# The competition numbers of complete tripartite graphs

SUH-RYUNG KIM

Department of Mathematics Education, Seoul National University, 151-742, Korea. srkim@snu.ac.kr

YOSHIO SANO

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, 606-8502, Japan. sano@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

#### August 2007

#### Abstract

For a graph G, it is known to be a hard problem to compute the competition number k(G) of the graph G in general. In this paper, we give an explicit formula for the competition numbers of complete tripartite graphs.

Keywords: competition graph, competition number, complete tripartite graph

### 1. Introduction and Main Result

Cohen [1] introduced the notion of a competition graph in connection with a problem in ecology in 1968 (also see [2]). The *competition graph* C(D) of a digraph D = (V, A) is an undirected graph G = (V, E) which has the same vertex set V and has an edge between distinct two vertices  $x, y \in V$  if there exists a vertex  $a \in V$  such that  $(x, a), (y, a) \in A$ .

Roberts [5] observed that, for any graph, the graph with sufficiently many isolated vertices is the competition graph of some acyclic digraph. The minimum number of such isolated vertices was called the *competition number* of the graph G and was denoted by k(G). It is difficult to compute the competition number of a graph in general as Opsut [4] has shown that the computation of the competition number of a graph is an NP-hard problem.

But, for a graph in some special classes, it is easy to obtain the competition number of the graph. The following are some of known results for competition numbers.

- If G is a chordal graph which has no isolated vertices, then k(G) = 1.
- If G is a triangle-free connected graph, then k(G) = |E(G)| |V(G)| + 2.

As corollaries of these results, we have

•  $k(K_n) = 1$ ,  $k(K_{n,n}) = n^2 - 2n + 2$ ,  $k(K_{n_1,n_2}) = (n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1) + 1$ .

Competition graphs and the competition numbers of graphs are closely related to edge clique covers and the edge clique cover numbers of the graphs. A *clique* of a graph G is an empty set or a subset of V(G) such that its induced subgraph of G is a complete graph. A clique consisting of 3 vertices is called a *triangle*. An *edge clique cover* (or an *ECC* for short) of a graph G is a family of cliques of G such that each edge of G is contained in some clique in the family. The minimum size of a edge clique cover of G is called the *edge clique cover number* (or the *ECC number* for short) of the graph G, and is denoted by  $\theta_e(G)$ .

Opsut [4] showed that, for any graph G, the competition number satisfies an inequality  $\theta_e(G) - |V(G)| + 2 \le k(G) \le \theta_e(G)$ . Dutton and Brigham [3] showed that a graph G is a competition graph of some digraph if and only if  $\theta_e(G) \le |V(G)|$ , and also characterized the competition graphs of acyclic digraphs by using ECCs as follows.

(\*) A graph G is the competition graph of an acyclic digraph if and only if there exist an ordering  $v_1, ..., v_n$  of the vertices of G and an edge clique cover  $\{S_1, ..., S_n\}$  of G such that  $v_i \in S_j \Rightarrow i < j$ .

For other applications of ECCs, see [6].

In this paper, we give an explicit formula for the competition numbers  $k(K_{n,n,n})$  of complete tripartite graphs  $K_{n,n,n}$ . The following is our main result which will be proven in the following section:

**Theorem 1.** For  $n \ge 2$ , the competition number of the complete tripartite graph  $K_{n,n,n}$  is given by the following:

$$k(K_{n,n,n}) = n^2 - 3n + 4.$$
(1.1)

# 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $K_{n,n,n}$   $(n \ge 2)$  be a complete tripartite graph on 3 disjoint sets  $A := \{a_1, ..., a_n\}$ ,  $B := \{b_1, ..., b_n\}$ , and  $C := \{c_1, ..., c_n\}$ .

Put  $\triangle(i, j, l) := \{a_i, b_j, c_l\}$  for  $1 \le i, j, l \le n$ . Then  $\triangle(i, j, l)$  are triangles of  $K_{n,n,n}$ . Note that there are  $n^3$  triangles. Let  $\mathcal{F} := \{\triangle(i, j, l) \mid l = i + j - 1, 1 \le i, j \le n\}$ , where i + j - 1 are reduced to modulo n. Note that  $|\mathcal{F}| = n^2$ .

**Lemma 2.** The family  $\mathcal{F}$  is an edge clique cover of  $K_{n,n,n}$  of minimum size. In particular,  $\theta_e(K_{n,n,n}) = n^2$ .

*Proof.* Take any edge  $a_ib_j$  between A and B, then both  $a_i$  and  $b_j$  are in  $\triangle(i, j, l) \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $l = i + j - 1 \pmod{n}$ . Take any edge  $a_ic_l$  between A and C, then both  $a_i$  and  $c_l$  are in  $\triangle(i, j, l) \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $j = l - i + 1 \pmod{n}$ . Take any edge  $b_jc_l$  between B and C, then both  $b_j$  and  $c_l$  are in  $\triangle(i, j, l) \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $i = l - j + 1 \pmod{n}$ . Thus the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ECC of  $K_{n.n.n}$ .

Since all maximal cliques of  $K_{n,n,n}$  have size 3, we may assume that an ECC of  $K_{n,n,n}$  of minimum size consists of triangles. Since  $|E(K_{n,n,n})| = 3n^2$  and that a triangle has 3 edges, any ECC of  $K_{n,n,n}$  has size at least  $n^2$ , i.e.  $\theta_e(K_{n,n,n}) \ge n^2$ . Since  $|\mathcal{F}| = n^2$ , we conclude  $\theta_e(K_{n,n,n}) = n^2$  and thus we have that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ECC of  $K_{n,n,n}$  of minimum size.

**Lemma 3.** If two triangles  $\triangle, \triangle' \in \mathcal{F}$  are distinct, then  $|\triangle \cap \triangle'| \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of  $\triangle(i, j, l)$ , once two of i, j, l are given, the remaining one is uniquely determined.

**Lemma 4.** We can label the vertices of  $K_{n,n,n}$  as  $v_1, \ldots, v_{3n}$ , and choose trianly  $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_{3n-3} \in \mathcal{F}$  so that

$$\Delta_1 \cup \dots \cup \Delta_i \subseteq \{v_1, \dots, v_{i+3}\}$$

$$(2.1)$$

for  $1 \le i \le 3n - 3$ .

*Proof.* We label the vertices of  $K_{n,n,n}$  as  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{3n}$  in the following order:

$$a_1, b_1, c_1, a_2, b_n, c_n, a_n, b_2, c_2, a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}, c_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, b_{n-2}, c_{n-2}, \dots, a_3, b_3, c_3$$
(2.2)

More precisely, we put  $v_1, ..., v_9$  as above, and  $v_{3s+7} = a_{n-s}, v_{3s+8} = b_{n-s}, v_{3s+9} = c_{n-s}$ for  $1 \le s \le n-3$ . Now choose triangles from  $\mathcal{F}$  and label them as follows.

$$\begin{split} & \bigtriangleup_1 = \{a_1, b_1, c_1\}, & \bigtriangleup_2 = \{a_2, b_n, c_1\}, & \bigtriangleup_3 = \{a_1, b_n, c_n\}, \\ & \bigtriangleup_4 = \{a_n, b_1, c_n\}, & \bigtriangleup_5 = \{a_n, b_2, c_1\}, & \bigtriangleup_6 = \{a_1, b_2, c_2\}, \\ & \bigtriangleup_7 = \{a_{n-1}, b_2, c_n\}, & \bigtriangleup_8 = \{a_2, b_{n-1}, c_n\}, & \bigtriangleup_9 = \{a_1, b_{n-1}, c_{n-1}\}, \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \bigtriangleup_{3s+4} = \{a_{n-s}, b_2, c_{n-s+1}\}, & \bigtriangleup_{3s+5} = \{a_2, b_{n-s}, c_{n-s+1}\}, & \bigtriangleup_{3s+6} = \{a_1, b_{n-s}, c_{n-s}\}, \\ & = \{v_{3s+7}, v_6, v_{3s+6}\}, & = \{v_4, v_{3s+8}, v_{3s+6}\}, & = \{v_1, v_{3s+8}, v_{3s+9}\}, \\ & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \bigtriangleup_{3n-5} = \{a_3, b_2, c_4\}, & \bigtriangleup_{3n-4} = \{a_2, b_3, c_4\}, & \bigtriangleup_{3n-3} = \{a_1, b_3, c_3\}, \end{split}$$

where  $1 \le s \le n-3$ . Note that  $\triangle_i$  are all distinct. Now, we will see that (2.1) holds. For i = 1, ..., 6, we can easily check that (2.1) holds. For i = 7, ..., 3n - 3, it can easily be seen that the vertex of maximum index in  $\triangle_i$  has index at most i + 3. Thus, we conclude  $\triangle_1 \cup ... \cup \triangle_i \subseteq \{v_1, ..., v_{i+3}\}$  for  $1 \le i \le 3n - 3$ . Hence the lemma holds.  $\Box$ 

Now we are ready to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. First, we will show  $k(K_{n,n,n}) \ge n^2 - 3n + 4$ . Let  $k = k(K_{n,n,n})$ for convenience. Then the graph  $G := K_{n,n,n} \cup I_k$  is the competition graph of some acyclic digraph D, where  $I_k$  denotes a set of k isolated vertices. Then, by (\*), we can label the vertices of G as  $v_1, \ldots, v_{3n+k}$  so that there exists an ECC  $\{S_1, \ldots, S_{3n+k}\}$  of G satisfying  $v_i \in S_j \Rightarrow i < j$ . That is,  $S_j \subseteq \{v_1, \ldots, v_{j-1}\}$ . Since any edge of Gis contained in a triangle and any maximal clique of G has size 3, we may assume that any nonempty clique  $S_i$  is a triangle. Therefore we may assume that  $S_1 = S_2 = S_3 =$  $\emptyset$ . Since  $S_4 \subseteq \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  and  $S_5 \subseteq \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ , we may assume that  $S_4 = S_5$ by Lemma 3 if they are not empty. Thus the family  $\{S_5, S_6, \ldots, S_{3n+k}\}$  is also an ECC of G, and so we have  $\theta_e(G) \leq 3n + k - 4$ . However, we know from Lemma 2 that  $\theta_e(G) = \theta_e(K_{n,n,n} \cup I_k) = \theta_e(K_{n,n,n}) = n^2$ . Hence we have  $n^2 \leq 3n + k - 4$ , i.e.  $k(K_{n,n,n}) = k \geq n^2 - 3n + 4$ .

Now we show that  $k(K_{n,n,n}) \leq n^2 - 3n + 4$ . By Lemma 4, there exist a labeling  $v_1, ..., v_{3n}$  of the vertices of  $K_{n,n,n}$ , and triangles  $\Delta_1, ..., \Delta_{3n-3} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\Delta_1 \cup ... \cup \Delta_i \subseteq \{v_1, ..., v_{i+3}\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3n - 3$ . Since  $|\mathcal{F}| = n^2$ , there are  $n^2 - 3n + 3$  triangles in  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \{\Delta_1, ..., \Delta_{3n-3}\}$ . Label those triangles as  $T_1, T_2, ..., T_{n^2-3n+3}$ . Now, we define a

digraph D as follows.

$$V(D) = \{v_1, ..., v_{3n}\} \cup \{z_0, z_1, ..., z_{n^2 - 3n + 3}\},\$$
  

$$A(D) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{3n-4} \{(x, v_{i+4}) \mid x \in \Delta_i\} \\ \cup \{(x, z_0) \mid x \in \Delta_{3n-3}\} \\ \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n^2 - 3n + 3} \{(x, z_i) \mid x \in T_i\}.$$

Then this digraph D is acyclic. For, vertex  $z_i$  has no outgoing arcs for each  $i = 0, ..., n^2 - 3n + 3$  and  $(v_i, v_j) \in A(D) \Rightarrow i < j$ . Since every clique in the ECC  $\mathcal{F}$  has a common out-neighbor in D,  $E(K_{n,n,n}) \subset E(C(D))$ . On the other hand, the in-neighborhood of each vertex in D is either empty or a clique in  $\mathcal{F}$ , it is true that  $E(K_{n,n,n}) \supset E(C(D))$ . Thus  $C(D) = K_{n,n,n} \cup \{z_0, z_1, ..., z_{n^2-3n+3}\}$ . Hence we have  $k(K_{n,n,n}) \leq n^2 - 3n + 4$ . Therefore,  $k(K_{n,n,n}) = n^2 - 3n + 4$  holds.

# 3. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we compute the competition numbers of complete tripartite graphs on the vertex sets of the same size. We present the following problems for further study:

- What is the competition number of a complete tripartite graphs  $K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}$  on the vertex sets of different size?
- What is the competition number of the complete tetrapartite graphs  $K_{n,n,n,n}$  (on the vertex sets of the same size)?
- More generally, what is the competition number of a complete multipartite graph  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_m}$  ?

## References

- [1] J. E. Cohen: Interval graphs and food webs: a finding and a problem, *Document 17696-PR*, RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, CA (1968).
- [2] J. E. Cohen: *Food webs and Niche space*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1978).
- [3] R. D. Dutton, and R. C. Brigham: A characterization of competition graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **6** (1983) 315–317.

- [4] R. J. Opsut: On the computation of the competition number of a graph, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods* **3** (1982) 420–428.
- [5] F. S. Roberts: Food webs, competition graphs, and the boxicity of ecological phase space, *Theory and applications of graphs (Proc. Internat. Conf., Western Mich. Univ., Kalamazoo, Mich., 1976)* (1978) 477–490.
- [6] F. S. Roberts: Applications of edge coverings by cliques, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **10** (1985) 93–109.