log}}$ the fiber product of X^{log} and Z^{log} over Y^{log} in the category of fs log schemes. In general, the underlying scheme of $X^{\text{log}} \times_{Y^{\text{log}}} Z^{\text{log}}$ is not $X \times_Y Z$. However, since strictness (a morphism $f^{\text{log}} : X^{\text{log}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{log}}$ is called *strict* if the induced morphism $f^* \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ on X is an isomorphism) is stable under base-change in the category of *arbitrary* log schemes, if $X^{\text{log}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{log}}$ is strict, then the underlying scheme of $X^{\text{log}} \times_{Y^{\text{log}}} Z^{\text{log}}$ is $X \times_Y Z$. Note that since the natural morphism from the saturation of a fine log scheme to the original fine log scheme is finite, properness and finiteness are stable under fs base-change.

If there exist both schemes and log schemes in a commutative diagram, then we regard each scheme in the diagram as the log scheme obtained by equipping the scheme with the trivial log structure.

Terminologies:

We shall assume that the underlying topological space of a *connected* scheme is not empty. In particular, if a morphism is geometrically connected, then it is surjective.

Let Σ be a set of prime numbers, and n an integer. Then we shall say that n is a Σ -integer if the prime divisors of n are in Σ . Let Γ be a profinite group. Then we shall refer to the quotient

$$\varprojlim \Gamma/H$$

(where the projective limit is over all open normal subgroups $H \subseteq \Gamma$ whose orders are Σ -integers) as the *maximal pro- Σ quotient* of Γ . We shall denote by $\Gamma^{(\Sigma)}$ the maximal pro- Σ quotient of Γ .

We shall refer to the largest open subset (possibly empty) of the underlying scheme of an fs log scheme on which the log structure is trivial as the

interior of the fs log scheme. We shall refer to a Kummer log étale (respectively, finite Kummer log étale) morphism of fs log schemes as a *ket* morphism (respectively, a *ket covering*).

Let X^{\log} and Y^{\log} be log schemes, and $f^{\log} : X^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ a morphism of log schemes. Then we shall refer to the quotient of \mathcal{M}_X by the image of the morphism $(f^{\log})^* \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ induced by f^{\log} as the *relative characteristic sheaf* of f^{\log} . Moreover, we shall refer to the relative characteristic sheaf of the morphism $X^{\log} \rightarrow X$ induced by the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ as the *characteristic sheaf* of X^{\log} .

1 The log Stein factorization

In this Section, we will show the existence of a logarithmic version of the Stein factorization.

Definition 1.1. Let X^{\log} be an fs log scheme, and $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ a geometric point.

- (i) We shall refer to the strict morphism $\bar{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ whose underlying morphism of schemes is $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ as the *strict geometric point* over $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$.
- (ii) We shall refer to $\bar{x}_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ as a *reduced covering point* over the strict geometric point $\bar{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ or, alternatively, over the geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$, if it is obtained as a composite

$$\bar{x}_1^{\log} \longrightarrow \bar{x}'_1^{\log} \longrightarrow \bar{x}^{\log} \longrightarrow X^{\log},$$

where $\bar{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is the strict geometric point over $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$, $\bar{x}'_1^{\log} \rightarrow \bar{x}^{\log}$ is a connected ket covering, and $\bar{x}_1^{\log} \rightarrow \bar{x}'_1^{\log}$ is a strict morphism of fs log schemes for which the underlying morphism of schemes determines an isomorphism $\bar{x}_1 \simeq \bar{x}'_{1,\text{red}}$. Note that, in general, $\bar{x}_1^{\log} \rightarrow \bar{x}^{\log}$ is *not* a ket covering. (See Remark 1.2 below.)

Remark 1.2. The underlying scheme of the domain of a strict geometric point $\bar{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is the spectrum of a separably closed field. However, in general, the underlying scheme of the domain of a connected ket covering $\bar{x}'_1^{\log} \rightarrow \bar{x}^{\log}$ is not the spectrum of a separably closed field. On the other hand, if we denote by \bar{x}_1^{\log} the log scheme obtained by equipping $\bar{x}'_{1,\text{red}}$ with the log structure induced by the log structure of \bar{x}'_1^{\log} (i.e., the natural morphism $\bar{x}_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is a reduced covering point over $\bar{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$), then the following hold:

- (i) The underlying scheme of \overline{x}_1^{\log} is the spectrum of a separably closed field (Proposition A.4).
- (ii) There is a natural equivalence between the category of ket coverings of \overline{x}_1^{\log} and the category of ket coverings of \overline{x}'_1^{\log} (Proposition A.8). In particular, $\pi_1(\overline{x}'_1^{\log}) \simeq \pi_1(\overline{x}_1^{\log})$. (Concerning the log fundamental group, see Theorem A.1.)
- (iii) The natural morphism $\overline{x}_1^{\log} \rightarrow \overline{x}'_1^{\log}$ is a homeomorphism on the underlying topological spaces and remains so after any base-change in the category of *fs log schemes* over \overline{x}'_1^{\log} . Indeed, this follows from the fact that this morphism is strict, together with the fact that the underlying morphism of schemes is a universal homeomorphism.

Definition 1.3. Let X^{\log} be an fs log scheme, $\overline{x} \rightarrow X$ a geometric point of X , $U \rightarrow X$ an étale neighborhood of $\overline{x} \rightarrow X$, and $P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$ an fs chart at $\overline{x} \rightarrow X$. Then we shall say that the chart $P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$ is *clean* at $\overline{x} \rightarrow X$ if the composite $P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X,\overline{x}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_X/\mathcal{O}_X^*)_{\overline{x}}$ is an isomorphism. Note that a clean chart of X^{\log} always exists over an étale neighborhood of any given geometric point of X . (See the discussion following [10], Definition 1.3.)

The following technical lemma follows immediately from Proposition A.8.

Lemma 1.4. *Let X^{\log} be an fs log scheme whose underlying scheme X is the spectrum of a strictly henselian local ring. Then for a strict geometric point $\overline{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ for which the image of the underlying morphism of schemes is the closed point of X , and any reduced covering point $\overline{x}'_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ over $\overline{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$, there exists a ket covering $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ and a strict geometric point $\overline{y}^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ such that $\overline{y}^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ factors as a composite $\overline{y}^{\log} \rightarrow \overline{x}_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$, where the morphism $\overline{y}^{\log} \rightarrow \overline{x}_1^{\log}$ is a reduced covering point over the strict geometric point $\overline{x}_1^{\log} \rightarrow \overline{x}_1^{\log}$ given by the identity morphism of \overline{x}_1^{\log} .*

Lemma 1.5. *Let X^{\log} be an fs log scheme equipped with the trivial log structure, Y^{\log} an fs log scheme, and $f^{\log} : Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a proper log smooth morphism. Then the morphism $X' \rightarrow X$ that appears in the Stein factorization $Y \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X$ of f is finite étale.*

Proof. By [5], Exposé X, Proposition 1.2, it is enough to show that f is proper and separable. The properness of f is assumed in the statement of Lemma 1.5. Since the log structure of X^{\log} is trivial, f^{\log} is integral ([8], Proposition 4.1). Since an integral log smooth morphism is flat ([8], Theorem 4.5), f is flat. For the rest of the proof of the separability of f , by base-changing, we may assume that $X = \text{Spec } k$, where k is a field whose

characteristic we denote by p . Then étale locally on Y , there exist an fs monoid P whose associated group P^{gp} is p -torsion-free if p is not zero and an étale morphism $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec } k[P]$ over k ([8], Theorem 3.5). On the other hand, $k[P] \otimes_k K \subseteq k[P^{\text{gp}}] \otimes_k K$, and $k[P^{\text{gp}}] \otimes_k K = K[P^{\text{gp}}]$ is reduced for any extension field K of k by the assumption on P^{gp} ; thus, $k[P] \otimes_k K$, hence also $Y \times_k K$ is reduced. Therefore, f is separable. \square

Lemma 1.6. *Let X^{log} be a log regular fs log scheme, $U_X \subseteq X$ the interior of X^{log} , Y^{log} an fs log scheme, and $f^{\text{log}} : Y^{\text{log}} \rightarrow X^{\text{log}}$ a proper log smooth morphism. If we denote by $Y \times_X U_X \rightarrow V \rightarrow U_X$ the Stein factorization of $f|_{Y \times_X U_X}$, then the following hold:*

- (i) $V \rightarrow U_X$ is finite étale.
- (ii) The normalization of X in V is tamely ramified over the generic points of $D_X = X \setminus U_X$.

Proof. Since log smoothness and properness are stable under base-change, (i) follows from Lemma 1.5. For (ii), since normalization and the operation of taking Stein factorization commute with étale localization, we may assume that X is the spectrum of a strictly henselian discrete valuation ring R whose field of fractions we denote by K , and whose residue field we denote by k . Then the log regularity of X^{log} implies that the log structure of X^{log} is trivial or is defined by the closed point of X ([9], Theorem 11.6). If the log structure of X^{log} is trivial, then (ii) follows from (i). Thus, we may assume that the log structure of X^{log} is not trivial. Moreover, for (ii), we may assume that V is connected. Then, by (i), $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is a finite separable extension field of K . We denote this field by L .

Let us denote the integral closure of R in L by R_L . Thus, the normalization X' of X in V is $\text{Spec } R_L$, $U_X = \text{Spec } K$, and $V = \text{Spec } L$. Therefore, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{Spec } L & & \text{Spec } K \\
 & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
 Y^{\text{log}} \times_{X^{\text{log}}} U_X & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & U_X \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 Y^{\text{log}} & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & X \\
 & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
 & & \text{Spec } R_L & & \text{Spec } R.
 \end{array}$$

Note that since $V \rightarrow U_X$ is finite étale, R_L is finite over R . Let $\bar{y} \rightarrow Y$ be a geometric point of Y over the closed point of X' .

Now, by [8], Theorem 3.5, there exist

- a connected étale neighborhood W of $\bar{y} \rightarrow Y$;
- an fs monoid chart $P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W$ of Y^{\log} ; and
- a chart

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{N} & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_W \end{array}$$

of $Y^{\log} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Spec} R)^{\log}$ (where $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow R$ is a chart of $(\mathrm{Spec} R)^{\log}$ such that $1 \mapsto \pi_R$ [π_R is a prime element of R])

such that

- (i) $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow P$ is injective, and if the image of 1 is $t \in P$, then the torsion part of $P^{\mathrm{gp}}/\langle t \rangle$ is a finite group of order invertible in R ; and
- (ii) the natural morphism $W \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} R[P]/(\pi_R - t)$ is étale.

Thus, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} R[P]/(\pi_R - t) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec} R_L & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} R. \end{array}$$

Therefore, it follows from above conditions (i) and (ii) that if the image of π_R in R_L has valuation r , then r is invertible in R , hence in k .

Moreover, by base-changing by $R \rightarrow k$ and taking “ $(-)_{\mathrm{red}}$ ”, we obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (W \times_R k)_{\mathrm{red}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} (k[P]/(t))_{\mathrm{red}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W \times_R k & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} k[P]/(t) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec} R_L/\pi_R R_L & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} k. \end{array}$$

Since the middle horizontal arrow of the diagram is étale, it follows that the upper square is cartesian; thus, $(W \times_R k)_{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} (k[P]/(t))_{\mathrm{red}}$ is also

étale. Since $\mathrm{Spec}(k[P]/(t))_{\mathrm{red}}$ is geometrically reduced over k , it follows that $\mathrm{Spec}(k[P]/(t))_{\mathrm{red}}$, hence also, $(W \times_R k)_{\mathrm{red}}$ has a k -rational point. Therefore, the residue field of R_L is k . \square

Definition 1.7. Let X^{log} and Y^{log} be fs log schemes. Then we shall say that a morphism $f^{\mathrm{log}} : Y^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$ is *log geometrically connected* if for any reduced covering point $\bar{x}_1^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow \bar{x}^{\mathrm{log}}$ over any strict geometric point $\bar{x}^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$, the fiber product $Y^{\mathrm{log}} \times_{X^{\mathrm{log}}} \bar{x}_1^{\mathrm{log}}$ is connected.

Note that it follows from Remark 1.2, (iii), that this condition is equivalent to the condition that for any connected ket covering $\bar{x}'^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow \bar{x}^{\mathrm{log}}$ of a strict geometric point $\bar{x}^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$, $Y^{\mathrm{log}} \times_{X^{\mathrm{log}}} \bar{x}'^{\mathrm{log}}$ is connected.

Remark 1.8. In log geometry, there exists the notion of a *log geometric point*. In fact, one can regard a log geometric point as a *limit* of ket coverings over a strict geometric point. Thus, one natural way to define log geometric connectedness is by the condition that every base-change via a log geometric point is connected. However, in general, a log geometric point is not a fine log scheme. Hence we can not perform such a base-change in the category of fs log schemes.

Theorem 1.9. *Let X^{log} be a log regular fs log scheme, Y^{log} an fs log scheme, and $f^{\mathrm{log}} : Y^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$ a proper log smooth morphism. If we denote by $Y \xrightarrow{f'} X' \xrightarrow{g} X$ the Stein factorization of f , then X' admits a log structure that satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) *There exists a ket covering $X'^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$ whose underlying morphism of schemes is g .*
- (ii) *$Y^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X'^{\mathrm{log}}$ is log geometrically connected.*

Proof. Let $U_X \subseteq X$ be the interior of X^{log} . If we denote by $Y \times_X U_X \rightarrow V \rightarrow U_X$ the Stein factorization of $Y \times_X U_X \rightarrow U_X$, then, by Lemma 1.6, $V \rightarrow U_X$ is finite étale, and the normalization Z of X in V is tamely ramified over the generic points of $D_X = X \setminus U_X$. Hence Z admits a log structure that determines a ket covering $Z^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$ by the log purity theorem in [10]. (Concerning the *log purity theorem*, see Remark 1.10 below.) Now Y^{log} is log regular, hence normal ([9], Theorem 4.1); thus, X' is normal. Therefore $X' \rightarrow X$ factors through Z . Since both $X' \times_X U_X$ and $Z \times_X U_X$ are naturally isomorphic to V , we have $X' \simeq Z$. This completes the proof of (i).

For (ii), since the operation of taking Stein factorization commutes with étale base-change, by base-changing, we may assume that both X and X' are the spectra of strictly henselian local rings. Moreover, by Lemma 1.4,

it is enough to show that for any connected ket covering $X_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ and any strict geometric point $\bar{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X'^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X_1^{\log}$ for which the image of the underlying morphism of schemes is a closed point, $Y^{\log} \times_{X'^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log}$ is connected.

Let us denote by Y_1^{\log} the fiber product $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X_1^{\log}$. Since log smoothness and properness are stable under base-change, $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X_1^{\log}$ is log smooth and proper. By (i), if we denote by $Y_1 \rightarrow X'_1 \rightarrow X_1$ the Stein factorization of $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$, then X'_1 admits a log structure such that the resulting morphism $X_1'^{\log} \rightarrow X_1^{\log}$ is a ket covering. Thus, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_1^{\log} & \longrightarrow & X_1'^{\log} & \longrightarrow & X_1^{\log} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y^{\log} & \longrightarrow & X'^{\log} & \longrightarrow & X^{\log}. \end{array}$$

Now I claim that the right-hand square in the above commutative diagram is cartesian. Note that it follows formally from this claim that the left-hand square is also cartesian. In particular, it follows from this claim, together with the connectedness property of the Stein factorization, that $Y^{\log} \times_{X'^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log} = Y_1^{\log} \times_{X_1'^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log}$ is connected for any strict geometric point $\bar{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X_1'^{\log}$ whose image of the underlying morphism of schemes lies on a closed point of X_1^{\log} .

The claim of the preceding paragraph may be verified follows: If we base-change by $U_X \rightarrow X^{\log}$, then we obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X & \longrightarrow & X_1'^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X & \longrightarrow & X_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X & \longrightarrow & X'^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X & \longrightarrow & U_X. \end{array}$$

Since $U_X \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is a strict morphism, and the log structures of U_X and $X_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X$ are trivial, the underlying scheme of $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X$ is $Y_1 \times_X U_X$. Moreover, $X_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X \rightarrow U_X$ is finite étale, hence flat. Thus, the underlying morphism of schemes of $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X \rightarrow (X'^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X_1^{\log}) \times_{X^{\log}} U_X \rightarrow X_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X$ is the Stein factorization of the underlying morphism of schemes of $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X \rightarrow X_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X$; in particular, $X_1'^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X \simeq (X'^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X_1^{\log}) \times_{X^{\log}} U_X$ over U_X . Therefore, $X_1'^{\log} \simeq X'^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X_1^{\log}$ by Proposition A.10. \square

Remark 1.10. In [10], Theorem 3.3, it is only stated that:

Let X^{\log} be a log regular fs log scheme and U_X the interior of X^{\log} . Let $V \rightarrow U_X$ be a finite étale morphism which is tamely ramified over the generic

points of $X \setminus U_X$. Let Y be the normalization of X in V and Y^{\log} the log scheme obtained by equipping Y with the log structure $\mathcal{O}_Y \cap (V \hookrightarrow Y)_* \mathcal{O}_V^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$. Then the following hold:

- Y^{\log} is log regular.
- The finite étale morphism $V \rightarrow U_X$ extends uniquely to a log étale morphism $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$.

However, in fact, $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is Kummer by the proof of the log purity theorem in *loc. cit.* (More precisely, in the notation of *loc. cit.*, the inclusions $P \subseteq P_Y \subseteq (1/n)P$ imply this fact.) Moreover, since $V \rightarrow U_X$ is finite étale, it follows that the normalization $Y \rightarrow X$ is finite.

Definition 1.11. In the notation of Theorem 1.9, we shall refer to $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ as the *log Stein factorization* of f^{\log} . This name is motivated by condition (ii) in the statement of Theorem 1.9.

Proposition 1.12. *The operation of taking log Stein factorization commutes with base-change by a Kummer morphism which satisfies the following condition (*):*

(*) *The domain is a log regular fs log scheme, and the restriction to the interior is flat.*

(For example, a ket morphism satisfies (*).)

Proof. Let X^{\log} be a log regular fs log scheme, $f^{\log} : Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a proper log smooth morphism, and $g^{\log} : X_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a morphism which satisfies the condition (*) in the statement of Proposition 1.12. Let us denote by $f_1^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X_1^{\log}$ the base-change of f^{\log} by g^{\log} , and by $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ (respectively, $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X_1'^{\log} \rightarrow X_1^{\log}$) the log Stein factorization of f^{\log} (respectively, f_1^{\log}). Thus, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_1^{\log} & \longrightarrow & X_1'^{\log} & \longrightarrow & X_1^{\log} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow g^{\log} \\ Y^{\log} & \longrightarrow & X'^{\log} & \longrightarrow & X^{\log} . \end{array}$$

If we denote by X_2^{\log} the fiber product $X_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log}$, then the above commutative diagram determines a morphism $X_1'^{\log} \rightarrow X_2^{\log}$. Our claim is that this morphism is an isomorphism.

Let $U_1 \subseteq X_1$ be the interior of X_1^{\log} . Since g^{\log} is Kummer, the composite $U_1 \hookrightarrow X_1^{\log} \xrightarrow{g^{\log}} X^{\log}$ factors through the interior of X^{\log} ; in particular, $U_1 \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is strict. Therefore, the underlying scheme of $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X_1^{\log}} U_1$ is $Y \times_X U_1$, and the factorization induced on the underlying schemes by the factorization $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X_1^{\log}} U_1 \rightarrow X_1'^{\log} \times_{X_1^{\log}} U_1 \rightarrow U_1$ is the Stein factorization of the underlying morphism of $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X_1^{\log}} U_1 \rightarrow U_1$. On the other hand, it follows from the flatness of $U_1 \rightarrow X$ that the factorization induced on the underlying schemes by the factorization $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X_1^{\log}} U_1 \rightarrow X_2^{\log} \times_{X_1^{\log}} U_1 \rightarrow U_1$ is also the Stein factorization of the underlying morphism $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X_1^{\log}} U_1 \rightarrow U_1$. Thus, we obtain $X_1'^{\log} \times_{X_1^{\log}} U_1 \simeq X_2^{\log} \times_{X_1^{\log}} U_1$. Now $X_1'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ and $X_2^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ are ket coverings; thus, by Proposition A.10, $X_1'^{\log} \simeq X_2^{\log}$. \square

Remark 1.13. In this Section, we only consider the log Stein factorization in the case where the base log scheme is log regular. However, if a morphism $f^{\log} : Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ of fs log schemes admits a *cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y^{\log} & \xrightarrow{f^{\log}} & X^{\log} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_1^{\log} & \xrightarrow{f_1^{\log}} & X_1^{\log}, \end{array}$$

where

- X_1^{\log} is a log regular fs log scheme,
- $f_1^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X_1^{\log}$ is a proper log smooth morphism, and
- the right-hand vertical arrow $X^{\log} \rightarrow X_1^{\log}$ is strict,

then the factorization $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X_1'^{\log} \times_{X_1^{\log}} X^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ obtained by base-changing the log Stein factorization $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X_1'^{\log} \rightarrow X_1^{\log}$ of f_1^{\log} via $X^{\log} \rightarrow X_1^{\log}$ satisfies the following:

- $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X_1'^{\log} \times_{X_1^{\log}} X^{\log}$ is log geometrically connected.
- $X_1'^{\log} \times_{X_1^{\log}} X^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is a ket covering.

2 The log homotopy exact sequence

In this Section, we will prove a logarithmic analogue of [5], Exposé X, Corollaire 1.4, i.e., the exactness of the *log homotopy sequence*.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X^{\log} be a log regular connected fs log scheme, Y^{\log} an fs log scheme, and $f^{\log} : Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a proper log smooth morphism. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$.
- (ii) *If we denote the Stein factorization of f by $Y \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X$, then the morphism $X' \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism (i.e., f is geometrically connected).*
- (iii) *If we denote the log Stein factorization of f^{\log} by $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$, then the morphism $X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is an isomorphism (i.e., f^{\log} is log geometrically connected).*
- (iv) *Y is connected, and f^{\log} induces a surjection $\pi_1(Y^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X^{\log})$.*

Moreover, the above four conditions imply the following condition:

- (v) *Y is connected, and f induces a surjection $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$.*

Proof. The equivalence of the first three conditions is immediate from the constructions of the Stein and log Stein factorizations.

Assume the first three conditions. Then since f is surjective (by condition (i)), geometrically connected (by condition (ii)), and proper, it follows that Y is connected. Now let $X_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ be a connected ket covering, and $f_1^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X_1^{\log}$ the base-change $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X_1^{\log} \rightarrow X_1^{\log}$. Then f_1 is also surjective and proper. Moreover, it follows from Proposition 1.12 that f_1 is geometrically connected. Thus, Y_1 is connected. This completes the proof that the first three conditions imply (iv).

Next, we will show that (iv) implies (iii). Assume that f^{\log} induces a surjection $\pi_1(Y^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X^{\log})$. If we denote by $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ the log Stein factorization of f^{\log} , then since Y is connected and $Y \rightarrow X'$ is surjective, X' is connected. Moreover, it follows from Theorem 1.9, (i), that $X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is a ket covering. By condition (iv), $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ is also a connected ket covering. However, this covering has a section, hence $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log} \simeq Y^{\log}$. Thus, by applying the general theory of Galois categories to $\text{Két}(X'^{\log})$ and $\text{Két}(Y^{\log})$, we obtain $X'^{\log} \simeq X^{\log}$. (Concerning $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$, see Theorem A.1.)

Finally, we will show that (iv) implies (v). It is immediate that the morphism $X^{\log} \rightarrow X$ determined by the morphism of sheaves of monoids $\mathcal{O}_X^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ induces a surjection $\pi_1(X^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$. Thus, (v) follows from condition (iv), the fact that $\pi_1(X^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is surjective, and the existence of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(Y^{\log}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X^{\log}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(Y) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X). \end{array}$$

□

Remark 2.2. In the statement of Proposition 2.1, condition (v) does not imply condition (iv). Indeed, let R be a strictly henselian discrete valuation ring, K the field of fractions of R , L a tamely ramified extension of K , and R_L the integral closure of R in L . If we denote by $(\mathrm{Spec} R)^{\log}$ (respectively, $(\mathrm{Spec} R_L)^{\log}$) the log scheme obtained by equipping $\mathrm{Spec} R$ (respectively, $\mathrm{Spec} R_L$) with the log structure defined by the closed point, then the natural morphism $(\mathrm{Spec} R_L)^{\log} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Spec} R)^{\log}$ satisfies (v) (since $\pi_1(\mathrm{Spec} R) = 1$), but $\pi_1((\mathrm{Spec} R_L)^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1((\mathrm{Spec} R)^{\log})$ is not surjective unless $K = L$ (since $(\mathrm{Spec} R_L)^{\log} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Spec} R)^{\log}$ is a connected ket covering).

Next, we will show the exactness of the *log homotopy sequence*.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X^{\log} be a log regular connected fs log scheme, Y^{\log} a connected fs log scheme, and $f^{\log} : Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a proper log smooth morphism. Moreover, we assume one of conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) in Proposition 2.1. Then, for any strict geometric point $\bar{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$, the following sequence is exact:*

$$\varprojlim \pi_1(Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}) \xrightarrow{s} \pi_1(Y^{\log}) \xrightarrow{\pi_1(f^{\log})} \pi_1(X^{\log}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Here, the projective limit is over all reduced covering points $\bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \rightarrow \bar{x}^{\log}$, and s is induced by the natural projections $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$.

Proof. Note that, by condition (iii) in Proposition 2.1 and the connectedness property of the log Stein factorization, $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$ is connected for any reduced covering point $\bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \rightarrow \bar{x}^{\log}$ over \bar{x}^{\log} .

Next, observe that the surjectivity of $\pi_1(f^{\log})$ follows from condition (iv) in Proposition 2.1. Moreover, it is immediate that $\pi_1(f^{\log}) \circ s = 1$. Hence it is sufficient to show that the kernel of $\pi_1(f^{\log})$ is generated by the image

of s . By the general theory of profinite groups, it is enough to show that for an open subgroup G of $\pi_1(Y^{\log})$, if G contains the image of s , then G contains the kernel of $\pi_1(f^{\log})$. Let $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ be the connected ket covering corresponding to G . Then since G contains the image of s , there exists a reduced covering point $\bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \rightarrow \bar{x}^{\log}$ such that $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$ has a (ket) section. Since $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ is finite and log étale, it follows that $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is proper and log smooth. Let $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ be the log Stein factorization of this morphism, and Y_2^{\log} the fiber product $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X_1^{\log}$. Thus, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_1^{\log} & \longrightarrow & Y_2^{\log} & \longrightarrow & X_1^{\log} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_1^{\log} & \longrightarrow & Y^{\log} & \xrightarrow{f^{\log}} & X^{\log}, \end{array}$$

where the right-hand square is cartesian. Now I claim that $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log}$ is an isomorphism. To prove this claim, it is enough to show the following:

- (i) Y_2^{\log} is connected.
- (ii) $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log}$ is a ket covering.
- (iii) $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log}$ has rank one at some point. (We shall say that a ket covering $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ of locally noetherian fs log scheme has *rank one at some point* if there exists a log geometric point of X^{\log} such that, for the fiber functor F of $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$ defined by the log geometric point [cf. Theorem A.1], the cardinality of $F(Y^{\log})$ is one.)

The first assertion follows from condition (iv) in Proposition 2.1, and the second assertion follows from the fact that $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ and $Y_2^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ are ket coverings and Proposition A.5. Hence, in the rest of the proof, we will show the third assertion.

By replacing the reduced covering point $\bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \rightarrow \bar{x}^{\log}$ by the composite $\bar{x}_{\lambda'}^{\log} \rightarrow \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \rightarrow \bar{x}^{\log}$, where $\bar{x}_{\lambda'}^{\log} \rightarrow \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$ is a reduced covering point, if necessary, we may assume that $X_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$ splits as a disjoint union of copies of \bar{x}_λ^{\log} . If we base-change the above commutative diagram by $\bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$, then we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} & \longrightarrow & \overbrace{(Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log})}^n & \longrightarrow & \overbrace{\bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}}^n \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} & \longrightarrow & Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} & \longrightarrow & \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}, \end{array}$$

where the right-hand square is cartesian. By the general theory of Galois categories, it is enough to show that

$$Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \longrightarrow Y_2^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} (= \overbrace{(Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log})}^n)$$

has rank one at some point.

Now $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$ has a (ket) section; thus, one of the connected components of $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$ is isomorphic to $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$. Since $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log}$ is a surjective ket covering,

$$Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \longrightarrow \overbrace{(Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log})}^n$$

is surjective ([12], Proposition 2.2.2). On the other hand, the number of connected components of $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$ is n by the connectedness property of the log Stein factorization $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$. Thus, $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$ induces a bijection between the set of connected components of $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$ and that of $Y_2^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$. Since one of the connected components of $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$ is isomorphic to $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$, $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$ is an isomorphism on the connected component of $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$ which is isomorphic to $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\log}$. This completes the proof of assertion (iii). \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let k be a field. Let X^{\log} be a log smooth proper log geometrically connected fs log scheme over k , and Y^{\log} a connected log regular fs log scheme over k . Let $p_1^{\log} : X^{\log} \times_k Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ (respectively, $p_2^{\log} : X^{\log} \times_k Y^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$) be the 1-st (respectively, 2-nd) projection. Then the following hold:*

(i) $X^{\log} \times_k Y^{\log}$ is connected.

(ii) The natural morphism

$$\pi_1(X^{\log} \times_k Y^{\log}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X^{\log}) \times_{\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)} \pi_1(Y^{\log})$$

determined by p_1^{\log} and p_2^{\log} is an isomorphism.

Proof. First, we prove (i). Since $X^{\log} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is proper, $p_2^{\log} : X^{\log} \times_k Y^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ is proper. Thus, to verify that $X^{\log} \times_k Y^{\log}$ is connected, it is enough to show that each fiber of p_2 at any geometric point of Y is connected. On the other hand, since $X^{\log} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is log geometrically connected, each

fiber of p_2 at any geometric point of Y is connected. Therefore, $X^{\log} \times_k Y^{\log}$ is connected.

Next, we prove (ii). Since Y^{\log} is log regular, the interior U_Y of Y^{\log} is non-empty and normal ([9], Theorem 4.1). Thus, there exist a finite separable extension k' of k and a k' -rational point $\text{Spec } k' \rightarrow U_Y$. By the existence of a morphism $\text{Spec } k' \rightarrow Y^{\log}$, we obtain the following cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{\log} \times_k k' & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } k' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X^{\log} \times_k Y^{\log} & \xrightarrow{p_2^{\log}} & Y^{\log}. \end{array}$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.3, we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$\pi_1(X^{\log} \times_k k^{\text{sep}}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X^{\log} \times_k Y^{\log}) \xrightarrow{\pi_1(p_2^{\log})} \pi_1(Y^{\log}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Therefore, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_1(X^{\log} \times_k k^{\text{sep}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X^{\log} \times_k Y^{\log}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1(p_2^{\log})} & \pi_1(Y^{\log}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(X^{\log} \times_k k^{\text{sep}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X^{\log}) \times_{\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)} \pi_1(Y^{\log}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(Y^{\log}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(X^{\log} \times_k k^{\text{sep}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X^{\log}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k) & \longrightarrow & 1, \end{array}$$

where all horizontal sequences are exact. Then it follows from the injectivity of the left-hand bottom horizontal arrow $\pi_1(X^{\log} \times_k k^{\text{sep}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X^{\log})$ that the left-hand top horizontal arrow $\pi_1(X^{\log} \times_k k^{\text{sep}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X^{\log} \times_k Y^{\log})$ is injective. Thus, assertion (ii) follows from the ‘‘Five lemma’’. \square

3 Log formal schemes and the algebraization

In this Section, we define the notion of a log structure on a formal scheme and establish a theory of *algebraizations* of log formal schemes.

First, we define the notion of a log structure on a locally noetherian formal scheme.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{Y} be locally noetherian formal schemes.

- (i) Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ be a sheaf of topological monoids on the étale site of \mathfrak{X} . (Concerning the étale site of a locally noetherian formal scheme, see [4], 6.1.) We shall refer to a continuous homomorphism of sheaves of topological monoids $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ (where we regard $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ as a sheaf of topological monoids via the monoid structure determined by the *multiplicative structure* on the sheaf of topological rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$) as a *pre-log structure* on \mathfrak{X} .

A morphism $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{Y}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}})$ of locally noetherian formal schemes equipped with pre-log structures is defined to be a pair (f, h) of a morphism of locally noetherian formal schemes $f : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ and a continuous homomorphism $h : f^{-1}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{-1}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{Y}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}. \end{array}$$

- (ii) We shall refer to a pre-log structure $\alpha : \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ on \mathfrak{X} as a *log structure* on \mathfrak{X} if the homomorphism α induces an isomorphism $\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*$.

We shall refer to a locally noetherian formal scheme equipped with a log structure as a *log locally noetherian formal scheme*. A morphism of log locally noetherian formal schemes is defined as a morphism of locally noetherian formal schemes equipped with pre-log structures.

For simplicity, we shall use the notation \mathfrak{X}^{\log} to denote a log locally noetherian formal scheme whose underlying formal scheme is \mathfrak{X} . Then we shall denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ the sheaf of monoids that determines the log structure of \mathfrak{X}^{\log} . Note that by a similar way to the way in which we regard the category of locally noetherian schemes as a full subcategory of the category of locally noetherian formal schemes (by regarding a scheme S as the formal scheme obtained by the completion of S along the closed subset S of S), we regard the category of locally noetherian schemes equipped with log structures as a full subcategory of the category of log locally noetherian formal schemes.

- (iii) Let $\alpha : \mathcal{M}'_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ be a pre-log structure on \mathfrak{X} . We shall refer to the log structure determined by the push-out in the category of sheaves of

topological monoids on the étale site of \mathfrak{X} of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*) & \xrightarrow{\text{via } \alpha} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^* \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{M}'_{\mathfrak{X}} & & \end{array}$$

as the *log structure associated to the pre-log structure* $\alpha : \mathcal{M}'_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$.

- (iv) Let $f : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ be a morphism of formal schemes, and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ a log structure on \mathfrak{Y} . We shall refer to the log structure associated to the pre-log structure $f^{-1}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ as the *pull-back of the log structure* $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$, or, alternatively, the *log structure on \mathfrak{X} induced by f* .

Let X^{\log} be a log scheme, and $F \subseteq X$ a closed subspace of the underlying topological space of X . Then we shall refer to the log formal scheme \widehat{X}^{\log} obtained by equipping the completion \widehat{X} of X along F with the pull-back of the log structure of X^{\log} as the *log completion of X^{\log} along F* .

- (v) Let \mathfrak{X}^{\log} be a log locally noetherian formal scheme. Then we shall say that \mathfrak{X}^{\log} is an *fs log locally noetherian formal scheme* if étale locally on \mathfrak{X} , there exists a discrete fs monoid P and a homomorphism $P_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ (where $P_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is the constant sheaf on the étale site of \mathfrak{X} determined by P) such that the log structure of \mathfrak{X}^{\log} is isomorphic to the log structure associated to the homomorphism $P_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$.
- (vi) Let \mathfrak{X}^{\log} be an fs log locally noetherian formal scheme, P is a topological monoid (respectively, a discrete fs monoid), and $P_{\mathfrak{X}}$ the constant sheaf on the étale site of \mathfrak{X} determined by P . We shall refer to a continuous homomorphism $P_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ such that the log structure of \mathfrak{X}^{\log} is isomorphic to the log structure associated to the homomorphism as a *chart* (respectively, an *fs chart*) of \mathfrak{X}^{\log} . By the definition of an fs log locally noetherian formal scheme, an fs chart always exists étale locally on \mathfrak{X}^{\log} .

Let $\bar{x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be a geometric point of \mathfrak{X} (i.e., $\bar{x} = \text{Spec } k$ for some separably closed field k). We shall say that an fs chart $P_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is *clean* at $\bar{x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ if the composite $P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}, \bar{x}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*)_{\bar{x}}$ is an isomorphism. It follows immediately from a similar argument to the argument used to prove the existence of a clean chart for an fs log scheme that a clean chart of \mathfrak{X}^{\log} always exists over an étale neighborhood of any given geometric point of \mathfrak{X} .

(vii) Let \mathfrak{X}^{\log} and \mathfrak{Y}^{\log} be fs log locally noetherian formal schemes, and $f^{\log} : \mathfrak{X}^{\log} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}^{\log}$ a morphism of log locally noetherian formal schemes. We shall refer to a collection of data consisting of

- an fs chart $P_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ of \mathfrak{X}^{\log} ,
- an fs chart $Q_{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ of \mathfrak{Y}^{\log} , and
- a morphism $Q \rightarrow P$ of monoids such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_{\mathfrak{X}} & \longrightarrow & P_{\mathfrak{X}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \end{array}$$

as a *chart of the morphism* f^{\log} . It follows from a similar argument to the argument used to prove the existence of a chart of a morphism of fs log schemes that given a chart $Q_{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ of \mathfrak{Y}^{\log} , there exist an étale morphism $\mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$, an fs chart $P_{\mathfrak{U}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ of the log structure of \mathfrak{U}^{\log} induced by the log structure of \mathfrak{X}^{\log} , and a morphism $Q \rightarrow P$ of monoids such that these data form a chart of the morphism f^{\log} .

Lemma 3.2. *Let A be an adic noetherian ring, I an ideal of definition of A , and $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ a proper morphism. If a subspace F of the underlying topological space of X contains the underlying topological space of $X \times_A (A/I)$, and is stable under generization, then F coincides with the underlying topological space of X .*

Proof. Assume that F does not coincide with the underlying topological space of X (and that X is non-empty). Then there exists an element x of $X \setminus F$. Since F is stable under generization, for any element a of F , there exists an open neighborhood U_a of a in X such that x does not belong to U_a . Thus, the open set $U \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{a \in F} U_a$ of the underlying topological space of X contains the underlying topological space of $X \times_A (A/I)$, and x does not belong to U . It thus follows from the properness of f that $f(X \setminus U)$ is a *non-empty closed subset* of the underlying topological space of $\text{Spec } A$, and does not contain the underlying topological space of $\text{Spec } (A/I)$. However, since A is an adic noetherian ring, $\text{Spec } (A/I)$ contains all closed points of $\text{Spec } A$. Thus, there exists no such a set; hence we obtain a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of commutative rings with unity. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (i) The morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is faithfully flat.
- (ii) The morphisms $A \rightarrow A'$ and $B \rightarrow B'$ are injective. [Let us regard A (respectively, B) as a subring of A' (respectively, B')]
- (iii) The natural morphism $B \otimes_A A' \rightarrow B'$ is injective.

Then the natural morphism from A to the set-theoretic fiber product of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & A' \\ & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

is surjective.

Proof. By condition (iii), it is enough to show the assertion in the case where $B' = B \otimes_A A'$. Thus, assume that $B' = B \otimes_A A'$. Let $a' \in A'$ and $b \in B$ be elements such that the images in B' coincide. Now let us denote by ϕ the morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \oplus A & \longrightarrow & A' \\ (a_1, a_2) & \mapsto & a_1 + a' \cdot a_2, \end{array}$$

and by I the image of ϕ . Then we obtain inclusions $A \subseteq I \subseteq A'$ (condition (ii)). On the other hand, the fact that the images of $a' \in A'$ and $b \in B$ in B' coincide implies that the image of $\text{id}_B \otimes_A \phi : B \oplus B \rightarrow B'$ is $B \subseteq B'$, i.e., $B = B \otimes_A I$ (condition (i)). Thus,

$$0 = (B \otimes_A I)/B = B \otimes_A (I/A).$$

Since $A \rightarrow B$ is faithfully flat (condition (i)), $I/A = 0$, i.e., $a' \in A$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let R be a strictly henselian excellent reduced local ring, \widehat{R} the completion of R with respect to the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R , and $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ the natural morphism. If a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P & \xrightarrow{\alpha_P} & \mathfrak{m} & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q & \xrightarrow{\alpha_Q} & \widehat{\mathfrak{m}} & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & \widehat{R} \end{array}$$

(where $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the maximal ideal of \widehat{R} , P and Q are clean monoids, and the left-hand vertical arrow $P \rightarrow Q$ is Kummer) commutes, then the morphism $\alpha_Q : Q \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ factors through \mathfrak{m} .

Proof. Let q be an element of Q . Our claim is that the image $\alpha_Q(q)$ of q via α_Q is in R . Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq R$ be the associated primes of R . Then, by the fact that R is reduced, the natural morphism $R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R/\mathfrak{p}_r$ is injective. We denote by K_i the field of fractions of R/\mathfrak{p}_i . Now since R is excellent, R/\mathfrak{p}_i is excellent. Therefore, by [3], Corollaire 18.9.2, the completion $\widehat{(R/\mathfrak{p}_i)} (\simeq R/\mathfrak{p}_i \otimes_R \widehat{R})$ of R/\mathfrak{p}_i with respect to the maximal ideal is an integral domain. We denote by \widehat{K}_i the field of fractions of $\widehat{(R/\mathfrak{p}_i)}$. Thus, we obtain the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R & \longrightarrow & R/\mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R/\mathfrak{p}_r & \longrightarrow & K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_r \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{R} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{(R/\mathfrak{p}_1)} \oplus \dots \oplus \widehat{(R/\mathfrak{p}_r)} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{K}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \widehat{K}_r, \end{array}$$

where all morphisms are injective.

Now the Kummerness of $P \rightarrow Q$ implies that $\alpha_Q(q)^n \in \mathfrak{m}$. Therefore, the image of $\alpha_Q(q)^n$ in \widehat{K}_i is in K_i . On the other hand, by the excellentness of R/\mathfrak{p}_i and [3], Corollaire 18.9.3, K_i is algebraically closed in \widehat{K}_i ; it thus follows that the image of $\alpha_Q(q)$ in \widehat{K}_i is in K_i . Thus, by Lemma 3.3, $\alpha_Q(q) \in \mathfrak{m}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. \square

Definition 3.5. Let \mathfrak{X}^{\log} and \mathfrak{Y}^{\log} be fs log locally noetherian formal schemes. We shall refer to a morphism $f^{\log} : \mathfrak{X}^{\log} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}^{\log}$ as a Kummer morphism if for any geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ of \mathfrak{X} , the morphism of monoids $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{Y}}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}^*)_{f(\bar{x})} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*)_{\bar{x}}$ induced by f^{\log} is Kummer (where the geometric point $f(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ is the geometric point determined by the composite $\bar{x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathfrak{Y}$).

The main result in this Section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Let A be an adic noetherian ring, and I an ideal of definition of A . Let S^{\log} be a fs log scheme whose underlying scheme S is the spectrum of A , X^{\log} a noetherian excellent fs log scheme, $X^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}$ a morphism that is separated and of finite type, and \widehat{X}^{\log} (respectively, \widehat{S}^{\log}) the log completion of X^{\log} (respectively, S^{\log}) along $X/I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X \times_A (A/I)$ (respectively $\text{Spec}(A/I)$).*

Then the functor determined by the operation of taking the log completion along the fiber over $S/I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec}(A/I)$ induces a natural equivalence between the category $\mathcal{C}_{X^{\log}}$ of reduced fs log schemes that are finite and Kummer over X^{\log} and proper over S^{\log} and the category $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{X}^{\log}}$ of reduced fs log formal schemes that are finite and Kummer over \widehat{X}^{\log} and proper over \widehat{S}^{\log} .

Proof. Note that if $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is an object of the category $\mathcal{C}_{X^{\log}}$, then the excellentness of X implies that the completion \widehat{Y} of Y along $Y \times_A A/I$ is reduced. Therefore, the functor is well-defined.

First, we prove that the functor is fully faithful. Let $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ and $Y_2^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ be objects of the category $\mathcal{C}_{X^{\log}}$.

Let $f^{\log}, g^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log}$ be morphisms in the category $\mathcal{C}_{X^{\log}}$ such that $\widehat{f}^{\log} = \widehat{g}^{\log}$, where $\widehat{f}^{\log}, \widehat{g}^{\log} : \widehat{Y}_1^{\log} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}_2^{\log}$ are the morphisms induced by f^{\log} and g^{\log} , respectively. Then since $\widehat{f}^{\log} = \widehat{g}^{\log}$, we obtain $\widehat{f} = \widehat{g}$. Thus, by [2], Théorème 5.4.1, we obtain $f = g$. To see that $f^{\log} = g^{\log}$, we take a geometric point $\bar{y}_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ of Y_1 whose image lies on $Y_1/I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y_1 \times_A (A/I)$. Then it follows from the assumption that $\widehat{f}^{\log} = \widehat{g}^{\log}$ and a similar argument to the argument used in the proof of Proposition A.11 (note that $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$ is faithfully flat) that the homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{Y_2, \bar{y}_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$ induced by f^{\log} (where we denote by $\bar{y}_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ the geometric point determined by the composite $\bar{y}_1 \rightarrow Y_1 \xrightarrow{f=g} Y_2$) coincides with the homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{Y_2, \bar{y}_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$ induced by g^{\log} . Therefore, f^{\log} coincides with g^{\log} on an étale neighborhood of the geometric point $\bar{y}_1 \rightarrow Y_1$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.2, this implies that f^{\log} coincides with g^{\log} on Y_1^{\log} . This completes the proof that the functor in question is faithful.

Next, let $\mathfrak{f}^{\log} : \widehat{Y}_1^{\log} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}_2^{\log}$ be a morphism in the category $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{X}^{\log}}$. By [2], Théorème 5.4.1, there exists a unique morphism $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ such that \widehat{f} coincides with the underlying morphism \mathfrak{f} of formal schemes of \mathfrak{f}^{\log} . Now if there exists an extension of the morphism f to a morphism of log schemes $f^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log}$ such that the morphism $\widehat{Y}_1^{\log} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}_2^{\log}$ induced by f^{\log} coincides with \mathfrak{f}^{\log} , then it is unique (cf. the proof of the faithfulness of the functor in question); therefore, it is enough to show that such an extension of f exists étale locally on Y_1^{\log} . Moreover, by Lemma 3.2, it is enough to show that for any geometric point of Y_1 whose image lies on Y_1/I , there exists such an extension of f on an étale neighborhood of the geometric point. To see this, let $\bar{y}_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ be a geometric point whose image lies on Y_1/I , and denote by $\bar{y}_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ the geometric point determined by the composite $\bar{y}_1 \rightarrow Y_1 \xrightarrow{f} Y_2$. If we denote by $P_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_2, \bar{y}_2}$ a clean chart at $\bar{y}_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ of the log structure of Y_2^{\log} , then there exists a chart $P_1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$ (where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$ is the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$ with respect to $I\mathcal{O}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$) of the log structure on $\text{Spf } \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$ which is

induced by the log structure of \widehat{Y}_1^{\log} , and a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_2 & \longrightarrow & P_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{Y_2, \bar{y}_2} & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}) \end{array}$$

such that the above diagram is a chart of the natural morphism $(\mathrm{Spf} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1})^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log}$. Note that the cleanness of the chart $P_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_2, \bar{y}_2}$ and the Kummerness of \mathfrak{f}^{\log} imply that the chart $P_1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$ is a clean chart at the geometric point $\bar{y}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$; thus, the top horizontal arrow $P_2 \rightarrow P_1$ is a Kummer morphism. In particular, the image of $P_1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$ and the image of $P_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_2, \bar{y}_2}$ are contained in the maximal ideals, respectively. Thus, by Lemma 3.4 (by considering the composite $P_1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$ is the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$ with respect to the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$), the morphism $P_1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$ factors through $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$; moreover, the resulting morphism $P_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1}$ is a clean chart at $\bar{y}_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ of the log structure of Y_1^{\log} . In particular, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_2 & \longrightarrow & P_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{Y_2, \bar{y}_2} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{Y_1, \bar{y}_1} \end{array}$$

is a chart of a morphism from an étale neighborhood of $\bar{y}_1 \rightarrow Y_1^{\log}$ to Y_2^{\log} for which the morphism $\widehat{Y}_1^{\log} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}_2^{\log}$ determined by this morphism coincides with \mathfrak{f}^{\log} . This completes the proof that the functor in question is full.

Finally, we prove that the functor is essentially surjective. Let $\mathfrak{Y}^{\log} \rightarrow \widehat{X}^{\log}$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{X}^{\log}}$. By [2], Théorème 5.4.1 and Proposition 5.4.4, there exists a unique noetherian scheme Y that is finite over X , and proper over S such that the completion \widehat{Y} of Y along $Y/I \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} Y \times_A (A/I)$ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{Y} . (Note that then the reducedness of \mathfrak{Y} implies that Y is reduced.) If there exists an fs log structure of Y such that the pull-back of the log structure to \widehat{Y} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$, then it is unique (cf. the proof of the fully faithfulness of the functor in question); therefore, it is enough to show that such an fs log structure exists étale locally on Y . Moreover, by Lemma 3.2, it is enough to show that for any geometric point of Y for which the image lies on Y/I , there exists such an fs log structure on an étale neighborhood of the geometric point.

By replacing X^{\log} by the log scheme obtained by equipping Y with the log structure induced by the log structure of X^{\log} via the morphism $Y \rightarrow X$, we may assume that the morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ is the identity morphism of X ; thus, we may assume that the underlying morphism of formal schemes of $\widehat{Y}^{\log} \rightarrow \widehat{X}^{\log}$ is the identity morphism of \widehat{X} . Let $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ be a geometric point of X whose image lies on X/I . Then we obtain the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spf} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{X} & \longrightarrow & X, \end{array}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}}$ is the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}$ with respect to $I\mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}$. Now we obtain a chart of the morphism $(\mathrm{Spf} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}})^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ (where the log structure of $(\mathrm{Spf} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}})^{\log}$ is induced by the log structure of \widehat{Y}^{\log})

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \longrightarrow & Q \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}}, \end{array}$$

where the left-hand vertical arrow $P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}$ is a clean chart at \bar{x} of X^{\log} , and the right-hand vertical arrow $Q \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}}$ is a chart of $(\mathrm{Spf} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}})^{\log}$. Note that the cleanness of the chart $P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}$ and the Kummerness of $\widehat{Y}^{\log} \rightarrow \widehat{X}^{\log}$ imply that the chart $Q \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}}$ is clean at the geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}}$; thus, $P \rightarrow Q$ is a Kummer morphism. In particular, the image of $P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}$ and the image of $Q \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}}$ are contained in the maximal ideals, respectively. Thus, by Lemma 3.4 (by considering the composite $Q \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}}$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}}$ is the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}$ with respect to the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}$), the chart $Q \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}}$ factors through $\mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}$. It thus follows that the log structure of \widehat{Y}^{\log} can be descended to an étale neighborhood of the geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$. \square

Remark 3.7. If, in Theorem 3.6, one drops the reducedness hypothesis, the conclusion no longer holds in general. A counter-example is as follows:

Let k be a field whose characteristic we denote by p (≥ 2), $A = k[[t]][\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$, $X = \mathbb{P}_A^1$, $U_0 = X \setminus \{0_A\}$, $U_\infty = X \setminus \{\infty_A\}$, and \mathfrak{X} (respectively, \mathfrak{U}_0 ; respectively, \mathfrak{U}_∞) the t -adic completion of X (respectively, U_0 ; respectively, U_∞). We denote by $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ the log structure on \mathfrak{X}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{N}_{\mathfrak{X}} \oplus (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \cap (\mathfrak{U}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X})_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_0}^*) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \\ (n, f) & \mapsto & \bar{\epsilon}^n \cdot f, \end{array}$$

where $\bar{\epsilon} = \epsilon \bmod (\epsilon^2)$. Thus, we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^* \simeq \mathbb{N}_{\mathfrak{X}} \oplus \mathbb{N}_{\{0_A\}}$. Let \mathcal{P} be the subsheaf of monoids of $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*$ generated by the global sections $(1, 1)$ and $(1, 0) \in \mathbb{N} \oplus \mathbb{N} \simeq (\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*)(\mathfrak{X})$ and $\mathcal{N}' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ the log structure on \mathfrak{X} determined by the composite $\mathcal{N}' \times_{\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*} \mathcal{P} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ (i.e., $\mathcal{N}' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is a log structure on \mathfrak{X} , whose characteristic sheaf $\mathcal{N}'/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{P}).

We shall denote by

$$\mathfrak{D}$$

the divisor on \mathfrak{X} determined by the t -completion of the (reduced) closed subscheme $\{0_A\} \subseteq X$, by

$$\mathcal{G}(m\mathfrak{D}) \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z})$$

the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf on \mathfrak{X} which corresponds to the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(m\mathfrak{D})$, by

$$\iota_{m \rightarrow m'} : \mathcal{G}(-m\mathfrak{D})|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}(-m'\mathfrak{D})|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \quad (m \geq m')$$

the isomorphism induced by the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(-m\mathfrak{D}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(-m'\mathfrak{D})$, and by

$$\mathcal{N}'_{n,m} \quad (n \geq m)$$

the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf on \mathfrak{X} obtained as the fiber product of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \{(n, m)\} & \\ & \downarrow & \\ \mathcal{N}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}, \end{array}$$

where $\{(n, m)\}$ is the sheaf of sets on X generated by the global section $(n, m) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{X})$ of \mathcal{P} , and the vertical arrow $\{(n, m)\} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is the natural inclusion.

Then, by the definition of the log structure $\mathcal{N}' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$, the following assertions hold:

- (i) \mathcal{N}' is generated by the $\mathcal{N}'_{n,m}$'s ($n \geq m$).
- (ii) The \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf $\mathcal{N}'_{n,m}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}(-m\mathfrak{D})$. We shall denote this isomorphism by

$$\phi_{n,m} : \mathcal{N}'_{n,m} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}(-m\mathfrak{D}).$$

- (iii) The monoid structure on \mathcal{N}' is determined by the composites

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}'_{n,m} \times \mathcal{N}'_{n',m'} & & \mathcal{N}'_{n+n',m+m'} \\ \phi_{n,m} \times \phi_{n',m'} \downarrow & & \uparrow \phi_{n+n',m+m'}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{G}(-m\mathfrak{D}) \times \mathcal{G}(-m'\mathfrak{D}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(-(m+m')\mathfrak{D}) \\ (f, f') & \mapsto & f \cdot f'. \end{array}$$

(iv) The restriction of $\mathcal{N}' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ to $\mathcal{N}'_{n,m}$ coincides with the composite

$$\mathcal{N}'_{n,m} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n,m}} \mathcal{G}(-m\mathfrak{D}) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \\ \mapsto \bar{\epsilon}^n \cdot \iota_{m \rightarrow 0}(f).$$

(v) Let $n \geq m \geq m'$ be natural numbers. Then the “glueing isomorphism” $\mathcal{N}'_{n,m} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}'_{n,m'} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0}$ (note that, by the definition of \mathcal{P} , the restrictions of the global sections $(0, m) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{X})$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$) to \mathfrak{U}_0 are 0, i.e., $(0, m) |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} = 0$; this means that “the restrictions of the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaves $\mathcal{N}'_{n,m}$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$) to \mathfrak{U}_0 determine the same subsheaf of $\mathcal{N}' |_{\mathfrak{U}_0}$ ”) is defined by the composite

$$\mathcal{N}'_{n,m} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n,m}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} \mathcal{G}(-m\mathfrak{D}) |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\iota_{m \rightarrow m'}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} \mathcal{G}(-m'\mathfrak{D}) |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n,m'}^{-1}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} \mathcal{N}'_{n,m'} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0}.$$

Let $f \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{U}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_0})$ be a section such that $1 + \bar{\epsilon} \cdot f$ is not in the image of the natural morphism $\Gamma(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{U_0}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathfrak{U}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_0})$ (for example, $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (t/x)^i$, where $1/x \in \Gamma(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{U_0}) \xrightarrow{\sim} A[1/x]$).

Now we define the log structure $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ as follows:

(I) Let $n \geq m$ be natural numbers. We shall denote by $\mathcal{M}_{n,m}$ a copy of $\mathcal{G}(-m\mathfrak{D})$, and by

$$\psi_{n,m} : \mathcal{M}_{n,m} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}(-m\mathfrak{D})$$

the “identity isomorphism”.

(II) Let $n \geq m \geq m'$ be natural numbers. Then we define an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{n,m} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{n,m'} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0}$ by the composite

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,m} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\psi_{n,m}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} \mathcal{G}(-m\mathfrak{D}) |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{G}(-m\mathfrak{D}) |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \\ \mapsto f \cdot (1 + \bar{\epsilon} \cdot f)^{m-m'} \\ \xrightarrow{\iota_{m \rightarrow m'}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} \mathcal{G}(-m'\mathfrak{D}) |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\psi_{n,m'}^{-1}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} \mathcal{M}_{n,m'} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0}.$$

Note that, by the definition, for $n \geq m \geq m' \geq m''$, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{n,m} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{M}_{n,m'} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_{n,m} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{M}_{n,m''} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0}, \end{array}$$

where all morphisms are the isomorphisms defined as above.

“By glueing by means of these isomorphisms”, we obtain a sheaf of sets \mathcal{M} on \mathfrak{X} . (See the note in (v). More precisely, by taking a quotient by means of these isomorphisms, we obtain \mathcal{M} .) Moreover, by the definition of \mathcal{M} , there is a natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^* \xrightarrow{\psi_{0,0}^{-1}} \mathcal{M}_{0,0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

(III) By the definition of the glueing isomorphism defined in (II), for $n_i \geq m_i \geq m'_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{n_1, m_1} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \times \mathcal{M}_{n_2, m_2} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{M}_{n_1, m'_1} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \times \mathcal{M}_{n_2, m'_2} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_{n_1+n_2, m_1+m_2} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{M}_{n_1+n_2, m'_1+m'_2} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0}, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are the glueing isomorphisms defined in (II) and the vertical arrows are the composites

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{n_1, l_1} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \times \mathcal{M}_{n_2, l_2} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} & & \mathcal{M}_{n_1+n_2, l_1+l_2} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \\ \psi_{n_1, m_1} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \times \psi_{n_2, m_2} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \downarrow & & \uparrow \psi_{n_1+n_2, m_1+m_2} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \\ \mathcal{G}(-l_1 \mathfrak{D}) |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \times \mathcal{G}(-l_2 \mathfrak{D}) |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(-(l_1+l_2) \mathfrak{D}) |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \\ (f, f') & \mapsto & f \cdot f' \\ (l_1 = m_1, m'_1; l_2 = m_2, m'_2). & & \end{array}$$

Thus, we define a monoid structure on \mathcal{M} by the composites (cf. (iii))

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{n_1, m_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{n_2, m_2} & & \mathcal{M}_{n_1+n_2, m_1+m_2} \\ \psi_{n_1, m_1} \times \psi_{n_2, m_2} \downarrow & & \uparrow \psi_{n_1+n_2, m_1+m_2}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{G}(-m_1 \mathfrak{D}) \times \mathcal{G}(-m_2 \mathfrak{D}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(-(m_1+m_2) \mathfrak{D}) \\ (f, f') & \mapsto & f \cdot f'. \end{array}$$

Moreover, by the definition of this monoid structure on \mathcal{M} , the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ obtained in (II) is a morphism of sheaves of monoids, and the quotient $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}^*$ is naturally isomorphic to \mathcal{P} .

(IV) By the definition of the glueing isomorphism defined in (II), for $n \geq m \geq m'$, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{n, m} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{M}_{n, m'} |_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_0} & \equiv & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_0}, \end{array}$$

and by

$$\rho_{n,m} : \mathcal{M}_{n,m} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{n,m}^{\text{alg}}$$

the isomorphism induced by the isomorphism $\rho : \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{alg}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}$. Then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G}(-\mathcal{D})|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,1}^{-1}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} & \mathcal{M}_{1,1}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{1,1}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} & \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}^{\text{alg}}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,0}^{-1}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} & \mathcal{M}_{1,0}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{1,0}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} & \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,0}^{\text{alg}}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the glueing morphisms. Now, by (II), the composite

$$\mathcal{G}(-\mathcal{D})|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,1}^{-1}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} \mathcal{M}_{1,1}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\text{glueing}} \mathcal{M}_{1,0}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,0}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} \mathcal{G}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\iota_{1,0}^{-1}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} \mathcal{G}(-\mathcal{D})|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}$$

coincides with

$$\mathcal{G}(-\mathcal{D})|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}(-\mathcal{D})|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \\ f \quad \mapsto \quad f \cdot (1 + \bar{\epsilon} \cdot f),$$

i.e., by the assumption on \mathfrak{f} , it is not algebraizable. On the other hand, the composite

$$\mathcal{G}(-\mathcal{D})|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,1}^{\text{alg}-1}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}^{\text{alg}}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\text{glueing}} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,0}^{\text{alg}}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,0}^{\text{alg}}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} \mathcal{G}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\iota_{1,0}^{-1}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}} \mathcal{G}(-\mathcal{D})|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}$$

(where $\widehat{\psi}_{n,m}^{\text{alg}} = \psi_{n,m} \circ \rho_{n,m}^{-1}$) is algebraizable. (Indeed, this follows from the fact that the properness of X implies that the isomorphism $\widehat{\psi}_{n,m}^{\text{alg}}$ is algebraizable, together with the fact that the glueing isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}^{\text{alg}}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{1,0}^{\text{alg}}|_{\mathfrak{U}_0}$ is defined on U_0 .) Therefore, we obtain a contradiction. This completes the proof that $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is not algebraizable.

Moreover, if we denote by \mathcal{Q} the subsheaf of monoids of \mathcal{P} generated by the global sections (p, p) and $(p, 0) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ and by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ the log structure on \mathfrak{X} determined by the composite $\mathcal{M} \times_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$, then the inclusion $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ induces a natural morphism of log formal schemes

$$(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$$

which is finite and Kummer. On the other hand, the log formal scheme $(\mathfrak{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ is algebraizable. (Indeed, this follows from the fact that $(1 + \bar{\epsilon} \cdot \mathfrak{f})^p = 1$ is algebraizable.)

Remark 3.8. In light of the classical algebraization theory of formal schemes (for example, the theory considered in [2], §5), one might expect that *data of a finite nature on a compact object should be algebraizable*. However, as Remark 3.7 shows, this is not the case in the algebraization theory of log schemes. (Note that Kummerness of a morphism of log schemes is of a finite nature.)

By applying Theorem 3.6, we obtain the following corollary. Note that the corollary generalizes [15], Théorème 2.2, (a). (In [15], Théorème 2.2, (a), the underlying scheme of the base log scheme is assumed to be the spectrum of a complete discrete valuation ring.)

Corollary 3.9. *Let S^{\log} be an fs log scheme whose underlying scheme S is the spectrum of a complete local ring whose maximal ideal (respectively, residue field) we denote by \mathfrak{m} (respectively, k), X^{\log} a log regular fs log scheme, and $X^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}$ a proper morphism. Then the strict closed immersion $X_0^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X^{\log} \times_{S^{\log}} s^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ induces a natural equivalence of the category of ket coverings over X^{\log} and the category of ket coverings over X_0^{\log} , where s^{\log} is the log scheme obtained by equipping $\text{Spec } k$ with the log structure induced by the log structure of S^{\log} via the closed immersion $s \rightarrow S$ induced by the natural projection $A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m} \simeq k$. In particular, if X^{\log} is connected, then X_0^{\log} is also connected, and $\pi_1(X_0^{\log}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(X^{\log})$.*

Proof. We may assume that X^{\log} is connected. First, we prove that the functor is fully faithful. Let $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is a connected ket covering. Then if we denote by $Y \rightarrow S' \rightarrow S$ the Stein factorization of the underlying morphism of the composite $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}$, then the connectedness of Y and the surjectivity of $Y \rightarrow S'$ implies that S' is connected. Since S is the spectrum of the complete ring and $S' \rightarrow S$ is finite, it thus follows that $Y \times_S \text{Spec } k$, hence also, $Y^{\log} \times_{S^{\log}} s^{\log}$ is connected (note that $s^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}$ is strict). Therefore, by the general theory of Galois categories, the functor in question is fully faithful.

Next, we prove that the functor is essentially surjective. Let $Y_0^{\log} \rightarrow X_0^{\log}$ be a connected ket covering. Then it follows from [16], Théorème 0.1 that there exists a unique connected ket covering $Y_n^{\log} \rightarrow X_n^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X^{\log} \times_{S^{\log}} S_n^{\log}$ such that $Y_n^{\log} \times_{S_n^{\log}} s^{\log} \simeq Y_0^{\log}$, where S_n^{\log} is the log scheme obtained by equipping $\text{Spec } (A/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1})$ with the log structure induced by the log structure of S^{\log} via the closed immersion induced by the natural projection $A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$. Now we denote by \mathfrak{Y}^{\log} the log noetherian formal scheme obtained by the system $\{Y_n^{\log}\}_n$. Note that, by considering the characteristic sheaf $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{Y}}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}^*$ of \mathfrak{Y}^{\log} , one may conclude that the log structure of \mathfrak{Y}^{\log} is fs; and

that by the construction of \mathfrak{Y}^{\log} , the fiber product $\mathfrak{Y}^{\log} \times_{S^{\log}} S_n^{\log}$ is naturally isomorphic to Y_n^{\log} .

We denote by \mathfrak{X}^{\log} the log completion of X^{\log} along X_0 . Now It follows from the properness of $X \rightarrow S$ and the fact that A is complete that X is excellent. Now since $Y_0^{\log} \rightarrow X_0^{\log}$ is Kummer, $\mathfrak{Y}^{\log} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\log}$ is also Kummer; moreover, since $Y_n \rightarrow X_n$ is finite, $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is also finite. Next, to see that \mathfrak{Y} is reduced, by taking a geometric point $\bar{y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ of \mathfrak{Y} , and replacing X by $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}$ (where $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ is the geometric point obtained by the composite $\bar{y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$), we may assume that X is the spectrum of a strictly henselian local ring. (Note that the finiteness of $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ implies that there exists a strictly henselian local ring R_Y that is finite over $\mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}$ such that $\mathfrak{Y} = \text{Spf } \widehat{R}_Y$, where \widehat{R}_Y is the completion of R_Y with respect to $\mathfrak{m}R_Y$.) Then it follows from the fact that $Y_0^{\log} \rightarrow X_0^{\log}$ is a ket covering and Proposition A.4 that there exists a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}} / \mathfrak{m} \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_Y & \longrightarrow & R_Y / \mathfrak{m} R_Y, \end{array}$$

where $P_X = (\mathcal{M}_{X_0} / \mathcal{O}_{X_0}^*)_{\bar{x}}$, $P_Y = (\mathcal{M}_{Y_0} / \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}^*)_{\bar{y}}$ and the horizontal arrows are clean charts such that the natural morphism $(\mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}} / \mathfrak{m} \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P_X]} \mathbb{Z}[P_Y] \rightarrow R_Y / \mathfrak{m} R_Y$ is an isomorphism. It follows from the fact that these clean charts lift to clean charts of X_n and Y_n that this isomorphism lifts to an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P_X]} \mathbb{Z}[P_Y] \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{R}_Y$ (where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}}$ is the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}$ with respect to the ideal $\mathfrak{m} \mathcal{O}_{X, \bar{x}}$). Thus, by [9], Theorems 4.1; 8.2 and the log regularity of X^{\log} , we obtain that \widehat{R}_Y is normal, hence reduced.

Thus, by Theorem 3.6, there exists a unique finite Kummer fs log scheme Y^{\log} over X^{\log} whose log completion of along $Y \times_S s$ is naturally isomorphic to \mathfrak{Y}^{\log} . Moreover, it follows from the fact that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, \bar{x}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P_X]} \mathbb{Z}[P_Y] \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{R}_Y$ (in the preceding paragraph) is an isomorphism that $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is a ket covering. \square

4 Morphisms of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$

In this Section, we define the notion of a morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ and consider fundamental properties of such a morphism.

Definition 4.1. Let X^{\log} and Y^{\log} be fs log schemes, $f^{\log} : Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a morphism of log schemes.

(i) Let n be a natural number. We shall refer to $f^{\log} : Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ as a *morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$* if

- the underlying morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of schemes is an isomorphism;
- for any geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ of X and any clean chart

(an étale neighborhood $U \rightarrow X$ of $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$, $\alpha : P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$)

of X^{\log} at $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$, there exist an étale morphism $V \rightarrow U$, a clean chart

$$(V \rightarrow U \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} Y, Q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V)$$

of Y^{\log} at the geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} Y$ (i.e., $V \rightarrow U \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} Y$ is an étale neighborhood of the geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} Y$), and an isomorphism $\iota : Q \xrightarrow{\sim} P \oplus \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ such that the morphism $Q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V$ is given by

$$Q \xrightarrow{\sim} P \oplus \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_V$$

$$(p, m_1, \dots, m_n) \mapsto \alpha(p) |_V \cdot 0^{m_1 + \dots + m_n},$$

and f^{\log} is determined by the morphism of monoids:

$$P \longrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\sim} P \oplus \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$$

$$p \longmapsto (p, 0, \dots, 0).$$

(ii) We shall refer to $f^{\log} : Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ as a *morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus *}$* if

- the underlying morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism;
- for any point $x \in X$ of X , there exists a Zariski open neighborhood $U \subseteq X$ of $x \in X$ such that the base-change $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U^{\log} \rightarrow U^{\log}$ is morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ for some natural number n . Here, U^{\log} is the log scheme obtained by equipping U with the log structure induced by the log structure of X^{\log} .

Remark 4.2. A typical example of a morphism of type \mathbb{N} is as follows: Let X be a regular scheme, and $D \subseteq X$ a prime divisor of X such that the closed immersion $D \hookrightarrow X$ is regular immersion (of codimension 1). We denote by X^{\log} the log scheme obtained by equipping X with the log structure associated to the divisor D , and by D^{\log} the log scheme obtained by equipping D with the log structure induced by the log structure of X^{\log} via $D \hookrightarrow X$. Then the morphism $D^{\log} \rightarrow D$ induced by the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_D^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_D$ is of type \mathbb{N} .

Remark 4.3. In this Section, we often use the notation $\underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ to denote a morphism of type \mathbb{N}^* . Moreover, we often identify the underlying scheme of \underline{X}^{\log} with X via the underlying morphism of schemes of the morphism of type \mathbb{N}^* .

Remark 4.4. In the notation of Definition 4.1, there exists a splitting $Q \xrightarrow{\sim} P \oplus (Q/P)$; moreover, it is *canonical*. In fact, by the definition of a morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, the quotient Q/P of Q by P is isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ non-canonically. We denote by e_i the element of Q/P that corresponds to $(0, \dots, \overset{i\text{-th}}{1}, \dots, 0)$ under the non-canonical isomorphism $Q/P \simeq \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$. Then, by the existence of the (non-canonical) isomorphism $Q \xrightarrow{\sim} P \oplus \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, there exists a unique element \tilde{e}_i of Q such that;

- \tilde{e}_i modulo P is e_i ,
- \tilde{e}_i is an irreducible element of P (Definition A.3).

Thus, the section

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q/P & \longrightarrow & Q \\ e_i & \mapsto & \tilde{e}_i \end{array}$$

of the natural projection $Q \rightarrow Q/P$ induces a canonical splitting $Q \simeq P \oplus (Q/P)$. Moreover, the image of \tilde{e}_i via the morphism which appears in the chart $Q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V$ is 0.

Lemma 4.5. *A morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ is stable under base-change in the category of fs log schemes.*

Proof. Let X^{\log} be a fs log scheme, $f^{\log} : \underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, and $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a morphism of fs log schemes. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xleftarrow{\text{étale}} & V & \longrightarrow & U & \xrightarrow{\text{étale}} & X \\ & & Q & \longleftarrow & P & & \\ & & \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{O}_V & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{O}_U & & \end{array}$$

be an fs chart of $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$. Then the underlying scheme of the fiber product of \underline{X}^{\log} and Y^{\log} over X^{\log} in the category of *arbitrary* log schemes is Y , and this fiber product has a chart

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q \oplus \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_V \\ (p, m_1, \dots, m_n) & \mapsto & \alpha(p) \cdot 0^{m_1 + \dots + m_n}. \end{array}$$

Now $Q \oplus \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ is an fs monoid. Thus, this fiber product is also the fiber product in the category of fs log schemes. Moreover, it follows immediately from the definition of a morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ that the projection $\underline{X}^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} Y^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ is type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$. \square

Definition 4.6. Let X be a scheme, and $\mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ fs log structures on X . Let X_1^{\log} (respectively, X_2^{\log}) be the log scheme obtained by equipping X with the log structure $\mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ (respectively, $\mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$). Then the natural morphism $X_1^{\log} \times_X X_2^{\log} \rightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism between the underlying schemes of $X_1^{\log} \times_X X_2^{\log}$ and X . We shall denote by $\mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ the log structure of $X_1^{\log} \times_X X_2^{\log}$ on X .

Remark 4.7.

- (i) In the notation of Definition 4.6, for any geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$; there exist an étale neighborhood $U \rightarrow X$ of $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$, fs monoids P_1 and P_2 , and morphisms of monoids $\alpha_1 : P_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$ and $\alpha_2 : P_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$ such that $\alpha_1 : P_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$ (respectively, $\alpha_2 : P_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$) is an fs chart of \mathcal{M}_1 (respectively, \mathcal{M}_2) at $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$. Then there exists an fs chart of the log structure $\mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ at $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ that is of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_1 \oplus P_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_U \\ (p_1, p_2) & \mapsto & \alpha_1(p_1) \cdot \alpha_2(p_2). \end{array}$$

In particular, $(\mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2)/\mathcal{O}_X^* \simeq (\mathcal{M}_1/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \oplus (\mathcal{M}_2/\mathcal{O}_X^*)$.

- (ii) In the notation of Definition 4.6, for any morphism of scheme $f : Y \rightarrow X$, $f^*(\mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2) = f^*(\mathcal{M}_1) + f^*(\mathcal{M}_2)$ (where f^* denotes the pull-back of log structures, not of sheaves).
- (iii) Let X be a regular scheme, and $D = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \subseteq X$ a divisor with normal crossings. If we denote by $\mathcal{M}(D)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{M}(D_i)$) the log structure of X defined by the divisor with normal crossings D (respectively, D_i), then $\mathcal{M}(D) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}(D_i)$.
- (iv) Clearly, $(\mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2) + \mathcal{M}_3 = \mathcal{M}_1 + (\mathcal{M}_2 + \mathcal{M}_3)$.

Remark 4.8. Let X^{\log} be an fs log scheme, and $f^{\log} : \underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$. Then we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}_X^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_X/\mathcal{O}_X^* \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_X^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}/\mathcal{O}_X^* \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}/\mathcal{M}_X & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}}, \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{f\log}$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*$ by the subsheaf $\mathcal{M}_X/\mathcal{O}_X^*$. Then, by the definition of a morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, $\mathcal{C}_{f\log}$ is locally constant, and the stalk at any geometric point of X is non-canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$. (Indeed, this follows from the existence of the chart in Definition 4.1.) Moreover, by Remark 4.4, the sheaf $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*$ admits a *canonical splitting* $(\mathcal{M}_X/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{f\log}$.

Now the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n})$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group on n letters, hence, in particular, is finite. (Indeed, this follows from the fact that any automorphism of $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ preserves the irreducible elements of $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, together with the fact that the irreducible elements of $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ are the e_i 's [where $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{i\text{-th}}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$].) More generally, by Proposition A.2, if P is a clean monoid, then $\text{Aut}(P)$ is a finite group.) Since $\mathcal{C}_{f\log}$ is locally constant, and the stalk at any geometric point of X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, it thus follows that there exists a finite étale covering $X' \rightarrow X$ such that the pull-back of $\mathcal{C}_{f\log}$ to X' is constant. (Indeed, this follows from the fact that since the sheaf of sets of isomorphisms between $\mathcal{C}_{f\log}$ and $\mathbb{N}_X^{\oplus n}$ on the étale site of X is locally constant, and has finite stalks, there exists a finite étale covering $X' \rightarrow X$ such that the restriction of the sheaf to X' is constant.) Moreover, since $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{N})$ is trivial, if $n = 1$, then $\mathcal{C}_{f\log}$ is always constant.

On the other hand, in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^* \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}^{\text{gp}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^* \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{C}_{f\log}^{\text{gp}} \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 0 & & 0,
\end{array}$$

all vertical and horizontal sequences are exact. Now the sheaf $\mathcal{C}_{f\log}^{\text{gp}}$ is locally constant, and the stalk at any geometric point is non-canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_X^{\oplus n}$. By Remark 4.4, the sheaf $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*$ admits a *canonical splitting* $(\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{f\log}^{\text{gp}}$.

Definition 4.9. Let X^{\log} be a connected fs log scheme.

- (i) Let $f^{\log} : \underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ be a morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$. Then we shall refer to f^{\log} as a *morphism of constant type* $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ if $\mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}}$ (in the notation of Remark 4.8) is constant. Let f^{\log} be a morphism of constant type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$. Then we shall refer to an isomorphism $\tau : \mathbb{N}_X^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}}$ as a *trivialization* of f^{\log} . Note that, by the portion of Remark 4.8 concerning the case “ $n = 1$ ”, any morphism of type \mathbb{N} is of constant type \mathbb{N} ; moreover, such a morphism has a canonical trivialization.
- (ii) For pairs (f_i^{\log}, τ_i) ($i = 1, 2$), where $f_i^{\log} : X_i^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is a morphism of constant type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ and τ_i is a trivialization of f_i^{\log} , we shall say that (f_1^{\log}, τ_1) is equivalent to (f_2^{\log}, τ_2) if there exists an isomorphism of fs log schemes $g^{\log} : X_1^{\log} \rightarrow X_2^{\log}$ over X^{\log} such that the trivialization of f_1^{\log} induced by the isomorphism $(g^{\log})^* : \mathcal{M}_{X_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{X_1}$ and τ_2 coincides with τ_1 .
- (iii) We shall denote by $\mathbb{M}_{X^{\log}}$ the set of pairs (f^{\log}, τ) , where f^{\log} is a morphism of constant type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ to X^{\log} and τ is a trivialization of f^{\log} modulo the equivalence defined in (ii).
- (iv) We shall denote by ι the morphism $\mathbb{M}_{X^{\log}} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)^{\oplus n}$ defined as follows:

Let $(f^{\log} : \underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}, \tau)$ be an element of $\mathbb{M}_{X^{\log}}$. Then the middle horizontal sequence in the second diagram in Remark 4.8 determines a connecting morphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^0(X, \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*).$$

Now since one has a canonical splitting $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^* \simeq (\mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}}^{\text{gp}}$ and a natural isomorphism $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \simeq \text{Pic}(X)$, we obtain a morphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^0(X, \mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \oplus H_{\text{ét}}^0(X, \mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}}^{\text{gp}}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X).$$

For the element $e_i = (0, \dots, \overset{i\text{-th}}{1}, \dots, 0)$ of $H_{\text{ét}}^0(\mathbb{Z}_X^{\oplus n}) = \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n}$, let us denote by \mathcal{L}_i the image of e_i via the composite

$$H_{\text{ét}}^0(X, \mathbb{Z}_X^{\oplus n}) \xrightarrow{\text{via } \tau^{\text{gp}}} H_{\text{ét}}^0(X, \mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}}^{\text{gp}}) \longrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(X, \mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \oplus H_{\text{ét}}^0(X, \mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}}^{\text{gp}}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X),$$

where the second arrow is $x \mapsto (0, x)$, and the third arrow is as above. Then we shall write $\iota(f^{\log}, \tau) = (\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n)$.

- (v) We shall denote by κ the morphism $\text{Pic}(X)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{X^{\log}}$ defined as follows:

Let $(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n)$ be an element of $\text{Pic}(X)^{\oplus n}$. We denote by V_i the geometric line bundle defined by the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}_i^{\otimes(-1)}$ (i.e., the spectrum of the symmetric algebra of \mathcal{L}_i over X), by $p_i : V_i \rightarrow X$ the natural morphism, by $s_i : X \rightarrow V_i$ the 0-section of p_i , by $p : V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V_1 \times_X \dots \times_X V_n \rightarrow X$ the natural morphism, and by $s : X \rightarrow V$ the section $s_1 \times_X \dots \times_X s_n$ of p . Let V^{\log} be the log scheme obtained by equipping V with the log structure $\mathcal{M}_V = p^*\mathcal{M}_X + \mathcal{M}(D_1) + \dots + \mathcal{M}(D_n)$ (cf. Definition 4.6), where D_i is the divisor on V defined by the following cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_i & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_i \\ X & \xrightarrow{s_i} & V_i, \end{array}$$

and $\mathcal{M}(D_i)$ is a log structure defined by the divisor D_i . (See Remark 4.10 below.) Then we obtain a natural morphism of log schemes $p^{\log} : V^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ whose underlying morphism of schemes is p . If we denote by \underline{X}^{\log} the log scheme obtained by equipping X with the log structure $s^*\mathcal{M}_V$, then it is immediate that the composite $f^{\log} : \underline{X}^{\log} \xrightarrow{s^{\log}} V^{\log} \xrightarrow{p^{\log}} X^{\log}$ is of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, where s^{\log} is the strict morphism whose underlying morphism of schemes is s . On the other hand, since

$$\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}} = s^*(p^*\mathcal{M}_X + \mathcal{M}(D_1) + \dots + \mathcal{M}(D_n)) = \mathcal{M}_X + s^*\mathcal{M}(D_1) + \dots + s^*\mathcal{M}(D_n),$$

it follows that

$$\mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}} \simeq (s^*\mathcal{M}(D_1)/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \oplus \dots \oplus (s^*\mathcal{M}(D_n)/\mathcal{O}_X^*)$$

(cf. Remark 4.7, (i)). Now, by the portion of Remark 4.8 concerning the case “ $n = 1$ ”, $s^*\mathcal{M}(D_i)/\mathcal{O}_X^*$ is constant, i.e., there exists a canonical isomorphism $\tau_i : \mathbb{N}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} s^*\mathcal{M}(D_i)/\mathcal{O}_X^*$. Thus, $\mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}}$ is constant. Let us define a trivialization τ of $f^{\log} = p^{\log} \circ s^{\log}$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{N}_X^{\oplus n} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & (s^*\mathcal{M}(D_1)/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \oplus \dots \oplus (s^*\mathcal{M}(D_n)/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \\ (m_1, \dots, m_n) & \mapsto & (\tau_1(m_1), \dots, \tau_n(m_n)). \end{array}$$

Then we shall write $\kappa(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n) = (p^{\log} \circ s^{\log}, \tau)$.

Remark 4.10. For a positive Cartier divisor D on a scheme X , we denote by $\mathcal{M}(D)$ the log structure on X that is defined as follows:

Let us denote by $\mathcal{G}_D \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf on (the étale site of) X that is determined by $-D$, and by $\mathcal{G}_D^i \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor

sheaf on X that is obtained by applying a “change of structure of group” to \mathcal{G}_D via the morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m \\ f & \mapsto & f^i. \end{array}$$

Write $\mathcal{M}(D)' = \sqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_D^i$. Then the natural morphisms $\mathcal{G}_D^i \times \mathcal{G}_D^j \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_D^{i+j}$ determine a natural structure of sheaf of monoids on $\mathcal{M}(D)'$. Moreover, the composite $\mathcal{G}_D \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ (the first inclusion arises from the fact that the invertible sheaf determined by the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf \mathcal{G}_D is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$) induces a homomorphism $\mathcal{M}(D)' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ of sheaves of monoids. Then we define the log structure $\mathcal{M}(D)$ as the log structure associated to the above pre-log structure $\mathcal{M}(D)' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$.

Note that, if X is regular, and D is a divisor with normal crossings, then this log structure $\mathcal{M}(D)$ coincides with the log structure defined in [8], 1.5.1.

Remark 4.11. Let X^{\log} be a connected fs log scheme, $f^{\log} : \underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a morphism of constant type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, and $\tau : \mathbb{N}_X^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}}$ a trivialization. We write $\iota(f^{\log}, \tau) = (\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n)$. If we denote by \mathcal{G}_i the subsheaf of $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}$ defined by the following cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_i & \longrightarrow & 0 \oplus \{e_{i,X}\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}/\mathcal{O}_X^* \simeq) (\mathcal{M}_X/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}} \end{array}$$

(where $\{e_{i,X}\}$ is the subsheaf of $\mathbb{N}_X^{\oplus n}$ whose sections correspond to $e_i = (0, \dots, \overset{i\text{-th}}{1}, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}}$), then \mathcal{G}_i is a \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf on X . Moreover, it is a tautology that the invertible sheaf determined by the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf \mathcal{G}_i is naturally isomorphic to \mathcal{L}_i .

Lemma 4.12. *Let X^{\log} be a connected fs log scheme, $f^{\log} : \underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a morphism of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$.*

- (i) *Then there exists a unique morphism $g^{\log} : \underline{\underline{X}}^{\log} \rightarrow X$ of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$ and a unique morphism $\underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow \underline{\underline{X}}^{\log}$ such that the resulting morphism $\underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow \underline{\underline{X}}^{\log} \times_X X^{\log}$ is an isomorphism, i.e., $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}} = \mathcal{M}_X + \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\underline{X}}}$.*
- (ii) *Moreover, we assume that f^{\log} is of constant type. Then the morphism $g^{\log} : \underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow X$ (obtained in (i)) is also of constant type. Let τ be a trivialization of g^{\log} . Then there exist morphisms $g_i^{\log} : \underline{X}_i^{\log} \rightarrow X$ of type \mathbb{N} ($1 \leq i \leq n$), whose canonical trivialization (see Definition 4.9, (i)) we denote by τ_i , such that the following hold:*

- (1) The morphism $\underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow X$ factors through $g_i^{\log} : \underline{X}_i^{\log} \rightarrow X$, the resulting morphism

$$\underline{X}^{\log} \longrightarrow \underline{X}_1^{\log} \times_X \cdots \times_X \underline{X}_n^{\log}$$

is an isomorphism, i.e., $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}} = \mathcal{M}_X + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}_i}$.

- (2) The composite

$$\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\tau_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \tau_n} \mathcal{C}_{g_1^{\log}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{g_n^{\log}} \xrightarrow{\text{via (1)}} \mathcal{C}_{g^{\log}}$$

coincides with τ .

- (3) $\iota(g^{\log}, \tau) = (\iota(g_1^{\log}, \tau_1), \cdots, \iota(g_n^{\log}, \tau_n))$.

Proof. First, we prove assertion (i). By Remark 4.8, we have a canonical section $\mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}/\mathcal{O}_X^*$. We define the sheaf of monoids $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}$ by the following cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_X/\mathcal{O}_X^*. \end{array}$$

Then since the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ factors through $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}$, the composite $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ (where the second morphism $\mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is the log structure of \underline{X}^{\log}) is a log structure on X ; moreover, the injection $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ induces the morphism $\underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow \underline{X}^{\log}$ (where \underline{X}^{\log} is the log scheme obtained by equipping X with the log structure $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$). On the other hand, it follows from the fact that the stalk of $\mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}}$ at any geometric point of X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, together with the fact that the image of \tilde{e}_i via the morphism $Q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V$ is 0 in the notation of Remark 4.4 that the morphism $\underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow X$ induced by the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}$ is of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$. Now, by construction and the fact that f^{\log} is of type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, the resulting morphism $\underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow \underline{X}^{\log} \times_X X^{\log}$ is an isomorphism.

Next, we prove assertion (ii). Let us denote by \mathcal{M}_i the subsheaf of $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}$ defined by the following cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_i & \longrightarrow & 0 \oplus \mathbb{N}_X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}/\mathcal{O}_X^* \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{M}_X/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{g^{\log}} \xleftarrow{\text{id} \oplus \tau} (\mathcal{M}_X/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \oplus \mathbb{N}_X^{\oplus n}), \end{array}$$

where the right-hand vertical arrow is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \oplus \mathbb{N}_X & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{M}_X/\mathcal{O}_X^*) \oplus \mathbb{N}_X^{\oplus n} \\ (0, n_X) & \mapsto & (0, n \cdot e_{i,X}). \end{array}$$

Then the composite $\mathcal{M}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is a log structure. Moreover, if we denote by \underline{X}_i^{\log} the log scheme obtained by equipping X with the log structure $\mathcal{M}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ and by $g_i^{\log} : \underline{X}_i^{\log} \rightarrow X$ the morphism determined by the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_i$, then the g_i^{\log} satisfies conditions (1), (2), and (3) in the statement of Lemma 4.12, (ii). \square

Theorem 4.13. *Let X^{\log} be a connected fs log scheme. Then ι is a bijection. The inverse of ι is κ .*

Proof. By Lemma 4.12, (i), the morphism $\mathbb{M}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{X^{\log}}$ induced by the morphism $X^{\log} \rightarrow X$ (determined by the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$) is a bijection. Therefore, we may assume that the log structure of X^{\log} is trivial. Moreover, by Lemma 4.12, (ii), we may assume $n = 1$.

First, we prove that $\kappa \circ \iota$ is the identity morphism. Let $f^{\log} : \underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of type \mathbb{N} . If we denote by \mathcal{G} the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf defined in Remark 4.11, then it is a tautology that the restriction to X of the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf on V that corresponds to the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_V(-X)$ (where we regard X as a Cartier divisor on V via the 0-section $X \rightarrow V$) is naturally isomorphic to the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf that corresponds to the conormal sheaf of X in V ($= \iota(f^{\log})$), i.e., \mathcal{G} . Therefore, the pull-back to X of the log structure on V associated to the divisor X (cf. Remark 4.10) is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{X}}$.

Next, we prove that $\iota \circ \kappa$ is the identity morphism. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on X . If we denote by \mathcal{G} the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf that corresponds to \mathcal{L} , then it is a tautology that the restriction to X of the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf that corresponds to the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_V(-X)$ (where we regard X as a Cartier divisor on V via the 0-section $X \rightarrow V$) is naturally isomorphic to the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf that corresponds to the conormal sheaf of X in V ($= \mathcal{L}$), i.e., \mathcal{G} . Therefore, the restriction of the invertible sheaf to X that corresponds to the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor sheaf obtained by the log structure on V associated to the divisor X (cf. Remark 4.11) is naturally isomorphic to \mathcal{L} . \square

Remark 4.14. In the notation of Remark 4.2, the invertible sheaf on D which corresponds to the morphism $D^{\log} \rightarrow D$ of type \mathbb{N} is the conormal sheaf $\mathcal{C}_{D/X}$ of D in X by the definition of ι .

Definition 4.15. Let X^{\log} be a connected fs log scheme, $f^{\log} : \underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a morphism of constant type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, $\tau : \mathbb{N}_X^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}}$ a trivialization of f^{\log} , and $\iota(f^{\log}, \tau) = (\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n)$. We shall denote by $\pi_i : P_i \rightarrow X$ the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle associated to the locally free sheaf $\mathcal{L}_i \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$, by $s_i^0 : X \rightarrow P_i$ (respectively, $s_i^\infty : X \rightarrow P_i$) is the section of π_i induced by the projection $\mathcal{L}_i \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ (respectively, $\mathcal{L}_i \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_i$) (see Remark 4.16 below), by $\pi : P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_1 \times_X \cdots \times_X P_n \rightarrow X$ the natural morphism, and by $s^0 : X \rightarrow P$ the section $s_i^0 \times_X \cdots \times_X s_i^0$ of π . We shall denote by P^{\log} the log scheme obtained by equipping P with the log structure $\mathcal{M}_P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi^* \mathcal{M}_X + \mathcal{M}(D_1^0) + \cdots + \mathcal{M}(D_n^0) + \mathcal{M}(D_1^\infty) + \cdots + \mathcal{M}(D_n^\infty)$, where D_i^0 (respectively, D_i^∞) is the divisor on P defined by the following cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_i^0 & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{s_i^0} & P_i \end{array} \quad \text{(respectively,)} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D_i^\infty & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{s_i^\infty} & P_i \end{array},$$

and $\mathcal{M}(D_i^0)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{M}(D_i^\infty)$) is the log structure defined by the divisor D_i^0 (respectively, D_i^∞). Then we obtain a natural morphism of log schemes $\pi^{\log} : P^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ whose underlying morphism of schemes is π ; moreover, by Theorem 4.13, the log scheme obtained by equipping X with the log structure $(s^0)^* \mathcal{M}_P$ is isomorphic to \underline{X}^{\log} , and the composite $\underline{X}^{\log} \xrightarrow{(s^0)^{\log}} P^{\log} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\log}} X^{\log}$ is f^{\log} , where $(s^0)^{\log}$ is the strict morphism whose underlying morphism of schemes is s^0 . We shall refer to $\pi^{\log} : P^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ as the *log $\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}$ -torsor associated to (f^{\log}, τ)* or, alternatively, to $(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n)$. Note that π^{\log} is projective and log smooth.

Remark 4.16. Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free sheaf of rank n on a locally noetherian scheme X , $V \rightarrow X$ the geometric vector bundle associated to \mathcal{E} , and $P \rightarrow X$ (respectively, $P' \rightarrow X$) the \mathbb{P}^n -bundle (respectively, the \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle) associated to the locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}^\vee \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$ (respectively, \mathcal{E}^\vee) (where $\mathcal{E}^\vee = \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_X)$), and $P' \hookrightarrow P$ the closed immersion over X determined by the projection $\mathcal{E}^\vee \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee$. Then V is naturally isomorphic to the complement of P' in P .

Indeed, it follows immediately from construction that $P \setminus P' \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle of rank n over X . Moreover, for an open subscheme $U \hookrightarrow X$ of X , a section of $(P \setminus P')|_U \rightarrow U$ corresponds to the isomorphic class of the following data:

- An invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on U .

- A surjection $\pi : \mathcal{E}^\vee|_U \oplus \mathcal{O}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that the composite $\mathcal{O}_U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee|_U \oplus \mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{L}$ does not vanish on U . (We denote by $s \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{L})$ the section of \mathcal{L} determined by the above composite $\mathcal{O}_U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee|_U \oplus \mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{L}$.)

It is immediate that then $\mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism, and if we denote by $\phi_U(s)$ the section of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}|_U)$ determined by the composite $\mathcal{E}^\vee|_U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee|_U \oplus \mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{s^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_U$ for the above data, then the assignment

$$(\mathcal{L}, \pi : \mathcal{E}^\vee|_U \oplus \mathcal{O}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}) \mapsto \phi_U(s)$$

determines a bijection between the set of sections of $(P \setminus P')|_{U \rightarrow U}$ and $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{E}|_U)$; therefore, $P \setminus P' \rightarrow X$ is isomorphic to $V \rightarrow X$. Moreover, by the above correspondence ϕ_X between the set of sections of $P \setminus P' \rightarrow X$ and $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}|_X)$, the 0-section $X \rightarrow V$ of $V \rightarrow X$ corresponds to the pair $(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{E}^\vee \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} \mathcal{O}_X)$.

The main result of this Section is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.17. *Let X^{\log} be a locally noetherian connected fs log scheme, $f^{\log} : \underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a morphism of constant type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, $\tau : \mathbb{N}_X^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{f^{\log}}$ a trivialization of f^{\log} , and $\pi^{\log} : P^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ the log $\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}$ -torsor associated to (f^{\log}, τ) . Then $(s^0)^{\log} : \underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow P^{\log}$ induces a natural equivalence between the Galois category of ket coverings of P^{\log} and the Galois category of ket coverings of \underline{X}^{\log} , i.e., $\pi_1((s^0)^{\log})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. (Step 1) *If X is the spectrum of a field k , and the log structure of X is trivial, then $\pi_1((s^0)^{\log})$ is an isomorphism.*

By base-changing, we may assume that k is separably closed. Moreover, by Proposition 2.4, we may assume $n = 1$. Then it follows from Lemma 4.18, (ii) below that $\pi_1((s^0)^{\log})$ is an isomorphism.

(Step 2) *If X is the spectrum of a separably closed field k , then $\pi_1((s^0)^{\log})$ is surjective. (We denote by $\alpha : M \rightarrow k$ a clean chart of X^{\log} .)*

We write $R = k[[M]]$, and $S = \text{Spec } R$. Let S^{\log} be the log scheme obtained by equipping S with the log structure associated to the chart given by the natural morphism $M \rightarrow R$. Then, by [9], Theorem 3.1, S^{\log} is log regular. Write $(\underline{S}^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}, \tau_S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \kappa(\mathcal{O}_S, \dots, \mathcal{O}_S)$, and denote by $P_S^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}$ the log $\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}$ -torsor associated to $(\mathcal{O}_S, \dots, \mathcal{O}_S)$, and by $(s^0)_S^{\log}$ the closed immersion $\underline{S}^{\log} \rightarrow P_S^{\log}$. Then we obtain the following cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{X}^{\log} & \xrightarrow{(s^0)^{\log}} & P^{\log} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{S}^{\log} & \xrightarrow{(s^0)_S^{\log}} & P_S^{\log} \end{array}$$

We denote by K the field of fractions of R , and by $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow S^{\log}$ the strict morphism whose underlying morphism corresponds to the natural inclusion $R \hookrightarrow K$. Then we obtain the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\underline{X}^{\log} & \xrightarrow{(s^0)^{\log}} & P^{\log} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\underline{S}^{\log} & \xrightarrow{(s^0)_S^{\log}} & P_S^{\log} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
(\text{Spec } K)^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{S}^{\log} \times_{S^{\log}} \text{Spec } K & \xrightarrow{(s^0)_K^{\log}} & P_K^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_S^{\log} \times_{S^{\log}} \text{Spec } K
\end{array}$$

(where the two squares are cartesian).

Now, in the above diagram, the following hold:

- (i) $\pi_1((\text{Spec } K)^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(P_K^{\log})$ is an isomorphism. (This follows from Step 1.)
- (ii) $\pi_1(P_K^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(P_S^{\log})$ is surjective. (This follows from the fact that if we denote by η_{P_S} the generic point of P_S [note that since S^{\log} is log regular, P_S is also log regular], then $\pi_1(\eta_{P_S}) \rightarrow \pi_1(P_S^{\log})$ is surjective, together with the fact that $\eta_{P_S} \rightarrow P_S^{\log}$ factors through P_K^{\log} .)
- (iii) $\pi_1(\underline{S}^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(P_S^{\log})$ is surjective. (This follows from (i) and (ii).)
- (iv) $\pi_1(\underline{X}^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\underline{S}^{\log})$ is an isomorphism. (This follows from Proposition A.8.)
- (v) $\pi_1(P^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(P_S^{\log})$ is an isomorphism. (This follows from Corollary 3.9.)

Therefore, by (iii), (iv) and (v), $\pi_1((s^0)^{\log})$ is surjective.

(Step 3) *If X is the spectrum of a strictly henselian local ring A whose residue field is k , then $\pi_1((s^0)^{\log})$ is an isomorphism. (We denote by $(\text{Spec } k =) \bar{x} \rightarrow X$ the closed point of X , and by $\alpha : M \rightarrow A$ a clean chart of X^{\log} .)*

First, we prove that $\pi_1((s^0)^{\log})$ is surjective. Let $Q^{\log} \rightarrow P^{\log}$ be a connected ket covering of P^{\log} . If we denote by $Q \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X$ the Stein factorization of the composite $Q \rightarrow P \rightarrow X$, then since Q is connected, and $Q \rightarrow X'$ is surjective, we obtain that X' is connected. Now since X is the spectrum of a strictly henselian local ring, and X' is finite over X , $X' \times_X \bar{x}$, hence also $Q \times_X \bar{x}$ is connected. Thus, by base-changing by $\bar{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$, we

may assume that X is the spectrum of a separably closed field k . Then the surjectivity in question follows from Step 2.

Next, we prove that $\pi_1((s^0)^{\log})$ is injective. Let $Y^{\log} \rightarrow \underline{X}^{\log}$ be a connected ket covering. Then, by Proposition A.4, Y^{\log} is of the form $\text{Spec}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[M \oplus \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}]} \mathbb{Z}[N])$ for some fs monoid N and some Kummer morphism $M \oplus \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow N$. If we denote by W^{\log} the log scheme obtained by equipping $\text{Spec}(A[t_1, \dots, t_n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[M \oplus \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}]} \mathbb{Z}[N])$ (where the morphism $M \oplus \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M \oplus \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n} &\longrightarrow A[t_1, \dots, t_n] \\ (p, m_1, \dots, m_n) &\mapsto \alpha(p) \cdot t_1^{m_1} \cdots t_n^{m_n} \end{aligned}$$

with the log structure induced by the chart given by the natural morphism $N \rightarrow A[t_1, \dots, t_n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[M \oplus \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}]} \mathbb{Z}[N]$, then the natural morphism

$$W^{\log} = (\text{Spec}(A[t_1, \dots, t_n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[M \oplus \mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}]} \mathbb{Z}[N]))^{\log} \rightarrow (\text{Spec} A[t_1, \dots, t_n])^{\log} = V^{\log} (\subseteq P^{\log})$$

(where the equality $\text{Spec} A[t_1, \dots, t_n] = V$ is obtained by regarding t_i as the “coordinate” of V determined by $\mathcal{L}_i[\simeq \mathcal{O}_X]$) is a connected ket covering, and $W^{\log} \times_{V^{\log}} \underline{X}^{\log}$ is Y^{\log} . Thus, the ket covering Y^{\log} over \underline{X}^{\log} extends to a ket covering W^{\log} over V^{\log} . Therefore, we obtain the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y^{\log} & \longrightarrow & W^{\log} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{X}^{\log} & \longrightarrow & V^{\log} \longrightarrow P^{\log}. \end{array}$$

Now, by the log purity theorem, the connected ket covering $W^{\log} \rightarrow V^{\log}$ extends to a connected ket covering of P^{\log} . Thus, the morphism $\pi_1(\underline{X}^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(P^{\log})$ is an isomorphism.

(Step 4) *The general case.*

We will show that the functor $\text{Két}(P^{\log}) \rightarrow \text{Két}(\underline{X}^{\log})$ induced by the morphism $(s^0)^{\log} : \underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow P^{\log}$ is an equivalence. First, we prove that the functor is fully faithful. It is immediate that the functor is faithful (indeed, this follows from the existence of a log geometric point of P^{\log} that factors through \underline{X}^{\log} and the general theory of Galois categories). Thus, it is enough to show that the functor is full. Let $Q_1^{\log} \rightarrow P^{\log}$ and $Q_2^{\log} \rightarrow P^{\log}$ be ket coverings over P^{\log} , and $g^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_1^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} \underline{X}^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_2^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} \underline{X}^{\log}$. Then, by Step 3, there exists a strict étale surjection $X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ such that the morphism $g'^{\log} : Y_1'^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2'^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y_2^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log}$ over $\underline{X}'^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{X}^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log}$ obtained as the base-change of g^{\log} by $X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ extends to a morphism $\tilde{g}'^{\log} : Q_1'^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log} \rightarrow$

$Q_2^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y_2^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log}$ over $P'^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log}$. (Indeed, by Step 3, for any geometric point of X , there exists an étale neighborhood $U \rightarrow X$ of the geometric point such that if we denote by $U^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ the strict morphism whose underlying morphism of schemes is the morphism $U \rightarrow X$, then the base-change of g^{\log} by $U^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ extends to a morphism $Q_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U^{\log} \rightarrow Q_2^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U^{\log}$. Thus, if we denote by X'^{\log} the disjoint union of such a U^{\log} 's, then $X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ satisfies the above condition.) Let us denote by q_1^{\log} (respectively, q_2^{\log}) the 1-st (respectively, 2-nd) projection $P'^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} P'^{\log} \rightarrow P'^{\log}$. Now it follows immediately from the fact that the functor $\text{Két}(P'^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} P'^{\log}) \rightarrow \text{Két}(\underline{X}'^{\log} \times_{\underline{X}^{\log}} \underline{X}'^{\log})$ induced by the morphism $\underline{X}'^{\log} \times_{\underline{X}^{\log}} \underline{X}'^{\log} \rightarrow P'^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} P'^{\log}$ determined by $(s^0)^{\log}$ is faithful that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} q_1^{\log * } Q_1^{\log} & \xrightarrow{q_1^{\log * } \tilde{g}^{\log}} & q_1^{\log * } Q_2^{\log} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ q_2^{\log * } Q_1^{\log} & \xrightarrow{q_2^{\log * } \tilde{g}^{\log}} & q_2^{\log * } Q_2^{\log} , \end{array}$$

where $q_i^{\log * }$ denotes the pull-back of each object over P'^{\log} to an object over $P^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} P'^{\log}$ via q_i^{\log} , and the vertical arrows are the isomorphisms that arise from the fact that $Q_i^{\log} \rightarrow P'^{\log}$ arises from $Q_i^{\log} \rightarrow P^{\log}$. Thus, by Lemma 4.19 below, \tilde{g}^{\log} extends to a morphism $\tilde{g}^{\log} : Q_1^{\log} \rightarrow Q_2^{\log}$. Since the base-change of \tilde{g}^{\log} by $\underline{X}'^{\log} \rightarrow P^{\log}$ is g^{\log} , we conclude that \tilde{g}^{\log} is an extension of g^{\log} .

Next, we prove that the functor is essentially surjective. Let $Y^{\log} \rightarrow \underline{X}^{\log}$ be a ket covering over \underline{X}^{\log} . Then, by Step 3, there exists a strict étale surjection $X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ such that the ket covering $Y'^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log} \rightarrow \underline{X}'^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{X}^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log}$ extends to a ket covering $Q'^{\log} \rightarrow P'^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log}$. Let us denote by q_1^{\log} (respectively, q_2^{\log}) the 1-st (respectively, 2-nd) projection $P'^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} P'^{\log} \rightarrow P'^{\log}$. Now, by replacing the strict étale surjection $X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ by the composite $X''^{\log} \rightarrow X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$, where $X''^{\log} \rightarrow X'^{\log}$ is a strict étale surjection, if necessary, we may assume that the isomorphism over X'^{\log} that arises from the fact that $Y'^{\log} \rightarrow \underline{X}'^{\log}$ arises from $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ extends to an isomorphism $q_1^{\log * } Q'^{\log} \xrightarrow{\sim} q_2^{\log * } Q'^{\log}$, where $q_i^{\log * }$ denotes the pull-back of a ket covering over P'^{\log} to an object over $P^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} P'^{\log}$ via q_i^{\log} . (It follows from Step 3 and a similar argument to the argument used in the proof that the functor in question is fully faithful [to show the existence of $X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$] that such a strict étale surjection $X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ exists.) Moreover, since the functor $\text{Két}(P'^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} P'^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} P'^{\log}) \rightarrow \text{Két}(X'^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log})$ induced

by the morphism $X'^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} X'^{\log} \rightarrow P'^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} P'^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} P'^{\log}$ determined by $(s^0)^{\log}$ is faithful, this isomorphism $q_1^{\log*} Q'^{\log} \xrightarrow{\sim} q_2^{\log*} Q'^{\log}$ satisfies the cocycle condition for being a descent datum. Thus, by Lemma 4.19 below, the ket covering $Q'^{\log} \rightarrow P'^{\log}$ extends to a ket covering $Q^{\log} \rightarrow P^{\log}$. Moreover, since $Q^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} \underline{X}^{\log} \times_{\underline{X}^{\log}} \underline{X}'^{\log}$ equipped with descent data with respect to $X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is naturally isomorphic to Y'^{\log} equipped with descent data with respect to $X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$, we obtain that $Q^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} \underline{X}^{\log}$ is naturally isomorphic to Y^{\log} over X^{\log} . \square

Lemma 4.18. *Let k be a separably closed field whose (not necessarily positive) characteristic we denote by p , $(\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log}$ the log scheme obtained by equipping the projective line \mathbb{P}_k^1 with the log structure associated to the divisor $\{0, \infty\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^1$, $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^1$ the interior of $(\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log}$ (so $U = \mathbb{G}_m$), and $(\text{Spec } k)^{\log} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log}$ the strict morphism for which the image of the underlying morphism of schemes is $\{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^1$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) *The morphism $\pi_1(U) \rightarrow \pi_1((\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log})$ is an isomorphism.*
- (ii) *The morphism $\pi_1((\text{Spec } k)^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1((\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. First, we prove assertion (i). If we denote by η the geometric point of \mathbb{P}_k^1 , then it follows from the fact that the natural morphism $\eta \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log}$ induces a surjection $\pi_1(\eta) \rightarrow \pi_1((\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log})$, together with the fact that the natural morphism $\eta \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log}$ factors through U that $\pi_1(U) \rightarrow \pi_1((\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log})$ is surjective. Moreover, since any connected finite étale covering over U is of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & = & \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m = U \\ & & f \quad \mapsto \quad f^n \end{array}$$

for some positive integer n that is prime to p , it is easily seen that any finite étale covering over U extends to a ket covering over $(\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log}$; thus, $\pi_1(U) \rightarrow \pi_1((\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log})$ is injective. Therefore, $\pi_1(U) \rightarrow \pi_1((\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log})$ is an isomorphism.

Next, we prove assertion (ii). We denote by $(\mathbb{A}_k^1)^{\log} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log}$ the strict morphism whose underlying morphism of schemes is the natural open immersion $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ (where we regard \mathbb{A}_k^1 as $\mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$). By (i), the restriction to $(\mathbb{A}_k^1)^{\log}$ of any connected ket covering over $(\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\log}$ is of the form $X^{\log} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{A}_k^1)^{\log} \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_k^1)^{\log}$, where X^{\log} is the log scheme obtained by equipping \mathbb{A}_k^1 with the log structure associated to the divisor $\{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^1$, and the underlying morphism of schemes of this ket covering $X^{\log} \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_k^1)^{\log}$ is determined by the morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[t] & \longrightarrow & k[t] \\ t & \mapsto & t^n \end{array}$$

for some positive integer n that is prime to p . It thus follows immediately from this fact and Proposition A.4 that $\pi_1((\mathrm{Spec} k)^{\mathrm{log}}) \rightarrow \pi_1((\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{\mathrm{log}})$ is an isomorphism. \square

Lemma 4.19. *Let X^{log} be a fs log scheme, and $f^{\mathrm{log}} : Y^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$ a strict étale surjection. Then f^{log} induces a natural equivalence between the category of ket coverings of X^{log} and the category of ket coverings of Y^{log} equipped with descent data with respect to f^{log} .*

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that the property of being a ket covering is étale local, together with [16], Proposition 4.4. \square

The following corollary follows immediately from Theorem 2.3 and 4.17.

Corollary 4.20. *Let X^{log} be a log regular connected fs log scheme, $f^{\mathrm{log}} : \underline{X}^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$ a morphism of constant type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, $\tau : \mathbb{N}_X^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{f^{\mathrm{log}}}$ a trivialization of f^{log} , and $\pi^{\mathrm{log}} : P^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$ the log $\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}$ -torsor associated to (f^{log}, τ) . Then for any strict geometric point $\bar{x}^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$ of X^{log} , the following sequence is exact:*

$$\varprojlim \pi_1(\underline{X}^{\mathrm{log}} \times_{X^{\mathrm{log}}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\mathrm{log}}) \xrightarrow{s} \pi_1(\underline{X}^{\mathrm{log}}) \xrightarrow{\pi_1(f^{\mathrm{log}})} \pi_1(X^{\mathrm{log}}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Here the projective limit is over all reduced covering points $\bar{x}_\lambda^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow \bar{x}^{\mathrm{log}}$, and s is induced by the natural projections $\underline{X}^{\mathrm{log}} \times_{X^{\mathrm{log}}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow \underline{X}^{\mathrm{log}}$. In, particular, by means of a natural isomorphism

$$\varprojlim \pi_1(\underline{X}^{\mathrm{log}} \times_{X^{\mathrm{log}}} \bar{x}_\lambda^{\mathrm{log}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)^{\oplus n}$$

obtained in Remark 4.21 below, we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow \pi_1(\underline{X}^{\mathrm{log}}) \xrightarrow{\pi_1(f^{\mathrm{log}})} \pi_1(X^{\mathrm{log}}) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where p is the characteristic of the residue field of the image of the underlying schemes of the strict geometric point $\bar{x}^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$, and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)$ is the pro-prime to p quotient of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$.

Remark 4.21. Let k be a separably closed field whose (not necessarily positive) characteristic we denote by p , and S^{log} an fs log scheme whose underlying scheme S is the spectrum of k . Let $f^{\mathrm{log}} : \underline{S}^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow S^{\mathrm{log}}$ be a morphism of constant type $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$, and τ a trivialization of f^{log} .

Let $P \rightarrow k$, $Q \rightarrow k$ be respective clean charts of S^{log} , $\underline{S}^{\mathrm{log}}$ given in Definition 4.1. Then, as is well-known, the log fundamental group $\pi_1(S^{\mathrm{log}})$

(respectively, $\pi_1(\underline{S}^{\log})$) is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(P^{\text{gp}}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1))$ (respectively, $\text{Hom}(Q^{\text{gp}}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1))$), where $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)$ is the pro-prime to p quotient of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$ (cf. e.g., [7], Example 4.7). Moreover, the morphism $\pi_1(\underline{S}^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^{\log})$ induced by f^{\log} is the morphism

$$\text{Hom}(Q^{\text{gp}}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(P^{\text{gp}}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1))$$

induced by $P \rightarrow Q$ in Definition 4.1. In particular, the kernel of $\pi_1(\underline{S}^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^{\log})$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(Q^{\text{gp}}/P^{\text{gp}}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1))$. Now the trivialization τ induces a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\sim} Q^{\text{gp}}/P^{\text{gp}}$. Therefore, we obtain a *natural isomorphism*

$$(\varprojlim \pi_1(\underline{S}^{\log} \times_{S^{\log}} S_\lambda^{\log}) \xrightarrow{\sim}) \text{Ker}(\pi_1(\underline{S}^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^{\log})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)^{\oplus n},$$

where the projective limit is over all reduced covering points $S_\lambda^{\log} \rightarrow S^{\log}$.

Proposition 4.22. *Let X^{\log} be a log regular connected fs log scheme over a field k whose (not necessarily positive) characteristic we denote by p , $U_X \subseteq X$ the interior of X^{\log} , and $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n$ invertible sheaves on X . Let $\pi^{\log} : P^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ be the log $\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}$ -torsor associated to $(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n)$. If the condition (*) below is satisfied, then, in the following exact sequence obtained in Corollary 4.20*

$$(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)^{\oplus n} \simeq) \varprojlim \pi_1(P^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \overline{x}_\lambda^{\log}) \xrightarrow{s} \pi_1(P^{\log}) \xrightarrow{\pi_1(\pi^{\log})} \pi_1(X^{\log}) \longrightarrow 1,$$

the first morphism is injective.

(*) *For any integer i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and any positive integer N that is prime to p , there exists a covering $V \rightarrow U_X$ tamely ramified along $X \setminus U_X$ and an invertible sheaf \mathcal{N} such that $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_i|_V$.*

Proof. If we denote by $P_i^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ the log \mathbb{G}_m -torsor associated to \mathcal{L}_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), then there exists a natural isomorphism $P^{\log} \xrightarrow{\sim} P_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \dots \times_{X^{\log}} P_n^{\log}$ over X^{\log} . Thus, if the assertion in the case where $n = 1$ is verified, then the composite

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(P_i^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \overline{x}^{\log}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k=1}^n \pi_1(P_k^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \overline{x}^{\log}) & \xleftarrow{\prod_{k=1}^n \text{pr}_k} & \pi_1(P^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \overline{x}^{\log}) \\ e & \mapsto & (0, \dots, \overset{i\text{-th}}{e}, \dots, 0) & & \\ \longrightarrow & & \pi_1(P^{\log}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1(\text{pr}_j)} & \pi_1(P_j^{\log}) \end{array}$$

is injective (respectively, zero) if $i = j$ (respectively, if $i \neq j$). Therefore, to complete the proof of Proposition 4.22, we may assume that $n = 1$. Write

$\mathcal{L} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{L}_1$. Let N be a positive integer that is prime to p . Note that it is enough to show that the N -th (cyclic) ket covering over $P^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}$ lifts to a ket covering $Q^{\log} \rightarrow P^{\log}$ over P^{\log} to complete the proof of Proposition 4.22.

We denote by $Q_V^{\log} \rightarrow V$ the log \mathbb{G}_m -torsor associated to \mathcal{N} (in the condition (*)), and by $Q_V \rightarrow P \times_X V$ the morphism determined by the following composite:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}^{\otimes N} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{L} \mid_V \\ f & \mapsto & f^{\otimes N} & & \end{array}$$

Then it follows from the definition of a log \mathbb{G}_m -torsor associated to an invertible sheaf that the morphism $Q_V \rightarrow P \times_X V$ extends to a morphism of log schemes $Q_V^{\log} \rightarrow P^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} V$; thus, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Q_V^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} U_P & \longrightarrow & & & U_P & & \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\ Q_V^{\log} & \longrightarrow & P^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} V & \longrightarrow & P^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X & \longrightarrow & P^{\log} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & V & \longrightarrow & U_X & \longrightarrow & X^{\log}, \end{array}$$

where U_P is the interior of P^{\log} , and the three squares are cartesian. It follows immediately from the construction of Q_V^{\log} that the log structure of $Q_V^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} U_P$ is trivial, and that the top horizontal arrow $Q_V^{\log} \times_{P^{\log}} U_P = Q_V \times_P U_P \rightarrow U_P$ is finite étale.

Now I claim the normalization Q of U_P in $Q_V \times_P U_P$ is tamely ramified over P along $P \setminus U_P$. Indeed, this claim may be verified follows: Now every point a of $P \setminus U_P$ with $\dim \mathcal{O}_{P,a} = 1$ is either

- (i) the generic point of a (reduced) divisor on P determined by s^0 or s^∞ (see Definition 4.15), or
- (ii) the generic point of a (reduced) divisor on P which is the pull-back of a reduced divisor on X whose generic point x is a point of $X \setminus U_X$ with $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = 1$.

Thus, it is easily verified that the normalization Q of U_P in $Q_V \times_P U_P$ is tamely ramified over P along $P \setminus U_P$. Therefore, by the log purity theorem (cf. Remark 1.10), the covering extends to a ket covering $Q^{\log} \rightarrow P^{\log}$. Moreover, by the construction of the morphism $Q_V \rightarrow P \times_X V$, for any strict geometric point $\bar{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ of X^{\log} , the restriction of the ket covering $Q^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log} \rightarrow P^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log}$ to any of the connected components of $Q^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log}$ is the N -th (cyclic) covering over $P^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log}$. \square

Definition 4.23. In the notation of Proposition 4.22, we shall refer to the extension of $\pi_1(X^{\log})$ by $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)^{\oplus n}$

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(P^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(P^{\log}) \xrightarrow{\pi_1((s^0)^{\log})} \pi_1(X^{\log}) \longrightarrow 1$$

as the *extension of $\pi_1(X^{\log})$ by $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)^{\oplus n}$ associated to $(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n)$* . More generally, for a set of prime numbers Σ which does not contain p , we shall refer to the extension of $\pi_1(X^{\log})$ by $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(\Sigma)}(1)^{\oplus n}$

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(P^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x})/N \longrightarrow \pi_1(P^{\log})/N \xrightarrow{\text{via } \pi_1((s^0)^{\log})} \pi_1(X^{\log}) \longrightarrow 1$$

(where N is the kernel of the composite of the natural isomorphism $\pi_1(P^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)^{\oplus n}$ and the surjection $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(\Sigma)}(1)^{\oplus n}$ induced by the natural projection $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(\Sigma)}(1)$) naturally obtained from the extension of $\pi_1(X^{\log})$ by $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)^{\oplus n}$ associated to $(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n)$ as the *extension of $\pi_1(X^{\log})$ by $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(\Sigma)}(1)^{\oplus n}$ associated to $(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n)$* .

Remark 4.24. If we denote by $\mathcal{S}(\pi_1(U_X))$ (respectively, $(U_X)_{\text{ét}}$) the classifying site of $\pi_1(U_X)$ (i.e., the site defined by considering the category of finite sets equipped with a continuous action of $\pi_1(U_X)$ [and coverings given by surjections of such sets]) (respectively, the étale site of U_X), then the natural morphism of sites

$$(U_X)_{\text{ét}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\pi_1(U_X))$$

induces a natural morphism

$$H^n(\pi_1(U_X), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)) \longrightarrow H^n_{\text{ét}}(U_X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)).$$

If the morphism $H^2(\pi_1(U_X), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)) \rightarrow H^2_{\text{ét}}(U_X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1))$ is an *isomorphism*, then, by a similar argument to the argument used in the proof of [11], Lemma 4.3, any invertible sheaf on X satisfies the condition $(*)$ in Proposition 4.22. Moreover, if the morphism

$$H^2(\pi_1(X^{\log}), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)) \longrightarrow H^2(\pi_1(U_X), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1))$$

induced by the natural surjection $\pi_1(U_X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X^{\log})$ is an *isomorphism*, then, by a similar argument to the argument used in the proof of [11], Lemma 4.4, the extension of $\pi_1(X^{\log})$ associated to \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to the extension of $\pi_1(X^{\log})$ by $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)$ determined by the (étale-theoretic) first Chern class (see [11], Definition 4.1.) of the invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} via the isomorphisms

$$H^2(\pi_1(X^{\log}), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(\pi_1(U_X), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2_{\text{ét}}(U_X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)).$$

(Now, by means of the natural bijection in [13], Theorem 1.2.5, we identify the set of equivalence classes of extensions of $\pi_1(X^{\log})$ by $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)$ with $H^2(\pi_1(X^{\log}), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1))$.) Moreover, then the extension of $\pi_1(X^{\log})$ associated to $(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n)$ is isomorphic to the fiber product of the extensions of $\pi_1(X^{\log})$ by $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p')}(1)$ determined by the (étale-theoretic) first Chern classes of the invertible sheaves \mathcal{L}_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

A Appendix

In this Section, we prove the well-known fact that the category of ket coverings of a connected locally noetherian fs log scheme is a *Galois category*; this implies, in particular, the existence of log fundamental groups.

Definition A.1. Let P be a monoid. We shall say that P is *clean* if P is an fs monoid and $P^* = \{0\}$ (where P^* is the set of invertible elements of P).

For example,

- $\mathbb{N}^{\oplus n}$
- the stalk of the characteristic sheaf of an fs log scheme at any geometric point

are clean.

Definition A.2. Let P be a torsion-free fs monoid. We shall denote by $(1/n)P$ the monoid $\{p \in P^{\text{gp}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \mid np \in \text{Im}(P \hookrightarrow P^{\text{gp}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})\}$. Note that the natural inclusion $P \hookrightarrow P^{\text{gp}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ factors through $(1/n)P$. Thus, we always assume that $(1/n)P$ is a P -monoid via the natural inclusion $P \hookrightarrow (1/n)P$. Moreover, the morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (1/n)P & \longrightarrow & (1/n)P \\ p & \longmapsto & np \end{array}$$

factors through P ($\subseteq (1/n)P$). On the other hand, the resulting morphism $(1/n)P \rightarrow P$ is an isomorphism. We shall denote by $(1/n)_P$ the inverse isomorphism $P \rightarrow (1/n)P$.

Proposition A.1. *Let P be a torsion-free fs monoid, and Q a monoid. Then for any Kummer morphism $f : P \rightarrow Q$, there exists a positive natural number n such that the natural inclusion $P \hookrightarrow (1/n)P$ factors as a composite $P \xrightarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} (1/n)P$. Moreover, then $n \cdot (1/n)P \subseteq \text{Im}g$. If Q is integral and torsion-free, then g is injective. In particular, g is Kummer.*

Proof. Since f is Kummer, there exists a positive natural number n such that $n \cdot Q \subseteq \text{Im} f$. Thus, it follows from the injectivity of f that for any $q \in Q$, there exists a *unique* element $p_q \in P$ such that $nq = f(p_q)$. Now define $g : Q \rightarrow (1/n)P$ by $q \mapsto (1/n)_P(p_q)$. It is immediate that g is a homomorphism of monoids and $g \circ f(p) = p$ for any $p \in P$. Moreover, for any $(1/n)_P(p) \in (1/n)P$, $n((1/n)_P(p)) = p = g \circ f(p)$; hence $n((1/n)_P(p)) \in \text{Im} g$.

It remains to show that if Q is integral and torsion-free, then g is Kummer. If $g(q) = g(q')$, then $nq = nq'$. Since Q is integral and torsion-free, $q = q'$; thus, g is injective. \square

Definition A.3. Let P be a monoid. We shall refer to an element $p \in P$ as *irreducible* if p satisfies the following:

If $p = p_1 + p_2$, then $p_1 = 0$ or $p_2 = 0$.

Proposition A.2. *Let P be a clean monoid.*

- (i) *The set of irreducible elements is the smallest set which generates P . In particular, the set is finite.*
- (ii) *The group of automorphisms of P is finite.*

Proof. First, we prove assertion (i). It follows immediately from the definition of irreducible elements that the set of irreducible elements is contained in any subset of P which generates P . Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\} \subseteq P$ be a minimal set which generates P . Assume p_i is not irreducible. Then there exist natural numbers n_1, \dots, n_r such that $p_i = n_1 p_1 + \dots + n_r p_r$, and $2 \leq n_1 + \dots + n_r$. If $n_i \neq 0$, then $n_1 p_1 + \dots + (n_i - 1) p_i + \dots + n_r p_r = 0$. However, since $P^* = \{0\}$, we obtain a contradiction. Thus, $n_i = 0$. However, since we are operating under the assumption that $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\} \subseteq P$ is a minimal set which generates P , we obtain a contradiction. Therefore, p_i is irreducible. This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we prove assertion (ii). Since any automorphism of P preserves the irreducible elements of P , we obtain a natural homomorphism from the group of automorphisms of P to the group of permutations of the set of irreducible elements of P . Since the set of irreducible elements of P generates P by (i), this homomorphism is injective. On the other hand, since the set of irreducible elements of P is finite by (i), we conclude that the group of automorphism of P is also finite. \square

Proposition A.3.

- (i) *Let L be a torsion-free finitely generated abelian group, and P a finitely generated submonoid of L . Then the submonoid $\tilde{P} = \{l \in L \mid nl \in P \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of L is finitely generated.*

(ii) Let P be a torsion-free fs monoid, and Q a integral torsion-free saturated monoid. Let $f : P \rightarrow Q$ be a Kummer morphism. Then Q is finitely generated.

Proof. First we prove assertion (i). Let us fix elements $p_1, \dots, p_r \in P$ of P which generate P . We denote by C_P the cone in $L_{\mathbb{R}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ generated by P (i.e., $C_P = \{c_1 p_1 + \dots + c_r p_r \in L_{\mathbb{R}} \mid c_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$). Then it is immediate that $\tilde{P} \subseteq C_P \cap L$ (in $L_{\mathbb{R}}$). Therefore, for any $l \in \tilde{P}$ there exist $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_i \in [0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$l = (n_1 + c_1) \cdot p_1 + \dots + (n_r + c_r) \cdot p_r.$$

Here, since the set $S = \{c_1 p_1 + \dots + c_r p_r \in \tilde{P} \mid c_i \in [0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}\}$ is contained in the intersection of L and a bounded subset of C_P , S is finite. Moreover, any element of \tilde{P} is written by a sum of an element of P and an element of S ; therefore, since \tilde{P} is generated by p_1, \dots, p_r , and this finite set S , \tilde{P} is finitely generated.

Next, we prove assertion (ii). By Proposition A.1, the natural inclusion $P \rightarrow (1/n)P$ factors as a composite $P \xrightarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} (1/n)P$ of f and a Kummer morphism g . By taking the groups associated to P , Q , and $(1/n)P$, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P & \xrightarrow{f} & Q & \xrightarrow{g} & (1/n)P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P^{\text{gp}} & \xrightarrow{f^{\text{gp}}} & Q^{\text{gp}} & \xrightarrow{g^{\text{gp}}} & (1/n)P^{\text{gp}}. \end{array}$$

Note that the all arrows in the above diagram are injective, and that Q^{gp} is a torsion-free finitely generated abelian group. Now we denote by \tilde{P} the submonoid $\{q \in Q^{\text{gp}} \mid nq \in P \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of Q^{gp} . I claim that $\tilde{P} = Q$. Indeed, if $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{P}$, then $\tilde{p} \in Q^{\text{gp}}$ and $n\tilde{p} \in P \subseteq Q$. Thus, the saturatedness of Q implies that $\tilde{p} \in Q$. If $q \in Q$, then by the Kummerness of f , $q \in \tilde{P}$; therefore $\tilde{P} = Q$. Thus, by (i), $\tilde{P} = Q$ is finitely generated. \square

Proposition A.4. Let X^{log} be an fs log scheme whose underlying scheme X is the spectrum of a strictly henselian local ring A . Let us fix a global clean chart $P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$. (cf. Definition 1.3.) Then any connected ket covering of X^{log} is of the form $(X \times_{\mathbb{Z}[P]} \mathbb{Z}[Q])^{\text{log}} \rightarrow X^{\text{log}}$, where $P \rightarrow Q$ is a Kummer morphism of fs monoids such that $nQ \subseteq \text{Im}(P \rightarrow Q)$ for some integer n invertible on X , and the log structure of $(X \times_{\mathbb{Z}[P]} \mathbb{Z}[Q])^{\text{log}}$ is induced by the natural morphism $Q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P]} \mathbb{Z}[Q]$. Conversely, if $Y^{\text{log}} \rightarrow X^{\text{log}}$ has this form, then it is a ket covering.

Proof. The last assertion is immediate from the definition. Let $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ be a connected ket covering. Then since $Y \rightarrow X$ is finite, Y is affine. Let us write $Y = \text{Spec } B$. Since $A \rightarrow B$ is finite, and Y is connected, B is a strictly henselian local ring. By [8], Theorem 3.5, there exists an fs chart $Q \rightarrow B$ of Y^{\log} and a chart

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } B & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[Q] & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[P] \end{array}$$

of $X^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ such that the following conditions hold:

- (i) $P \rightarrow Q$ is injective, and the cokernel of $P^{\text{gp}} \rightarrow Q^{\text{gp}}$ is finite and of order n invertible on A .
- (ii) $\text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P]} \mathbb{Z}[Q]$ is étale.
- (iii) $P \rightarrow Q/(Q \rightarrow B)^{-1}(B^*)$ is Kummer.

By conditions (i) and (iii), $P \rightarrow Q$ is Kummer, and satisfies $nQ \subseteq \text{Im}(P \rightarrow Q)$. Moreover, since $\mathbb{Z}[P] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Q]$ is finite, $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P]} \mathbb{Z}[Q]$ is a strictly henselian local ring. Thus, it follows from the fact that $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P]} \mathbb{Z}[Q] \rightarrow B$ is finite and étale that $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P]} \mathbb{Z}[Q]$ is isomorphic to B . \square

Proposition A. 5. *Let X^{\log} , Y^{\log} , and Z^{\log} be locally noetherian fs log schemes, and $f^{\log} : X^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ and $g^{\log} : Y^{\log} \rightarrow Z^{\log}$ morphisms. Then if g^{\log} and $h^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g^{\log} \circ f^{\log}$ are ket coverings, then so is f^{\log} .*

Proof. The finiteness of f is clear. For the log étaleness of f^{\log} , we consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T'^{\log} & \xrightarrow{s'^{\log}} & X^{\log} \\ i^{\log} \downarrow & & \downarrow f^{\log} \\ T^{\log} & \xrightarrow{s^{\log}} & Y^{\log} \\ & & \downarrow g^{\log} \\ & & Z^{\log}, \end{array}$$

where $T'^{\log} \xrightarrow{i^{\log}} T^{\log}$ is an exact closed immersion of affine log schemes defined by a quasi-coherent nilpotent \mathcal{O}_T -ideal. Since h^{\log} is log étale, there exists $t^{\log} : T^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ such that $s'^{\log} = t^{\log} \circ i^{\log}$ and $g^{\log} \circ s^{\log} = h^{\log} \circ t^{\log} (=$

$g^{\log} \circ f^{\log} \circ t^{\log}$). Since g^{\log} is log étale, $s^{\log} = f^{\log} \circ t^{\log}$. Therefore, f^{\log} is log étale.

For the Kummerness of f^{\log} , we take a geometric point $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ of X . Let us write $P = (\mathcal{M}_X/\mathcal{O}_X^*)_{\bar{x}}$, $Q = (\mathcal{M}_Y/\mathcal{O}_Y^*)_{\bar{f}(x)}$ and $R = (\mathcal{M}_Z/\mathcal{O}_Z^*)_{\bar{h}(x)}$. Thus, we obtain the following diagram:

$$R \xrightarrow{(g^{\log})^*} Q \xrightarrow{(f^{\log})^*} P.$$

Assume that $(f^{\log})^*(q) = (f^{\log})^*(q')$. Now it follows from the Kummerness of $(g^{\log})^*$ that there exist a positive integer n and elements $r, r' \in R$ such that $(g^{\log})^*(r) = nq$ and $(g^{\log})^*(r') = nq'$. Thus, $(h^{\log})^*(r) = (h^{\log})^*(r')$. Therefore, the injectivity of $(h^{\log})^*$ and the fact that Q is fs imply that $q = q'$. Hence $(f^{\log})^*$ is injective. Next, we take $p \in P$. Then it follows from the Kummerness of h^{\log} that there exists an integer n such that $np \in \text{Im}(h^{\log})^*$, hence $np \in \text{Im}(f^{\log})^*$. Therefore, $(f^{\log})^*$ is Kummer. \square

Proposition A.6. *A ket covering is an open and closed map. In particular, a connected ket covering over a connected fs log scheme is a surjection.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition A.4 and [7], Proposition 3.2. \square

Proposition A.7. *Let X^{\log} and Y^{\log} be connected fs log schemes whose underlying schemes are the spectra of strictly henselian local rings, and $f^{\log} : X^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ a ket covering. If the ket covering $f^{\log} : X^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ has a section, then f^{\log} is an isomorphism.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition A.4. \square

Proposition A.8. *Let X^{\log} be an fs log scheme whose underlying scheme X is the spectrum of a strictly henselian local ring A whose residue field is k , and $\bar{x}^{\log} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\text{Spec } k)^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a strict geometric point over a geometric point of X for which the image of the underlying morphism of schemes is the closed point of X . Then $\bar{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ induces an equivalence between the category of ket coverings of X^{\log} and the category of ket coverings of \bar{x}^{\log} .*

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition A.4 that the functor in question is essentially surjective, and full. Thus, we prove that the functor is faithful. Let $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ and $Y_2^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ be ket coverings. Our claim is that the morphism

$$\phi : \text{Hom}_{X^{\log}}(Y_1^{\log}, Y_2^{\log}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\bar{x}^{\log}}(Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log}, Y_2^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log})$$

is injective. To show the injectivity of ϕ , we consider morphisms $f^{\log}, g^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log}$ over X^{\log} which satisfy $f^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log} = g^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log}$

$\bar{x}^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log}$. Then, by Proposition A.5, f^{\log} and g^{\log} are ket coverings. It is immediate that we may assume that Y_1 and Y_2 are connected. Now write

$$\Gamma_{f^{\log}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{id}_{Y_1^{\log}} \times_{X^{\log}} f^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \longrightarrow Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} Y_2^{\log} ;$$

$$\Gamma_{g^{\log}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{id}_{Y_1^{\log}} \times_{X^{\log}} g^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \longrightarrow Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} Y_2^{\log} .$$

Then since $\Gamma_{f^{\log}}$ (respectively, $\Gamma_{g^{\log}}$) is a section of the projection $Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} Y_2^{\log} \rightarrow Y_1^{\log}$, and this projection is a ket covering, $\Gamma_{f^{\log}}$ (respectively, $\Gamma_{g^{\log}}$) determines an isomorphism of Y_1^{\log} with a connected component of $Y_1^{\log} \times_X Y_2^{\log}$ (Propositions A.6; A.7). Thus, since $f^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log} = g^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} \bar{x}^{\log}$, we conclude that $f^{\log} = g^{\log}$. \square

Proposition A.9. *Let X^{\log} be an fs log scheme, $f^{\log} : Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a ket covering, and $U_X \subseteq X$ (respectively, $U_Y \subseteq Y$) the interior of X^{\log} (respectively, Y^{\log}). Then the projection $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ induces an isomorphism $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X \simeq U_Y$.*

Proof. Since $U_X \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is a strict open immersion, $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ is an open immersion. Now since the log structure of U_X is trivial, the Kummerness of $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X \rightarrow U_X$ implies that the log structure of $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X$ is trivial. Thus, the open immersion $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ factors through U_Y . On the other hand, since the Kummerness of f^{\log} implies that $f^{\log}|_{U_Y}$ factors through U_X , we conclude that $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X \simeq U_Y$. \square

Proposition A.10. *Let X^{\log} be a log regular fs log scheme, and $U_X \subseteq X$ the interior of X^{\log} . Then the morphism $U_X \rightarrow X^{\log}$ induces an equivalence of the category of ket coverings of X^{\log} and the category of coverings tamely ramified of U_X along $D_X = X \setminus U_X$. (We shall say that $V \rightarrow U_X$ is a covering tamely ramified along D_X , if $V \rightarrow U_X$ is finite étale, and at all points x of D_X with $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = 1$, the normalization of X in V is tamely ramified over x .)*

Proof. First, we prove that the morphism $U_X \hookrightarrow X^{\log}$ induces a functor from the category of ket coverings of X^{\log} to the category of coverings tamely ramified of U_X along D_X . Let $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ be a connected ket covering, and $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ a geometric point of X . Then it follows from the Kummerness of $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ that if the log structure of X^{\log} at \bar{x} is trivial, then the log structure of Y^{\log} at any geometric points over \bar{x} is trivial. Therefore, since a log étale morphism from a log scheme equipped with the trivial log structure to a log scheme equipped with the trivial log structure is étale, $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}}$

$U_X \rightarrow U_X$ is finite étale. Next, we will prove the tameness of $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X \rightarrow U_X$. By base-changing, we may assume that X is the spectrum of a strictly henselian discrete valuation ring. Then it follows immediately from Proposition A.4 that $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is tamely ramified. This completes the proof that the morphism $U_X \hookrightarrow X^{\log}$ induces a functor from the category of ket coverings of X^{\log} to the category of coverings of U_X tamely ramified along D_X .

Next, we show that this functor is fully faithful. Let $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ and $Y_2^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ be ket coverings. Our claim is that the morphism

$$\phi : \mathrm{Hom}_{X^{\log}}(Y_1^{\log}, Y_2^{\log}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{U_X}(Y_1^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X, Y_2^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} U_X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{U_X}(U_{Y_1}, U_{Y_2})$$

is an isomorphism, where U_{Y_1} (respectively, U_{Y_2}) is the interior of Y_1 (respectively, Y_2). Here, the last equality follows from Proposition A.9. To show the injectivity of ϕ , let $f^{\log}, g^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log}$ be ket coverings over X^{\log} such that $f^{\log}|_{U_{Y_1}} = g^{\log}|_{U_{Y_1}} : U_{Y_1} \rightarrow U_{Y_2}$. Now since X^{\log} is log regular, and $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ and $Y_2^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ are log étale, Y_1^{\log} and Y_2^{\log} are log regular ([9], Theorem 8.2). Therefore, $U_{Y_1} \subseteq Y_1$ (respectively, $U_{Y_2} \subseteq Y_2$) is a dense open subset of Y_1 (respectively, Y_2). Thus, $f^{\log}|_{U_{Y_1}} = g^{\log}|_{U_{Y_1}}$ implies $f = g$. Now since Y_1^{\log} (respectively, Y_2^{\log}) is log regular, the log structure of Y_1^{\log} (respectively, Y_2^{\log}) is $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1} \cap (U_{Y_1} \hookrightarrow Y_1)_* \mathcal{O}_{U_{Y_1}}^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_1}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{O}_{Y_2} \cap (U_{Y_2} \hookrightarrow Y_2)_* \mathcal{O}_{U_{Y_2}}^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_2}$) ([9], Theorem 11.6). Therefore, a morphism of log schemes from Y_1^{\log} to Y_2^{\log} is determined by the underlying morphism of schemes. In other words, $f = g$ implies $f^{\log} = g^{\log}$; we thus conclude that ϕ is injective. Next, to show the surjectivity of ϕ , Let $f_U : U_{Y_1} \rightarrow U_{Y_2}$ be a morphism over U_X . Since the normalization of X in U_{Y_1} (respectively, U_{Y_2}) is Y_1 (respectively, Y_2), the morphism f_U extends to a morphism $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$. By a similar argument to the argument used to prove the injectivity of ϕ , a morphism of log schemes from Y_1^{\log} to Y_2^{\log} is determined by the underlying morphism of schemes. Therefore $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ extends to a morphism $f^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log}$ of log schemes. We thus conclude that ϕ is surjective.

Finally, we show the essential surjectivity of this functor. Let $V \rightarrow U_X$ be a covering tamely ramified along D_X . Then, by the log purity theorem in [10] (cf. also Remark 1.10), this covering extends to a ket covering over X^{\log} . \square

Proposition A.11. *Let X^{\log} and Y^{\log} be log schemes, and $f^{\log}, g^{\log} : X^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ morphisms of log schemes such that $f = g$. Let $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$ be a geometric point of X (we denote the image by $x \in X$). If there exist a log scheme X'^{\log} , a morphism $h^{\log} : X'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$, and a geometric point $\bar{x}' \rightarrow X'$ (we denote the image by $x' \in X'$) for which the image of the composite $\bar{x}' \rightarrow X' \xrightarrow{h} X$ is*

x such that the following conditions hold, then f^{\log} coincides with g^{\log} on an étale neighborhood of $\bar{x} \rightarrow X$:

- (i) h is flat at $x' \in X'$.
- (ii) The homomorphism $(\mathcal{M}_X/\mathcal{O}_X^*)_{\bar{x}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_{X'}/\mathcal{O}_{X'}^*)_{\bar{x}'}$ induced by h^{\log} is injective.
- (iii) $f^{\log} \circ h^{\log}$ coincides with $g^{\log} \circ h^{\log}$ on an étale neighborhood of $\bar{x}' \rightarrow X'$.

Proof. We denote by $\bar{y} \rightarrow Y$ the geometric point determined by the composite $\bar{x} \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f=g} Y$. Then it is immediate that it is enough to show that the homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{Y,\bar{y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X,\bar{x}}$ induced by f^{\log} coincides with the homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{Y,\bar{y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X,\bar{x}}$ induced by g^{\log} . Now, in the diagram induced by h^{\log}

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{X,\bar{x}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{M}_X/\mathcal{O}_X^*)_{\bar{x}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{X',\bar{x}'}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{X',\bar{x}'} & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{M}_{X'}/\mathcal{O}_{X'}^*)_{\bar{x}'}, \end{array}$$

since the left-hand vertical arrow is injective (by assumption (i)), and the right-hand vertical arrow is injective (by assumption (ii)), we conclude that the homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{X,\bar{x}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X',\bar{x}'}$ is injective. Therefore, by assumption (iii), the homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{Y,\bar{y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X,\bar{x}}$ induced by f^{\log} coincides with the homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{Y,\bar{y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X,\bar{x}}$ induced by g^{\log} . \square

Proposition A.12. *A strict étale surjection is a strict epimorphism in the category of log schemes.*

Proof. Let X^{\log} , Y^{\log} , and Z^{\log} be log schemes, $f^{\log} : Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ a strict étale surjection, and p_1^{\log} (respectively, p_2^{\log}) the 1-st (respectively, 2-nd) projection $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} Y^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$. Note that our claims are

- (i) the morphism $\mathrm{Hom}(X^{\log}, Z^{\log}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(Y^{\log}, Z^{\log})$ induced by f^{\log} is injective; and
- (ii) if a morphism $g^{\log} : Y^{\log} \rightarrow Z^{\log}$ satisfies the equality $g^{\log} \circ p_1^{\log} = g^{\log} \circ p_2^{\log}$, then g^{\log} extends to a morphism $X^{\log} \rightarrow Z^{\log}$.

(i) follows immediately from Proposition A.11. (ii) may be verified as follows: Since $g^{\log} \circ p_1^{\log} = g^{\log} \circ p_2^{\log}$, we obtain that $g \circ p_1 = g \circ p_2$. Since an étale morphism is a strict epimorphism in the category of schemes, it thus follows that there exists an extension $\tilde{g} : X \rightarrow Z$ of g (i.e., $g \circ f = \tilde{g}$). Moreover, since \mathcal{M}_X is a sheaf on the étale site of X , and $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ strict étale

surjection, it thus follows from the fact that the morphism $(g \circ p_1)^{-1} \mathcal{M}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ (where \mathcal{M} is the sheaf of monoids which determines the log structure of $Y^{\log} \times_{X^{\log}} Y^{\log}$) coincides with the morphism $(g \circ p_2)^{-1} \mathcal{M}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ that the morphism $g^{-1} \mathcal{M}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_Y$ extends to a morphism $\tilde{g}^{-1} \mathcal{M}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$. This completes the proof of (ii). \square

Proposition A.13. *Let X^{\log} be a locally noetherian fs log scheme. Then, for a morphism f^{\log} in the category of ket coverings of X^{\log} , f^{\log} is a strict epimorphism in the category of ket coverings of X^{\log} if and only if f^{\log} is a surjection.*

Proof. It is immediate that if f^{\log} is not surjective, then f^{\log} is not a strict epimorphism in the category of ket coverings of X^{\log} . Thus, assume that f^{\log} is surjective.

(Step 1) *The case where X is the spectrum of a strictly henselian ring.*

Then, by Proposition A.8, by base-changing, we may assume that X is the spectrum of a separably closed field k . Let us fix a clean chart $P \rightarrow k$ of X^{\log} . Now we denote by \widehat{X}^{\log} the log scheme obtained by equipping $\text{Spec } k[[P]]$ with the log structure defined by the natural morphism $P \rightarrow k[[P]]$. Then the following hold:

- \widehat{X}^{\log} is log regular ([9], Theorem 3.1)
- The natural surjection $k[[P]] \rightarrow k[[P]]/\mathfrak{m} \simeq k$ (where $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq k[[P]]$ is the maximal ideal of $k[[P]]$) induces the strict morphism $X^{\log} \rightarrow \widehat{X}^{\log}$.
- The strict morphism $X^{\log} \rightarrow \widehat{X}^{\log}$ induces a natural equivalence between the category of ket coverings of \widehat{X}^{\log} and the category of ket coverings of X^{\log} (Proposition A.8).

Thus, by replacing X^{\log} by \widehat{X}^{\log} , we may assume that X^{\log} is log regular. Moreover, if we denote by $U_X \subseteq X$ the interior of X^{\log} , then the strict morphism $U_X \rightarrow X^{\log}$ induces a natural equivalence between the category of ket coverings of X^{\log} and the category of coverings of U_X tamely ramified along $X \setminus U_X$ (Proposition A.10). In the category of coverings of U_X tamely ramified along $X \setminus U_X$, a surjection is faithfully flat, thus, strict eqimorphism (in the category of ket coverings of U_X).

(Step 2) *The general case.*

Let $Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$, $Y_2^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$, and $Z^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ be ket coverings, $f^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log}$ a surjection over X^{\log} , and p_1^{\log} (respectively, p_2^{\log}) the 1-st (respectively, 2-nd) projection $Y_1^{\log} \times_{Y_2^{\log}} Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y_1^{\log}$. Note that our claims are

- (i) the morphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{X^{\mathrm{log}}}(Y_2^{\mathrm{log}}, Z^{\mathrm{log}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{X^{\mathrm{log}}}(Y_1^{\mathrm{log}}, Z^{\mathrm{log}})$ induced by f^{log} is injective;
- (ii) if a morphism $g^{\mathrm{log}} : Y_1^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow Z^{\mathrm{log}}$ satisfies the equality $g^{\mathrm{log}} \circ p_1^{\mathrm{log}} = g^{\mathrm{log}} \circ p_2^{\mathrm{log}}$, then g^{log} extends to a morphism $Y_2^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow Z^{\mathrm{log}}$.

First, we prove assertion (i). Let g_1^{log} and $g_2^{\mathrm{log}} : Y_2^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow Z^{\mathrm{log}}$ be morphisms over X^{log} such that $g_1^{\mathrm{log}} \circ f^{\mathrm{log}} = g_2^{\mathrm{log}} \circ f^{\mathrm{log}}$. Then, by Step 1, there exists a strict étale surjection $X'^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$ such that the morphism $g_1'^{\mathrm{log}}$ obtained by base-changing of g_1^{log} by $X'^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$ coincides with the morphism $g_2'^{\mathrm{log}}$ obtained by base-changing of g_2^{log} by $X'^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$. On the other hand, since a strict étale surjection is a strict epimorphism (by Proposition A.12), we conclude that $g_1^{\mathrm{log}} = g_2^{\mathrm{log}}$. This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we prove assertion (ii). By Step 1, there exists a strict étale surjection $X'^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$ such that the morphism g'^{log} obtained by base-changing of g^{log} by $X'^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$ extends to a morphism $\tilde{g}'^{\mathrm{log}} : Y_2'^{\mathrm{log}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} Y_2^{\mathrm{log}} \times_{X^{\mathrm{log}}} X'^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow Z'^{\mathrm{log}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} Z^{\mathrm{log}} \times_{X^{\mathrm{log}}} X'^{\mathrm{log}}$. Now if we denote by q_1^{log} (respectively, q_2^{log}) the 1-st (respectively, 2-nd) projection $Y_2'^{\mathrm{log}} \times_{Y_2^{\mathrm{log}}} Y_2^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow Y_2^{\mathrm{log}}$, then the composite

$$Y_2'^{\mathrm{log}} \times_{Y_2^{\mathrm{log}}} Y_2^{\mathrm{log}} \xrightarrow{q_1^{\mathrm{log}}} Y_2^{\mathrm{log}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}'^{\mathrm{log}}} Z'^{\mathrm{log}} \longrightarrow Z^{\mathrm{log}}$$

coincides with the composite

$$Y_2'^{\mathrm{log}} \times_{Y_2^{\mathrm{log}}} Y_2^{\mathrm{log}} \xrightarrow{q_2^{\mathrm{log}}} Y_2^{\mathrm{log}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}'^{\mathrm{log}}} Z'^{\mathrm{log}} \longrightarrow Z^{\mathrm{log}}.$$

Therefore, by Proposition A.12, the composite $Y_2'^{\mathrm{log}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}'^{\mathrm{log}}} Z'^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow Z^{\mathrm{log}}$ extends to a morphism $\tilde{g}^{\mathrm{log}} : Y_2^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow Z^{\mathrm{log}}$ (note that $Y_2'^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow Y_2^{\mathrm{log}}$ is a strict étale surjection). This completes the proof of assertion (ii). \square

Theorem A.1. *Let X^{log} be a connected locally noetherian fs log scheme, and $\tilde{x}^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}$ a log geometric point of X^{log} . Let us denote by $\mathrm{Két}(X^{\mathrm{log}})$ the category of ket coverings of X^{log} (and X^{log} -morphisms), and by $F = F_{\tilde{x}^{\mathrm{log}}}$ the functor*

$$\mathrm{Két}(X^{\mathrm{log}}) \longrightarrow (\text{the category of finite sets})$$

$$(Y^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}) \mapsto \{\text{log geometric points of } Y^{\mathrm{log}} \text{ over } \tilde{x}^{\mathrm{log}} \rightarrow X^{\mathrm{log}}\}.$$

Then $(\mathrm{Két}(X^{\mathrm{log}}), F)$ forms a Galois category with a fundamental functor.

Note that it follows from Proposition A.4, that the set

$$\{\log \text{ geometric points of } Y^{\log} \text{ over } \tilde{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}\}$$

is finite. We must verify that $(\text{Két}(X^{\log}), F)$ satisfies the conditions $(\mathcal{G}_1), \dots, (\mathcal{G}_5)$ and (\mathcal{G}_6) in the definition of Galois category in [5], Exposé V, 4.

(\mathcal{G}_1) $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$ has a final object and there exists a fiber product in $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$.

Proof. It is immediate that $X^{\log} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{X^{\log}}} X^{\log}$ is a final object of $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$. Next, we will prove the existence of a fiber product. Since any object Y^{\log} of $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$ is an fs log scheme, for the existence of a fiber product, it is enough to show that finiteness, log étaleness, and Kummerness is stable under composition and base-change. The assertion for finiteness is classical, the assertion for log étaleness and Kummerness follows immediately from the definitions. \square

(\mathcal{G}_2) There exists a finite sum in $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$. Moreover, if $f^{\log} : Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is an object of $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$ and G is a finite group of automorphisms of Y^{\log} in $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$, then there exists a quotient Y^{\log}/G of Y^{\log} by G in $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$, and the natural morphism $Y^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}/G$ is a strict epimorphism.

Proof. The existence of finite sums is immediate by the definition of a ket covering. In the following, we prove the existence of quotients. By Lemma 4.19, by base-changing, we may assume that the underlying scheme X of X^{\log} is the spectrum of a strictly henselian local ring. Moreover, by a similar argument to the argument used in the proof of Proposition A.13, (Step 1), we may assume that there exist a separably closed field k and a clean monoid P such that the underlying scheme X of X^{\log} is the spectrum of $k[[P]]$, and the log structure of X^{\log} is the log structure induced by the natural morphism $P \rightarrow k[[P]]$. Moreover, by taking a connected component of Y and the stabilizer of the connected component with respect to the action of G on the set of connected components of Y , we may assume that Y is connected. Then, by Proposition A.4, there exists a clean monoid Q , and a Kummer morphism $u : P \rightarrow Q$ such that Y is isomorphic to $\text{Spec}(k[[P]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P]} \mathbb{Z}[Q]) \simeq \text{Spec} k[[Q]]$ (the fact that $k[[P]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P]} \mathbb{Z}[Q]$ is isomorphic to $k[[Q]]$ follows from the Kummerness of u), the log structure of Y^{\log} is the log structure induced by the natural morphism $Q \rightarrow k[[Q]]$, and the morphism $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is determined

by u . Now we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
P & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{M}_X(X)/k[[P]]^* & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{M}_X(X) & \longrightarrow & k[[P]] \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
u \downarrow & & \tilde{Q} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{M}_Y(Y)^G & \xrightarrow{\alpha^G} & k[[Q]]^G \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Q & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{M}_Y(Y)/k[[Q]]^* & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{M}_Y(Y) & \longrightarrow & k[[Q]]
\end{array}$$

where $\tilde{Q} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{M}_Y(Y)^G / (\alpha^G)^{-1}(k[[Q]]^G)^*$.

Let $Q \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_Y(Y)$ be a clean chart of Y^{\log} . Then this chart induces a (non-canonical) *splitting* $k[[Q]]^* \oplus Q \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_Y(Y)$. Since the action of G on Y^{\log} is *over* X^{\log} , and $u : P \rightarrow Q$ is Kummer, for any $g \in G$, there exists $\sigma_g(q) \in k[[Q]]^*$ such that $(f, q)^g = (\sigma_g(q) \cdot f^g, q)$ ($(f, q) \in k[[Q]]^* \oplus Q \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_Y(Y)$); therefore, for $(f, q) \in \mathcal{M}_Y(Y)$, $(f, q) \in \mathcal{M}_Y(Y)^G$ if and only if $f = \sigma_g(q) \cdot f^g$ for any $g \in G$. Note that it is immediate that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Q & \longrightarrow & k[[Q]]^* \\
q & \mapsto & \sigma_g(q)
\end{array}$$

is a homomorphism; moreover, since $\sigma_g(p) = 1$ for any P , we conclude that $\sigma_g(q)$ is a root of $1 \in k[[Q]]$.

Now I claim that

$$\mathcal{M}_Y(Y)^G = \{(f, q) \mid f \in (k[[Q]]^*)^G, \sigma_g(q) = 1 \text{ for any } g \in G\},$$

i.e., if we denote by $Q^{[G]}$ the submonoid of Q of elements which satisfy $\sigma_g(q) = 1$ for any $g \in G$, then $\mathcal{M}_Y(Y)^G = (k[[Q]]^*)^G \oplus Q^{[G]}$, and the natural surjection $\mathcal{M}_Y(Y)^G \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$ induces an isomorphism $Q^{[G]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{Q}$. Indeed, since $k[[Q]]$ is a local k -algebra whose residue field is k , we have a *split* exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow k[[Q]] \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0,$$

where \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal of $k[[Q]]$; i.e., $\mathfrak{m} \oplus k \xrightarrow{\sim} k[[Q]]$. Thus, for $f \in k[[Q]]^*$, there exists $t \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $a \in k$ such that $f = t + a$. Let g be an element of G . Then since the action of G on Y^{\log} is *over* X^{\log} , $f^g = t^g + a$ and $t^g \in \mathfrak{m}$. If $(f, q) \in \mathcal{M}_Y(Y)^G$, then $f^g = \sigma_g(-q) \cdot f$. Thus, $t^g + a = \sigma_g(-q) \cdot (t + a)$; therefore, $\sigma_g(q) = 1$ and $t^g = t$. (Here, we use the fact that since $\sigma_g(q)$ is a root of $1 \in k[[Q]]$; in particular, $\sigma_g(q) \in k^*$.) This completes the proof of the above claim. In particular, $\mathcal{M}_Y(Y)^G \rightarrow k[[Q]]^G$ is a log structure on $\text{Spec } k[[Q]]^G$ (i.e., $(\alpha^G)^{-1}(k[[Q]]^G)^* = (k[[Q]]^G)^*$), whose

characteristic sheaf $\tilde{Q} [= Q^{[G]}]$ is a submonoid of Q (thus, \tilde{Q} is integral and torsion-free), and this log structure coincides with the log structure induced by the morphism $u^{[G]} : Q^{[G]} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_Y(Y)^G \rightarrow k[[Q]]^G$. Now we shall denote by Y'^{\log} the log scheme obtained by equipping $\text{Spec } k[[Q]]^G$ with this log structure $\mathcal{M}_Y(Y)^G \rightarrow k[[Q]]^G$. Note that it follows from the definition of $Q^{[G]}$ that $Q^{[G]}$ is saturated. Therefore, by Proposition A.3, (ii), $Q^{[G]}$ is fs; thus, Y'^{\log} is an fs log scheme.

Next, I claim that the (clean) chart $u^{[G]} : Q^{[G]} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_Y(Y)^G \rightarrow k[[Q]]^G$ obtained as above induces an isomorphism $v : k[[Q^{[G]}]] \xrightarrow{\sim} k[[Q]]^G$. Since $Q^{[G]}$ and Q are Kummer over P , to show this, it is enough to show that the natural morphism $v' : k[Q^{[G]}] \rightarrow k[Q]^G$, which satisfies $v' \otimes_{k[P]} k[[P]] = v$, is an isomorphism. Indeed, the claim may be verified as follows: As a k -module, $k[Q^{[G]}]$ (respectively, $k[Q]$) is freely generated by $q' \in Q^{[G]}$ (respectively, $q \in Q$). On the other hand, by the definition of σ_g , for $q \in k[Q]$, we obtain that $q^g = \sigma_g(q) \cdot q$. Then the above claim follows from this observation.

Therefore, we conclude that the fs log scheme Y'^{\log} is the log scheme obtained by equipping $\text{Spec } k[[Q^{[G]}]]$ with the log structure induced by the natural morphism $Q^{[G]} \rightarrow k[[Q^{[G]}]]$. In particular, by Proposition A.4, $Y'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is a ket covering. Moreover, by the construction of Y'^{\log} , it is immediate that the ket covering $Y'^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is a quotient of the action of G on the ket covering $Y^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ in $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$. Finally, by Proposition A.13, the natural morphism $Y^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}/G$ is a strict epimorphism. \square

(\mathcal{G}_3) Any morphism $f^{\log} : Y_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y_2^{\log}$ in $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$ admits a factorization $Y_1^{\log} \xrightarrow{f'^{\log}} Y_2'^{\log} \xrightarrow{g^{\log}} Y_2^{\log}$, where f'^{\log} is a strict epimorphism and g^{\log} is a monomorphism. Moreover, then $Y_2^{\log} = Y_2'^{\log} \sqcup Z^{\log}$ (disjoint union) for some object Z^{\log} of $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition A.6 and A.13. \square

(\mathcal{G}_4) F is left exact.

Proof. Let Y^{\log} be an object of $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$ and $\bar{y} \rightarrow Y$ a geometric point of Y . Then any log geometric point \tilde{y}^{\log} of Y^{\log} over the geometric point $\bar{y} \rightarrow Y$ factors through a reduced covering point $\bar{y}_1^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ over the geometric point $\bar{y} \rightarrow Y$. Thus, since a fiber product in $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$ is a fiber product in the category of fs log schemes, and $F(Y^{\log})$ is finite, F commutes with the operation of taking fiber product. \square

(\mathcal{G}_5) F commutes with the operation of taking a finite sum and the quotient by an action of a finite group (cf. (\mathcal{G}_2)). Moreover, if f^{\log} is a strict epimorphism, then $F(f^{\log})$ is surjective.

Proof. The assertion for a finite sum is immediate. The assertion for quotient follows from a similar argument to the argument used in the proof of (\mathcal{G}_4) . The assertion for a strict epimorphism follows from Proposition A.13 and the definition of a log geometric point. \square

(\mathcal{G}_6) If f^{\log} is a morphism in $\text{Két}(X^{\log})$, then f^{\log} is an isomorphism if and only if $F(f^{\log})$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. For this assertion, by base-changing, we may assume that X is the spectrum of a strictly henselian local ring, and the image of the underlying morphism of scheme of the log geometric point $\tilde{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ is the closed point of X . Then the assertion follows immediately from Proposition A.4. \square

Theorem A. 2. *Let X^{\log} and Y^{\log} be connected locally noetherian fs log schemes, and $f^{\log} : X^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ a morphism of log schemes. Then the functor*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Két}(Y^{\log}) & \xrightarrow{(f^{\log})^*} & \text{Két}(X^{\log}) \\ (Y'^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}) & \mapsto & (Y'^{\log} \times_{Y^{\log}} X^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}) \end{array}$$

induced by f^{\log} is exact. In particular, (by [5], Exposé V, Corollaire 6.2) for any log geometric point $\tilde{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ of X^{\log} , the functor $(f^{\log})^$ induces a continuous homomorphism*

$$\pi_1(f^{\log}) : \pi_1(X^{\log}, \tilde{x}^{\log}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y^{\log}, f^{\log}(\tilde{x}^{\log})),$$

where $f^{\log}(\tilde{x}^{\log}) \rightarrow Y^{\log}$ is the log geometric point obtained as the composite $\tilde{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log} \xrightarrow{f^{\log}} Y^{\log}$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{x}^{\log} \rightarrow X^{\log}$ be a log geometric point of X^{\log} . Then, by [5], Exposé V, Proposition 6.1, it is enough to show that the composite of functor

$$\text{Két}(Y^{\log}) \xrightarrow{(f^{\log})^*} \text{Két}(X^{\log}) \xrightarrow{F_{\tilde{x}^{\log}}} (\text{the category of finite sets})$$

is a fundamental functor over $\text{Két}(Y^{\log})$. Now, by the definitions of $(f^{\log})^*$ and $F_{\tilde{x}^{\log}}$, for any ket covering $Y'^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}$, $F_{\tilde{x}^{\log}} \circ (f^{\log})^*(Y'^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log}) = F_{f^{\log}(\tilde{x}^{\log})}(Y'^{\log} \rightarrow Y^{\log})$, i.e., $F_{\tilde{x}^{\log}} \circ (f^{\log})^* = F_{f^{\log}(\tilde{x}^{\log})}$. By Theorem A.1, the functor $F_{f^{\log}(\tilde{x}^{\log})}$ is a fundamental functor over $\text{Két}(Y^{\log})$. This completes the proof of Theorem A.2. \square

References

- [1] K. Fujiwara and K. Kato, Logarithmic étale topology theory, (incomplete) preprint (1994).
- [2] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, III (EGA3), *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 11 (1961), 17 (1963).
- [3] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, IV (EGA4), *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 20 (1964), 24 (1965), 28 (1966), 32 (1967).
- [4] A. Grothendieck and J. P. Murre, *The tame fundamental group of a formal neighbourhood of a divisor with normal crossings on a scheme*, Lecture Notes in Math. 208, Springer-Verlag (1971).
- [5] A. Grothendieck and M. Raynaud, *Revêtement Étales et Groupe Fondamental* (SGA1), Lecture Notes in Math. 224, Springer-Verlag (1971).
- [6] Y. Hoshi, Fundamental groups of log configuration spaces and the cuspidalization problem, RIMS Preprint 1559 (2006).
- [7] L. Illusie, An overview of the work of K. Fujiwara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama on logarithmic étale cohomology, *Astérisque* 279 (2002), 271-322.
- [8] K. Kato, Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie, *Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory*, John Hopkins Univ. (1988), 191-224.
- [9] K. Kato, Toric singularities, *Amer. J. Math.* 116 (1994), 1073-1099.
- [10] S. Mochizuki, Extending families of curves over log regular schemes, *J. Reine. Angew. Math.* 511 (1999), 43-71.
- [11] S. Mochizuki, Topics surrounding the anabelian geometry of hyperbolic curves, *Galois Groups and Fundamental Groups*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 41 (2003), 119-165.
- [12] C. Nakayama, Logarithmic étale cohomology, *Math. Ann.* 308 (1997), 365-404.
- [13] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt and K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 323, Springer-Verlag (2000).

- [14] J. Stix, Projective anabelian curves in positive characteristic and descent theory for log-étale covers, Dissertation (Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, 2002), Bonner Math. Schriften, 354, Universität Bonn, Mathematisches Institut, 2002.
- [15] I. Vidal, Contributions a la cohomologie étale des schémas et des log-schémas, These (2001).
- [16] I. Vidal, Morphismes log éales et descente par homémorphismes universels, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 332 (2001), 239-244.