TOPICS IN ABSOLUTE ANABELIAN GEOMETRY I: GENERALITIES

Shinichi Mochizuki

March 2008

ABSTRACT. This paper forms the first part of a three-part series in which we treat various topics in absolute anabelian geometry from the point of view of developing abstract algorithms, or "software", that may be applied to abstract profinite groups that "just happen" to arise as [quotients of] étale fundamental groups from algebraic geometry. In the present paper, after studying various abstract combinatorial properties of profinite groups that typically arise as absolute Galois groups or geometric fundamental groups in anabelian geometry over number fields, mixed-characteristic local fields, or finite fields, we take a more detailed look at certain p-adic Hodgetheoretic aspects of the absolute Galois groups of mixed-characteristic local fields. This allows us, for instance, to derive, from a certain result communicated orally to the author by A. Tamagawa, a "semi-absolute" Hom-version of the anabelian conjecture for hyperbolic curves over mixed-characteristic local fields. Finally, we generalize to the case of varieties of arbitrary dimension over arbitrary sub-p-adic fields certain techniques developed by the author in previous papers over mixed-characteristic local fields for group-theoretically constructing the étale fundamental group of one hyperbolic curve from the étale fundamental group of another hyperbolic curve.

Contents:

- §0. Notations and Conventions
- §1. Some Profinite Group Theory
- §2. Semi-absolute Anabelian Geometry
- §3. Absolute Open Homomorphisms of Local Galois Groups
- §4. Chains of Elementary Operations

Appendix: The Theory of Albanese Varieties

Introduction

The present paper is the first in a series of three papers, in which we continue our study of absolute anabelian geometry in the style of the following papers: [Mzk6], [Mzk7], [Mzk8], [Mzk9], [Mzk14], [Mzk10], [Mzk11]. If X is a [geometrically integral] variety over a field k, and $\Pi_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi_1(X)$ is the étale fundamental group of X [for some choice of basepoint], then roughly speaking, "anabelian geometry" may be

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification. Primary 14H30; Secondary 14H25.

summarized as the study of the extent to which properties of X — such as, for instance, the isomorphism class of X — may be "recovered" from [various quotients of] the profinite group Π_X . One form of anabelian geometry is "relative anabelian geometry" [cf., e.g., [Mzk3]], in which instead of starting from [various quotients of] the profinite group Π_X , one starts from the profinite group Π_X equipped with the natural augmentation $\Pi_X \to G_k$ to the absolute Galois group of k. By constrast, "absolute anabelian geometry" refers to the study of properties of X as reflected solely in the profinite group Π_X . Moreover, one may consider various "intermediate variants" between relative and absolute anabelian geometry such as, for instance, "semi-absolute anabelian geometry", which refers to the situation in which one starts from the profinite group Π_X equipped with the kernel of the natural augmentation $\Pi_X \to G_k$.

The new point of view that underlies the various "topics in absolute anabelian geometry" treated in the present three-part series may be summarized as follows. In the past, research in anabelian geometry typically centered around the establishment of "fully faithfulness" results — i.e., "Grothendieck Conjecture-type" results — concerning some sort of "fundamental group functor $X \mapsto \Pi_X$ " from varieties to profinite groups. In particular, the term "group-theoretic" was typically used to refer to properties preserved, for instance, by some isomorphism of profinite groups $\Pi_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_Y$ [i.e., between the étale fundamental groups of varieties X, Y]. By contrast:

In the present series, the focus of our attention is on the development of "algorithms" — i.e., "software" — which are "group-theoretic" in the sense that they are phrased in language that only depends on the structure of the input data as, for instance, a profinite group. Here, the "input data" is a profinite group that "just happens to arise" from scheme theory as an étale fundamental group, but which is only of concern to us in its capacity as an abstract profinite group. That is to say, the algorithms in question allow one to construct various objects reminiscent of objects that arise in scheme theory, but the issue of "eventually returning to scheme theory" — e.g., of showing that some isomorphism of profinite groups arises from an isomorphism of schemes — is no longer an issue of primary interest.

This point of view may already be seen in the theory of pro-l cuspidalizations given in [Mzk14], §3, in which "cuspidalized geometrically pro-l fundamental groups" are "group-theoretically constructed" from geometrically pro-l fundamental groups of proper hyperbolic curves without ever addressing the issue of whether or not the original curve [i.e., scheme] may be reconstructed from the given geometrically pro-l fundamental group [of a proper hyperbolic curve]. In some sense, this abstract, algorithmic point of view is taken even further in [Mzk13], where one works with certain types of purely combinatorial objects — i.e., "semi-graphs of anabelioids" — whose definition "just happens to be" motivated by stable curves in algebraic geometry. On the other hand, the results obtained in [Mzk13] are results concerning the abstract combinatorial geometry of these abstract combinatorial objects — i.e.,

one is never concerned with the issue of "eventually returning" to, for instance, scheme-theoretic morphisms.

The main results of the present paper are, to a substantial extent, "generalities" that will be of use to us in the further development of the theory in the latter two papers of the present three-part series. These main results may be summarized as follows:

- (1) In §1, we study various notions associated to abstract profinite groups such as RTF-quotients [i.e., quotients obtained by successive formation of torsion-free abelianizations cf. Definition 1.1, (i)], slimness [i.e., the property that all open subgroups are center-free], and elasticity [i.e., the property that every nontrivial topologically finitely generated closed normal subgroup of an open subgroup is itself open cf. Definition 1.1, (ii)] in the context of the absolute Galois groups that typically appear in anabelian geometry [cf. Proposition 1.5, Theorem 1.7].
- (2) In §2, we begin by formulating the terminology that we shall use in our discussion of the anabelian geometry of varieties of arbitrary dimension [cf. Definition 2.1]. We then apply the theory of slimness and elasticity developed in §1 to study various variants of the notion of "semi-absoluteness" [cf. Proposition 2.5]. Moreover, in the case of arithmetic base fields that typically appear in anabelian geometry, we give various "group-theoretic algorithms" for constructing the quotient of an arithmetic fundamental group determined by the absolute Galois group of the base field [cf. Theorem 2.6]. Finally, in the case of hyperbolic orbicurves, we apply the theory of maximal pro-RTF-quotients developed in §1 to give quite explicit "group-theoretic algorithms" for constructing these quotients [cf. Theorem 2.11].
- (3) In §3, we generalize the main result of [Mzk1] concerning the geometricity of arbitrary isomorphisms of absolute Galois groups of mixedcharacteristic local fields that preserve the ramification filtration [cf. Theorem 3.5]. This generalization allows one to replace the condition of "preserving the ramification filtration" by various more general conditions, certain of which were motivated by a result orally communicated to the author by A. Tamagawa [cf. Remark 3.8.1]. Moreover, unlike the main result of [Mzk1], this generalization may be applied [in certain cases to arbitrary open homomorphisms between absolute Galois groups of mixed-characteristic local fields, hence implies certain semi-absolute Hom-versions [cf. Corollary 3.8, 3.9] of the relative Hom-versions of the Grothendieck Conjecture given in [Mzk3], Theorems A, B. Also, we observe, in Example 2.13, that the corresponding absolute Hom-version of these results is false in general. Indeed, it was precisely the discovery of this counterexample to the "absolute Hom-version" that led the author to the detailed investigation of the "gap between absolute and semi-absolute" that forms the content of $\S 2$.
- (4) In §4, we study various "fundamental operations" for passing from one

algebraic stack to another. In the case of arbitrary dimension, these operations are the operations of "passing to a finite étale covering" and "passing to a finite étale quotient"; in the case of hyperbolic orbicurves, we also consider the operations of "forgetting a cusp" and "coarsifying a non-scheme-like point". Our main result asserts that if one assumes certain relative anabelian results concerning the varieties under consideration, then the corresponding absolute anabelian operations on arithmetic fundamental groups may be described "entirely group-theoretically" [cf. Theorem 4.7]. This theory, which generalizes the theory of [Mzk9], §2, and [Mzk14], §2, may be applied not only to hyperbolic orbicurves over sub-p-adic fields [cf. Example 4.8], but also to "iso-poly-hyperbolic orbisurfaces" over sub-p-adic fields [cf. Example 4.9]. We also give a tempered version of this theory [cf. Theorem 4.12].

Finally, in an Appendix, we review, for lack of an appropriate reference, various well-known facts concerning the theory of Albanese varieties that will play an important role in the portion of the theory of §2 concerning varieties of arbitrary dimension. Much of this theory of Albanese varieties is contained in such classical references as [NS], [Serre1], [Chev], which are written from a somewhat classical point of view. Thus, in the Appendix, we give a modern scheme-theoretic treatment of this classical theory, but without resorting to the introduction of motives and derived categories, as in [BS], [SS].

Acknowledgements:

I would like to thank *Akio Tamagawa* for many helpful discussions concerning the material presented in this paper. Also, I would like to thank *Brian Conrad* for informing me of the references in the Appendix to [FGA], and *Noboru Nakayama* for advice concerning non-smooth normal algebraic varieties.

Section 0: Notations and Conventions

Numbers:

The notation \mathbb{Q} will be used to denote the field of rational numbers. The notation $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ will be used to denote the set, group, or ring of rational integers. The notation $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ will be used to denote the set or monoid of nonnegative rational integers. The profinite completion of the group \mathbb{Z} will be denoted $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Write

Primes

for the set of prime numbers. If $p \in \mathfrak{Primes}$, then the notation \mathbb{Q}_p (respectively, \mathbb{Z}_p) will be used to denote the p-adic completion of \mathbb{Q} (respectively, \mathbb{Z}). Also, we shall write

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^{(\times)} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$$

for the subgroup $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ if p > 2, $1 + p^2\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ if p = 2. Thus, we have isomorphisms of topological groups

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^{(\times)} \times (\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}/\mathbb{Z}_p^{(\times)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}; \quad \mathbb{Z}_p^{(\times)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p$$

— where the second isomorphism is the isomorphism determined by the p-adic logarithm; $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}/\mathbb{Z}_p^{(\times)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ if p > 2, $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}/\mathbb{Z}_p^{(\times)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ if p = 2.

A finite field extension of \mathbb{Q} will be referred to as a number field, or NF, for short. A finite field extension of \mathbb{Q}_p for some $p \in \mathfrak{Primes}$ will be referred to as a mixed-characteristic nonarchimedean local field, or MLF, for short. A field of finite cardinality will be referred to as a finite field, or FF, for short. We shall regard the set of symbols $\{NF, MLF, FF\}$ as being equipped with a linear ordering

and refer to an element of this set of symbols as a *field type*.

Topological Groups:

Let G be a Hausdorff topological group, and $H \subseteq G$ a closed subgroup. Let us write

$$Z_G(H) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ g \in G \mid g \cdot h = h \cdot g, \ \forall \ h \in H \}$$

for the *centralizer* of H in G;

$$N_G(H) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ g \in G \mid g \cdot H \cdot g^{-1} = H \}$$

for the *normalizer* of H in G; and

$$C_G(H) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{g \in G \mid (g \cdot H \cdot g^{-1}) \cap H \text{ has finite index in } H, g \cdot H \cdot g^{-1}\}$$

for the commensurator of H in G. Note that: (i) $Z_G(H)$, $N_G(H)$ and $C_G(H)$ are subgroups of G; (ii) we have inclusions H, $Z_G(H) \subseteq N_G(H) \subseteq C_G(H)$; (iii) H is normal in $N_G(H)$. If $H = N_G(H)$ (respectively, $H = C_G(H)$), then we shall say that H is normally terminal (respectively, commensurably terminal) in G. Note that $Z_G(H)$, $N_G(H)$ are always closed in G, while $C_G(H)$ is not necessarily closed in G. Also, we shall write $Z(G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z_G(G)$ for the center of G.

Let G be a topological group. Then [cf. [Mzk12], $\S 0$] we shall refer to a normal open subgroup $H\subseteq G$ such that the quotient group G/H is a free discrete group as co-free. We shall refer to a co-free subgroup $H\subseteq G$ as minimal if every co-free subgroup of G contains H. Thus, any minimal co-free subgroup of G is necessarily unique and characteristic.

We shall refer to a continuous homomorphism between topological groups as dense (respectively, of DOF-type [cf. [Mzk10], Definition 6.2, (iii)]; of OF-type) if its image is dense (respectively, dense in some open subgroup of finite index; an open subgroup of finite index). Let Π be a topological group; Δ a normal closed subgroup such that every characteristic open subgroup of finite index $H \subseteq \Delta$ admits a minimal co-free subgroup $H^{\text{co-fr}} \subseteq H$. Write $\widehat{\Pi}$ for the profinite completion of Π . Let

$$\widehat{\Pi} \twoheadrightarrow Q$$

be a quotient of profinite groups. Then we shall refer to as the (Q, Δ) -co-free completion of Π , or co-free completion of Π with respect to [the quotient $\widehat{\Pi} \twoheadrightarrow] Q$ and [the subgroup] $\Delta \subseteq \Pi$ — where we shall often omit mention of Δ when it is fixed throughout the discussion — the inverse limit

$$\Pi^{Q/\text{co-fr}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varprojlim_{H} \ \operatorname{Im}_{Q}(\Pi/H^{\text{co-fr}})$$

— where $H \subseteq \Delta$ ranges over the characteristic open subgroups of Δ of finite index; $\widehat{H}^{\text{co-fr}} \subseteq \widehat{\Pi}$ is the closure of the image of $H^{\text{co-fr}}$ in $\widehat{\Pi}$; $\widehat{H}_Q^{\text{co-fr}} \subseteq Q$ is the image of $\widehat{H}^{\text{co-fr}}$ in Q; "Im $_Q(-)$ " denotes the image in $Q/\widehat{H}_Q^{\text{co-fr}}$ of the group in parentheses. Thus, we have a natural dense homomorphism $\Pi \to \Pi^{Q/\text{co-fr}}$.

We shall say that a profinite group G is slim if for every open subgroup $H \subseteq G$, the centralizer $Z_G(H)$ is trivial. Note that every finite normal closed subgroup $N \subseteq G$ of a slim profinite group G is trivial. [Indeed, this follows by observing that for any normal open subgroup $H \subseteq G$ such that $N \cap H = \{1\}$, consideration of the inclusion $N \hookrightarrow G/H$ reveals that the conjugation action of H on N is trivial, i.e., that $N \subseteq Z_G(H) = \{1\}$.]

We shall say that a profinite group G is decomposable if there exists an isomorphism of profinite groups $H_1 \times H_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} G$, where H_1 , H_2 are nontrivial profinite groups. If a profinite group G is not decomposable, then we shall say that it is indecomposable.

We shall write G^{ab} for the *abelianization* of a profinite group G, i.e., the quotient of G by the closure of the commutator subgroup of G, and

for the torsion-free abelianization of G, i.e., the quotient of G^{ab} by the closure of the torsion subgroup of G^{ab} . Note that the formation of G^{ab} , G^{ab-t} is functorial with respect to arbitrary continuous homomorphisms of profinite groups.

We shall denote the group of automorphisms of a profinite group G by $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$. Conjugation by elements of G determines a homomorphism $G \to \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ whose image consists of the *inner automorphisms* of G. We shall denote by $\operatorname{Out}(G)$ the quotient of $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ by the [normal] subgroup consisting of the inner automorphisms. In particular, if G is *center-free*, then we have an *exact sequence* $1 \to G \to \operatorname{Aut}(G) \to \operatorname{Out}(G) \to 1$. If, moreover, G is topologically finitely generated, then it follows immediately that the topology of G admits a basis of *characteristic open subgroups*, which thus determine a topology on $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$, $\operatorname{Out}(G)$ with respect to which the exact sequence $1 \to G \to \operatorname{Aut}(G) \to \operatorname{Out}(G) \to 1$ becomes an exact sequence of profinite groups.

Algebraic Stacks:

We refer to [FC], Chapter I, §4.10, for a discussion of the *coarse space* associated to an algebraic stack. We shall say that an algebraic stack is *scheme-like* if it is, in fact, a scheme. We shall say that an algebraic stack is *generically scheme-like* if it admits an open dense substack which is a scheme.

Curves:

We shall use the following terms, as they are defined in [Mzk14], §0: hyperbolic curve, family of hyperbolic curves, cusp, tripod. Also, we refer to [Mzk6], the proof of Lemma 2.1; [Mzk6], the discussion following Lemma 2.1, for an explanation of the terms "stable reduction" and "stable model" applied to a hyperbolic curve over an MLF.

If X is a generically scheme-like algebraic stack over a field k that admits a finite étale Galois covering $Y \to X$, where Y is a hyperbolic curve over a finite extension of k, then we shall refer to X as a hyperbolic orbicurve over k. [Thus, when k is of characteristic zero, this definition coincides with the definition of a "hyperbolic orbicurve" in [Mzk14], $\S 0$, and differs from, but is equivalent to, the definition of a "hyperbolic orbicurve" given in [Mzk7], Definition 2.2, (ii). We refer to [Mzk14], $\S 0$, for more on this equivalence.] Note that the notion of a "cusp of a hyperbolic orbicurve" given in [Mzk14], $\S 0$, generalizes immediately to the notion of "cusp of a hyperbolic orbicurve". If $X \to Y$ is a dominant morphism of hyperbolic orbicurves, then we shall refer to $X \to Y$ as a partial coarsification morphism if the morphism induced by $X \to Y$ on associated coarse spaces is an isomorphism.

Let X be a hyperbolic orbicurve over an algebraically closed field; denote its étale fundamental group by Δ_X . We shall refer to the order of the [manifestly finite!] decomposition group of a closed point x of X as the order of x. We shall refer to the [manifestly finite!] least common multiple of the orders of the closed points of X as the order of X. Thus, it follows immediately from the definitions that X is a hyperbolic curve if and only if the order of X is equal to 1.

Section 1: Some Profinite Group Theory

We begin by discussing certain aspects of abstract profinite groups, as they relate to the Galois groups of finite fields, mixed-characteristic nonarchimedean local fields, and number fields. In the following, let G be a profinite group.

Definition 1.1.

(i) In the following, "RTF" is to be understood as an abbreviation for "recursively torsion-free". If $H \subseteq G$ is a normal open subgroup that arises as the kernel of a continuous surjection $G \twoheadrightarrow Q$, where Q is a finite abelian group, that factors through the torsion-free abelianization $G \twoheadrightarrow G^{\text{ab-t}}$ of G [cf. §0], then we shall refer to (G, H) as an RTF-pair. If for some integer $n \geq 1$, a sequence of open subgroups

$$G_n \subseteq G_{n-1} \subseteq \dots G_1 \subseteq G_0 = G$$

of G satisfies the condition that, for each nonnegative integer $j \leq n-1$, (G_j, G_{j+1}) is an RTF-pair, then we shall refer to this sequence of open subgroups as an RTF-chain $[from\ G_n\ to\ G]$. If $H\subseteq G$ is an open subgroup such that there exists an RTF-chain from H to G, then we shall refer to $H\subseteq G$ as an RTF-subgroup $[of\ G]$. If the kernel of a continuous surjection $\phi:G\twoheadrightarrow Q$, where Q is a finite group, is an RTF-subgroup of G, then we shall say that $\phi:G\twoheadrightarrow Q$ is an RTF-quotient of G. If $\phi:G\twoheadrightarrow Q$ is a continuous surjection of profinite groups such that the topology of G admits a basis of normal open subgroups $\{N_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in A}$ satisfying the property that each composite $G\twoheadrightarrow Q\twoheadrightarrow Q/N_\alpha$ [for $\alpha\in A$] is an RTF-quotient, then we shall say that $\phi:G\twoheadrightarrow Q$ is a f-ro-RTF-quotient. If G is a finite (respectively, pro-RTF-quotient), then we shall say that G is an G-quotient (respectively, pro-RTF-quotient), then we shall say that G is an G-quotient (respectively, a G-RTF-quotient).

- (ii) We shall say that G is *elastic* if it holds that every topologically finitely generated closed normal subgroup $N \subseteq H$ of an open subgroup $H \subseteq G$ of G is either trivial or of finite index in G. If G is elastic, but *not* topologically finitely generated, then we shall say that G is very elastic.
- (iii) Let $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$ [cf. §0] be a set of prime numbers. If G admits an open subgroup which is pro- Σ , then we shall say that G is almost $pro-\Sigma$. We shall refer to a quotient $G \twoheadrightarrow Q$ as almost $pro-\Sigma$ -maximal if for some normal open subgroup $N \subseteq G$ with maximal pro- Σ quotient $N \twoheadrightarrow P$, we have $\mathrm{Ker}(G \twoheadrightarrow Q) = \mathrm{Ker}(N \twoheadrightarrow P)$. [Thus, any almost pro- Σ -maximal quotient of G is almost pro- Σ .] When $\Sigma \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathfrak{Primes} \setminus \{p\}$ for some $p \in \mathfrak{Primes}$, then we shall write " $pro-(\neq p)$ " for "pro- Σ ". Write

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(\neq p)$$

for the maximal pro- $(\neq p)$ quotient of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. We shall say that G is pro-omissive (respectively, almost pro-omissive) if it is pro- $(\neq p)$ for some $p \in \mathfrak{Primes}$ (respectively, if it admits a pro-omissive open subgroup). We shall say that G is augmented pro-p if there exists an exact sequence of profinite groups $1 \to N \to G \to \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(\neq p)} \to 1$,

where N is pro-p; in this case, the image of N in G is uniquely determined [i.e., as the maximal pro-p subgroup of G]; the quotient $G o \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(\neq p)}$ [which is well-defined up to automorphisms of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(\neq p)}$] will be referred to as the augmentation of the augmented pro-p group G. We shall say that G is augmented pro-prime if it is augmented pro-p for some [not necessarily unique!] $p \in \mathfrak{Primes}$. If $\Sigma = \{p\}$ for some unspecified $p \in \mathfrak{Primes}$, we shall write "pro-prime" for "pro- Σ ". When \mathcal{C} is the "full formation" [cf., e.g., [FJ], p. 343] of finite solvable Σ -groups, then we shall refer to a pro- \mathcal{C} group as a pro- Σ -solvable group.

Proposition 1.2. (Basic Properties of Pro-RTF-quotients) Let

$$\phi:G_1\to G_2$$

be a continuous homomorphism of profinite groups. Then:

- (i) If $H \subseteq G_2$ is an RTF-subgroup of G_2 , then $\phi^{-1}(H) \subseteq G_1$ is an RTF-subgroup of G_1 .
 - (ii) If $H, J \subseteq G$ are RTF-subgroups of G, then so is $H \cap J$.
- (iii) If $H \subseteq G$ is an **RTF-subgroup** of G, then there exists a **normal** [open] RTF-subgroup $J \subseteq G$ of G such that $J \subseteq H$.
 - (iv) Every RTF-quotient $G \rightarrow Q$ of G factors through the quotient

$$G \twoheadrightarrow G^{\operatorname{RTF}} \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} \varprojlim_N G/N$$

- where N ranges over the normal [open] RTF-subgroups of G. We shall refer to this quotient $G woheadrightarrow G^{RTF}$ as the maximal pro-RTF-quotient.
 - (v) There exists a commutative diagram

$$egin{array}{cccc} G_1 & \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} & G_2 \ & & & \downarrow \ G_1^{ ext{RTF}} & \stackrel{\phi^{ ext{RTF}}}{\longrightarrow} & G_2^{ ext{RTF}} \end{array}$$

— where the vertical arrows are the natural morphisms, and the continuous homomorphism ϕ^{RTF} is uniquely determined by the condition that the diagram commute.

Proof. Assertion (i) follows immediately from the definitions, together with the functoriality of the torsion-free abelianization [cf. $\S 0$]. To verify assertion (ii), one observes that an RTF-chain from $H \cap J$ to G may be obtained by concatenating an RTF-chain from $H \cap J$ to J [whose existence follows from assertion (i) applied to the natural inclusion homomorphism $J \hookrightarrow G$] with an RTF-chain from J to G. Assertion (iii) follows by applying assertion (ii) to some finite intersection of conjugates of H. Assertion (iv) follows immediately from assertions (ii), (iii). Assertion (v) follows immediately from assertions (i), (iv). \bigcap

Proposition 1.3. (Basic Properties of Elasticity)

- (i) Let $H \subseteq G$ be an open subgroup of the profinite group G. Then the elasticity of G implies that of H. If G is slim, then the elasticity of H implies that of G.
- (ii) Suppose that G is **nontrivial**. Then G is **very elastic** if and only if it holds that every topologically finitely generated closed normal subgroup $N \subseteq H$ of an open subgroup $H \subseteq G$ of G is trivial.

Proof. Assertion (i) follows immediately from the definitions, together with the fact that a slim profinite group has no normal closed finite subgroups [cf. $\S 0$]. The necessity portion of assertion (ii) follows from the fact that the existence of a topologically finitely generated open subgroup of G implies that G itself is topologically finitely generated; the sufficiency portion of assertion (ii) follows immediately by taking $N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G \neq \{1\}$. \bigcirc

Next, we consider *Galois groups*.

- **Definition 1.4.** We shall refer to a field k as *solvably closed* if, for every finite abelian field extension k' of k, it holds that k' = k.
- **Remark 1.4.1.** Note that if \widetilde{k} is a solvably closed Galois extension of a field k of type MLF or FF [cf. $\S 0$], then \widetilde{k} is an algebraic closure of k. Indeed, this follows from the well-known fact that the absolute Galois group of a field of type MLF or FF is pro-solvable [cf., e.g., [NSW], Chapter VII, $\S 5$].
- **Proposition 1.5.** (Pro-RTF-quotients of MLF Galois Groups) Let \overline{k} be an algebraic closure of an MLF [cf. $\S 0$] k of residue characteristic p; $G_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$; $G_k \to G_k^{\operatorname{RTF}}$ the maximal pro-RTF-quotient [cf. Proposition 1.2, (iv)] of G_k . Then:
 - (i) G_k^{RTF} is slim.
- (ii) There exists an exact sequence $1 \to P \to G_k^{\mathrm{RTF}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \to 1$, where P is a **pro-p** group whose image in G_k^{RTF} is equal to the image of the inertia subgroup of G_k in G_k^{RTF} . In particular, G_k^{RTF} is augmented pro-p.
- *Proof.* Recall from local class field theory [cf., e.g., [Serre2]] that for any open subgroup $H \subseteq G_k$, corresponding to a subfield $k_H \subseteq \overline{k}$, we have a natural isomorphism

$$(k_H^{\times})^{\wedge} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} H^{\mathrm{ab}}$$

[where the " \wedge " denotes the profinite completion of an abelian group; " \times " denotes the group of units of a ring]; moreover, H^{ab} fits into an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathcal{O}_{k_H}^{\times} \to H^{\mathrm{ab}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \to 1$$

[where $\mathcal{O}_{k_H} \subseteq k_H$ is ring of integers] in which the image of $\mathcal{O}_{k_H}^{\times}$ in H^{ab} coincides with the image of the inertia subgroup of H. Observe, moreover, that the quotient of the abelian profinite group $\mathcal{O}_{k_H}^{\times}$ by its torsion subgroup is a pro-p group. Thus, assertion (ii) follows immediately from this observation, together with the definition of the maximal pro-RTF-quotient. Next, let us observe that by applying the natural isomorphism $(\mathcal{O}_{k_H}^{\times}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} k_H$, it follows that whenever H is normal in G_k , G_k/H acts faithfully on $H^{\mathrm{ab-t}}$. Thus, assertion (i) follows immediately. \bigcirc

The following result is well-known.

Proposition 1.6. (Maximal Pro-p Quotients of MLF Galois Groups) Let \overline{k} be an algebraic closure of an MLF k of residue characteristic p; $G_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$; $G_k \twoheadrightarrow G_k^{(p)}$ the maximal pro-p-quotient of G_k . Then:

- (i) Any almost pro-p-maximal quotient $G_k \rightarrow Q$ of G_k is slim.
- (ii) Suppose further that k contains a **primitive** p-th root of unity. Then for any finite module M annihilated by p equipped with a continuous action by $G_k^{(p)}$ [which thus determines a continuous action by G_k], the natural homomorphism $G_k \to G_k^{(p)}$ induces an **isomorphism**

$$H^j(G_k^{(p)}, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^j(G_k, M)$$

on Galois cohomology modules for all integers j > 0.

(iii) If k contains (respectively, does not contain) a **primitive** p-th root of unity, then any closed subgroup of infinite index (respectively, any closed subgroup of arbitrary index) $H \subseteq G_k^{(p)}$ is a free pro-p group.

Proof. Assertion (i) follows from the argument applied to verify Proposition 1.5, (i). To verify assertion (ii), it suffices to show that the cohomology module

$$H^j(J,M) \cong \varinjlim_{k'} H^j(G_{k'},M)$$

[where $J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Ker}(G_k \to G_k^{(p)})$; k' ranges over the finite Galois extensions of k such that [k':k] is a power of p; $G_{k'} \subseteq G_k$ is the open subgroup determined by k'] vanishes for $j \geq 1$. By "dévissage", we may assume that $M \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ with the trivial G_k -action. Since the cohomological dimension of $G_{k'}$ is equal to 2 [cf. [NSW], Theorem 7.1.8, (i)], it suffices to consider the cases j = 1, 2. For j = 2, since $H^2(G_{k'}, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ [cf. [NSW], Theorem 7.1.8, (ii); our hypothesis that k contains a primitive p-th root of unity], it suffices, by the well-known functorial behavior of $H^2(G_{k'}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ [cf. [NSW], Corollary 7.1.4], to observe that k' always admits a cyclic Galois extension of degree p [arising, for instance, from an extension of the residue field of k']. On the other hand, for j = 1, the desired vanishing is a tautology,

in light of the definition of the quotient $G_k woheadrightarrow G_k^{(p)}$. This completes the proof of assertion (ii).

Finally, we consider assertion (iii). If k does not contain a primitive p-th root of unity, then $G_k^{(p)}$ itself is a free pro-p group [cf. [NSW], Theorem 7.5.8, (i)], so any closed subgroup $H \subseteq G_k^{(p)}$ is also free pro-p [cf., e.g., [RZ], Corollary 7.7.5]. Thus, let us assume that k contains a primitive p-th root of unity, so we may apply the isomorphism of assertion (ii). In particular, if $J \subseteq G_k^{(p)}$ is an open subgroup such that $H \subseteq J$, and $k_J \subseteq \overline{k}$ is the subfield determined by J, then one verifies immediately that the quotient $G_{k_J} \to J$ may be identified with the quotient $G_{k_J} \to G_{k_J}^{(p)}$, so we obtain an isomorphism $H^2(J, \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(G_{k_J}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ [where \mathbb{F}_p is equipped with the trivial Galois action]. Thus, to complete the proof that H is free pro-p, it suffices [by a well-known cohomological criterion for free pro-p groups — cf., e.g., [RZ], Theorem 7.7.4] to show that the cohomology module

$$H^2(H, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \varinjlim_{k_J} H^2(G_{k_J}, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

[where \mathbb{F}_p is equipped with the trivial Galois action; k_J ranges over the finite extensions of k arising from open subgroups $J \subseteq G_k^{(p)}$ such that $H \subseteq J$] vanishes. As in the proof of assertion (ii), this vanishing follows from the well-known functorial behavior of $H^2(G_{k_J}, \mathbb{F}_p)$, together with the observation that, by our assumption that H is of infinite index in $G_k^{(p)}$, k_J always admits an extension of degree p arising from an open subgroup of J [where $J \subseteq G_k^{(p)}$ corresponds to k_J] containing H. \bigcirc

Theorem 1.7. (Slimness and Elasticity of Arithmetic Galois Groups) Let \widetilde{k} be a solvably closed Galois extension of a field k; write $G_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{k}/k)$. Then:

- (i) If k is an **FF**, then $G_k \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is **neither** elastic **nor** slim.
- (ii) If k is an MLF, then G_k , as well as any almost pro-p-maximal quotient $G_k \rightarrow Q$ of G_k , is elastic and slim.
 - (iii) If k is an NF, then G_k is very elastic and slim.

Proof. Assertion (i) is immediate from the definitions; assertion (iii) is the content of [Mzk11], Corollary 2.2; [Mzk11], Theorem 2.4. The *slimness* portion of assertion (ii) for G_k is shown, for instance, in [Mzk6], Theorem 1.1.1, (ii) [via the same argument as the argument applied to prove Proposition 1.5, (i); Proposition 1.6, (i)]; the *slimness* portion of assertion (ii) for Q is precisely the content of Proposition 1.6, (i).

To show the *elasticity* portion of assertion (ii) for Q, let $N \subseteq H$ be a closed normal subgroup of *infinite index* of an open subgroup $H \subseteq Q$ such that N is topologically generated by r elements, where $r \geq 1$ is an integer. Then it suffices to show that N is *trivial*. Since Q has already been shown to be slim [hence has

no nontrivial finite normal closed subgroups — cf. $\S 0$], we may always replace k by a finite extension of k. In particular, we may assume that H=Q, and that Q is maximal pro-p. Since [Q:N] is infinite, it follows that there exists an open subgroup $J \subseteq Q$, corresponding to a subfield $k_J \subseteq \overline{k}$, such that $N \subseteq J$, and $[k_J:\mathbb{Q}_p]\geq r+1$. Here, we recall from our discussion of local class field theory in the proof of Proposition 1.5 that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(J^{\mathrm{ab}}\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p)=[k_J:\mathbb{Q}_p]+1\ (\geq r+2)$. In particular, we conclude that N is necessarily a subgroup of *infinite index* of some topologically finitely generated closed subgroup $P \subseteq J$ such that [J:P] is infinite. For instance, one may take P to be the subgroup of J topologically generated by N, together with an element of J that maps to a non-torsion element of the quotient of J^{ab} by the image of N^{ab} .] Thus, we conclude from Proposition 1.6, (iii), that P is a free pro-p group which contains a topologically finitely generated closed normal subgroup $N \subseteq P$ of infinite index. On the other hand, by [a rather easy special case of the theorem of Lubotzky-Melnikov-van den Dries [cf., e.g., [FJ], Proposition 24.10.3; [MT], Theorem 1.5], this implies that N is trivial. This completes the proof of the elasticity portion of assertion (ii) for Q.

To show the elasticity portion of assertion (ii) for G_k , let $N \subseteq H$ be a closed normal subgroup of infinite index of an open subgroup $H \subseteq G_k$ such that N is topologically generated by r elements, where $r \geq 1$ is an integer. Then it suffices to show that N is trivial. As in the proof of the elasticity of "Q", we may assume that $H = G_k$; also, since $[G_k : N]$ is infinite, by passing to a finite extension of k corresponding to an open subgroup of G_k containing N, we may assume that $[k : \mathbb{Q}_p] \geq r$. But this implies that the image of N in $G_k^{ab} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ [which is of rank $[k : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1 \geq r + 1$] is of infinite index, hence that the image of N in any almost propomaximal quotient $G_k \to Q$ is of infinite index. Thus, by the elasticity for "Q", we conclude that such images are trivial. Since, moreover, the natural surjection

$$G_k woheadrightarrow \varprojlim_Q Q$$

[where Q ranges over the almost pro-p-maximal quotients of G_k] is [by the definition of the term "almost pro-p-maximal quotient"] an isomorphism, this is enough to conclude that N is trivial, as desired. \bigcirc

Section 2: Semi-absolute Anabelian Geometry

In the present §2, we consider the problem of characterizing "group-theoretically" the quotient morphism to the Galois group of the base field of the arithmetic fundamental group of a variety. In particular, the theory of the present §2 refines the theory of [Mzk6], Lemma 1.1.4 in two respects: We extend this theory to the case of varieties of arbitrary dimension [cf. Corollary 2.8], and in the case of hyperbolic orbicurves, we give a "group-theoretic version" of the numerical criterion of [Mzk6], Lemma 1.1.4, via the theory of maximal pro-RTF-quotients developed in §1 [cf. Corollary 2.12]. The theory of the present §2 depends on the general theory of Albanese varieties, which we review in the Appendix, for the convenience of the reader.

Suppose that:

- (1) k is a perfect field, \overline{k} an algebraic closure of k, $\widetilde{k} \subseteq \overline{k}$ a solvably closed Galois extension of k, and $G_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{k}/k)$.
- (2) $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ is a geometrically connected, smooth, separated algebraic stack of finite type over k.
- (3) $Y \to X$ is a connected finite étale Galois covering which is a [necessarily separated, smooth, and of finite type over k] k-scheme such that Gal(Y/X) acts freely on some nonempty open subscheme of Y [so X is generically scheme-like cf. $\S 0$].
- (4) $Y \hookrightarrow \overline{Y}$ is an open immersion into a connected proper k-scheme \overline{Y} such that \overline{Y} is the underlying scheme of a log scheme \overline{Y}^{\log} that is \log smooth over k [where we regard $\operatorname{Spec}(k)$ as equipped with the trivial log structure], and the image of Y in \overline{Y} coincides with the interior of the log scheme \overline{Y}^{\log} .

Thus, it follows from the $log\ purity$ theorem [which is exposed, for instance, in [Mzk4] as "Theorem B"] that the condition that a finite étale covering $Z\to Y$ be $tamely\ ramified$ over the height one primes of \overline{Y} is equivalent to the condition that the normalization \overline{Z} of \overline{Y} in Z determine a $log\ étale\ morphism\ \overline{Z}^{\log}\to \overline{Y}^{\log}$ [whose underlying morphism of schemes is $\overline{Z}\to \overline{Y}$]; in particular, one concludes immediately that the condition that $Z\to Y$ be tamely ramified over the height one primes of \overline{Y} is independent of the choice of "log smooth log compactification" \overline{Y}^{\log} for Y. Thus, one verifies immediately [by considering the various Gal(Y/X)-conjugates of the "log compactification" \overline{Y}^{\log}] that the finite étale coverings of X whose pull-backs to Y are $tamely\ ramified$ over [the height one primes of] \overline{Y} form a $Galois\ category$, whose associated profinite group [relative to an appropriate choice of basepoint for X] we denote by $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X,Y)$, or simply

$$\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X)$$

when $Y \to X$ is fixed. In particular, if we use the subscript " \overline{k} " to denote base-change from k to \overline{k} , then by choosing a connected component of $\overline{Y}_{\overline{k}}$, we obtain a subgroup $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \subseteq \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X)$ which fits into a natural exact sequence $1 \to \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X) \to \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to 1$.

Next, let $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$ be a set of prime numbers; $\pi_1^{\mathrm{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_X$ an almost pro- Σ -maximal quotient of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}})$ whose kernel is normal in $\pi_1^{\mathrm{tame}}(X)$, hence determines a quotient $\pi_1^{\mathrm{tame}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_X$; we also assume that the quotient $\pi_1^{\mathrm{tame}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X)$ admits a factorization $\pi_1^{\mathrm{tame}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X)$, and that the kernel of the resulting homomorphism $\Delta_X \to \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X)$ is pro- Σ . Thus, $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta_X \to \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X))$ may be identified with the maximal pro- Σ quotient of $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \mathrm{Gal}(Y/X))$; we obtain a natural exact sequence

$$1 \to \Delta_X \to \Pi_X \to \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to 1$$

— which may be thought of as an extension of the profinite group $Gal(\overline{k}/k)$.

Definition 2.1.

- (i) We shall refer to any profinite group Δ which is isomorphic to the profinite group Δ_X constructed in the above discussion for some choice of data $(k, X, Y \hookrightarrow \overline{Y}, \Sigma)$ as a profinite group of [almost pro- Σ] GFG-type [where "GFG" is to be understood as an abbreviation for "geometric fundamental group"]. In this situation, we shall refer to any surjection $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta$ obtained by composing the surjection $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_X$ with an isomorphism $\Delta_X \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \Delta$ as a scheme-theoretic envelope for Δ ; we shall refer to $(k, X, Y \hookrightarrow \overline{Y}, \Sigma)$ as a collection of construction data for Δ . [Thus, given a profinite group of GFG-type, there are, in general, many possible choices of construction data for the profinite group.]
- (ii) We shall refer to any extension $1 \to \Delta \to \Pi \to G \to 1$ of profinite groups which is isomorphic to the extension $1 \to \Delta_X \to \Pi_X \to \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to 1$ constructed in the above discussion for some choice of data $(k, X, Y \hookrightarrow \overline{Y}, \Sigma)$ as an extension of [geometrically almost pro- Σ] AFG-type [where "AFG" is to be understood as an abbreviation for "arithmetic fundamental group"]. In this situation, we shall refer to any surjection $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X) \to \Pi$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \Delta$; $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to G$) obtained by composing the surjection $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X) \to \Pi_X$ (respectively, the surjection $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \Delta_X$; the identity $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$) with an isomorphism $\Pi_X \to \Pi$ (respectively, $\Delta_X \to \Delta$; $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to G$) arising from an isomorphism of the extensions $1 \to \Delta \to \Pi \to G \to 1$, $1 \to \Delta_X \to \Pi_X \to \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to 1$ as a schemetheoretic envelope for Π (respectively, Δ ; G); we shall refer to $(k, X, Y \hookrightarrow \overline{Y}, \Sigma)$ as a collection of construction data for this extension. [Thus, given an extension of AFG-type, there are, in general, many possible choices of construction data for the extension.]
- (iii) Let $1 \to \Delta^* \to \Pi^* \to G^* \to 1$ be an extension of AFG-type; $N \subseteq G^*$ the inverse image of the kernel of the quotient $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \twoheadrightarrow G_k$ relative to some scheme-theoretic envelope $G^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. Suppose further that Δ^* is slim , and

that the outer action of N on Δ^* [arising from the extension structure] is trivial. Thus, every element of $N \subseteq G^*$ lifts to a unique element of Π^* that commutes with Δ^* . In particular, N lifts to a closed normal subgroup $N_\Pi \subseteq \Pi^*$. We shall refer to any extension $1 \to \Delta \to \Pi \to G \to 1$ of profinite groups which is isomorphic to an extension of the form $1 \to \Delta^* \to \Pi^*/N_\Pi \to G^*/N \to 1$ just constructed as an extension of [geometrically almost $pro-\Sigma$] GSAFG-type [where "GSAFG" is to be understood as an abbreviation for "geometrically slim arithmetic fundamental group"]. In this situation, we shall refer to any surjection $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X) \to \Pi$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \Delta$; $Gal(\overline{k}/k) \to G$) obtained by composing a scheme-theoretic envelope $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X) \to \Pi^*$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \Delta^*$; $Gal(\overline{k}/k) \to G^*$) with the surjection $\Pi^* \to \Pi$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$) in the above discussion as a scheme-theoretic envelope for $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$) as a collection of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$) as a collection of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$) as a collection of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$) as a collection of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$) as a collection of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$) as a collection of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$) as a collection of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$) as a collection of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$) as a collection of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$) as a collection of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^*$ (res

(iv) Given construction data " $(k,X,Y\hookrightarrow\overline{Y},\Sigma)$ " or " $(k,\widetilde{k},X,Y\hookrightarrow\overline{Y},\Sigma)$ " as in (i), (ii), (iii), we shall refer to "k" as the construction data field, to "X" as the construction data base-stack [or base-scheme, if X is a scheme], to "Y" as the construction data covering, to " \overline{Y} " as the construction data covering compactification, and to " Σ " as the construction prime set. Also, we shall refer to a portion of the construction data " $(k,X,Y\hookrightarrow\overline{Y},\Sigma)$ " or " $(k,\widetilde{k},X,Y\hookrightarrow\overline{Y},\Sigma)$ " as in (i), (ii), (iii), as partial construction data. If every prime dividing the order of a finite quotient group of Δ is invertible in k, then we shall refer to the construction data in question as base-prime.

The following result is well-known, but we give the proof below for lack of an appropriate reference in the case where [in the notation of the above discussion] X is not necessarily proper.

Proposition 2.2. (Topological Finite Generation) Any profinite group Δ of GFG-type is topologically finitely generated.

Proof. Write $(k, X, Y \hookrightarrow \overline{Y}, \Sigma)$ for a choice of construction data for Δ . Since a profinite fundamental group is topologically finitely generated if and only if it admits an open subgroup that is topologically finitely generated, we may assume that X = Y; moreover, by applying de Jong's theory of alterations [as reviewed, for instance, in Lemma A.10 of the Appendix], we may assume that \overline{Y} is projective [and even k-smooth]. Since we are only concerned with Δ , we may assume that k is algebraically closed, hence, in particular, infinite. Thus, since \overline{Y} is normal and projective [over k], it follows that there exists a connected, k-smooth, one-dimensional closed subscheme $\overline{C} \subseteq \overline{Y}$ obtained by intersecting \overline{Y} with $\dim_k(\overline{Y}) - 1$ sufficiently general hyperplane sections such that $C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{C} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, and \overline{Y} is k-smooth at the points of \overline{C} . Now if $Z \to Y$ is any connected finite étale covering that is tamely ramified over the divisor $D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{Y} \setminus Y$ [equipped with the reduced induced

structure], then write $\overline{Z} \to \overline{Y}$ for the normalization of \overline{Y} in Z. Thus, since \overline{Z} is tamely ramfied over D [so one may apply "Abhyankar's lemma" to describe the local structure of $\overline{Z} \to \overline{Y}$], and D intersects \overline{C} transversely, it follows immediately that $\overline{Z}_{\overline{C}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{Z} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{C}$ is k-smooth. On the other hand, since the closed subscheme $\overline{Z}_{\overline{C}} \subseteq \overline{Z}$ is obtained by forming the intersection of the zero locus $\dim_k(\overline{Y}) - 1$ sections of an ample line bundle on \overline{Z} , it thus follows [cf., e.g., [SGA2], XII, 2.4] that $\overline{Z}_{\overline{C}}$ is connected. But this connectedness for arbitrary choices of the covering $Z \to Y$ implies that the natural morphism $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(C) \to \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(Y)$ is surjective. Thus, it suffices to prove Proposition 2.2 in the case where \overline{Y} is a curve. But in this case, [as is well-known] Proposition 2.2 follows by deforming $Y \hookrightarrow \overline{Y}$ to a curve in characteristic zero, in which case, the desired topological finite generation follows from the well-known structure of the topological fundamental group of a Riemann surface of finite type. \bigcirc

Proposition 2.3. (Slimness and Elasticity for Hyperbolic Orbicurves)

- (i) Let Δ be a profinite group of GFG-type that admits partial construction data (k, X, Σ) [consisting of the construction data field, construction data base-stack, and construction data prime set] such that X is a hyperbolic orbicurve [cf. $\S 0$], and Σ contains a prime invertible in k. Then Δ is slim and elastic.
- (ii) Let $1 \to \Delta \to \Pi \to G \to 1$ be an extension of GSAFG-type that admits partial construction data (k, X, Σ) [consisting of the construction data field, construction data base-stack, and construction data prime set] such that X is a hyperbolic orbicurve, $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$, and k is either an MLF or an NF. Then Π is slim, but not elastic.

Proof. Assertion (i) is the easily verified "generalization to orbicurves over fields of arbitrary characteristic" of [MT], Proposition 1.4; [MT], Theorem 1.5 [cf. also the technique of proof applied to the elasticity portion of Theorem 1.7, (ii)]. The slimness portion of assertion (ii) follows immediately from the slimness portion of assertion (i), together with the slimness portion of Theorem 1.7, (ii), (iii); the fact that Π is not elastic follows from the existence of the nontrivial, topologically finitely generated [cf. Proposition 2.2], closed, normal, infinite index subgroup $\Delta \subseteq \Pi$. \bigcirc

Definition 2.4. For i = 1, 2, let

$$1 \to \Delta_i \to \Pi_i \to G_i \to 1$$

be an extension which is either of AFG-type or of GSAFG-type. Suppose that

$$\phi:\Pi_1\to\Pi_2$$

is a continuous homomorphism of profinite groups. Then:

(i) We shall say that ϕ is absolute if ϕ is open [i.e., has open image].

- (ii) We shall say that ϕ is semi-absolute (respectively, pre-semi-absolute) if ϕ is absolute, and, moreover, the image of $\phi(\Delta_1)$ in G_2 is trivial (respectively, either trivial or of infinite index in G_2).
- (iii) We shall say that ϕ is strictly semi-absolute (respectively, pre-strictly semi-absolute) if ϕ is semi-absolute, and, moreover, the subgroup $\phi(\Delta_1) \subseteq \Delta_2$ is open (respectively, either open or nontrivial).

Proposition 2.5. (First Properties of Absolute Homomorphisms) For i = 1, 2, let

$$1 \to \Delta_i \to \Pi_i \to G_i \to 1$$

be an extension which is either of AFG-type or of GSAFG-type; (k_i, X_i, Σ_i) partial construction data for $\Pi_i \rightarrow G_i$ [consisting of the construction data field, construction data base-stack, and construction data prime set]. Suppose that

$$\phi:\Pi_1\to\Pi_2$$

is a continuous homomorphism of profinite groups. Then:

(i) The following implications hold:

 ϕ strictly semi-absolute $\implies \phi$ pre-strictly semi-absolute $\implies \phi$ semi-absolute $\implies \phi$ pre-semi-absolute $\implies \phi$ absolute.

- (ii) Suppose that k_2 is an **NF**. Then " ϕ semi-absolute" \iff " ϕ pre-semi-absolute" \iff " ϕ absolute".
- (iii) Suppose that k_2 is an MLF. Then " ϕ semi-absolute" \iff " ϕ pre-semi-absolute".
- (iv) Suppose that k_1 either an **FF** or an **MLF**; that X_2 is a **hyperbolic orbicurve**; and that Σ_2 is of **cardinality** > 1. Then " ϕ pre-strictly semi-absolute" \iff " ϕ semi-absolute".
- (v) Suppose that X_2 is a hyperbolic orbicurve, and that Σ_2 contains a prime invertible in k_2 . Then " ϕ strictly semi-absolute" \iff " ϕ pre-strictly semi-absolute".
- Proof. Assertion (i) follows immediately from the definitions. Since Δ_1 is topologically finitely generated [cf. Proposition 2.2], assertion (ii) (respectively, (iii)) follows immediately, in light of assertion (i), from the fact that G_2 is very elastic [cf. Theorem 1.7, (iii)] (respectively, elastic [cf. Theorem 1.7, (ii)]). To verify assertion (iv), it suffices, in light of assertion (i), to consider the case where ϕ is semi-absolute, but not pre-strictly semi-absolute. Then since Δ_2 is elastic [cf. the hypothesis on Σ_2 ; Proposition 2.3, (i)], and Δ_1 is topologically finitely generated [cf. Proposition 2.2], it follows that the subgroup $\phi(\Delta_1) \subseteq \Delta_2$ is either open or trivial.

Since ϕ is not pre-strictly semi-absolute, we thus conclude that $\phi(\Delta_1) = \{1\}$, so ϕ induces an open homomorphism $G_1 \to \Pi_2$. That is to say, every sufficiently small open subgroup $\Delta_2^* \subseteq \Delta_2$ admits a surjection $H_1 \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_2^*$ for some closed subgroup $H_1 \subseteq G_1$. On the other hand, since X_2 is a hyperbolic orbicurve, and Σ_2 is of cardinality > 1, it follows [e.g., from the well-known structure of topological fundamental groups of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite type] that we may take Δ_2^* such that Δ_2^* admits quotients $\Delta_2^* \twoheadrightarrow F'$, $\Delta_2^* \twoheadrightarrow F''$, where F' (respectively, F'') is a nonabelian free pro-p' (respectively, pro-p'') group, for distinct $p', p'' \in \Sigma_2$. But this contradicts the well-known structure of G_1 , when k_1 is either an FF or an MLF — i.e., the fact that G_1 , hence also H_1 , may be written as an extension of a meta-abelian group by a pro-p subgroup, for some prime p. [Here, we recall that this fact is immediate if k_1 is an FF, in which case G_1 is abelian, and follows, for instance, from [NSW], Theorem 7.5.2; [NSW], Corollary 7.5.6, (i), when k_1 is a MLF.] Assertion (v) follows immediately from the elasticity of Δ_2 [cf. Proposition 2.3, (i)], together with the topological finite generation of Δ_1 [cf. Proposition 2.2].

Theorem 2.6. (Field Types and Group-theoreticity) Let

$$1 \to \Delta \to \Pi \to G \to 1$$

be an extension which is either of AFG-type or of GSAFG-type; (k, X, Σ) partial construction data [consisting of the construction data field, construction data base-stack, and construction data prime set] for $\Pi \rightarrow G$. Suppose further that k is either an FF, an MLF, or an NF, and that every prime $\in \Sigma$ is invertible in k. If H is a profinite group, $j \in \{1, 2\}$, and $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$, write

$$\begin{split} & \delta_l^j(H) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l}(H^j(H,\mathbb{Q}_l)) \in \mathbb{N} \ \bigcup \ \{\infty\} \\ & \epsilon_l^j(\Pi) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \sup_{J \subseteq \Pi} \ \{\delta_l^j(J)\} \in \mathbb{N} \ \bigcup \ \{\infty\} \\ & \theta^j(\Pi) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{l \mid \epsilon_l^j(\Pi) \geq 3 - j\} \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes} \end{split}$$

[where J ranges over the open subgroups Π]; also, we set

$$\zeta(H) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \sup_{p,p' \in \mathfrak{Primes}} \left\{ \delta_p^1(H) - \delta_{p'}^1(H) \right\} \in \mathbb{Z} \ \bigcup \ \{\infty\}$$

whenever $\delta_l^1(H) < \infty$, $\forall l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$. Then:

(i) Suppose that k is an **FF**. Then Π is topologically finitely generated; the natural surjections

$$\Pi^{\text{ab-t}} \twoheadrightarrow G^{\text{ab-t}} \colon G \twoheadrightarrow G^{\text{ab-t}}$$

are **isomorphisms**. In particular, the kernel of the quotient $\Pi \to G$ may be characterized ["**group-theoretically**"] as the kernel of the quotient $\Pi \to \Pi^{\text{ab-t}}$. Moreover, for every open subgroup $H \subseteq \Pi$, and every prime number l, $\delta_l^1(H) = 1$.

(ii) Suppose that k is an MLF of residue characteristic p. Then Π is topologically finitely generated; in particular, for every open subgroup $H \subseteq \Pi$, and every

prime number l, $\delta_l^1(H)$ is **finite**. Moreover, $\delta_l^1(G) = 1$ if $l \neq p$, $\delta_p^1(G) = [k : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$; the quantity

$$\delta_l^1(\Pi) - \delta_l^1(G)$$

is = 0 if $l \notin \Sigma$, and is independent of l if $l \in \Sigma$. Finally, $\epsilon_p^1(\Pi) = \infty$; in particular, the cardinality of $\theta^1(\Pi)$ is always ≥ 1 .

- (iii) Let k be as in (ii). Then $\theta^2(\Pi) \subseteq \Sigma$. If, moreover, the cardinality of $\theta^1(\Pi)$ is ≥ 2 , then $\theta^2(\Pi) = \Sigma$.
- (iv) Let k be as in (ii). Then every almost pro-omissive topologically finitely generated closed normal subgroup of Π is contained in Δ . If, moreover, $\Sigma \neq \mathfrak{Primes}$, then the kernel of the quotient $\Pi \twoheadrightarrow G$ may be characterized ["group-theoretically"] as the maximal almost pro-omissive topologically finitely generated closed normal subgroup of Π .
 - (v) Let k be as in (ii). If $\theta^2(\Pi) \neq \mathfrak{Primes}$, then write

$$\Theta \subset \Pi$$

for the **maximal almost pro-omissive** topologically finitely generated closed normal subgroup of Π , whenever a unique such maximal subgroup exists; if $\theta^2(\Pi) = \mathfrak{Primes}$, or there does not exist a unique such maximal subgroup, set $\Theta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1\} \subseteq \Pi$. Then

$$\zeta(\Pi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \zeta(\Pi/\Theta) = [k : \mathbb{Q}_p]$$

- [cf. the finiteness portion of (ii)]. In particular, the kernel of the quotient $\Pi \twoheadrightarrow G$ may be characterized ["group-theoretically" since " $\theta^2(-)$ ", " $\zeta(-)$ ", " $\underline{\zeta}(-)$ " are "group-theoretic"] as the intersection of the open subgroups $H \subseteq \Pi$ such that $\zeta(H)/\zeta(\Pi) = [\Pi:H]$.
- (vi) Suppose that k is an NF. Then the natural surjection $\Pi^{\text{ab-t}} \to G^{\text{ab-t}}$ is an isomorphism. The kernel of the quotient $\Pi \to G$ may be characterized ["group-theoretically"] as the maximal topologically finitely generated closed normal subgroup of Π . In particular, Π is not topologically finitely generated.

Proof. Write $X \to A$ for the *Albanese morphism* associated to X. [We refer to the Appendix for a review of the theory of Albanese varieties — cf., especially, Corollary A.11, Remark A.11.2.] Thus, A is a torsor over a semi-abelian variety over k such that the morphism $X \to A$ induces an isomorphism

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} T_l(A)$$

onto the l-adic Tate module $T_l(A)$ of A for all $l \in \Sigma$. Note, moreover, that A admits a rational point over some finite extension of k. Thus, by applying the Galois section arising from such a rational point, we conclude that for $l \in \Sigma$, the image of Δ in $\Pi^{ab-t} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l$ is given by the quotient

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} T_l(A) \twoheadrightarrow T_l(A)/G$$

— where we use the notation "/G" to denote the maximal torsion-free quotient on which G acts trivially.

Next, whenever k is an MLF, let us write, for $l \in \Sigma$,

$$\Delta^{\text{ab-t}} \twoheadrightarrow \Delta^{\text{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} T_l(A) \twoheadrightarrow R_l \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l \twoheadrightarrow Q_l \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l$$

for the pro-l portion of the quotients T(A) woheadrightarrow Q of Lemma 2.7, (i), (ii), below [in which we take "k" to be k and "B" to be the semi-abelian variety over which A is a torsor]. [Put another way, Q_l is simply the quotient $T_l(A)/G$ considered above.] Thus, the \mathbb{Z}_l -ranks of R_l , Q_l are independent of $l \in \Sigma$.

The topological finite generation portion of assertion (i) follows immediately from the fact that $G \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, together with the topological finite generation of Δ [cf. Proposition 2.2]. The remainder of assertion (i) follows immediately from the fact that $T_l(A)/G = 0$ [a consequence of the "Riemann hypothesis for abelian varieties over finite fields" — cf., e.g., [Mumf], p. 206]. In a similar vein, assertion (vi) follows immediately from the fact that $T_l(A)/G = 0$ [again a consequence of the "Riemann hypothesis for abelian varieties over finite fields"], together with the fact that G is very elastic [cf. Theorem 1.7, (iii)].

To verify assertion (ii), let us first observe that the topological finite generation of Π follows from that of Δ [cf. Proposition 2.2], together with that of G [cf. [NSW], Theorem 7.5.10]. Next, let us recall the well-known fact that

$$\delta_l^1(G) = 1 \text{ if } l \neq p, \quad \delta_p^1(G) = [k : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$$

[cf. our our discussion of local class field theory in the proofs of Proposition 1.5; Theorem 1.7, (ii)]; in particular, $\zeta(G) = [k : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. Moreover, the existence of a rational point of A over some finite extension of k [which determines a Galois section of the étale fundamental group of A over some open subgroup of G] implies that

$$\delta_l^1(\Pi) = \delta_l^1(G) + \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l}(Q_l \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)$$

[where we recall that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l}(Q_l \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is independent of l] for $l \in \Sigma$, $\delta_l^1(\Pi) = \delta_l^1(G)$ for $l \notin \Sigma$. Thus, by considering extensions of k of arbitrarily large degree, we obtain that $\epsilon_p^1(\Pi) = \infty$. This completes the proof of assertion (ii).

Next, we consider assertion (iii). First, let us consider the " E_2 -term" of the Leray spectral sequence of the group extension $1 \to \Delta \to \Pi \to G \to 1$. Since G is of cohomological dimension 2 [cf., e.g., [NSW], Theorem 7.1.8, (i)], and $\delta_l^2(G) = 0$ for all $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$ [cf., e.g., [NSW], Theorem 7.2.6], the spectral sequence yields an equality $\delta_l^2(\Pi) = 0$ if $l \notin \Sigma$, and a pair of injections

$$H^1(G, \operatorname{Hom}(R_l, \mathbb{Q}_l)) \hookrightarrow H^1(G, \operatorname{Hom}(\Delta^{\operatorname{ab-t}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)) \hookrightarrow H^2(\Pi, \mathbb{Q}_l)$$

if $l \in \Sigma$ [cf. Lemma 2.7, (iii), below]. By applying the analogue of this conclusion for an arbitrary open subgroup $H \subseteq \Pi$, we thus obtain that $\delta_l^2(H) = 0$ if $l \notin \Sigma$, i.e., that $\epsilon_l^2(H) = 0$ if $l \notin \Sigma$; this already implies that if $l \notin \Sigma$, then $l \notin \theta^2(\Pi)$, i.e., that $\theta^2(\Pi) \subseteq \Sigma$. If the cardinality of $\theta^1(\Pi)$ is ≥ 2 , then there exists some

open subgroup $H \subseteq \Pi$ and some $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$ such that $\delta_l^1(H) \geq 2, l \neq p$. Now we may assume without loss of generality that H acts trivially on the quotient R; also to simplify notation, we may trivially may trivially and assume that $H = \Pi$. Then [since $\delta_l^1(G) = 1$, by assertion (ii)] the fact that $\delta_l^1(\Pi) \geq 2$ implies that $l \in \Sigma$, and $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l}(R_l \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l) \geq 1$ [cf. our computation in the proof of assertion (ii)]. But this implies that $trivially for any l' \in \Sigma$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_{l'}}(R_{l'} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{l'}) \geq 1$, hence that $trivially for any <math>trivially for any l' \in \Sigma$, we have $trivially for any l' \in \Sigma$, we have $trivially for all <math>trivially for any l' \in \Sigma$, we have trivially for all <math>trivially for all for all <math>trivially for all <math>trivially for all <math>trivially for all for all <math>trivially for all for all <math>trivially for all for

Assertion (iv) follows immediately from the existence of a surjection $G \to \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ [cf., e.g., Proposition 1.5, (ii)], together with the elasticity of G [cf. Theorem 1.7, (ii)], and the topological finite generation of Δ [cf. Proposition 2.2].

Next, we consider assertion (v). First, let us observe that whenever $\Sigma = \mathfrak{Primes}$, it follows from assertion (ii) that $\zeta(\Pi) = \zeta(G) = [k : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.

Now we consider the case $\theta^2(\Pi) = \mathfrak{Primes}$. In this case, $\Theta = \{1\}$ [by definition], and $\theta^2(\Pi) = \Sigma = \mathfrak{Primes}$ [by assertion (iii)]. Thus, we obtain that $\zeta(\Pi) = \zeta(\Pi/\Theta) = [k:\mathbb{Q}_p]$, as desired [cf. [Mzk6], Lemma 1.1.4, (ii)]. Next, we consider the case $\theta^1(\Pi) \neq \{p\}$ [i.e., $\theta^1(\Pi)$ is of cardinality ≥ 2 — cf. assertion (ii)], $\theta^2(\Pi) \neq \mathfrak{Primes}$. In this case, by assertion (iii), we conclude that $\Sigma = \theta^2(\Pi) \neq \mathfrak{Primes}$. Thus, by assertion (iv), $\Theta = \Delta$, so $\zeta(\Pi/\Theta) = \zeta(G) = [k:\mathbb{Q}_p]$, as desired.

Finally, we consider the case $\theta^1(\Pi) = \{p\}$ [i.e., $\theta^1(\Pi)$ is of cardinality one], $\theta^2(\Pi) \neq \mathfrak{Primes}$. If $\Sigma \neq \mathfrak{Primes}$, then it follows from the definition of Θ , together with assertion (iv), that $\Theta = \Delta$, hence that $\zeta(\Pi/\Theta) = \zeta(G) = [k : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, as desired. If, on the other hand, $\Sigma = \mathfrak{Primes}$, then since $\theta^1(\Pi) = \{p\}$, it follows [cf. the computation in the proof of assertion (ii)] that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l}(Q_l \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l) = 0$ for all primes $l \neq p$, hence that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(Q_p \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p) = 0$; but this implies that $\delta^1_l(\Pi) = \delta^1_l(G)$ for all $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$. Now since $\Theta \subseteq \Delta$ [by assertion (iv)], it follows that $\delta^1_l(\Pi) \geq \delta^1_l(\Pi/\Theta) \geq \delta^1_l(G)$ for all $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$, so we obtain that $\delta^1_l(\Pi) = \delta^1_l(\Pi/\Theta) = \delta^1_l(G)$ for all $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$. But this implies that $\zeta(\Pi) = \zeta(\Pi/\Theta) = \zeta(G) = [k : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, as desired. This completes the proof of assertion (v). \bigcirc

Remark 2.6.1. When [in the notation of Theorem 2.6] X is a *smooth proper variety*, the portion of Theorem 2.6, (ii), concerning " $\delta_l^1(\Pi) - \delta_l^1(G)$ " is essentially equivalent to the main result of [Yoshi].

Lemma 2.7. (Combinatorial Quotients of Tate Modules) Suppose that k is an MLF [so $\overline{k} = \widetilde{k}$]. Let B be a semi-abelian variety over k. Write

$$T(B) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, B(\overline{k}))$$

for the Tate module of B. Then:

(i) The maximal torsion-free quotient module T(B) woheadrightarrow Q of T(B) on which G_k acts trivially is a finitely generated free $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module.

- (ii) There exists a quotient G_k -module T(B) woheadrightarrow R such that the following properties hold: (a) R is a finitely generated free $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module; (b) the action of G_k on R factors through a finite quotient; (c) no nonzero torsion-free subquotient S of the G_k -module $N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Ker}(T(B) \to R)$ satisfies the property that the resulting action of G_k on S factors through a finite quotient.
 - (iii) If R is as in (ii), then the natural map

$$H^1(G_k, \operatorname{Hom}(R, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})) \to H^1(G_k, \operatorname{Hom}(T(B), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}))$$

is injective.

Proof. Assertion (i) is literally the content of [Mzk6], Lemma 1.1.5. Assertion (ii) follows immediately from the proof of [Mzk6], Lemma 1.1.5 [more precisely, the ["combinatorial"] quotient " T_{com} " of loc. cit.]. Assertion (iii) follows by considering the long exact cohomology sequence associated to the short exact sequence $0 \to \text{Hom}(R,\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \to \text{Hom}(T(B),\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \to \text{Hom}(N,\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \to 0$, since the fact that N has no nonzero torsion-free subquotients on which G_k acts through a finite quotient implies that $H^0(G_k, \text{Hom}(N,\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})) = 0$. \bigcirc

Corollary 2.8. (Field Types and Absolute Homomorphisms) For i = 1, 2, let $1 \to \Delta_i \to \Pi_i \to G_i \to 1$, k_i , X_i , Σ_i , $\phi : \Pi_1 \to \Pi_2$ be as in Proposition 2.5. Suppose further that k_i is either an **FF**, an **MLF**, or an **NF**, and that every prime $\in \Sigma_i$ is invertible in k_i . Then:

- (i) The field type of k_1 is $\geq |cf. \S 0|$ the field type of k_2 .
- (ii) Suppose further that ϕ is an isomorphism. Then the field types of k_1 , k_2 coincide, and ϕ is strictly semi-absolute. If, moreover, for $i = 1, 2, k_i$ is an MLF of residue characteristic p_i , then $p_1 = p_2$.

Proof. Assertion (i) follows immediately from the topological finite generation portions of Theorem 2.6, (i), (ii), (vi), together with the estimates of " $\delta_l^1(-)$ ", " $\epsilon_l^1(-)$ " in Theorem 2.6, (i), (ii). Next, we consider assertion (ii). The fact that the field types of k_1 , k_2 coincide follows from assertion (i) applied to ϕ , ϕ^{-1} . To verify that ϕ is strictly semi-absolute, let us first observe that every semi-absolute isomorphism whose inverse is also semi-absolute is necessarily strictly semi-absolute. Thus, since the inverse to ϕ satisfies the same hypotheses as ϕ , to complete the proof of Corollary 2.8, it suffices to verify that ϕ is semi-absolute. If k_1 , k_2 are FF's (respectively, MLF's; NF's), then this follows immediately from the "group-theoretic" characterizations of $\Pi_i \to G_i$ in Theorem 2.6, (i) (respectively, Theorem 2.6, (v); Theorem 2.6, (vi)). Finally, if, for $i = 1, 2, k_i$ is an MLF of residue characteristic p_i , then since ϕ induces an isomorphism $G_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_2$, the fact that $p_1 = p_2$ follows, for instance, from [Mzk6], Proposition 1.2.1, (i). \bigcirc

Remark 2.8.1. In the situation of Corollary 2.8, suppose further that k_2 is an MLF of residue characteristic p_2 , and that $\Sigma_2 \subseteq \{p_2\}$. Then it is not clear to the

author at the time of writing [but of interest in the context of the theory of the present §2!] whether or not it is possible for there to exist a *continuous surjective homomorphism*

$$G_1 \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_2$$

[in which case, by Corollary 2.8, (i), k_1 is either an NF or an MLF].

The general theory discussed so far for arbitrary X becomes substantially simpler and more explicit, when X is a hyperbolic orbitrary.

Definition 2.9. Let G be a *profinite group*. Then we shall refer to as an *aug-free decomposition* of G any pair of closed subgroups $H_1, H_2 \subseteq G$ that determine an isomorphism of profinite groups

$$H_1 \times H_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} G$$

such that H_1 is a slim, topologically finitely generated, augmented pro-prime [cf. Definition 1.1, (iii)] profinite group, and H_2 is either trivial or a nonabelian pro- Σ -solvable free group for some set $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$ of cardinality ≥ 2 . In this situation, we shall refer to H_1 as the augmented subgroup of this aug-free decomposition and to H_2 as the free subgroup of this aug-free decomposition. If G admits an aug-free decomposition, then we shall say that G is of aug-free type. If G is of aug-free type, with nontrivial free subgroup, then we shall say that G is of strictly aug-free type.

Proposition 2.10. (First Properties of Aug-free Decompositions) Let

$$H_1 \times H_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} G$$

be an aug-free decomposition of a profinite group G, in which H_1 is the augmented subgroup, and H_2 is the free subgroup. Then:

- (i) Let J be a topologically finitely generated, augmented pro-prime group; $\phi: J \to G$ a continuous homomorphism of profinite groups such that $\phi(J)$ is normal in some open subgroup of G. Then $\phi(J) \subseteq H_1$.
- (ii) Aug-free decompositions are **unique** i.e., if $J_1 \times J_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} G$ is any aug-free decomposition of G, in which J_1 is the augmented subgroup, and J_2 is the free subgroup, then $J_1 = H_1$, $J_2 = H_2$.

Proof. First, we consider assertion (i). Suppose that $\phi(J)$ is not contained in H_1 . Then the image $I \subseteq H_2$ of $\phi(J)$ in H_2 is a nontrivial, topologically finitely generated closed subgroup which is normal in an open subgroup of H_2 . Since H_2 is elastic [cf. [MT], Theorem 1.5], it follows that I is open in H_2 , hence that I is a nonabelian $\operatorname{pro-}\Sigma$ free group for some set $\Sigma \subseteq \operatorname{\mathfrak{Primes}}$ of cardinality ≥ 2 . On the other hand, since I is a quotient of the augmented pro-prime group J, it follows that there exists a $p \in \operatorname{\mathfrak{Primes}}$ such that the maximal $\operatorname{pro-}(\neq p)$ quotient of I is abelian. But this

implies that $\Sigma \subseteq \{p\}$, a contradiction. Next, we consider assertion (ii). By assertion (i), $J_1 \subseteq H_1$, $H_1 \subseteq J_1$. Thus, $H_1 = J_1$. Now since $H_1 = J_1$ is slim, it follows that the centralizer $Z_{H_1}(G)$ (respectively, $Z_{J_1}(G)$) is equal to H_2 (respectively, J_2), so $H_2 = J_2$, as desired. \bigcirc

Theorem 2.11. (Maximal Pro-RTF-quotients for Hyperbolic Orbicurves) Let

$$1 \to \Delta \to \Pi \to G \to 1$$

be an extension of AFG-type; (k, X, Σ) partial construction data [consisting of the construction data field, construction data base-stack, and construction data prime set] for $\Pi \to G$. Suppose that k is an MLF of residue characteristic p; X is a hyperbolic orbicurve; $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$. For $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$, write

$$\Pi[l] \subseteq \Pi$$

for the **maximal almost pro-**l topologically finitely generated closed normal subgroup of Π , whenever a unique such maximal subgroup exists; if there does not exist a unique such maximal subgroup, then set $\Pi[l] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1\}$.

In the following, we shall use a subscript "G" to denote the quotient of a closed subgroup of Π determined by the quotient $\Pi \to G$; we shall use the superscript "RTF" to denote the **maximal pro-RTF-quotient** and the superscripts "RTF-aug", "RTF-free" to denote the **augmented** and **free** subgroups of the maximal pro-RTF-quotient whenever this maximal pro-RTF-quotient is **of aug-free type**. Then:

- (i) Suppose that $\Pi[l] \neq \{1\}$ for some $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$. Then $\Pi[l] = \Delta$, $\Sigma = \{l\}$; $\Pi[l'] = \{1\}$ for all $l' \in \mathfrak{Primes}$ such that $l' \neq l$.
- (ii) Suppose that $\Pi[l] = \{1\}$ for all $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$. Then Σ is of cardinality ≥ 2 . Moreover, for every open subgroup $J \subseteq \Pi$, there exists an open subgroup $H \subseteq J$ which is characteristic as a subgroup of Π such that H^{RTF} is of aug-free type. In particular, the subquotients $H^{\text{RTF-aug}}$, $H^{\text{RTF-free}}$ of Π are characteristic.
- (iii) Suppose that $\Pi[l] = \{1\}$ for all $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$. Suppose, moreover, that $H \subseteq \Pi$ is an open subgroup that corresponds to a finite étale covering $Z \to X$, where Z is a hyperbolic curve, defined over a finite extension k_Z of k such that Z has stable reduction $[cf. \S 0]$ over the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_{k_Z} of k_Z ; that $Z(k_Z) \neq \emptyset$; that the dual graph Γ_Z of the geometric special fiber of the resulting model $[cf. \S 0]$ over \mathcal{O}_{k_Z} has either trivial or nonabelian topological fundamental group; and that the Galois action of G on Γ_Z is trivial. Thus, the finite Galois coverings of the graph Γ_Z of degree a product of primes $\in \Sigma$ determine a pro- Σ "combinatorial" quotient $H \twoheadrightarrow \Delta^{\text{com}}$; write $\Delta^{\text{com}} \twoheadrightarrow \Delta^{\text{com-sol}}$ for the maximal pro-solvable quotient of Δ^{com} . Then the quotient

$$H \twoheadrightarrow H_G^{\rm RTF} \times \Delta^{\rm com\text{-}sol}$$

may be identified with the **maximal pro-RTF-quotient** $H oup H^{\rm RTF}$ of H; moreover, this product decomposition determines an **aug-free decomposition** of $H^{\rm RTF}$. Finally, for any open subgroup $J \subseteq \Pi$, there exists an open subgroup $H \subseteq J$ which is **characteristic** as a subgroup of Π and, moreover, satisfies the above hypotheses on "H".

(iv) Suppose that $\Pi[l] = \{1\}$ for all $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$. Let $H \subseteq J \subseteq \Pi$ be open subgroups of Π such that H^{RTF} , J^{RTF} are of aug-free type. Then we have isomorphisms

$$J^{\text{RTF-aug}} \xrightarrow{\sim} J_G^{\text{RTF}}; \quad J^{\text{RTF-free}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(J^{\text{RTF}} \twoheadrightarrow J_G^{\text{RTF}})$$

[arising from the natural morphisms involved]; the open homomorphism $H^{\text{RTF}} \rightarrow J^{\text{RTF}}$ induced by ϕ maps $H^{\text{RTF-aug}}$ (respectively, $H^{\text{RTF-free}}$) onto an open subgroup of $J^{\text{RTF-aug}}$ (respectively, $J^{\text{RTF-free}}$).

Proof. Since Δ is elastic [cf. Proposition 2.3, (i)], every nontrivial topologically finitely generated closed normal subgroup of Δ is open, hence almost pro- Σ' for $\Sigma' \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$ if and only if $\Sigma' \supseteq \Sigma$. Also, let us observe that by Theorem 2.6, (iv), $\Pi[l] \subseteq \Delta$ for all $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$. Thus, if $\Pi[l] \neq \{1\}$ for any $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$, then it follows that $\Sigma = \{l\}$, $\Pi[l] = \Delta$, and that $\Pi[l']$ is finite, hence trivial [since Δ is slim — cf. Proposition 2.3, (i)] for primes $l' \neq l$. Also, we observe that if Σ is of cardinality one, i.e., $\Sigma = \{l\}$ for some $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$, then $\Delta = \Pi[l] \neq \{1\}$ [cf. Theorem 2.6, (iv)]. This completes the proof of assertion (i), as well as of the portion of assertion (ii) concerning Σ . Also, we observe that the remainder of assertion (ii) follows immediately from assertion (iii).

Next, we consider assertion (iii). Suppose that $H \subseteq \Pi$ satisfies the hypotheses given in the statement of assertion (iii). Thus, one has the quotient $H \to \Delta^{\text{com}}$, where Δ^{com} is either trivial or a nonabelian pro- Σ free group, where Σ is of cardinality ≥ 2 [cf. the portion of assertion (ii) concerning Σ]. Write $\Delta^{\text{ab}} = \Delta^{\text{ab-t}} \to R$ for the maximal pro- Σ quotient of the quotient "R" of Lemma 2.7, (ii), associated to the Albanese variety of Z.

Now I claim that the quotient $\Delta \twoheadrightarrow R$ coincides with the quotient $\Delta \twoheadrightarrow (\Delta^{\text{com}})^{\text{ab}}$. First, let us observe that by the definition of R [cf. Lemma 2.7, (ii)], it follows that the quotient $\Delta \twoheadrightarrow (\Delta^{\text{com}})^{\text{ab}}$ factors through the quotient $\Delta \twoheadrightarrow R$. In particular, since, for $l \in \Sigma$, the modules $R \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l$, $(\Delta^{\text{com}})^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l$ are \mathbb{Z}_l -free modules of rank independent of $l \in \Sigma$ [cf. Lemma 2.7, (ii); the fact that Δ^{com} is pro- Σ free], it suffices to show that these two ranks are equal, for some $l \in \Sigma$. Moreover, let us observe that for the purpose of verifying this claim, we may enlarge Σ . Thus, it suffices to show that the two ranks are equal for some $l \in \Sigma$ such that $l \neq p$. But then the claim follows immediately from the [well-known] fact that by the "Riemann hypothesis for abelian varieties over finite fields" [cf., e.g., [Mumf], p. 206], all powers of the Frobenius element in the absolute Galois group of the residue field of k act with eigenvalues $\neq 1$ on the pro-l abelianizations of the fundamental groups of the geometric irreducible components of the smooth locus of the special fiber of the stable model of Z over \mathcal{O}_{k_Z} . This completes the proof of the claim.

Now let us write $H H^{\mathrm{com}}$ for the quotient of H by $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta \Delta^{\mathrm{com}})$. Then by applying the above claim to various open subgroups of H, we conclude that the quotient $H H^{\mathrm{RTF}}$ factors through the quotient $H H^{\mathrm{com}}$ [i.e., we have a natural isomorphism $H^{\mathrm{RTF}} (H^{\mathrm{com}})^{\mathrm{RTF}}$]. On the other hand, since $Z(k_Z) \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $H H_G$, hence also $H^{\mathrm{com}} H_G$ admits a section $s: H_G H^{\mathrm{com}}$ whose image lies in the kernel of the quotient $H^{\mathrm{com}} \Delta^{\mathrm{com}}$ [cf. the situation of [Mzk3], Lemma 1.4]. In particular, we conclude that the conjugation action of H_G on $\Delta^{\mathrm{com}} = \mathrm{Ker}(H^{\mathrm{com}} H_G) \subseteq H^{\mathrm{com}}$ arising from s is trivial. Thus, s determines a direct product decomposition

$$H^{\mathrm{com}} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} H_G \times \Delta^{\mathrm{com}}$$

— hence a direct product decomposition $H^{\rm RTF} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} (H^{\rm com})^{\rm RTF} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} H_G^{\rm RTF} \times (\Delta^{\rm com})^{\rm RTF}$. Moreover, since $\Delta^{\rm com}$ is either trivial or nonabelian pro- Σ free, it follows immediately that the quotient $\Delta^{\rm com} \twoheadrightarrow (\Delta^{\rm com})^{\rm RTF}$ may be identified with the quotient $\Delta^{\rm com} \twoheadrightarrow \Delta^{\rm com\text{-}sol}$, where $\Delta^{\rm com\text{-}sol}$ is either trivial or nonabelian pro- Σ -solvable free. Since $H_G^{\rm RTF}$ is slim, augmented pro-prime, and topologically finitely generated [cf. Proposition 1.5, (i), (ii); Theorem 2.6, (ii)], we thus conclude that we have obtained an aug-free decomposition of $H^{\rm RTF}$, as asserted in the statement of assertion (iii).

Finally, given an open subgroup $J \subseteq \Pi$, the existence of an open subgroup $H \subseteq J$ which satisfies the hypotheses on "H" in the statement of assertion (iii) follows immediately from well-known facts concerning stable curves over discretely valued fields [cf., e.g., the "stable reduction theorem" of [DM]; the fact that Σ is of cardinality ≥ 2 , so that one may assume that Γ_Z is as large as one wishes by passing to admissible coverings]. The fact that one can choose H to be characteristic follows immediately from the characteristic nature of Δ [cf., e.g., Corollary 2.8, (ii)], together with the fact that Δ , Π are topologically finitely generated [cf., e.g. Proposition 2.2; Theorem 2.6, (ii)]. This completes the proof of assertion (iii).

Finally, we consider assertion (iv). First, we observe that since the augmented and free subgroups of any aug-free decomposition are slim [cf. Definition 2.9; [MT], Proposition 1.4], hence, in particular, do not contain any nontrivial closed normal finite subgroups, we may always replace H by an open subgroup of H that satisfies the same hypotheses as H. In particular, we may assume that H is an open subgroup "H" as in assertion (iii) [which exists, by assertion (iii)]. Then by Proposition 2.10, (i), the image of $H^{\rm RTF-aug}$ in $J^{\rm RTF}$ is contained in $J^{\rm RTF-aug}$, so we obtain a morphism $H^{\rm RTF-aug} \to J^{\rm RTF-aug}$. By assertion (iii), $H^{\rm RTF-free} = {\rm Ker}(H^{\rm RTF} \twoheadrightarrow H_G^{\rm RTF})$, and the natural morphism $H^{\rm RTF-aug} \to H_G^{\rm RTF}$ is an isomorphism. Since $H_G \to J_G$, hence also $H_G^{\rm RTF} \to J_G^{\rm RTF}$, is clearly an open homomorphism, we thus conclude that the natural morphism $H^{\rm RTF-aug} \to J_G^{\rm RTF}$, hence also the natural morphism $J^{\rm RTF-aug} \to J_G^{\rm RTF}$, is open. Thus, the image of $J^{\rm RTF-free}$ in $J_G^{\rm RTF}$ commutes with an open subgroup of $J_G^{\rm RTF}$ [i.e., the image of $J^{\rm RTF-aug}$ in $J_G^{\rm RTF}$], so by the slimness of $J_G^{\rm RTF}$ [cf. Proposition 1.5, (i)], we conclude that $J^{\rm RTF-free} \subseteq {\rm Ker}(J^{\rm RTF} \twoheadrightarrow J_G^{\rm RTF})$. In particular, we obtain a surjection $J^{\rm RTF-aug} \to J_G^{\rm RTF}$, hence an exact sequence

$$1 \to N \to J^{\text{RTF-aug}} \to J_G^{\text{RTF}} \to 1$$

[where we write $N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Ker}(J^{\operatorname{RTF-aug}} \twoheadrightarrow J_G^{\operatorname{RTF}}) \subseteq J^{\operatorname{RTF-aug}} \subseteq J^{\operatorname{RTF}}]$. Note, moreover, that since J_G^{RTF} is an augmented pro-p group [cf. Proposition 1.5, (ii)] which

admits a surjection $J_G^{\text{RTF}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ [cf. the computation of " $\delta_p^1(-)$ " in Theorem 2.6, (ii)], it follows immediately that [the augmented pro-prime group] $J_G^{\text{RTF-aug}}$ is an augmented pro-p group whose augmentation factors through J_G^{RTF} ; in particular, we conclude that N is pro-p. Also, we observe that since the composite $H^{\text{RTF-free}} \to H_G^{\text{RTF}} \to J_G^{\text{RTF}}$ is trivial, it follows that the projection under the quotient $J^{\text{RTF}} \twoheadrightarrow J^{\text{RTF-aug}}$ of the image of $H^{\text{RTF-free}}$ in J^{RTF} is contained in N.

Now I claim that to complete the proof of assertion (iv), it suffices to verify that $N=\{1\}$ [or, equivalently, since $J^{\text{RTF-aug}}$ is slim, that N is finite]. Indeed, if $N=\{1\}$, then we obtain immediately the isomorphisms $J^{\text{RTF-aug}} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} J_G^{\text{RTF}}$, $J^{\text{RTF-free}} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \text{Ker}(J^{\text{RTF}} \twoheadrightarrow J_G^{\text{RTF}})$. Moreover, by the above discussion, if $N=\{1\}$, then it follows that the image of $H^{\text{RTF-free}}$ in J^{RTF} is contained in $J^{\text{RTF-free}}$. Since the homomorphism $H^{\text{RTF}} \to J^{\text{RTF}}$ is open, this implies that the open homomorphism $H^{\text{RTF}} \twoheadrightarrow J^{\text{RTF}}$ induced by ϕ maps $H^{\text{RTF-aug}}$ (respectively, $H^{\text{RTF-free}}$) onto an open subgroup of $J^{\text{RTF-aug}}$ (respectively, $J^{\text{RTF-free}}$), as desired. This completes the proof of the claim.

Next, let $\underline{J} \subseteq J$ be an open subgroup that arises as the inverse image in J of an [open] RTF-subgroup $\underline{J}_G \subseteq J_G$ [so the notation " \underline{J}_G " does not lead to any contradictions]. Then one verifies immediately from the definitions that any RTF-subgroup of \underline{J}_G (respectively, \underline{J}) determines an RTF-subgroup of J_G (respectively, J). Thus, the natural morphisms

$$\underline{J}_G^{\mathrm{RTF}} \to J_G^{\mathrm{RTF}}; \quad \underline{J}^{\mathrm{RTF}} \to J^{\mathrm{RTF}}$$

are injective. Moreover, the subgroups $J^{\text{RTF-aug}} \cap \underline{J}^{\text{RTF}}$, $J^{\text{RTF-free}}$ of $\underline{J}^{\text{RTF}}$ clearly determine an aug-free decomposition of $\underline{J}^{\text{RTF}}$. Thus, from the point of view of verifying the finiteness of N, we may replace J by \underline{J} [and H by an appropriate smaller open subgroup contained in \underline{J} and satisfying the hypotheses of the "H" of (iii)]. In particular, since [by the definition of "RTF" and of the subgroup N!] there exists a \underline{J} such that $N \subseteq \underline{J}^{\text{RTF-aug}}$ has nontrivial image in $(\underline{J}^{\text{RTF-aug}})^{\text{ab-t}}$, we may assume without loss of generality that N has nontrivial image in $(J^{\text{RTF-aug}})^{\text{ab-t}}$. Thus, we have

$$(\delta_p^1(J) \geq) \ \delta_p^1(J^{\text{RTF-aug}}) > \delta_p^1(J_G^{\text{RTF}}) = \delta_p^1(J_G)$$

[cf. the notation of Theorem 2.6], i.e., $s_J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta_p^1(J^{\text{RTF-aug}}) - \delta_p^1(J_G^{\text{RTF}}) > 0$. By Theorem 2.6, (ii), this already implies that $p \in \Sigma$.

In a similar vein, let $\underline{J}\subseteq J$ be an open subgroup that arises as the inverse image in J of an [open] RTF-subgroup \underline{J}^{RTF} -free $\subseteq J^{RTF}$ -free. Then one verifies immediately from the definitions that any RTF-subgroup of \underline{J} determines an RTF-subgroup of J. Thus, the natural morphism $\underline{J}^{RTF}\to J^{RTF}$ is injective, with image equal to $J^{RTF-aug}\times \underline{J}^{RTF-free}$. Moreover, the subgroups $J^{RTF-aug}$, $\underline{J}^{RTF-free}$ of \underline{J}^{RTF} clearly determine an aug-free decomposition of \underline{J}^{RTF} [so the notation " $\underline{J}^{RTF-free}$ " does not lead to any contradictions]. Since [by the above discussion applied to \underline{J} instead of J] $\underline{J}^{RTF-free}$ maps to the identity in \underline{J}^{RTF}_G , we thus obtain a pro-RTF quotient $\underline{J}^{RTF}\to \underline{J}^{RTF-aug}=J^{RTF-aug}\to \underline{J}^{RTF-aug}_G$, hence a pro-RTF quotient

Now we compute: Since Σ is of cardinality ≥ 2 , let $l \in \Sigma$ be a prime $\neq p$. Then:

$$\begin{split} \delta_l^1(J^{\text{RTF-free}}) &= \delta_l^1(J^{\text{RTF-free}}) + \delta_l^1(J^{\text{RTF-aug}}) - \delta_l^1(J_G^{\text{RTF}}) \\ &= \delta_l^1(J^{\text{RTF}}) - \delta_l^1(J_G^{\text{RTF}}) = \delta_l^1(J) - \delta_l^1(J_G) \\ &= \delta_p^1(J) - \delta_p^1(J_G) = \delta_p^1(J^{\text{RTF}}) - \delta_p^1(J_G^{\text{RTF}}) \\ &= \delta_p^1(J^{\text{RTF-free}}) + \delta_p^1(J^{\text{RTF-aug}}) - \delta_p^1(J_G^{\text{RTF}}) = \delta_p^1(J^{\text{RTF-free}}) + s_J \end{split}$$

[where we apply the "independence of l" of Theorem 2.6, (ii)]. Thus, we conclude that $s_J = \delta_l^1(J^{\text{RTF-free}}) - \delta_p^1(J^{\text{RTF-free}})$, where $\delta_l^1(J^{\text{RTF-free}})$, $\delta_p^1(J^{\text{RTF-free}}) \in \{0, r_J\}$ [depending on whether or not l, p belong to Σ_J], is a positive integer. But this implies that $s_J \in \{0, r_J, -r_J\}$, hence that $s_J = r_J > 0$ — in contradiction to the inequality $s_J < r_J$ [which holds if $r_J > 0$]. This completes the proof of assertion (iv). \bigcirc

Remark 2.11.1. One way of thinking about the content of Theorem 2.11, (iv), is that it asserts that "aug-free decompositions of maximal pro-RTF-quotients play an analogous [though somewhat more complicated] role for absolute Galois group of MLF's to the role played by torsion-free abelianizations for absolute Galois groups of FF's" [cf. Theorem 2.6, (i)].

Corollary 2.12. (Group-theoretic Semi-absoluteness via Maximal Pro-RTF-quotients) For $i=1,2,\ let\ 1\to\Delta_i\to\Pi_i\to G_i\to 1,\ k_i,\ X_i,\ \Sigma_i,\ \phi:\Pi_1\to\Pi_2\ be\ as\ in\ Proposition\ 2.5.$ Suppose further that k_i is an MLF; X_i is a hyperbolic orbicurve; $\Sigma_i\neq\emptyset$. Also, for $i=1,2,\ let\ us\ write$

$$\Theta_i \subseteq \Pi_i$$

for the **maximal almost pro-prime** topologically finitely generated closed normal subgroup of Π_i if such a maximal subgroup exists; if such a maximal subgroup does not exist, then we set $\Theta_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1\}$. Then:

- (i) For i = 1, 2, $\Theta_i \subseteq \Delta_i$; $\Theta_i \neq \{1\}$ if and only if Σ_i is of **cardinality** one; if $\Theta_i \neq \{1\}$, then $\Theta_i = \Delta_i$. Finally, $\phi(\Theta_1) \subseteq \Theta_2$ [so ϕ induces a morphism $\Pi_1/\Theta_1 \to \Pi_2/\Theta_2$].
- (ii) In the notation of Theorem 2.11, ϕ is semi-absolute [or, equivalently, pre-semi-absolute cf. Proposition 2.5, (iii)] if and only if the following ["group-theoretic"] condition holds:
- (*s-ab) For i = 1, 2, let $H_i \subseteq \Pi_i/\Theta_i$ be an open subgroup such that H_i^{RTF} is **of aug-free type**, and [the morphism induced by] ϕ maps H_1 into H_2 . Then the open homomorphism

$$H_1^{\rm RTF} \to H_2^{\rm RTF}$$

induced by ϕ maps $H_1^{\text{RTF-free}}$ into $H_2^{\text{RTF-free}}$.

(iii) If, moreover, Σ_2 is of cardinality ≥ 2 , then ϕ is semi-absolute if and only if it is strictly semi-absolute [or, equivalently, pre-strictly semi-absolute — cf. Proposition 2.5, (v)].

Proof. First, we consider assertion (i). By Theorem 2.6, (iv), any almost proprime topologically finitely generated closed normal subgroup of Π_i — hence, in particular, Θ_i — is contained in Δ_i . Thus, by Theorem 2.11, (i), (ii), $\Theta_i \neq \{1\}$ if and only if Σ_i is of cardinality one; if $\Theta_i \neq \{1\}$, then $\Theta_i = \Delta_i$. Now to show that $\phi(\Theta_1) \subseteq \Theta_2$, it suffices to consider the case where $\phi(\Theta_1) \neq \{1\}$ [so Σ_1 is of cardinality one]. Then, by Theorem 2.6, (iv), we have $\phi(\Theta_1) \subseteq \Delta_2$. Thus, we may assume that $\Theta_2 = \{1\}$ [so Σ_2 is of cardinality ≥ 2]. But then the elasticity of Δ_2 [cf. Proposition 2.3, (i)] implies that $\phi(\Theta_1)$ is an open subgroup of Δ_2 , hence that $\phi(\Theta_1)$ is almost pro- Σ_2 [for some Σ_2 of cardinality ≥ 2], which contradicts the fact that $\phi(\Theta_1)$ is almost pro- Σ_1 [for some Σ_1 of cardinality one]. This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we consider assertion (ii). By Proposition 2.5, (iii), one may replace the term "semi-absolute" in assertion (ii) by the term "pre-semi-absolute". By assertion (i), for i=1,2, either $\Theta_i=\{1\}$ or $\Theta_i=\Delta_i$; in either case, it follows from Theorem 2.11, (iv) [cf. also Proposition 1.5, (i), (ii)], that [in the notation of (*s-ab)] the projection $H_i^{\text{RTF}} \to H_i^{\text{RTF-aug}}$ may be identified with the projection $H_i^{\text{RTF}} \to (H_i)_{G_i}^{\text{RTF}}$ [which is an isomorphism whenever $\Theta_i=\Delta_i$]. Thus, the condition (*s-ab) may be thought of as the condition that the morphism $H_1^{\text{RTF}} \to H_2^{\text{RTF}}$ be compatible with the projection morphisms $H_i^{\text{RTF}} \to (H_i)_{G_i}^{\text{RTF}}$. From this point of view, it follows immediately that the semi-absoluteness of ϕ implies (*s-ab), and that (*s-ab) implies [in light of the existence of H_1 , H_2 —cf. Theorem 2.11, (ii)] the pre-semi-absoluteness of ϕ . Assertion (iii) follows from Proposition 2.5, (iv), (v). \bigcirc

Remark 2.12.1. The criterion of Corollary 2.12, (ii), may be thought of as a "group-theoretic Hom-version", in the case of hyperbolic orbicurves, of the numerical criterion " $\underline{\zeta}(H)/\underline{\zeta}(\Pi) = [\Pi:H]$ " of Theorem 2.6, (v).

Example 2.13. A Non-pre-semi-absolute Absolute Homomorphism.

(i) In the situation of Theorem 2.11, suppose that $\Sigma = \mathfrak{Primes}$. Fix a natural number N [which one wants to think of as being "large"]. By replacing Π by an open subgroup of Π , we may assume that Π satisfies the hypotheses of the subgroup "H" of Theorem 2.11, (iii). Thus, we have a "combinatorial" quotient $\Pi \twoheadrightarrow \Delta^{\text{com}}$, where Δ^{com} is a nonabelian profinite free group. In particular, there exists an open subgroup of Δ^{com} which is a profinite free group on > N generators. Thus, by replacing Π by an open subgroup of Π arising from an open subgroup of Δ^{com} , we may assume from the start that Δ^{com} is a profinite free group on > N generators.

$$1 \to \Delta^* \to \Pi^* \to G^* \to 1$$

be an extension of AFG-type that admits a construction data field which is an MLF. Thus, Π^* is topologically finitely generated [cf. Theorem 2.6, (ii)], so it follows that there exists a Π as in (i), together with a surjection of profinite groups

$$\psi:\Pi \twoheadrightarrow \Pi^*$$

that factors through the quotient $\Pi \to \Delta^{\text{com}}$. Thus, ψ is an absolute homomorphism which is not pre-semi-absolute [hence, a fortiori, not semi-absolute].

In light of the appearance of the "combinatorial quotient" in Theorem 2.11, (iii), we pause to recall the following result [cf. [Mzk6], Lemma 2.3, in the profinite case].

Theorem 2.14. (Graph-theoreticity for Hyperbolic Curves) For i = 1, 2, let $1 \to \Delta_i \to \Pi_i \to G_i \to 1, k_i, X_i, \Sigma_i, \phi : \Pi_1 \to \Pi_2$ be as in Proposition 2.5. Suppose further that k_i is an MLF of residue characteristic p_i ; that Σ_i contains a prime $\neq p_i$; that ϕ is an isomorphism; and that X_i is a hyperbolic curve with stable reduction over the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_{k_i} of k_i . Write Γ_i for the dual semigraph with compact structure [i.e., the dual graph, together with additional open edges corresponding to the cusps — cf. [Mzk6], Appendix] of the geometric special fiber of the stable model of X_i over \mathcal{O}_{k_i} . Then:

- (i) We have $p_1 = p_2$, $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2$; ϕ induces isomorphisms $\Delta_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_2$, $G_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_2$; ϕ induces an **isomorphism of semi-graphs** $\phi_{\Gamma} : \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_2$ which is **functorial** in ϕ . In particular, the natural Galois action of G_1 on Γ_1 is compatible, relative to ϕ_{Γ} , with the natural Galois action of G_2 on Γ_2 .
- (ii) For i=1,2, suppose that the action of G_i on Γ_i is **trivial**. Write $\Pi_i \to \Delta_i^{\mathrm{com}}$ for the pro- Σ_i "combinatorial" quotient determined by the finite Galois coverings of the semi-graph Γ_i of degree a product of primes $\in \Sigma_i$. Then ϕ is compatible with the quotients $\Pi_i \to \Delta_i^{\mathrm{com}}$.

Proof. First, we consider assertion (i). By Corollary 2.8, (ii), $p_1 = p_2$, and ϕ induces isomorphisms $\Delta_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_2$, $G_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_2$. Since [by the well-known structure

of geometric fundamental groups of hyperbolic curves] Σ_i is the unique minimal $\Sigma\subseteq\mathfrak{Primes}$ such that Δ_i is almost pro- Σ , we thus conclude that $\Sigma_1=\Sigma_2$. Write $p\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} p_1=p_2,\ \Sigma\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Sigma_1=\Sigma_2;$ let $l\in\Sigma$ be such that $l\neq p$. Then it follows immediately from the "Riemann hypothesis for abelian varieties over finite fields" [cf., e.g., [Mumf], p. 206] that the action of G_i on the maximal pro-l quotient $\Delta_i\twoheadrightarrow\Delta_i^{(l)}$ is — in the terminology of [Mzk13] — "l-graphically full". Thus, by [Mzk13], Corollary 2.7, (ii), the isomorphism $\Delta_1^{(l)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_2^{(l)}$ is — again in the terminology of [Mzk13] — "g-raphic", hence induces a functorial isomorphism of semi-graphs $\Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_2$, as desired.

Next, we consider assertion (ii). First, we observe that, by assertion (i), the condition that the action of G_i on Γ_i be trivial is compatible with ϕ . Also, let us observe that if $H_i \subseteq \Pi_i$ is an open subgroup corresponding to a finite étale covering $Z_i \to X_i$ of X_i , then the condition that Z_i have stable reduction is compatible with ϕ [cf. [Mzk6], the proof of Lemma 2.1; our assumption that there exists an $l \in \Sigma_i$ such that $l \neq \{p_i\}$]. Next, I claim that:

A finite étale Galois covering $Z_i \to X_i$ of X_i arises from Δ_i^{com} if and only if Z_i has stable reduction, and the action of $\text{Gal}(Z_i/X_i)$ on the dual semi-graph with compact structure of the geometric special fiber of the stable model of Z_i is free.

Indeed, the necessity of this criterion is clear. To verify the sufficiency of this criterion, observe that, by considering the non-free actions of inertia subgroups of the Galois covering $Z_i \to X_i$, it follows immediately that this criterion implies that all of the inertia groups arising from irreducible components of the geometric special fiber of a stable model of X_i are trivial, hence [cf., e.g., [Tama], Lemma 2.1, (iii)] that the covering $Z_i \to X_i$ extends to an admissible covering of the respective stable models. On the other hand, once one knows that the covering $Z_i \to X_i$ admits such an admissible extension, the sufficiency of this criterion is immediate. This completes the proof of the claim. Now assertion (ii) follows immediately, by applying the functorial isomorphisms of semi-graphs of assertion (i). \bigcirc

Section 3: Absolute Open Homomorphisms of Local Galois Groups

In the present §, we give various generalizations of the main result of [Mzk1] concerning isomorphisms between Galois groups of MLF's. One aspect of these generalizations is the *substitution* of the condition given in [Mzk1] for such an isomorphism to arise geometrically — a condition that involves the higher ramification filtration — by various other conditions [cf. Theorem 3.5]. Certain of these conditions were motivated by a recent result of A. Tamagawa [cf. Remark 3.8.1] concerning Lubin-Tate groups and abelian varieties with complex multiplication; other conditions [cf. Corollary 3.7] were motivated by a certain application of the theory of the present §3 to be discussed in [Mzk15]. Another aspect of these generalizations is that certain of the conditions studied below allow one to prove a "Hom-version" [i.e., involving open homomorphisms, as opposed to just isomorphisms — cf. Theorem 3.5] of the main result of [Mzk1]. Finally, this Hom-version of the main result of [Mzk1] implies certain semi-absolute Hom-versions [cf. Corollary 3.8, 3.9 below of the absolute Isom-version of the Grothendieck Conjecture given in [Mzk14], §2, and the relative Hom-version of the Grothendieck Conjecture for function fields given in [Mzk3], Theorem B.

Let k be an MLF of residue characteristic p; \overline{k} an algebraic closure of k; $G_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$; \widehat{k} the p-adic completion of \overline{k} ; E an MLF of residue characteristic p all of whose \mathbb{Q}_p -conjugates are contained in k. Write $I_k \subseteq G_k$ (respectively, $I_k^{\text{wild}} \subseteq I_k$) for the inertia subgroup (respectively, wild inertia subgroup) of G_k ; $G_k^{\text{tame}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G_k/I_k$ ($\cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$).

Definition 3.1.

- (i) Let A be an abelian topological group; $\rho, \rho': G_k \to A$ characters [i.e., continuous homomorphisms]. Then we shall write $\rho \equiv \rho'$ and say that ρ, ρ' are inertially equivalent if, for some open subgroup $H \subseteq I_k$, the restricted characters $\rho|_H$, $\rho'|_H$ coincide [cf. [Serre3], III, §A.5].
- (ii) Write $\operatorname{Emb}(E,k)$ for the set of field embeddings $\sigma: E \hookrightarrow k$. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Emb}(E,k)$. Then if π is a uniformizer of k, then we shall denote by $\chi_{\sigma,\pi}: G_k \to E^{\times}$ the composite homomorphism

$$G_k \twoheadrightarrow G_k^{\mathrm{ab}} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} (k^{\times})^{\wedge} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{O}_k^{\times} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_k^{\times} \to \mathcal{O}_E^{\times} \subseteq E^{\times}$$

— where the " \wedge " denotes the profinite completion; the first " $\stackrel{\sim}{\to}$ " is the isomorphism arising from local class field theory [cf., e.g., [Serre2]]; the second " $\stackrel{\sim}{\to}$ " is the splitting determined by π ; the second " $\stackrel{\rightarrow}{\to}$ " is the projection to the factor \mathcal{O}_k^{\times} , composed with the inverse automorphism on \mathcal{O}_k^{\times} [cf. Remark 3.1.1 below]; the homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_k^{\times} \to \mathcal{O}_E^{\times}$ is the norm map associated to the field embedding σ . Since [as is well-known, from local class field theory] $I_k \subseteq G_k$ surjects to $\mathcal{O}_k^{\times} \times \{1\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k^{\times} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, it follows immediately that the inertial equivalence class of

 $\chi_{\sigma,\pi}$ is independent of the choice of π . Thus, we shall often write χ_{σ} to denote $\chi_{\sigma,\pi}$ for some unspecified choice of π .

(iii) Let $\rho: G_k \to E^{\times}$ be a character. Then we shall say that ρ is of qLT-type [i.e., "quasi-Lubin-Tate" type] if there exists an open subgroup $H \subseteq G_k$, corresponding to a field extension k_H of k, and a field embedding $\sigma: E \hookrightarrow k_H$ such that $\rho|_H \equiv \chi_{\sigma}$; in this situation, we shall refer to $[E:\mathbb{Q}_p]$ as the dimension of ρ . We shall say that ρ is of 01-type if it is Hodge-Tate, and, moreover, every weight appearing in its Hodge-Tate decomposition $\in \{0,1\}$. Write

$$\chi_k^{\text{cyclo}}: G_k \to \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$$

for the cyclotomic character associated to G_k . We shall say that ρ is of ICD-type [i.e., "inertially cyclotomic determinant" type] if its determinant $\det(\rho): G_k \to \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ [i.e., the composite of ρ with the norm map $E^{\times} \to \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$] is inertially equivalent to χ_k^{cyclo} .

(iv) For i = 1, 2, let k_i be an MLF of residue characteristic p_i ; \overline{k}_i an algebraic closure of k_i ; $\widehat{\overline{k}}_i$ the p_i -adic completion of \overline{k}_i . We shall use similar notation for the various subquotients of the absolute Galois group $G_{k_i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}_i/k_i)$ of k_i to the notation already introduced for G_k . Let

$$\phi: G_{k_1} \to G_{k_2}$$

be an open homomorphism. Then we shall say that ϕ is of qLT-type (respectively, of 01-qLT-type) if $p_1 = p_2$, and, moreover, for every pair of open subgroups $H_1 \subseteq G_{k_1}$, $H_2 \subseteq G_{k_2}$ such that $\phi(H_1) \subseteq H_2$, and every character $\rho: H_2 \to F^\times$ of qLT-type [where F is an MLF of residue characteristic $p_1 = p_2$ all of whose conjugates are contained in the fields determined by H_1, H_2], the restricted character $\rho|_{H_1}: H_1 \to F^\times$ [obtained by restricting via ϕ] is of qLT-type (respectively, of 01-type). We shall say that ϕ is of HT-type [i.e., "Hodge-Tate" type] if $p_1 = p_2$, and, moreover, the topological G_{k_1} -module [but not necessarily the topological field!] obtained by composing ϕ with the natural action of G_{k_2} on \widehat{k}_2 is isomorphic [as a topological G_{k_1} -module] to \widehat{k}_1 . We shall say that ϕ is of CHT-type [i.e., "cyclotomic Hodge-Tate" type] if ϕ is of HT-type, and, moreover, the cyclotomic characters of G_{k_1} , G_{k_2} satisfy $\chi_{k_1}^{\text{cyclo}} = \chi_{k_2}^{\text{cyclo}} \circ \phi$. We shall say that ϕ is geometric if it arises from an isomorphism of fields $\widehat{k}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{k}_1$ that maps k_2 into k_1 [which implies, by considering the divisibility of the k_i^\times , that $p_1 = p_2$].

(v) Let $1 \to \Delta \to \Pi \to G_k \to 1$ be an extension of AFG-type. Then we shall say that this extension $\Pi \to G_k$ [or, when there is no danger of confusion, that Π] is of A-qLT-type [i.e., "Albanese-quasi-Lubin-Tate" type] if for every open subgroup $H \subseteq G_k$, and every character $\rho: H \to F^\times$ of qLT-type [where F is an MLF of residue characteristic p all of whose conjugates are contained in the field determined by H], there exists an open subgroup $J \subseteq \Pi \times_{G_k} (I_k \cap H)$ [so one has an outer action of the image J_G of J in G_k on $J_\Delta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J \cap \Delta$] such that the J_G -module V_ρ

obtained by letting J_G act on F via $\rho|_{J_G}$ is isomorphic to some subquotient S of the J_G -module $J_{\Delta}^{ab} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Remark 3.1.1. As is well-known, the ρ that arises from a *Lubin-Tate group* is of qLT-type — cf., e.g., [Serre3], III, $\S A.4$, Proposition 4. This is the reason for the terminology "quasi-Lubin-Tate".

We begin by reviewing some well-known facts.

Proposition 3.2. (Characterization of Hodge-Tate Characters) Let ρ : $G_k \to E^{\times}$ be a character; write V_{ρ} for the G_k -module obtained by letting G_k act on E via ρ . Then ρ is Hodge-Tate if and only if

$$\rho \equiv \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Emb}(E,k)} \chi_{\sigma}^{n_{\sigma}}$$

for some $n_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, in this case, we have an isomorphism of $\widehat{\overline{k}}[G_k]$ -modules:

$$V_{\rho} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{\overline{k}} \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Emb}(E,k)} \widehat{\overline{k}}(n_{\sigma})$$

[where the "(-)" denotes a Tate twist].

Proof. Indeed, this criterion for the character ρ to be Hodge-Tate is precisely the content of [Serre3], III, §A.5, Corollary. The Hodge-Tate decomposition of V_{ρ} then follows immediately the Hodge-Tate decomposition of " V_{ρ} " in the case where one takes " ρ " to be χ_{σ} [cf. [Serre3], III, §A.5, proof of Lemma 2]. \bigcirc

Proposition 3.3. (Characterization of Quasi-Lubin-Tate Characters) Let ρ , V_{ρ} be as in Proposition 3.2. Then the following conditions on ρ are equivalent:

- (i) ρ is of qLT-type.
- (ii) We have an isomorphism of $\widehat{\overline{k}}[G_k]$ -modules: $V_{\rho} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \widehat{\overline{k}} \cong \widehat{\overline{k}}(1) \oplus \widehat{\overline{k}} \oplus \ldots \oplus \widehat{\overline{k}}$.
- (iii) ρ is of ICD-type and Hodge-Tate; the resulting n_{σ} 's of Proposition 3.2 are $\in \{0,1\}$.
 - (iv) ρ is of ICD-type and of 01-type.

Proof. The fact that (i) implies (ii) follows immediately from the description of the Hodge-Tate decomposition of " V_{ρ} " in the case where one takes " ρ " to be χ_{σ} [cf. [Serre3], III, §A.5, proof of Lemma 2]. Next, let us assume that (ii), (iii), or (iv) holds. In either of these cases, it follows that ρ , hence also the determinant

 $\det(\rho): G_k \to \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ of ρ , is Hodge-Tate. Then by applying Proposition 3.2 to ρ , we obtain that the associated n_{σ} 's are $\in \{0,1\}$; by applying Proposition 3.2 to $\det(\rho)$ [in which case one takes "E" to be \mathbb{Q}_p], we obtain that $\det(\rho)$ is inertially equivalent to the $(\sum_{\sigma} n_{\sigma})$ -th power of χ_k^{cyclo} . But this allows one to conclude [either from the explicit Hodge-Tate decomposition of (ii), or from the assumption that ρ is of ICD-type in (iii), (iv)] that $\sum_{\sigma} n_{\sigma} = 1$, hence that there exists precisely one $\sigma \in \text{Emb}(E,k)$ such that $n_{\sigma} = 1$, $n_{\sigma'} = 0$ for $\sigma' \neq \sigma$. Thus, [sorting through the definitions] we conclude that (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) hold. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.3. \bigcirc

Proposition 3.4. (Preservation of Tame Quotients) In the notation of Definition 3.1, (iv), let $\phi: G_{k_1} \to G_{k_2}$ be an open homomorphism. Then $p_1 = p_2$, and there exists a commutative diagram

$$G_{k_1} \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} G_{k_2}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$
 $G_{k_1}^{\mathrm{tame}} \stackrel{\phi^{\mathrm{tame}}}{\longrightarrow} G_{k_2}^{\mathrm{tame}}$

— where the vertical arrows are the natural surjections; ϕ^{tame} is an **injective** homomorphism.

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that ϕ is surjective. Next, let $H_2 \subseteq G_{k_2}$ be an open subgroup, $H_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi^{-1}(H_2) \subseteq G_{k_1}$. Then if $l \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_1 \neq p_2$, then [since we have a surjection $H_2 \twoheadrightarrow H_1$] $1 = \delta_l^1(H_2) \geq \delta_l^1(H_1) \geq 2$ for $l = p_1$ [cf. Theorem 2.6, (ii)]; thus, we conclude that $p_1 = p_2$. Write $p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_1 = p_2$. Since $G_{k_2}^{\text{tame}} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(\neq p)}(1) \rtimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ [for some faithful action of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(\neq p)}(1) - \text{cf.}$, e.g., [NSW], Theorem 7.5.2], it follows immediately that every closed normal pro-p subgroup of $G_{k_2}^{\text{tame}}$ is trivial. Thus, the image of $\phi(I_{k_1}^{\text{wild}})$ in $G_{k_2}^{\text{tame}}$ is trivial, so we conclude that ϕ induces a surjection $\phi^{\text{tame}}: G_{k_1}^{\text{tame}} \twoheadrightarrow G_{k_2}^{\text{tame}}$. Since, for i = 1, 2, the quotient $G_{k_i}^{\text{tame}} \twoheadrightarrow G_{k_i}^{\text{tame}} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ may be characterized as the quotient $G_{k_i}^{\text{tame}} \twoheadrightarrow (G_{k_i}^{\text{tame}})^{\text{ab-t}}$, it thus follows immediately that ϕ^{tame} induces continuous homomorphisms

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong G_{k_1}^{\mathrm{unr}} \twoheadrightarrow G_{k_2}^{\mathrm{unr}} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}; \quad \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(\neq p)}(1) \cong I_{k_1}/I_{k_1}^{\mathrm{wild}} \to I_{k_2}/I_{k_2}^{\mathrm{wild}} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(\neq p)}(1)$$

— the first of which is surjective, hence an isomorphism [since, as is well-known, every surjective endomorphism of a profinite group is an isomorphism]. But this implies that the second displayed homomorphism is also surjective, hence an isomorphism. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.4. \bigcirc

Theorem 3.5. (Criteria for Geometricity) For i = 1, 2, let k_i be an MLF of residue characteristic p_i ; \overline{k}_i an algebraic closure of k_i ; \overline{k}_i the p_i -adic completion of \overline{k}_i . We shall use similar notation for the various subquotients of the absolute

Galois group $G_{k_i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k_i}/k_i)$ of k_i to the notation introduced at the beginning of the present §3 for G_k . Let

$$\phi: G_{k_1} \to G_{k_2}$$

be an open homomorphism. Then:

- (i) The following conditions on ϕ are equivalent: (a) ϕ is of CHT-type; (b) ϕ is of 01-qLT-type; (c) ϕ is of qLT-type; (d) ϕ is geometric.
- (ii) Suppose that ϕ is an isomorphism. Then ϕ is geometric if and only if it is of HT-type.

(iii) For
$$i=1,2,\ let\ 1\to\Delta_i\to\Pi_i\to G_{k_i}\to 1\ be\ an\ extension\ of\ AFG-type;$$

$$\psi:\Pi_1\to\Pi_2$$

a semi-absolute [or, equivalently, pre-semi-absolute — cf. Proposition 2.5, (iii)] homomorphism that lifts ϕ . Suppose that Π_2 is of A-qLT-type. Then ϕ is geometric.

Proof. First, we observe that by Proposition 3.4, it follows that $p_1 = p_2$; write $p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_1 = p_2$. Also, we may always assume without loss of generality that ϕ is surjective. In the following, we will use a superscript " G_{k_i} " [where i = 1, 2] to denote the submodule of G_{k_i} -invariants of a G_{k_i} -module.

Next, we consider assertion (i). First, we observe that it is immediate that condition (d) implies condition (a). Next, let us suppose that condition (a) holds. Since $k_i = \widehat{\overline{k}}_i^{G_{k_i}}$ is finite-dimensional over \mathbb{Q}_p , it follows that, for i = 1, 2, any G_{k_i} -module M which is finite-dimensional over \mathbb{Q}_p is $Hodge-Tate\ with\ weights \in \{0,1\}$ if and only if

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}((M \otimes \widehat{\overline{k}}_i)^{G_{k_i}}) + \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}((M(-1) \otimes \widehat{\overline{k}}_i)^{G_{k_i}}) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M) \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\widehat{\overline{k}}_i^{G_{k_i}})$$

[where the tensor products are over \mathbb{Q}_p]. Now suppose that M is a G_{k_2} -module that arises as a " V_ρ " for some character $\rho: G_{k_2} \to E^\times$ of qLT-type [so M is Hodge-Tate with weights $\in \{0,1\}$ — cf. Proposition 3.3, (i) \Longrightarrow (iv)]; write M_ϕ for the G_{k_1} -module M_ϕ obtained by composing the G_{k_2} -action on M with ϕ . Thus, it follows immediately from our assumption that ϕ is of CHT-type that the above condition concerning \mathbb{Q}_p -dimensions for M implies the above condition concerning \mathbb{Q}_p -dimensions for M_ϕ . Applying this argument to corresponding open subgroups of G_{k_1} , G_{k_2} thus shows that ϕ is of 01-qLT-type, i.e., that condition (b) holds.

Next, let us assume that condition (b) holds. First, I claim that $\chi_{k_1}^{cyclo} \equiv \chi_{k_2}^{cyclo} \circ \phi$. Indeed, by condition (b), it follows that the character $\chi_{k_2}^{cyclo} \circ \phi : G_{k_1} \to \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ is of 01-type. Thus, by Proposition 3.2, we conclude that $\chi_{k_2}^{cyclo} \circ \phi \equiv (\chi_{k_1}^{cyclo})^n$, for some $n \in \{0,1\}$. On the other hand, the restriction of $\chi_{k_2}^{cyclo}$ to I_{k_2} clearly has open image; since ϕ is open, it thus follows that the restriction of $\chi_{k_2}^{cyclo} \circ \phi$ to I_{k_1} has

open image. This rules out the possibility that n = 0, hence completes the proof of the *claim*. Now, by applying this claim, together with Proposition 3.3, (i) \iff (iv), we conclude that ϕ is of qLT-type, i.e., that condition (c) holds.

Next, let us assume that condition (c) holds. First, I claim that this already implies that ϕ is injective [i.e., an isomorphism]. Indeed, let $\gamma \in \text{Ker}(\phi) \subseteq G_{k_1}$ be such that $\gamma \neq 1$. Then there exists an open subgroup $J_1 \subseteq G_{k_1} \subseteq G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ satisfying the following conditions: (1) $\gamma \notin J_1$; (2) J_1 is *characteristic* as a subgroup $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$; (3) the extension E of \mathbb{Q}_p determined by J_1 contains all \mathbb{Q}_p -conjugates of k_2 . Fix an embedding $\sigma_2: k_2 \hookrightarrow E$; write $H_2 \subseteq G_{k_2}$ for the corresponding open subgroup. Let $H_1 \subseteq J_1 \subseteq G_{k_1}$ be an open subgroup which is normal in G_{k_1} such that $\phi(H_1) \subseteq$ H_2 ; for i = 1, 2, write k_{H_i} for the extension of k_i determined by H_i . Thus, the embedding $\sigma_2: E \hookrightarrow k_{H_2}$ given by the identity $E = k_{H_2}$ (respectively, $\sigma_1: E \hookrightarrow k_{H_1}$ determined by the inclusion $H_1 \subseteq J_1$) determines a character $\rho_2: H_2 \to E^{\times}$ (respectively, $\rho_1: H_1 \to E^{\times}$) of qLT-type [i.e., the character " χ_{σ_2} " (respectively, " χ_{σ_1} ")]. Moreover, by condition (c), the character $\rho_2 \circ (\phi|_{H_1}) : H_1 \to E^{\times}$ is of qLTtype, hence is inertially equivalent to $\tau \circ \rho_1 : H_1 \to E^{\times}$ for some $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. In particular, by replacing σ_2 by $\sigma_2 \circ \tau$, we may assume that τ is the identity, hence that $\rho_2 \circ (\phi|_{H_1}) \equiv \rho_1$. On the other hand, since $\gamma \notin J_1$, hence acts nontrivially on the subfield $E \subseteq k_{H_1}$ [relative to the embedding σ_1], it follows that $\rho_1 \circ \kappa_{\gamma} \equiv \delta \circ \rho_1$, where we write κ_{γ} for the automorphism of H_1 given by conjugating by γ , and $\delta \in \operatorname{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is not equal to the identity. But since $\phi(\gamma) = 1 \in G_{k_2}$, we thus conclude that $\delta \circ \rho_1 \equiv \rho_1 \circ \kappa_{\gamma} \equiv \rho_2 \circ (\phi|_{H_1}) \circ \kappa_{\gamma} \equiv \rho_2 \circ (\phi|_{H_1}) \equiv \rho_1$, which [since ρ_1 has open image] contradicts the fact that $\delta \in \operatorname{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is not equal to the identity. This completes the proof of the claim. Thus, we may assume that ϕ is an isomorphism of qLT-type, i.e., we are, in effect, in the situation of [Mzk1], §4. In particular, the fact that ϕ is geometric, i.e., that condition (d) holds, follows immediately via the argument of [Mzk1], §4. This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we consider assertion (ii). Since ϕ is an *isomorphism*, it follows [cf. [Mzk1], Proposition 1.1; [Mzk6], Proposition 1.2.1, (vi)] that $\chi_{k_1}^{\text{cyclo}} = \chi_{k_2}^{\text{cyclo}} \circ \phi$. In particular, ϕ is of HT-type if and only if ϕ is of CHT-type. Thus, assertion (ii) follows from the equivalence of (a), (d) in assertion (i).

Finally, we consider assertion (iii). First, let us recall that by a well-known result of Tate [cf. [Tate], §4, Corollary 2], if $J \subseteq \Pi_1$ is an open subgroup with image $J_G \subseteq G_{k_1}$ and intersection $J_{\Delta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J \cap \Delta_1$, then the J_G -module $J_{\Delta}^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is always Hodge-Tate with weights $\in \{0,1\}$. Thus, the condition that Π_2 is of A-qLT-type implies that ϕ is of 01-qLT-type, hence, by assertion (i), geometric. This completes the proof of assertion (iii). \bigcirc

Definition 3.6.

(i) If $H \subseteq G_k$ is an open subgroup corresponding to an extension field k_H of k, then by local class field theory [cf., e.g., [Serre2]], we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{k_H}^{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \operatorname{Tor}(H)$$

- where we write $\operatorname{Tor}(H)$ [i.e., the "toral portion of H"] for the image of $I_k \cap H$ in H^{ab} . Thus, by applying the *p-adic logarithm* $\mathcal{O}_{k_H}^{\times} \to k_H$, we obtain a natural isomorphism $\lambda_H : \operatorname{Tor}(H) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} k_H$.
- (ii) We shall refer to a collection $\{N_H\}_H$, where H ranges over a collection of open subgroups of G_k that form a basis of the topology of G_k , as a uniformly toral neighborhood of G_k if there exist nonnegative integers a, b [which are independent of H!] such that [in the notation of (i)] $N_H \subseteq \text{Tor}(H) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is an open subgroup such that $p^a \cdot \mathcal{O}_{k_H} \subseteq \lambda_H(N_H) \subseteq p^{-b} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{k_H} \subseteq k_H$.
- (iii) Let $\phi: G_{k_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{k_2}$ be an isomorphism of profinite groups. Then we shall say that ϕ is uniformly toral if G_{k_1} admits a uniformly toral neighborhood $\{N_H\}_H$ such that $\{\phi(N_H)\}_H$ forms a uniformly toral neighborhood of G_{k_2} . We shall say that ϕ is RF-preserving [i.e., "ramification filtration preserving"] if ϕ is compatible with the filtrations on G_{k_1} , G_{k_2} given by the [positively indexed] higher ramification groups in the upper numbering [cf., [Mzk1], Theorem].

Corollary 3.7. (Uniform Torality and Geometricity) In the situation of Theorem 3.5, suppose further that ϕ is an isomorphism. Then the following conditions on ϕ are equivalent: (a) ϕ is RF-preserving; (b) ϕ is uniformly toral; (c) ϕ is geometric.

Proof. First, we observe that by Proposition 3.4, it follows that $p_1 = p_2$; write $p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_1 = p_2$. Also, we observe that it is immediate that condition (c) implies condition (a). Next, we recall that the fact that condition (a) implies condition (b) is precisely the content of the discussion preceding [Mzk1], Proposition 2.2. That is to say, for i = 1, 2, the images of appropriate higher ramification groups in $\text{Tor}(H) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ [for open subgroups $H \subseteq G_{k_i}$] multiplied by appropriate integral powers of p yield a uniformly toral neighborhood of G_{k_i} that is compatible with ϕ whenever ϕ is RF-preserving.

Next, let us assume that condition (b) holds. For i = 1, 2, let $\{N_H^i\}_H$ be a uniformly toral neighborhood of G_{k_i} . Again, we take the point of view of the discussion preceding [Mzk1], Proposition 2.2. That is to say, we think of \overline{k}_i as the inductive limit

$$I_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varinjlim_{H} \operatorname{Tor}(H) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$$

— where H ranges over the open subgroups $\subseteq G_{k_i}$ involved in $\{N_H^i\}_H$; the morphisms in the inductive system are those induced by the Verlagerung, or transfer, map. Write $N_i \subseteq I_i$ for the subgroup generated by the $N_H^i \subseteq \text{Tor}(H) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then relative to the isomorphism [of abstract modules!] $\lambda_i : I_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{k_i}$ determined by the λ_H 's, we have

$$p^a \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}_i} \subseteq \lambda_i(N_i) \subseteq p^{-b} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}_i} \subseteq \overline{k}_i$$

for some nonnegative integers a, b [cf. Definition 3.6, (ii)]. In particular, it follows that the topology on I_i determined by the submodules $p^c \cdot N_i$, where $c \geq 0$ is an integer, coincides, relative to λ_i , with the p-adic topology on \overline{k}_i [i.e., the topology

determined by the $p^c \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\overline{k}_i}$, where $c \geq 0$ is an integer]. Write \widehat{I}_i for the completion of I_i relative to the topology determined by the $p^c \cdot N_i$. Thus, λ_i determines an isomorphism of topological G_{k_i} -modules $\widehat{I}_i \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \widehat{\overline{k}}_i$. In particular, the assumption that ϕ is uniformly toral implies that ϕ is of HT-type. Thus, by Theorem 3.5, (ii), we conclude that ϕ is geometric, i.e., that condition (c) holds. This completes the proof of Corollary 3.7. \bigcirc

Remark 3.7.1. In fact, one verifies immediately that the argument applied in the proof of Corollary 3.7 implies that the equivalences of Corollary 3.7 [as well as the definitions of Definition 3.6] continue to hold when ϕ is replaced by an isomorphism of profinite groups between the *maximal pro-p quotients* of the G_{k_i} . We leave the routine details to the reader.

Corollary 3.8. (Geometricity of Semi-absolute Homomorphisms for Hyperbolic Orbicurves) For i=1,2, let $k_i, \overline{k}_i, \widehat{k}_i, p_i, G_{k_i}$ [and its subquotients] be as in Theorem 3.5; $1 \to \Delta_i \to \Pi_i \to G_{k_i} \to 1$ an extension of AFG-type; (k_i, X_i, Σ_i) partial construction data [consisting of the construction data field, construction data base-stack, and construction data prime set] for $\Pi_i \to G_{k_i}$; α_i : $\pi_1(X_i) = \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_i) \to \Pi_i$ a scheme-theoretic envelope compatible with the natural projections $\pi_1(X_i) \to G_{k_i}$, $\Pi_i \to G_{k_i}$;

$$\psi:\Pi_1\to\Pi_2$$

a semi-absolute [or, equivalently, pre-semi-absolute — cf. Proposition 2.5, (iii)] homomorphism that lifts a homomorphism $\phi: G_1 \to G_2$. Suppose further that X_2 is a hyperbolic orbicurve, that $p_2 \in \Sigma_2$, and that one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) ϕ is of CHT-type:
- (b) ϕ is of 01-qLT-type;
- (c) ϕ is of qLT-type;
- (d) ϕ is an isomorphism of HT-type;
- (e) ϕ is a uniformly toral isomorphism;
- (f) ϕ is an RF-preserving isomorphism;
- (g) Π_2 is of A-qLT-type.
- (h) ϕ is geometric;

Then ψ is geometric, i.e., arises [relative to the α_i] from a unique dominant morphism of schemes $X_1 \to X_2$ lying over a morphism $\operatorname{Spec}(k_1) \to \operatorname{Spec}(k_2)$.

Proof. Indeed, by Theorem 3.5, (i), (ii), (iii); Corollary 3.7, it follows that any of the conditions (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h) implies condition (h). Thus, since X_2 is a hyperbolic orbicurve, and $p_2 \in \Sigma_2$, the fact that ψ is geometric follows from [Mzk3], Theorem A. \bigcirc

Remark 3.8.1. One important motivation for the theory of the present $\S 3$ is the following result, orally communicated to the author by A. Tamagawa:

(*A-qLT) Let X be a hyperbolic orbicurve over k that admits a finite étale covering $Y \to X$ by a hyperbolic curve Y such that Y admits a dominant k-morphism $Y \to P$, where P is the projective line minus three points over k [i.e., a tripod — cf. §0]. Then the arithmetic fundamental group $\pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow G_k$ of X is of A-qLT-type.

In particular, it follows that:

Corollary 3.8 may be applied [in the sense that condition (g) is satisfied] whenever X_2 satisfies the conditions placed on the hyperbolic orbicurve "X" of (*A-qLT).

Indeed, Tamagawa's original motivation for considering (*A-qLT) was precisely the goal of applying the methods of [Mzk1] to obtain an "isomorphism version" of Corollary 3.8, (g). Upon learning of these ideas of Tamagawa, the author proceeded to re-examine the theory of [Mzk1]. This led the author to the discovery of the various generalizations of [Mzk1] — and, in particular, the Hom-version of Corollary 3.8, (g) — given in the present §3. Tamagawa derives (*A-qLT) from the following result:

(*^{CM}) Given a character $\rho: G_k \to E^{\times}$ of qLT-type, there exists an abelian variety with complex multiplication A over some finite extension k_A of k such that $\rho|_{G_{k_A}}$ is inertially equivalent to some character whose associated G_{k_A} -module appears as a subquotient of the G_{k_A} -module given by the p-adic Tate module of A.

Indeed, to derive (* $^{A-qLT}$) from (* CM), one reasons as follows: Every abelian variety with complex multiplication A is defined over a number field, hence arises as a quotient of a Jacobian of a smooth proper curve Z over a number field. Moreover, by considering $Belyi\ maps$, it follows that some open subscheme $U_Z \subseteq Z$ arises as a finite étale covering of the projective line minus three points. Thus, any Galois module that appears as a subquotient of the p-adic Tate module of A also appears as a subquotient of the p-adic Tate module of the Jacobian of some finite étale covering of the curve P of (* $^{A-qLT}$), hence, a fortiori, as a subquotient of the p-adic Tate module of the Jacobian of some finite étale covering of the curves Y, X of (* $^{A-qLT}$). Thus, we conclude that $\pi_1(X)$ is of A-qLT-type, as desired.

Corollary 3.9. (Geometricity of Strictly Semi-absolute Homomorphisms for Function Fields) Assume that the result (*A-qLT) of Remark 3.8.1 holds. For i=1,2, let k_i be an MLF, K_i a function field of transcendence degree ≥ 1 over k_i [so k_i is algebraically closed in K_i], \overline{K}_i an algebraic closure of K_i , \overline{k}_i the algebraic closure of k_i determined by \overline{K}_i , $\Pi_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}_i/K_i)$, $G_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}_i/k_i)$, $\Delta_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Ker}(\Pi_i \twoheadrightarrow G_i)$. Then every open homomorphism

$$\psi:\Pi_1\to\Pi_2$$

that induces an open homomorphism $\psi_{\Delta} : \Delta_1 \to \Delta_2$ [hence also an open homomorphism $\phi : G_1 \to G_2$] is **geometric**, i.e., arises from a **unique** embedding of fields $K_2 \hookrightarrow K_1$ that induces an embedding of fields $k_2 \hookrightarrow k_1$ of finite degree.

Proof. Since every function field of transcendence degree ≥ 1 over k_2 contains the function field of a tripod over k_2 , it follows from $(*^{A-qLT})$ that there exists a hyperbolic curve X over k_2 with function equal to K_2 such that if we write $\Pi_2 \to \Pi_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi_1(X)$ for the resulting surjection, then Π_3 is of A-qLT-type. Now we wish to apply a "birational analogue" of Corollary 3.8, (g), to the composite homomorphism $\Pi_1 \to \Pi_2 \to \Pi_3$ [where the first arrow is ψ].

To verify that such an analogue holds, it suffices to verify that ϕ is of 01-qLTtype [cf. Theorem 3.5, (i), (b) \Longrightarrow (d)]. To this end, set $k_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k_2$, $G_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G_2$, $\Delta_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Ker}(\Pi_3 \twoheadrightarrow G_3)$; let us suppose, for i = 1, 3, that $H_i \subseteq \Delta_i$, $J_i \subseteq G_i$ are characteristic open subgroups such that $\psi_{\Delta}(H_1) \subseteq H_3$, $\phi(J_1) \subseteq J_3$. Thus, if we write p for the common residue characteristic of k_1 , k_3 [cf. Proposition 3.4], then we obtain a surjection $H_1^{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \twoheadrightarrow H_3^{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ that is compatible with ϕ . Moreover, it follows immediately from Corollary A.11 [cf. also Proposition A.3, (v)] of the Appendix that the J_1 -module $H_1^{\text{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ admits a quotient J_1 -module $H_1^{\text{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \to Q_1$ such that Q_1 is the p-adic Tate module of some abelian variety over a finite extension of k_1 , and, moreover, the kernel $\operatorname{Ker}(H_1^{\operatorname{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \twoheadrightarrow Q_1)$ is topologically generated by topologically cyclic subgroups [i.e., "copies of \mathbb{Z}_p "] on which some open subgroup of J_1 [which may depend on the cyclic subgroup] acts via the cyclotomic character. Next, let us observe that if V_3 is any J_3 -module associated to a character of qLT-type of dimension ≥ 2 , then V_3 does not contain any sub- J_3 modules of dimension 1 over \mathbb{Q}_p . From this observation, it follows immediately that any subquotient [cf. Definition 3.1, (v)] of the J_3 -module $H_3^{ab} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ that is isomorphic to the J_3 -module associated to a character of qLT-type of dimension ≥ 2 determines a subquotient [not only of the J_1 -module $H_1^{\text{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, but also] of the J_1 -module $Q_1 \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. Thus, we conclude that any such subquotient of the J_1 -module $Q_1 \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is Hodge-Tate with weights $\in \{0,1\}$. Moreover, by considering determinants of such subquotients, one concludes that the pull-back of the cyclotomic character $J_3 \to \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ is a character $J_1 \to \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ which is *Hodge-Tate*, and whose unique weight w is ≥ 0 . If $w \geq 2$, then the fact that the J_3 -module determined by the cyclotomic character of J_3 occurs as a subquotient of $H_3^{ab} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ [for sufficiently small H_3], hence determines a J_1 -module that occurs as a subquotient [not only of the J_1 -module $H_1^{\text{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, but also, in light of our assumption that $w \geq 2!$ of the J_1 -module $Q_1 \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ leads to a contradiction [since the J_1 -module $Q_1 \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is Hodge-Tate with weights $\in \{0,1\}$]. Thus, we conclude that $\phi: G_1 \to G_2 = G_3$ is of 01-qLT-type, hence geometric, i.e., arises from a unique embedding of fields $k_2 \hookrightarrow k_1$ of finite degree. Finally, the geometricity of ϕ implies that the geometricity of ψ may be derived from the "relative" result given in [Mzk3], Theorem B.

Remark 3.9.1. The proof given above of Corollary 3.9 shows that the " Π_2 " of Corollary 3.9 may, in fact, be taken to be a " Π_2 " as in Corollary 3.8, (g).

Section 4: Chains of Elementary Operations

In the present §4, we generalize [cf. Theorems 4.7, 4.12; Remarks 4.7.1, 4.12.1 below] the theory of "categories of dominant localizations" discussed in [Mzk9], §2 [cf. also the tempered versions of these categories, discussed in [Mzk10], §6], to include "localizations" obtained by more general "chains of elementary operations"—i.e., the operations of passing to a finite étale covering, passing to a finite étale quotient, "de-cuspidalization", and "de-orbification" [cf. Definition 4.2 below; [Mzk14], §2] — which are applied to some given algebraic stack over a field. The field and algebraic stack under consideration are quite general in nature [by comparison, e.g., to the theory of [Mzk9], §2; [Mzk14], §2], but are subject to various assumptions. One key assumption asserts that the algebraic stack satisfies a certain relative version of the "Grothendieck Conjecture".

Before proceeding, we recall the following immediate consequence of [Mzk14], Lemma 2.1; [Mzk13], Proposition 1.2, (ii).

- Lemma 4.1. (Decomposition Groups of Hyperbolic Orbicurves) Let Σ be a nonempty set of prime numbers, Δ a **pro-** Σ group of GFG-type that admits base-prime [cf. Definition 2.1, (iv)] partial construction data (k, X, Σ) [consisting of the construction data field, construction data base-stack, and construction data prime set] such that X is a hyperbolic orbicurve [cf. $\S 0$], and k is algebraically closed. Let x_A (respectively, $x_B \neq x_A$) be either a closed point or a cusp [cf. $\S 0$] of X; $A \subseteq \Delta$ (respectively, $B \subseteq \Delta$) the decomposition group [well-defined up to conjugation in Δ] of x_A (respectively, x_B). Then:
- (i) A, B are pro-cyclic groups; $A \cap B = \{1\}$. If x_A is a closed point of X, and $A \neq \{1\}$, then A is a finite, normally terminal [cf. $\S 0$] subgroup of Δ . If x_A is a cusp, then A is a torsion-free, commensurably terminal [cf. $\S 0$] infinite subgroup of Δ .
- (ii) The order of every finite cyclic closed subgroup $C \subseteq \Delta$ divides the order of X [cf. $\S 0$].
- (iii) Every finite nontrivial closed subgroup $C \subseteq \Delta$ is contained in a decomposition group of a unique closed point of X. In particular, the nontrivial decomposition groups of closed points of X may be characterized ["group-theoretically"] as the maximal finite nontrivial closed subgroups of Δ .
 - (iv) X is a hyperbolic curve if and only if Δ is torsion-free.
- (v) Suppose that the quotient $\psi_A : \Delta \to \Delta_A$ of Δ by the closed normal subgroup of Δ topologically generated by A is \mathbf{slim} and $\mathbf{nontrivial}$. If x_A is a \mathbf{closed} point of X (respectively, a \mathbf{cusp}), then we suppose further that $\Sigma = \mathfrak{Primes}$ [which forces the characteristic of k to be zero] (respectively, that $A \subseteq J$ for some normal open $\mathbf{torsion-free}$ subgroup J of Δ). Then Δ_A is a profinite group of $\mathbf{GFG-type}$ that admits $\mathbf{base-prime}$ partial construction data (k, X_A, Σ) [consisting of the construction data field, construction data base-stack, and construction data prime set]

such that X_A is a hyperbolic orbicurve equipped with a dominant k-morphism $\phi_A: X \to X_A$ that is uniquely determined [up to a unique isomorphism] by the property that it induces [up to composition with an inner automorphism] ψ_A . Moreover, if x_A is a closed point of X (respectively, a cusp), then ϕ_A is a partial coarsification morphism [cf. §0] which is an isomorphism either over X_A or over the complement in X_A of the point of X_A determined by x_A (respectively, is an open immersion whose image is the complement of the point of X_A determined by x_A).

(vi) In the notation of (v), if $B \neq \{1\}$, then $\psi_A(B) \neq \{1\}$.

First, we recall that by the definition of a profinite group of GFG-type cf. the discussion at the beginning of §2, it follows that there exists a normal open subgroup $H \subseteq \Delta$ such that if we write $X_H \to X$ for the corresponding Galois covering, then X_H is a hyperbolic curve. Next, let us observe that, in light of our assumption that the partial construction data is base-prime, we may lift the entire situation to characteristic zero, hence assume, at least for the proof of assertions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), that k is of characteristic zero. Thus, assertions (i), (ii), (iii) when x_A , x_B are closed points (respectively, cusps) of X follow immediately from [Mzk14], Lemma 2.1 (respectively, [Mzk13], Proposition 1.2, (ii)). Next, we consider assertion (iv). First, we observe that the necessity portion of assertion (iv) follows immediately from assertion (iii). To verify sufficiency, let us suppose that Δ is torsion-free. Let $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta$ be a scheme-theoretic envelope of Δ . Then since X_H is a *scheme*, it follows that the nontrivial [finite closed] subgroups of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X)$ that arise as decomposition groups of closed points map injectively, via the composite surjection $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta \twoheadrightarrow \Delta/H$, into Δ/H , hence, a fortiori, injectively via the surjection $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta$, into Δ [which is torsion-free]. Thus, the decomposition groups in $\pi_1(X) = \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X)$ [cf. our assumption that k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero] of closed points of X are trivial. But this implies [by considering, for instance, the Galois covering $X_H \to X$] that X is a scheme, as desired. This completes the proof of assertion (iv).

Next, we consider assertion (v). First, let us observe that X_A admits a finite étale covering $Y_A \to X_A$ arising from a normal open subgroup of Δ_A such that Y_A is a curve, which will necessarily be hyperbolic, in light of the slimness and nontriviality of Δ_A . Indeed, when x_A is a closed point of X [so $\Sigma = \mathfrak{Primes}$; k is of characteristic zero], this follows immediately from the equivalence of definitions of a "hyperbolic orbicurve" discussed in $\S 0$; when x_A is a cusp, this follows from assertion (iv) and our assumption of the existence of the subgroup $J \subseteq \Delta$. Now the remainder of assertion (v) follows immediately from the definitions. This completes the proof of assertion (v). Finally, we consider assertion (vi). Assertion (vi) is immediate if x_B is a cusp [cf. assertion (i)]; thus, we may assume that x_B is a closed point of X. If $\psi_A(B) = \{1\}$, then it follows that the decomposition group $\subseteq \Delta_A$ of the image of x_B in X_A is trivial. Since [by assertion (v)] X_A admits a finite étale covering $Y_A \to X_A$ arising from an open subgroup of X_A such that Y_A is a hyperbolic curve, we thus conclude that X_A is scheme-like in a neighborhood of the image of x_B in X_A , hence [in light of the explicit description of the morphism ϕ_A in the statement

of assertion (v)] that X is scheme-like in a neighborhood of x_B . But this implies that $B = \{1\}$. This completes the proof of assertion (vi). \bigcirc

Remark 4.1.1. Note that Lemma 4.1, (iv), is false if we only assume that Δ is almost $pro-\Sigma$. Indeed, such an example may be constructed by taking X to be a hyperbolic curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero, $Y \to X$ a finite étale Galois covering of degree prime to Σ , and Δ to be the quotient of $\pi_1(X)$ by the kernel of the surjection $(\pi_1(X) \supseteq) \pi_1(Y) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(Y)^{(\Sigma)}$ to the maximal $pro-\Sigma$ quotient $\pi_1(Y)^{(\Sigma)}$ of $\pi_1(Y)$. Then for any prime p dividing the order of Gal(Y/X) [so $p \notin \Sigma$], it follows by considering Sylow p-subgroups that Δ contains an element of order p, despite the fact that X is a curve.

Definition 4.2. Let G be a slim profinite group;

$$1 \to \Delta \to \Pi \to G \to 1$$

an extension of GSAFG-type that admits base-prime partial construction data (k, X, Σ) , where $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$; $\alpha : \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow \Pi$ a scheme-theoretic envelope. Thus, if we write $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X) \twoheadrightarrow G_k$ for the quotient given by the absolute Galois group G_k of k, then α determines a scheme-theoretic envelope $\beta : G_k \twoheadrightarrow G$. Write $\widetilde{X} \to X$ for the pro-finite étale covering of X determined by the surjection α ; k for the resulting field extension of k. In a similar vein, we shall write $\widetilde{\Pi}$ for the projective system of profinite groups determined by the open subgroups of $\widetilde{\Pi}$. [Thus, one may consider homomorphisms between $\widetilde{\Pi}$ and a profinite group by thinking of the profinite group as a trivial projective system of profinite groups — cf. the theory of "pro-anabelioids", as in [Mzk8], Definition 1.2.6.] Then:

(i) We shall refer to as an $[\widetilde{X}/X$ -]chain [of length n] [where $n \geq 0$ is an integer] any finite sequence

$$X_0 \rightsquigarrow X_1 \rightsquigarrow \ldots \rightsquigarrow X_{n-1} \rightsquigarrow X_n$$

of generically scheme-like algebraic stacks X_j [for j = 0, ..., n], each equipped with a dominant "rigidifying morphism" $\rho_j : \widetilde{X} \to X_j$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (0_X) $X_0 = X$ [equipped with its natural rigidifying morphism $\widetilde{X} \to X$].
- (1_X) There exists a [uniquely determined] morphism $X_j \to \operatorname{Spec}(k_j)$ compatible with ρ_j , where $k_j \subseteq \widetilde{k}$ is a finite extension of k such that X_j is geometrically connected over k_j .
- (2_X) Each ρ_j determines a maximal pro-finite étale covering $\widetilde{X}_j \to X_j$ such that $\widetilde{X} \to X_j$ admits a factorization $\widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}_j \to X_j$. The kernel Δ_j of the resulting natural surjection

$$\Pi_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \twoheadrightarrow G_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{k}/k_i)$$

is *slim* and *nontrivial*; every prime dividing the order of a finite quotient group of Δ_j is *invertible* in k.

- (3_X) Suppose that X is a hyperbolic orbicurve [over k]. Then each X_j is also a hyperbolic orbicurve [over k_j]. Moreover, each Δ_j is a pro- Σ group.
- (4_X) Each " $X_j \rightsquigarrow X_{j+1}$ " [for $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$] is an "elementary operation", as defined below.

Here, an elementary operation " $X_j \rightsquigarrow X_{j+1}$ " is defined to consist of the datum of a dominant "operation morphism" ϕ either from X_j to X_{j+1} or from X_{j+1} to X_j which is compatible with ρ_j , ρ_{j+1} , and, moreover, is of one of the following four types:

- (a) Type λ : In this case, the elementary operation $X_j \rightsquigarrow X_{j+1}$ consists of a finite étale covering $\phi: X_{j+1} \to X_j$. Thus, ϕ determines an open immersion of profinite groups $\Pi_{j+1} \hookrightarrow \Pi_j$.
- (b) Type Υ : In this case, the elementary operation $X_j \rightsquigarrow X_{j+1}$ consists of a finite étale morphism $\phi: X_j \to X_{j+1}$ i.e., a "finite étale quotient". Thus, ϕ determines an open immersion of profinite groups $\Pi_j \hookrightarrow \Pi_{j+1}$.
- (c) Type •: This type of elementary operation is only defined if X is a hyperbolic orbicurve. In this case, the elementary operation $X_j \rightsquigarrow X_{j+1}$ consists of an open immersion $\phi: X_j \hookrightarrow X_{j+1}$ [so $k_j = k_{j+1}$] i.e., a "decuspidalization" such that the image of ϕ is the complement of a single k_{j+1} -valued point of X_{j+1} whose decomposition group in Δ_j is contained in some normal open torsion-free subgroup of Δ_j . Thus, ϕ determines a surjection of profinite groups $\Pi_j \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{j+1}$.
- (d) Type \odot : This type of elementary operation is only defined if X is a hyperbolic orbicurve and $\Sigma = \mathfrak{Primes}$ [which forces the characteristic of k to be zero]. In this case, the elementary operation $X_j \rightsquigarrow X_{j+1}$ consists of a partial coarsification morphism [cf. $\S 0$] $\phi: X_j \to X_{j+1}$ [so $k_j = k_{j+1}$] i.e., a "de-orbification" such that ϕ is an isomorphism over the complement in X_{j+1} of some k_{j+1} -valued point of X_{j+1} . Thus, ϕ determines a surjection of profinite groups $\Pi_j \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{j+1}$.

Thus, any \widetilde{X}/X -chain determines a sequence of $symbols \in \{ \bot, \curlyvee, \bullet, \odot \}$ [corresponding to the types of elementary operations in the \widetilde{X}/X -chain], which we shall refer to as the type-chain associated to the \widetilde{X}/X -chain.

(ii) An isomorphism between two \widetilde{X}/X -chains with $identical\ type-chains$ [hence of the same length]

$$(X_0 \leadsto \ldots \leadsto X_n) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} (Y_0 \leadsto \ldots \leadsto Y_n)$$

is defined to be a collection of isomorphisms of generically scheme-like algebraic stacks $X_j \stackrel{\sim}{\to} Y_j$ [for $j=0,\ldots,n$] that are compatible with the rigidifying morphisms. [Here, we note that the condition of compatibility with the rigidifying morphisms implies that every automorphism of a \widetilde{X}/X -chain is given by the identity, and that every isomorphism of \widetilde{X}/X -chains of the same length is compatible with the respective operation morphisms.] Thus, one obtains a category

$$\operatorname{Chain}(\widetilde{X}/X)$$

whose objects are the \widetilde{X}/X -chains [with arbitrary associated type-chain], and whose morphisms are the isomorphisms between \widetilde{X}/X -chains [with identical type-chains]. A terminal morphism between two \widetilde{X}/X -chains [with arbitrary associated type-chain]

$$(X_0 \leadsto \ldots \leadsto X_n) \to (Y_0 \leadsto \ldots \leadsto Y_m)$$

is defined to be a dominant k-morphism $X_n \to Y_m$. Thus, one obtains a category

$$\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\widetilde{X}/X)$$

whose *objects* are the \widetilde{X}/X -chains [with arbitrary associated type-chain], and whose morphisms are the terminal morphisms between \widetilde{X}/X -chains; write

$$\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\widetilde{X}/X) \subseteq \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\widetilde{X}/X)$$

for the subcategory determined by the *terminal isomorphisms* [i.e., the isomorphisms of $\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\widetilde{X}/X)$]. Thus, it follows immediately from the definitions that we obtain $\operatorname{natural functors} \operatorname{Chain}(\widetilde{X}/X) \to \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\widetilde{X}/X) \to \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\widetilde{X}/X)$.

(iii) We shall refer to as an $[\Pi$ -]chain [of length n] [where $n \ge 0$ is an integer] any finite sequence

$$\Pi_0 \rightsquigarrow \Pi_1 \rightsquigarrow \ldots \rightsquigarrow \Pi_{n-1} \rightsquigarrow \Pi_n$$

of slim profinite groups Π_j [for $j=0,\ldots,n$], each equipped with an open "rigidifying homomorphism" $\rho_j: \widetilde{\Pi} \to \Pi_j$ [i.e., since we are working with slim profinite groups, an open homomorphism from some open subgroup of Π to Π_j] satisfying the following conditions:

- (0_{Π}) $\Pi_0 = \Pi$ [equipped with its natural rigidifying homomorphism $\widetilde{\Pi} \to \Pi$].
- (1_{Π}) There exists a [uniquely determined] surjection $\Pi_j \twoheadrightarrow G_j$ compatible with ρ_j , where $G_j \subseteq G$ is an open subgroup.
- (2_{Π}) Each kernel

$$\Delta_j \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Ker}(\Pi_j \twoheadrightarrow G_j \hookrightarrow G)$$

is *slim* and *nontrivial*; every prime dividing the order of a finite quotient group of Δ_j is *invertible* in k.

- (3_{II}) Suppose that X is a hyperbolic orbicurve [over k]. Then each Δ_j is a pro- Σ group. Also, we shall refer to as a cuspidal decomposition group in Δ_j any commensurator in Δ_j of the image via ρ_j of the inverse image in $\widetilde{\Pi}$ of the decomposition group in Δ [determined by α] of a cusp of X.
- (4_{II}) Each " $\Pi_j \rightsquigarrow \Pi_{j+1}$ " [for $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$] is an "elementary operation", as defined below.

Here, an elementary operation " $\Pi_j \rightsquigarrow \Pi_{j+1}$ " is defined to consist of the datum of an open "operation homomorphism" ϕ either from Π_j to Π_{j+1} or from Π_{j+1} to Π_j which is compatible with ρ_j , ρ_{j+1} , and, moreover, is of one of the following four types:

- (a) Type λ : In this case, the elementary operation $\Pi_j \leadsto \Pi_{j+1}$ consists of an open immersion of profinite groups $\phi: \Pi_{j+1} \hookrightarrow \Pi_j$.
- (b) Type Υ : In this case, the elementary operation $\Pi_j \leadsto \Pi_{j+1}$ consists of an open immersion of profinite groups $\phi: \Pi_j \hookrightarrow \Pi_{j+1}$.
- (c) Type •: This type of elementary operation is only defined if X is a hyperbolic orbicurve. In this case, the elementary operation $\Pi_j \leadsto \Pi_{j+1}$ consists of a surjection of profinite groups $\phi: \Pi_j \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{j+1}$, such that $\operatorname{Ker}(\phi)$ is topologically normally generated by a cuspidal decomposition group C in Δ_j such that C is contained in some normal open torsion-free subgroup of Δ_j .
- (d) Type \odot : This type of elementary operation is only defined if X is a hyperbolic orbicurve and $\Sigma = \mathfrak{Primes}$ [which forces the characteristic of k to be zero]. In this case, the elementary operation $\Pi_j \leadsto \Pi_{j+1}$ consists of a surjection of profinite groups $\phi : \Pi_j \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{j+1}$, such that $\operatorname{Ker}(\phi)$ is topologically normally generated by a finite closed subgroup of Δ_j .

Thus, any Π -chain determines a sequence of symbols $\in \{ \curlywedge, \curlyvee, \bullet, \odot \}$ [corresponding to the types of elementary operations in the Π -chain], which we shall refer to as the type-chain associated to the Π -chain.

(iv) An isomorphism between two Π -chains with $identical\ type-chains$ [hence of the same length]

$$(\Pi_0 \rightsquigarrow \ldots \rightsquigarrow \Pi_n) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} (\Psi_0 \rightsquigarrow \ldots \rightsquigarrow \Psi_n)$$

is defined to be a collection of isomorphisms of profinite groups $\Pi_j \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi_j$ [for $j=0,\ldots,n$] that are compatible with the rigidifying homomorphisms. [Here, we note that the condition of *compatibility* with the rigidifying homomorphisms implies [since all of the profinite groups involved are slim] that every automorphism of a Π -chain is given by the identity, and that every isomorphism of Π -chains of the same length is compatible with the respective $operation\ homomorphisms$.] Thus, one obtains a category

 $Chain(\Pi)$

whose *objects* are the Π -chains [with arbitrary associated type-chain], and whose *morphisms* are the isomorphisms between Π -chains [with identical type-chains]. A *terminal homomorphism* between two Π -chains [with arbitrary associated type-chain]

$$(\Pi_0 \rightsquigarrow \ldots \rightsquigarrow \Pi_n) \rightarrow (\Psi_0 \rightsquigarrow \ldots \rightsquigarrow \Psi_m)$$

is defined to be an open outer homomorphism $\Pi_n \to \Psi_m$ that is *compatible* [up to composition with an inner automorphism] with the open homomorphisms $\Pi_n \to G$, $\Psi_m \to G$. Thus, one obtains a *category*

$$\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi)$$

whose *objects* are the Π -chains [with arbitrary associated type-chain], and whose *morphisms* are the *terminal homomorphisms* between Π -chains; write

$$\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi) \subseteq \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi)$$

for the subcategory determined by the *terminal isomorphisms* [i.e., the isomorphisms of Chain^{trm}(Π)]. Thus, it follows immediately from the definitions that we obtain *natural functors* Chain(Π) \to Chain^{iso-trm}(Π) \to Chain^{trm}(Π).

(v) We shall use the notation

$$\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\sim)\{-\}\subseteq\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\sim);\quad \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\sim)\{-\}\subseteq\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\sim)$$

— where " (\sim) " is either equal to " (\widetilde{X}/X) " or " (Π) ", and " $\{-\}$ " contains some subset of the set of symbols $\{\lambda, \gamma, \bullet, \odot\}$ — to denote the respective *full subcategories* determined by the chains whose associated type-chain *only contains the symbols* that belong to " $\{-\}$ ". In particular, we shall write:

$$\operatorname{DLoc}(\widetilde{X}/X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\widetilde{X}/X)\{\lambda, \bullet\}; \quad \operatorname{DLoc}(\Pi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi)\{\lambda, \bullet\}$$

$$\operatorname{\acute{E}tLoc}(\widetilde{X}/X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\widetilde{X}/X)\{\lambda, \Upsilon\}; \quad \operatorname{\acute{E}tLoc}(\Pi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi)\{\lambda, \Upsilon\}$$
[cf. the theory of [Mzk9], §2; Remark 4.7.1 below].

Remark 4.2.1. Thus, it follows immediately from the definitions that if, in the notation of Definition 4.2, (i),

$$X_0 \rightsquigarrow X_1 \rightsquigarrow \ldots \rightsquigarrow X_{n-1} \rightsquigarrow X_n$$

is an \widetilde{X}/X -chain, then the resulting profinite groups Π_j determine a Π -chain

$$\Pi_0 \rightsquigarrow \Pi_1 \rightsquigarrow \ldots \rightsquigarrow \Pi_{n-1} \rightsquigarrow \Pi_n$$

with the same associated type-chain. In particular, we obtain natural functors

$$\operatorname{Chain}(\widetilde{X}/X) \to \operatorname{Chain}(\Pi)$$

$$\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\widetilde{X}/X) \to \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi); \quad \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\widetilde{X}/X) \to \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi)$$

which are *compatible* with the natural functors of Definition 4.2, (ii), (iv).

Remark 4.2.2. Note that in the situation of Definition 4.2, (i), G_j is a slim profinite group; $1 \to \Delta_j \to \Pi_j \to G_j \to 1$ is an extension of GSAFG-type that admits base-prime partial construction data (k_j, X_j, Σ) , where X_j is a hyperbolic orbicurve whenever X_0 is a hyperbolic orbicurve; α , ρ_j determine [in light of the slimness of Π_j] a scheme-theoretic envelope $\alpha_j : \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_j) \to \Pi_j$. That is to say, we obtain, for each j, similar data to the data introduced at the beginning of Definition 4.2.

Proposition 4.3. (Re-ordering of Chains) In the notation of Definition 4.2, suppose that $\Sigma = \mathfrak{Primes}$; let $X_0 \leadsto \ldots \leadsto X_n$ (respectively, $\Pi_0 \leadsto \ldots \leadsto \Pi_n$) be $a(n) \widetilde{X}/X$ - (respectively, Π -) chain. Then there exists a terminally isomorphic \widetilde{X}/X - (respectively, Π -) chain $Y_0 \leadsto \ldots \leadsto Y_m$ (respectively, $\Psi_0 \leadsto \ldots \leadsto \Psi_m$) whose associated type-chain is of the form

$$A, \bullet, \bullet, \dots, \bullet, (\in \{\Upsilon, \odot\}), (\in \{\Upsilon, \odot\}), \dots$$

— i.e., consists of the **symbol** \land , followed by a sequence of the **symbols** \bullet , followed by a sequence of **symbols** $\in \{\Upsilon, \odot\}$.

Proof. Indeed, let us first observe that it is immediate from the compatibility with the rigidifying [homo]morphisms that one may always "move the symbol λ to the top of the type-chain". Thus, one may assume without loss of generality that the remaining symbols [i.e., the symbols indexed by $j \geq 1$] of the type-chain are $\{ Y, \bullet, \odot \}$; in particular, one may assume that the operation [homo]morphisms indexed by $j \geq 1$ always have domain indexed by j and codomain indexed by j+1. Thus, we may replace the de-cuspidalization operations at arbitrary indices by de-cuspidalization operations on the finite étale covering indexed by 0 determined by cusps of this finite étale covering that map to cusps that give rise to de-cuspidalization operations at subsequent indices. [Note that here, it is useful to recall the equivalence of definitions of the notion of a "hyperbolic orbicurve" discussed in $\S 0$ — cf. our assumption that $\Sigma = \mathfrak{Primes}$.] This yields a type-chain of the desired form. \bigcirc

On the other hand, as the following example shows, the symbols " γ ", " \odot " cannot be permuted.

Example 4.4. Non-permutability of Étale Quotients and De-orbifications. In the notation of Definition 4.2, let us assume further $\Sigma = \mathfrak{Primes}$ [so k is of *characteristic zero*]. Then there exists an \widetilde{X}/X -chain $X_0 \leadsto X_1 \leadsto X_2$ of length 2 with associated type-chain $*_1, *_2$, where $*_1, *_2 \in \{\Upsilon, \odot\}, *_1 \neq *_2$, which is *not terminally isomorphic* to any \widetilde{X}/X -chain $Y_0 \leadsto Y_1 \leadsto Y_2$ of length 2 with associated type-chain $*_2, *_1$. Indeed:

(i) The case of **type-chain** Υ , \circledcirc : Let X be a hyperbolic curve of type (g,r) over k equipped with an automorphism σ of the k-scheme X of order 2 that has precisely one fixed point $x \in X(k)$; $X_0 = X \leadsto X_1$ the elementary operation of type Υ given by forming the stack-theoretic quotient of X by the action of σ ; $x_1 \in X_1(k)$ the image of x in X_1 ; $X_1 \leadsto X_2$ the elementary operation of type \circledcirc determined by the point $x_1 \in X_1(k)$. Thus, we assume that X_2 is a hyperbolic curve, whose type we denote by (g_2, r_2) . On the other hand, since X is a scheme, any chain $Y_0 \leadsto Y_1 \leadsto Y_2$ of length 2 with associated type-chain \circledcirc , Υ satisfies $Y_0 \overset{\sim}{\to} Y_1$ [compatibly with \widetilde{X}]. Thus, if $Y_2 \overset{\sim}{\to} X_2$ over k, then the coverings $X = X_0 \to X_2$ [which is ramified, of degree 2], $X \overset{\sim}{\to} Y_0 \overset{\sim}{\to} Y_1 \to Y_2$ [which is unramified, of some degree d] yield equations

$$d \cdot \chi_2 = \chi = 2 \cdot \chi_2 + 1$$

[where we write $\chi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2g - 2 + r$, $\chi_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2g_2 - 2 + r_2$] — which imply [since d, χ , χ_2 are positive integers] that $d - 2 = \chi_2 = 1$, hence that d = 3, $\chi_2 = 1$, $\chi = 3$. In particular, by choosing X so that χ is > 3 [e.g., X such that $g \ge 3$], we obtain a contradiction.

(ii) The case of type-chain \odot , \curlyvee : Let X be a proper hyperbolic orbicurve over $k; X \to C$ the coarse space associated to the algebraic stack X. Let us assume further that C is a *[proper] hyperbolic curve* over k; that the morphism $X \to C$ is a non-isomorphism which restrict to an isomorphism away from some point $c \in C(k)$; and that there exists a finite étale covering $\epsilon: C \to D$ of degree 2 [so D is also a proper hyperbolic curve over k, which is not isomorphic to C]. [It is easy to construct such objects by starting from D and then constructing C, X.] Now we take $X_0 = X \rightsquigarrow X_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C$ to be the elementary operation of type \odot determined by the unique point of $x \in X(k)$ lying over $c \in C(k)$; $C = X_1 \rightsquigarrow X_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D$ to be the elementary operation of type Υ determined by the finite étale covering $\epsilon: C \to D$. On the other hand, let us suppose that $Y_0 \rightsquigarrow Y_1 \rightsquigarrow Y_2$ is a chain of length 2 with associated type-chain Υ, \odot such that $X_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_2$ over k. Then since $D = X_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_2$ is a scheme, it follows that the hyperbolic orbicurve Y_1 admits a point $y_1 \in Y_1(k)$ such that Y_1 is a scheme away from y_1 . Note that if Y_1 is a scheme, then the finite étale covering $X = Y_0$ of Y_1 is as well, a contradiction. Thus, we conclude that Y_1 is not a scheme at y_1 . Next, let us observe that if the finite étale morphism $Y_0 \to Y_1$ is not an isomorphism [i.e., of degree ≥ 2], then Y_0 fails to be a scheme at some k-étale divisor of Y_0 [namely, the inverse image of y_1] of degree ≥ 2 ; thus, since $Y_0 = X$ in fact fails to be a scheme only at the unique point $x \in X(k)$, we thus conclude that this finite étale covering is, in fact, an isomorphism $X = Y_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_1$. But this implies that Y_2 is isomorphic to the coarse space associated to X, i.e., we have an isomorphism $Y_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} C$, hence an isomorphism $D = X_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} C$, a contradiction.

Next, we recall the group-theoretic characterization of the cuspidal decomposition groups of a hyperbolic [orbi]curve given in [Mzk13].

Lemma 4.5. (Cuspidal Decomposition Groups) Let G be a slim profinite group;

$$1 \to \Delta \to \Pi \to G \to 1$$

an extension of GSAFG-type that admits base-prime [cf. Definition 2.1, (iv)] partial construction data $(k, \tilde{k}, X, \Sigma)$, where X is a hyperbolic orbicurve; $\alpha : \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X) \to \Pi$ a scheme-theoretic envelope; $l \in \Sigma$ a prime such that the cyclotomic character $\chi_G^{\text{cyclo}} : G \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$ [i.e., the character whose composite with α is the usual cyclotomic character $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X) \to \operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{k}/k) \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$] has open image [i.e., in the terminology of [Mzk13], "the outer action of G on Δ is l-cyclotomically full"]. We recall from [Mzk13] that a character $\chi : G \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$ is called \mathbb{Q} -cyclotomic [of weight $w \in \mathbb{Q}$] if there exist integers a, b, where b > 0, such that $\chi^b = (\chi_G^{\text{cyclo}})^a$, w = 2a/b [cf. [Mzk13], Definition 2.3, (i), (ii)]. Then:

- (i) X is non-proper if and only if every torsion-free pro- Σ open subgroup of Δ is free pro- Σ .
- (ii) Let M be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q}_l -vector space equipped with a continuous G-action. Then we shall say that this action is quasi-trivial if it factors through a finite quotient of G [cf. [Mzk13], Definition 2.3, (i)]. We shall write $\tau(M)$ for the \mathbb{Q}_l -dimension of the maximal quasi-trivial \mathbb{Q}_l -subspace of M. If $\chi: G \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$ is a character, then we shall write

$$d_{\chi}(M) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau(M(\chi^{-1})) - \tau(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_{l}}(M, \mathbb{Q}_{l}))$$

[where " $M(\chi^{-1})$ " denotes the result of "twisting" M by the character χ^{-1}]. We shall say that two characters $G \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$ are **power-equivalent** if there exists a positive integer n such that the n-th powers of the two characters coincide. Then $d_{\chi}(M)$, regarded as a function of χ , depends only on the **power-equivalence** class of χ .

- (iii) Suppose that X is **not proper** $[cf.\ (i)]$. Then the character $G \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$ arising from the **determinant** of the G-module $H^{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$, where $H \subseteq \Delta$ is a characteristic open subgroup such that $H^{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \neq 0$, is \mathbb{Q} -cyclotomic of positive weight. Moreover, for every sufficiently small characteristic open subgroup $H \subseteq \Delta$, the power-equivalence class of the cyclotomic character χ_G^{cyclo} may be characterized as the unique power-equivalence class of characters $\chi: G \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$ of the form $\chi = \chi^* \cdot \chi_*$, where $\chi^*: G \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$ (respectively, $\chi_*: G \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$) is a \mathbb{Q} -cyclotomic character of maximal (respectively, **minimal**) weight such that $\tau(M(\chi^{-1})) \neq 0$ for some subquotient G-module M of $(H^{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l) \oplus \mathbb{Q}_l$ [where the final direct summand \mathbb{Q}_l is equipped with the trivial G-action]. Moreover, in this situation, if $\chi = \chi_G^{\mathrm{cyclo}}$, then the **divisor of cusps** of the covering of $X \times_k \widetilde{k}$ determined by H is a disjoint union of $d_\chi(H^{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l) + 1$ copies of $\mathrm{Spec}(\widetilde{k})$.
- (iv) Suppose that X is **not proper** [cf. (i)]. Let $H \subseteq \Delta$ be a torsion-free pro- Σ characteristic open subgroup; $H \twoheadrightarrow H^*$ the maximal pro-I quotient of I. Then the **decomposition groups of cusps** $\subseteq I^*$ may be characterized ["group-theoretically"] as the maximal closed subgroups $I \subseteq I^*$ isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_l which satisfy the following condition: We have

$$d_{\chi_{G}^{\text{cyclo}}}(J^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{l}) + 1 = [I \cdot J : J] \cdot d_{\chi_{G}^{\text{cyclo}}}((I \cdot J)^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{l}) + 1$$

for every characteristic open subgroup $J \subseteq H^*$.

- (v) Let X, H, H^* be as in (iv). Then the set of cusps of the covering of $X \times_k \widetilde{k}$ determined by H is in natural **bijective** correspondence with the set of conjugacy classes in H^* of decomposition groups of cusps [as described in (iv)]. Moreover, this correspondence is **functorial** in H and **compatible** with the natural actions by Π on both sides. In particular, by allowing H to **vary**, this yields a ["**group-theoretic**"] characterization of the **decomposition groups of cusps** in Π .
- (vi) Let $I \subseteq \Pi$ be a decomposition group of a cusp. Then $I = C_{\Pi}(I \cap \Delta)$ [cf. §0].

Proof. Assertion (i) may be reduced to the case of hyperbolic curves via Lemma 4.1, (iv), in which case it is well-known [cf., e.g., [Mzk13], Remark 1.1.3]. Assertion (ii) makes sense in light of our assumption of "l-cyclotomic fullness" on χ_G^{cyclo} , and its content is immediate from the definitions. Assertion (iii) follows immediately from [Mzk13], Proposition 2.4, (iv), (vii); the proof of [Mzk13], Corollary 2.7, (i). Assertion (iv) is [in light of assertion (iii)] precisely a summary of the argument of [Mzk13], Theorem 1.6, (i). Finally, assertions (v), (vi) follow immediately from the commensurable terminality of [Mzk13], Proposition 1.2, (ii). \bigcirc

Definition 4.6.

(i) Let V (respectively, F; S) be a set of isomorphism classes of algebraic stacks (respectively, set of isomorphism classes of fields; set of nonempty subsets of \mathfrak{Primes}):

$$\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{S}$$

a subset of the direct product set $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{S}$, which we shall think of as a set of collections of partial construction data. In the following discussion, we shall use "[-]" to denote the isomorphism class of "-". We shall say that \mathbb{D} is chain-full if for every extension $1 \to \Delta \to \Pi \to G \to 1$ of GSAFG-type, where G is slim, that admits base-prime partial construction data (X, k, Σ) such that $([X], [k], \Sigma) \in \mathbb{D}$ [cf. Definition 4.2], it follows that every " X_j , k_j " [cf. Definition 4.2, (i)] appearing in an \widetilde{X}/X -chain [where $\widetilde{X} \to X$ is the pro-finite étale covering of X determined by some scheme-theoretic envelope for Π] determines an element $([X_j], [k_j], \Sigma) \in \mathbb{D}$.

(ii) Let \mathbb{D} be as in (i); suppose that \mathbb{D} is *chain-full*. Then we shall say that $rel\text{-}isom\text{-}\mathbb{D}GC\ holds$ [i.e., "the relative isomorphism version of the Grothendieck Conjecture for \mathbb{D} holds"] (respectively, $rel\text{-}hom\text{-}\mathbb{D}GC\ holds$ [i.e., "the relative homomorphism version of the Grothendieck Conjecture for \mathbb{D} holds"]), or that, $rel\text{-}isom\text{-}GC\ holds\ for\ \mathbb{D}$ (respectively, $rel\text{-}hom\text{-}GC\ holds\ for\ \mathbb{D}$) if the following condition is satisfied: For i=1,2, let

$$1 \to \Delta_i \to \Pi_i \to G_i \to 1$$

be an extension of GSAFG-type, where G_i is slim, that admits base-prime partial construction data (k_i, X_i, Σ_i) such that $([X_i], [k_i], \Sigma_i) \in \mathbb{D}$; $\alpha_i : \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_i) \to \Pi_i$ a

scheme-theoretic envelope; $\zeta_k: k_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} k_2$ an isomorphism of fields that induces, via the α_i , an outer isomorphism $\zeta_G: G_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_2$. Then the natural map

$$\operatorname{Isom}_{k_1,k_2}(X_1,X_2) \to \operatorname{Isom}^{\operatorname{out}}_{G_1,G_2}(\Pi_1,\Pi_2)$$

$$(respectively, \operatorname{Hom}^{\operatorname{dom}}_{k_1,k_2}(X_1,X_2) \to \operatorname{Hom}^{\operatorname{out-open}}_{G_1,G_2}(\Pi_1,\Pi_2))$$

determined by the α_i from the set of isomorphisms of schemes $X_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} X_2$ lying over $\zeta_k : k_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} k_2$ (respectively, the set of dominant morphisms of schemes $X_1 \to X_2$ lying over $\zeta_k : k_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} k_2$) to the set of outer isomorphisms of profinite groups $\Pi_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_2$ lying over $\zeta_G : G_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_2$ (respectively, the set of open outer homomorphisms of profinite groups $\Pi_1 \to \Pi_2$ lying over $\zeta_G : G_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_2$) is a bijection.

Remark 4.6.1. Of course, in a similar vein, one may also formulate the notions that "the absolute isomorphism version of the Grothendieck Conjecture holds for \mathbb{D} ", "the absolute homomorphism version of the Grothendieck Conjecture holds for \mathbb{D} ", "the semi-absolute isomorphism version of the Grothendieck Conjecture holds for \mathbb{D} ", "the semi-absolute homomorphism version of the Grothendieck Conjecture holds for \mathbb{D} ", etc. Since we shall not use these versions in the discussion to follow, we leave the routine details of their formulation to the interested reader.

Theorem 4.7. (Semi-absoluteness of Chains of Elementary Operations) Let \mathbb{D} be a chain-full set of collections of partial construction data [cf. Definition 4.6, (i)] such that the rel-isom- $\mathbb{D}GC$ holds [cf. Definition 4.6, (ii)]. For i = 1, 2, let G_i be a slim profinite group;

$$1 \to \Delta_i \to \Pi_i \to G_i \to 1$$

an extension of GSAFG-type that admits base-prime [cf. Definition 2.1, (iv)] partial construction data $(k_i, \tilde{k}_i, X_i, \Sigma_i)$ such that $([X_i], [k_i], \Sigma_i) \in \mathbb{D}$; $\alpha_i : \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_i) \to \Pi_i$ a scheme-theoretic envelope. Also, let us suppose further that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) if either X_1 or X_2 is a hyperbolic orbicurve, then both X_1 and X_2 are hyperbolic orbicurves;
- (b) if either X_1 or X_2 is a non-proper hyperbolic orbicurve, then there exists a prime number $l \in \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ such that for i = 1, 2, the cyclotomic character $G_i \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$ [i.e., the character whose composite with α_i is the usual cyclotomic character $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X_i) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(\widetilde{k}/k) \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$] has open image.

Let

$$\phi:\Pi_1\stackrel{\sim}{\to}\Pi_2$$

be an isomorphism of profinite groups that induces isomorphisms $\phi_{\Delta}: \Delta_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_2$, $\phi_G: G_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_2$. Then:

(i) The natural functors [cf. Remark 4.2.1]

$$\operatorname{Chain}(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \to \operatorname{Chain}(\Pi_i); \quad \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \to \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi_i)$$

$$\operatorname{\acute{E}tLoc}(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \to \operatorname{\acute{E}tLoc}(\Pi_i)$$

are equivalences of categories that are compatible with passing to type-chains.

(ii) The isomorphism ϕ induces equivalences of categories

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Chain}(\Pi_1) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Chain}(\Pi_2); \quad \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi_1) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi_2) \\ & \operatorname{\acute{E}tLoc}(\Pi_1) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{\acute{E}tLoc}(\Pi_2) \end{aligned}$$

that are compatible with passing to type-chains and functorial in ϕ .

(iii) Suppose further that the rel-hom-DGC holds [cf. Definition 4.6, (ii)], and that for $i = 1, 2, X_i$ is a hyperbolic orbicurve. Then the natural functors [cf. Remark 4.2.1]

$$\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \to \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi_i); \quad \operatorname{DLoc}(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \to \operatorname{DLoc}(\Pi_i)$$

are equivalences of categories that are compatible with passing to type-chains.

(iv) In the situation of (iii), the isomorphism ϕ induces equivalences of categories

$$\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi_2); \quad \operatorname{DLoc}(\Pi_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{DLoc}(\Pi_2)$$

that are compatible with passing to type-chains and functorial in ϕ .

Proof. First, we consider the natural functor

$$\operatorname{Chain}(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \to \operatorname{Chain}(\Pi_i)$$

equivalence. A similar application of the "rel-isom- $\mathbb{D}GC$ " then yields the equivalences Chain^{iso-trm} $(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \text{Chain}^{\text{iso-trm}}(\Pi_i)$, Ét $\text{Loc}(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \text{Ét}\text{Loc}(\Pi_i)$. In a similar vein, the "rel-hom- $\mathbb{D}GC$ " [cf. assertion (iii)] implies the equivalences Chain^{trm} $(\widetilde{X}/X) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \text{Chain}^{\text{trm}}(\Pi)$, $\text{DLoc}(\widetilde{X}/X) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \text{DLoc}(\Pi)$. This completes the proof of assertions (i), (iii).

Finally, to obtain the equivalences of assertions (ii), (iv), it suffices to observe that the definitions of the various categories involved are entirely "group-theoretic". Here, we note that the "group-theoreticity" of the elementary operations of type \land , \curlyvee , \circledcirc is immediate; the "group-theoreticity" of the elementary operations of type \bullet follows immediately from Lemma 4.5, (v) [in light of our assumptions (a), (b)]. Also, we observe that Σ_i may be recovered "group-theoretically" from Δ_i [i.e., as the unique minimal subset $\Sigma' \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$ such that Δ_i is almost $pro-\Sigma'$]. This completes the proof of assertions (ii), (iv). \bigcirc

Remark 4.7.1. The portion of Theorem 4.7 concerning the categories "ÉtLoc(-)" [cf. also Example 4.8 below; Corollary 2.8, (ii)] and "DLoc(-)" allows one to relate the theory of the present §4 to the theory of [Mzk9], §2 [cf., especially, [Mzk9], Theorem 2.3].

Example 4.8. Hyperbolic Orbicurves. Let p be a prime number; \mathbb{S} the set of subsets of \mathfrak{Primes} containing p; \mathbb{V} the set of isomorphism classes of hyperbolic orbicurves over fields of cardinality \leq the cardinality of \mathbb{Q}_p .

(i) Let \mathbb{F} be the set of isomorphism classes of generalized sub-p-adic fields [i.e., subfields of finitely generated extensions of the quotient field of the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in an algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p — cf. [Mzk5], Definition 4.11]; $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{S}$. Then let us observe that:

The hypotheses of Theorem 4.7, (i), (ii), are satisfied relative to this \mathbb{D} .

Indeed, it is immediate that \mathbb{D} is *chain-full*; the *rel-isom-\mathbb{D}GC* follows from [Mzk5], Theorem 4.12; the prime p clearly serves as a prime "l" as in the statement of Theorem 4.7. Moreover, we recall that from [Mzk5], Lemma 4.14, that the *absolute Galois group of a generalized sub-p-adic field* is always slim.

(ii) Let \mathbb{F} be the set of isomorphism classes of *sub-p-adic fields* [i.e., subfields of finitely generated extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p — cf. [Mzk3], Definition 15.4, (i)]; $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{S}$. Then let us observe that:

The hypotheses of Theorem 4.7, (iii), (iv), are satisfied relative to this \mathbb{D} .

Indeed, it is immediate that \mathbb{D} is *chain-full*; the *rel-hom-\mathbb{D}GC* follows from [Mzk3], Theorem A; the prime p clearly serves as a prime "l" as in the statement of Theorem 4.7. Moreover, we recall that from [Mzk3], Lemma 15.8, that the *absolute Galois group of a sub-p-adic field* is always slim.

Example 4.9. Iso-poly-hyperbolic Orbisurfaces.

- (i) Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Then we recall from [Mzk3], Definition a2.1, that a smooth k-scheme X is called a hyperbolically fibred surface if it is admits the structure of a family of hyperbolic curves [cf. $\S 0$] over a hyperbolic curve Y over k. If X is a smooth, generically scheme-like, geometrically connected algebraic stack over k, then we shall say that X is an iso-poly-hyperbolic orbisurface [cf. the term "poly-hyperbolic" as it is defined in [Mzk4], Definition 4.6] if X admits a finite étale covering which is a hyperbolically fibred surface over some finite extension of k.
- (ii) Let p be a $prime\ number$; $\mathbb{S} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{\mathfrak{Primes}\}$ [where we regard \mathfrak{Primes} as the unique non-proper subset of \mathfrak{Primes}]; \mathbb{F} the set of isomorphism classes of sub-p-adic fields; \mathbb{V} the set of isomorphism classes of $iso\text{-}poly\text{-}hyperbolic orbisurfaces}$ [cf. (i)] over sub-p-adic fields; $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{S}$. Then let us observe that:

The hypotheses of Theorem 4.7, (i), (ii), are satisfied relative to this \mathbb{D} .

Indeed, it is immediate that \mathbb{D} is *chain-full*; the *rel-isom-\mathbb{D}GC* follows from [Mzk3], Theorem D. Moreover, we recall that from [Mzk3], Lemma 15.8, that the *absolute Galois group of a sub-p-adic field* is always *slim*.

(iii) Let k be a sub-p-adic field; X the moduli stack of hyperbolic curves of type (0,5) [i.e., the moduli stack of smooth curves of genus 0 with 5 distinct, unordered points] over k; $\widetilde{X} \to X$ a "universal" pro-finite étale covering of X; \overline{k} the algebraic closure of k determined by $\widetilde{X} \to X$. Then one verifies immediately that X is an iso-poly-hyperbolic orbisurface over k. Write $1 \to \Delta \to \Pi \to G \to 1$ for the GSAFG-extension defined by the natural surjection $\pi_1(X) = \operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{X}/X) \to \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ [which we regard as equipped with the tautological scheme-theoretic envelope given by the identity]. Then we have an equivalence of categories

$$\operatorname{\acute{E}tLoc}(\widetilde{X}/X) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \operatorname{\acute{E}tLoc}(\Pi)$$

[cf. (ii); Theorem 4.7, (i)]; the object of these categories determined by X, Π [i.e., by the unique chain of length 0] is terminal [cf. [Mzk2], Theorem C] — i.e., a "core" [cf. the terminology of [Mzk7], §2; [Mzk8], §2].

Finally, we observe that the theory of the present §4 admits a "tempered version", in the case of hyperbolic orbicurves over MLF's. We begin by recalling basic facts concerning tempered fundamental groups. Let k be an MLF of residue characteristic p; \overline{k} an algebraic closure of k; X a hyperbolic orbicurve over k. We shall use a subscript \overline{k} to denote the result of a base-change from k to \overline{k} . Write

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{tp}}(X); \quad \pi_1^{\mathrm{tp}}(X_{\overline{k}})$$

for the tempered fundamental groups of X, $X_{\overline{k}}$ [cf. [André], §4; [Mzk10], Examples 3.10, 5.6]. Thus, the profinite completion of $\pi_1^{\text{tp}}(X)$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\text{tp}}(X_{\overline{k}})$)

is naturally isomorphic to the usual étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ (respectively, $\pi_1(X_{\overline{k}})$). If $H \subseteq \pi_1^{\text{tp}}(X_{\overline{k}})$ is an open subgroup of finite index, then recall that the minimal co-free subgroup of H

$$H^{\text{co-fr}} \subseteq H$$

[cf. $\S 0$] is precisely the subgroup of H with the property that the quotient $H \to H/H^{\mathrm{co-fr}}$ corresponds to the tempered covering of $X_{\overline{k}}$ determined by the *universal covering* of the dual graph of the special fiber of a stable model of $X_{\overline{k}}$ — cf. [André], proof of Lemma 6.1.1.

Proposition 4.10. (Basic Properties of Tempered Fundamental Groups) In the notation of the above discussion, suppose further that $\phi: X \to Y$ is a morphism of hyperbolic orbicurves over k. For Z = X, Y, let us write

$$\Pi_Z^{\mathrm{tp}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \pi_1^{\mathrm{tp}}(Z); \quad \Delta_Z^{\mathrm{tp}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \pi_1^{\mathrm{tp}}(Z_{\overline{k}})$$

and denote the **profinite completions** of Π_Z^{tp} , Δ_Z^{tp} by $\widehat{\Pi}_Z^{\mathrm{tp}}$, $\widehat{\Delta}_Z^{\mathrm{tp}}$, respectively; in the following, all "co-free completions" [cf. §0] of open subgroups of finite index in Π_X^{tp} (respectively, Δ_X^{tp}) will be with respect to the subgroup $\Delta_X^{\mathrm{tp}} \subseteq \Pi_X^{\mathrm{tp}}$ (respectively, $\Delta_X^{\mathrm{tp}} \subseteq \Delta_X^{\mathrm{tp}}$). Then:

- (i) The natural homomorphism $\Pi_X^{\mathrm{tp}} \to \widehat{\Pi}_X^{\mathrm{tp}} \overset{\sim}{\to} \pi_1(X)$ (respectively, $\Delta_X^{\mathrm{tp}} \to \widehat{\Delta}_X^{\mathrm{tp}} \overset{\sim}{\to} \pi_1(X_{\overline{k}})$) is **injective**. In fact, if $H \subseteq \Delta_X^{\mathrm{tp}}$ is any characteristic open subgroup of finite index, then $\Pi_X^{\mathrm{tp}}/H^{\mathrm{co-fr}}$, $\Delta^{\mathrm{tp}}/H^{\mathrm{co-fr}}$ inject into their respective profinite completions. In particular, $\pi_1^{\mathrm{tp}}(X)$ (respectively, $\pi_1^{\mathrm{tp}}(X_{\overline{k}})$) is naturally isomorphic to its $\pi_1(X)$ -co-free completion (respectively, $\pi_1(X_{\overline{k}})$ -co-free completion) [cf. §0].
 - (ii) Π_X^{tp} (respectively, Δ_X^{tp}) is normally terminal in $\widehat{\Pi}_X^{\mathrm{tp}}$ (respectively, $\widehat{\Delta}_X^{\mathrm{tp}}$).
- (iii) Suppose that ϕ is either a **de-cuspidalization** morphism [i.e., an open immersion whose image is the complement of a single k-valued point of Y-cf. Definition 4.2, (i), (c)] or a **de-orbification** morphism [i.e., a partial coarsification morphism which is an isomorphism over the complement of a single k-valued point of Y-cf. Definition 4.2, (i), (d)]. Then the natural homomorphism $\Pi_X^{\mathrm{tp}} \to \Pi_Y^{\mathrm{tp}}$ (respectively, $\Delta_X^{\mathrm{tp}} \to \Delta_Y^{\mathrm{tp}}$) may be reconstructed "group-theoretically" from its profinite completion $\widehat{\Pi}_X^{\mathrm{tp}} \to \widehat{\Pi}_Y^{\mathrm{tp}}$ (respectively, $\widehat{\Delta}_X^{\mathrm{tp}} \to \widehat{\Delta}_Y^{\mathrm{tp}}$) as the natural morphism from Π_X^{tp} (respectively, Δ_X^{tp}) to the **co-free completion** of Π_X^{tp} with respect to $\widehat{\Pi}_Y^{\mathrm{tp}}$ (respectively, $\widehat{\Delta}_Y^{\mathrm{tp}}$) [cf. §0].
- (iv) Let $l \in \mathfrak{Primes}$. If $J \subseteq \Delta_X^{\mathrm{tp}}$ is an open subgroup of finite index, write $J \to J^{[l]}$ for the **co-free completion** of J with respect to the **maximal pro-l quotient** of the profinite completion of J. Let $H \subseteq \Delta_X^{\mathrm{tp}}$ be an open subgroup of finite index. Suppose that $l \neq p$. Then the dual graph Γ_H of the special fiber of a stable model of the covering of $X_{\overline{k}}$ corresponding to H determines **verticial** and **edge-like subgroups** of $H^{[l]}$ [i.e., decomposition groups of the vertices and edges

- of Γ_H cf. [Mzk10], Theorem 3.7, (i), (iii)]. The verticial (respectively, edge-like) subgroups of $H^{[l]}$ may be characterized "group-theoretically" as the maximal compact subgroups (respectively, nontrivial intersections of two distinct maximal compact subgroups) of $H^{[l]}$. In particular, the graph Γ_H may be reconstructed "group-theoretically" from the verticial and edge-like subgroups of $H^{[l]}$, together with their various mutual inclusion relations.
- (v) The prime number p may be characterized "group-theoretically" as the unique prime number l such that their exist open subgroups $H, J \subseteq \Delta_X^{\mathrm{tp}}$ of finite index, together with distinct prime numbers l_1 , l_2 , satisfying the following properties: (a) H is a normal subgroup of J of index l; (b) for i=1,2, the outer action of J on $H^{[l_i]}$ [cf. (iv)] fixes [the conjugacy class in $H^{[l_i]}$ of] and induces the trivial outer action on some maximal compact subgroup of $H^{[l_i]}$ [cf. (iv)].
- (vi) Let l be a prime number $\neq p$; $H \subseteq \Delta_X^{\mathrm{tp}}$ an open subgroup of finite index. Then the set of cusps of the covering of $X_{\overline{k}}$ corresponding to H may be characterized "group-theoretically" as the set of conjugacy classes in $H^{[l]}$ of the commensurators in $H^{[l]}$ of the images in $H^{[l]}$ of edge-like subgroups of $J^{[l]}$ [cf. (iv)], where $J \subseteq H$ is an open subgroup of finite index, which are not contained in edge-like subgroups of $H^{[l]}$. In particular, by allowing H to vary, this yields a ["group-theoretic"] characterization of the decomposition groups of cusps in Δ_X^{tp} , Π_X^{tp} [i.e., a "tempered version" of Lemma 4.5, (v)].
- Proof. Assertion (i) follows immediately from the discussion at the beginning of [Mzk10], §6 [cf. also the discussion of [André], §4.5]. Assertion (ii) is the content of [Mzk10], Lemma 6.1, (ii), (iii) [cf. also [André], Corollary 6.2.2]. Assertion (iii) follows immediately from assertion (i). Assertion (iv) follows immediately from [Mzk10], Theorem 3.7, (iv); [Mzk10], Corollary 3.9 [cf. also the proof of [Mzk10], Corollary 3.11]. Assertions (v), (vi) amount to summaries of the relevant portions of the proof of [Mzk10], Corollary 3.11. Here, in assertion (v), we observe that at least one of the l_i is $\neq p$; thus, for this choice of l_i , the action of J fixes and induces the trivial outer action on some verticial subgroup of $H^{[l_i]}$. \bigcirc
- **Remark 4.10.1.** It is not clear to the author at the time of writing how to prove a version of Proposition 4.10, (vi), for *decomposition of closed points which are not cusps* [i.e., a "tempered version" of Lemma 4.1, (iii)].
- **Remark 4.10.2.** A certain fact applied in the portion of the proof of [Mzk10], Corollary 3.11 summarized in Proposition 4.10, (vi), is only given a somewhat sketchy proof in *loc. cit.* A more detailed treatment of this fact is given in [Mzk15], Corollary 2.11.

Now we are ready to state the tempered version of Definition 4.2.

Definition 4.11. In the notation of the above discussion, let

$$1 \to \Delta \to \Pi \to G \to 1$$

be an extension of topological groups that is isomorphic to the natural extension $1 \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{tp}}(X_{\overline{k}}) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{tp}}(X) \to \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to 1$ via some isomorphism $\alpha: \pi_1^{\mathrm{tp}}(X) \overset{\sim}{\to} \Pi$, which we shall refer to as a scheme-theoretic envelope. Write $\widehat{\Pi}$ for the profinite completion of Π , $\widetilde{X} \to X$ for the pro-finite étale covering of X determined by the completion of α [so $\widehat{\Pi} = \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{X}/X)$]; \widetilde{k} for the resulting field extension of k. In a similar vein, we shall write $\widetilde{\Pi}$ for the projective system of topological groups determined by the open subgroups of finite index of Π [cf. Definition 4.2]. Then:

(i) We shall refer to as an $[\Pi$ -]chain [of length n] [where $n \geq 0$ is an integer] any finite sequence

$$\Pi_0 \rightsquigarrow \Pi_1 \rightsquigarrow \ldots \rightsquigarrow \Pi_{n-1} \rightsquigarrow \Pi_n$$

of topological groups Π_j [for $j=0,\ldots,n$] with slim profinite completions $\widehat{\Pi}_j$, each equipped with a "rigidifying homomorphism" $\rho_j:\widetilde{\Pi}\to\Pi_j$ which is of DOF-type [i.e., which maps some member of the projective system $\widetilde{\Pi}$ onto a dense subgroup of an open subgroup of finite index of Π_j — cf. §0] satisfying the following conditions:

- $(0_{\rm tp})$ $\Pi_0 = \Pi$ [equipped with its natural rigidifying homomorphism $\widetilde{\Pi} \to \Pi$].
- (1_{tp}) There exists a [uniquely determined] surjection $\Pi_j \twoheadrightarrow G_j$ compatible with ρ_j , where $G_j \subseteq G$ is an open subgroup.
- (2_{tp}) Each kernel

$$\Delta_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Ker}(\Pi_i \twoheadrightarrow G_i \hookrightarrow G)$$

has a slim, nontrivial profinite completion $\widehat{\Delta}_i$.

- (3_{tp}) The topological groups Π_j , Δ_j are residually finite. We shall refer to as a cuspidal decomposition group in $\widehat{\Delta}_j$ any $\widehat{\Delta}_j$ -conjugate of the commensurator in $\widehat{\Delta}_j$ of the image via ρ_j of the inverse image in $\widetilde{\Pi}$ of the decomposition group in Δ [determined by α] of a cusp of X.
- (4_{tp}) Each " $\Pi_j \rightsquigarrow \Pi_{j+1}$ " [for $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$] is an "elementary operation", as defined below.

Here, an elementary operation " $\Pi_j \rightsquigarrow \Pi_{j+1}$ " is defined to consist of the datum of an "operation homomorphism" ϕ of DOF-type either from Π_j to Π_{j+1} or from Π_{j+1} to Π_j which is compatible with ρ_j , ρ_{j+1} , and, moreover, is of one of the following four types:

(a) Type λ : In this case, the elementary operation $\Pi_j \rightsquigarrow \Pi_{j+1}$ consists of an immersion of OF-type [cf. §0] $\phi: \Pi_{j+1} \hookrightarrow \Pi_j$.

- (b) Type Υ : In this case, the elementary operation $\Pi_j \rightsquigarrow \Pi_{j+1}$ consists of an immersion of OF-type [cf. §0] $\phi: \Pi_j \hookrightarrow \Pi_{j+1}$.
- (c) Type •: In this case, the elementary operation $\Pi_j \rightsquigarrow \Pi_{j+1}$ consists of a dense homomorphism $\phi: \Pi_j \to \Pi_{j+1}$ which is isomorphic to the co-free completion of Π_j with respect to the induced profinite quotient $\widehat{\phi}: \widehat{\Pi}_j \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{\Pi}_{j+1}$ [and the subgroup Δ_j], such that $\operatorname{Ker}(\widehat{\phi})$ is topologically normally generated by a cuspidal decomposition group C in $\widehat{\Delta}_j$ such that C is contained in some normal open torsion-free subgroup of $\widehat{\Delta}_j$.
- (d) Type \odot : In this case, the elementary operation $\Pi_j \rightsquigarrow \Pi_{j+1}$ consists of a dense homomorphism $\phi: \Pi_j \to \Pi_{j+1}$ which is isomorphic to the co-free completion of Π_j with respect to the induced profinite quotient $\widehat{\phi}: \widehat{\Pi}_j \to \widehat{\Pi}_{j+1}$ [and the subgroup Δ_j], such that $\operatorname{Ker}(\widehat{\phi})$ is topologically normally generated by a finite closed subgroup of $\widehat{\Delta}_j$.

Thus, any Π -chain determines a sequence of symbols $\in \{ \bot, \curlyvee, \bullet, \odot \}$ [corresponding to the types of elementary operations in the Π -chain], which we shall refer to as the type-chain associated to the Π -chain.

(ii) An isomorphism between two Π -chains with $identical\ type-chains$ [hence of the same length]

$$(\Pi_0 \leadsto \ldots \leadsto \Pi_n) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} (\Psi_0 \leadsto \ldots \leadsto \Psi_n)$$

is defined to be a collection of isomorphisms of topological groups $\Pi_j \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \Psi_j$ [for $j=0,\ldots,n$] that are compatible with the rigidifying homomorphisms. [Here, we note that the condition of compatibility with the rigidifying homomorphisms implies [since all of the topological groups involved are residually finite with slim profinite completions] that every automorphism of a Π -chain is given by the identity, and that every isomorphism of Π -chains of the same length is compatible with the respective operation homomorphisms.] Thus, one obtains a category

$$Chain(\Pi)$$

whose *objects* are the Π -chains [with arbitrary associated type-chain], and whose *morphisms* are the isomorphisms between Π -chains [with identical type-chains]. A *terminal homomorphism* between two Π -chains [with arbitrary associated type-chain]

$$(\Pi_0 \rightsquigarrow \ldots \rightsquigarrow \Pi_n) \rightarrow (\Psi_0 \rightsquigarrow \ldots \rightsquigarrow \Psi_m)$$

is defined to be an outer homomorphism of DOF-type [cf. [Mzk10], Theorem 6.4] $\Pi_n \to \Psi_m$ that is *compatible* [up to composition with an inner automorphism] with the open homomorphisms $\Pi_n \to G$, $\Psi_m \to G$. Thus, one obtains a *category*

$$\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi)$$

whose *objects* are the Π -chains [with arbitrary associated type-chain], and whose *morphisms* are the *terminal homomorphisms* between Π -chains; write

$$\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi) \subseteq \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi)$$

for the subcategory determined by the *terminal isomorphisms* [i.e., the isomorphisms of Chain^{trm}(Π)]. Thus, it follows immediately from the definitions that we obtain *natural functors* Chain(Π) \to Chain^{iso-trm}(Π) \to Chain^{trm}(Π). Finally, we obtain (sub)categories

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi)\{-\} &\subseteq \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi); \quad \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi)\{-\} \subseteq \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi) \\ \operatorname{DLoc}(\Pi) &\stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi)\{\curlywedge, \bullet\}; \quad \operatorname{\acute{E}tLoc}(\Pi) &\stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi)\{\curlywedge, \Upsilon\} \end{split}$$

[cf. Definition 4.2, (v)].

Remark 4.11.1. Just as in the profinite case [i.e., Remark 4.2.1], we have *natural* functors

$$\operatorname{Chain}(\widetilde{X}/X) \to \operatorname{Chain}(\Pi) \to \operatorname{Chain}(\widehat{\Pi})$$

$$\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\widetilde{X}/X) \to \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi) \to \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\widehat{\Pi})$$

$$\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\widetilde{X}/X) \to \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi) \to \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\widehat{\Pi})$$

— where the second arrow in each line is the natural functor obtained by *profinite* completion; the various composite functors of the two functors in each line are the natural functors of Remark 4.2.1.

Remark 4.11.2. A similar remark to Remark 4.2.2 applies in the present tempered case.

Theorem 4.12. (Tempered Chains of Elementary Operations) For $i = 1, 2, let k_i$ be an MLF of residue characteristic p_i ; \overline{k}_i an algebraic closure of k_i ; X_i a hyperbolic orbicurve over k_i ;

$$1 \to \Delta_i \to \Pi_i \to G_i \to 1$$

an extension of topological groups that is isomorphic to the natural extension $1 \to \pi_1^{\operatorname{tp}}((X_i)_{\overline{k}_i}) \to \pi_1^{\operatorname{tp}}(X_i) \to \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}_i/k_i) \to 1$ via some scheme-theoretic envelope $\alpha_i : \pi_1^{\operatorname{tp}}(X_i) \overset{\sim}{\to} \Pi_i$. Let

$$\phi:\Pi_1\stackrel{\sim}{\to}\Pi_2$$

be an isomorphism of topological groups. Then:

(i) The natural functors [cf. Remark 4.2.1]

$$\operatorname{Chain}(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \to \operatorname{Chain}(\Pi_i); \quad \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \to \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi_i)$$

$$\operatorname{\acute{E}tLoc}(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \to \operatorname{\acute{E}tLoc}(\Pi_i)$$

$$\operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \to \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi_i); \quad \operatorname{DLoc}(\widetilde{X}_i/X_i) \to \operatorname{DLoc}(\Pi_i)$$

are equivalences of categories that are compatible with passing to type-chains.

(ii) We have $p_1 = p_2$; the isomorphism ϕ induces isomorphisms $\phi_{\Delta} : \Delta_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_2$, $\phi_G : G_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_2$, as well as equivalences of categories

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Chain}(\Pi_1) &\stackrel{\sim}{\to} \operatorname{Chain}(\Pi_2); \quad \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi_1) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{iso-trm}}(\Pi_2) \\ & \qquad \qquad \operatorname{\acute{E}tLoc}(\Pi_1) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \operatorname{\acute{E}tLoc}(\Pi_2) \\ & \qquad \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi_1) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \operatorname{Chain}^{\operatorname{trm}}(\Pi_2); \quad \operatorname{DLoc}(\Pi_1) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \operatorname{DLoc}(\Pi_2) \end{split}$$

that are compatible with passing to type-chains and functorial in ϕ .

Proof. In light of Proposition 4.10, (iii), together with the "tempered anabelian theorem" of [Mzk10], Theorem 6.4, the proof of Theorem 4.12 is entirely similar to the proof of Theorem 4.7. [Here, we note that in the case of de-cuspidalization operations, instead of applying the de-cuspidalization portion of Proposition 4.10, (iii), one may instead apply the "group-theoretic" characterization of Proposition 4.10, (vi).] Also, we recall that the portion of assertion (ii) concerning, " $p_1 = p_2$ ", " ϕ_{Δ} ", " ϕ_G " follows immediately [by considering the profinite completion of ϕ] from Theorem 2.14, (i). \bigcirc

Remark 4.12.1. A similar remark to Remark 4.7.1 applies in the present tempered case [cf. [Mzk10], Theorem 6.8].

Appendix: The Theory of Albanese Varieties

In the present Appendix, we review the basic theory of Albanese varieties [cf., e.g., [NS], [Serre1], [Chev], [BS], [SS]], as it will be applied in the present paper. One of our aims here is to present the theory in modern scheme-theoretic language [i.e., as opposed to [NS], [Serre1], [Chev]], but without resorting to the introduction of motives and derived categories, as in [BS], [SS]. Put another way, although there is no doubt that the content of the present Appendix is implicit in the literature, the lack of an appropriate reference that discusses this material explicitly seemed to the author to constitute sufficient justification for the inclusion of a detailed discussion of this material in the present paper.

In the following discussion, we fix a perfect field k, together with an algebraic closure \overline{k} of k. The result of base-change [of k-schemes and morphisms of k-schemes] from k to \overline{k} will be denoted by means of a subscript " \overline{k} ". Write $G_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ for the absolute Galois group of k.

We will apply basic well-known properties of *commutative group schemes of* finite type over k without further explanation. In particular, we recall the following:

- (I) The category of such group schemes is *abelian* [cf., e.g., [SGA3-1], VI_A, 5.4]; subgroup schemes are always *closed* [cf., e.g., [SGA3-1], VI_B, 1.4.2]; reduced group schemes over k are k-smooth [cf., e.g., [SGA3-1], VI_A, 1.3.1].
- (II) Every connected reduced subquotient of a semi-abelian variety over k [i.e., an extension of an abelian variety by a torus] is itself a semi-abelian variety over k. [Indeed, this may be verified easily by reducing to the corresponding fact for tori [cf., e.g., [SGA3-2], IX, 8.1] and abelian varieties.]
- (III) Let $\phi: B \to A$ be a connected finite étale Galois covering of a semiabelian variety A over k, with identity element $0_A \in A(k)$, such that $(\phi^{-1}(0_A))(k) \neq \emptyset$, and the degree of ϕ is prime to the characteristic of k. Then each element of $b \in (\phi^{-1}(0_A))(k)$ determines on B a unique structure of semi-abelian variety over k on B such that b is the identity element of the group B(k), and ϕ is a homomorphism of group schemes over k. [Indeed this may be verified easily by reducing to the corresponding fact for tori and abelian varieties.] Note, moreover, that in this situation, if $k = \overline{k}$, then we obtain an inclusion $\operatorname{Gal}(B/A) \hookrightarrow B(k)$, which implies, in particular, that the covering ϕ is abelian, and, moreover, appears as a subcovering of a covering $A \to A$ given by multiplication by some $A \to A$ given by multiplication by some $A \to A$ invertible in $A \to A$ given by multiplication by some $A \to A$ given by multiplication $A \to A$ given $A \to A$

Definition A.1.

(i) A variety over k, or k-variety, is defined to be a geometrically integral separated scheme of finite type over k. A k-variety will be called *complete* if it is proper over k. We shall refer to a pair (V, v), where V is a k-variety and $v \in V(k)$, as a pointed variety over k; a morphism of pointed varieties over k, or pointed k-morphism, $(V, v) \to (W, w)$ [which we shall often simply write $V \to W$, when v, w

are fixed] is a morphism of k-varieties that maps $v \mapsto w$. Any reduced group scheme G over k has a natural structure of pointed variety over k determined by the identity element $0_G \in G(k)$. If G, H are group schemes over k, then we shall refer to a k-morphism $G \to H$ as a [k-]trans-homomorphism if it factors as the composite of a homomorphism of group schemes $G \to H$ over k with an automorphism of H given by translation by an element of H(k). If V is a k-variety, then we shall use the notation $\pi_1(V)$ to denote the étale fundamental group [relative to an appropriate choice of basepoint] of V. Thus, we have a natural exact sequence of fundamental groups $1 \to \pi_1(V \otimes_k \overline{k}) \to \pi_1(V) \to G_k \to 1$. Let $\Sigma_k \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$ [cf. §0] be the set of primes invertible in k; use the superscript " (Σ_k) " to denote the maximal pro- Σ_k quotient of a profinite group; if V is a k-variety, then we shall write

$$\Delta_V \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \pi_1(V_{\overline{k}})^{(\Sigma_k)}); \qquad \Pi_V \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \pi_1(V) / \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_1(V_{\overline{k}}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(V_{\overline{k}})^{(\Sigma_k)})$$

for the resulting geometrically pro- Σ_k fundamental groups, so we have a natural exact sequence of fundamental groups $1 \to \Delta_V \to \Pi_V \to G_k \to 1$.

(ii) Let \mathcal{C} be a class of commutative group schemes of finite type over k. If A is a group scheme over k that belongs to the class \mathcal{C} , then we shall write $A \in \mathcal{C}$. If (V, v) is a pointed k-variety, then we shall refer to a morphism of pointed k-varieties

$$\phi: V \to A$$

as a C-Albanese morphism if $A \in C$ [so A is equipped with a point $0_A \in A(k)$, as discussed in (i)], and, moreover, for any pointed k-morphism $\phi': V \to A'$, where $A' \in C$, there exists a unique homomorphism $\psi: A \to A'$ of group schemes over k such that $\phi' = \psi \circ \phi$. In this situation, A will also be referred to as the C-Albanese variety of V. We shall write C_k^{ab} for the class of abelian varieties over k and $C_k^{\text{s-ab}}$ for the class of semi-abelian varieties over k. When $C = C_k^{\text{s-ab}}$, the term "C-Albanese", will often be abbreviated "Albanese".

- (iii) If X is a k-variety (respectively, noetherian scheme) which admits a log structure such that the resulting log scheme X^{\log} is \log smooth over k [where we regard $\operatorname{Spec}(k)$ as equipped with the trivial log structure] (respectively, \log regular [cf. [Kato]]), then we shall refer to X as k-toric (respectively, absolutely toric) and to X^{\log} as a torifier, or torifying \log scheme, for X. [Thus, "k-toric" implies "absolutely toric".]
- (iv) If k is of positive characteristic, then, for any k-scheme X and integer $n \geq 1$, we shall write X^{F^n} for the result of base-changing X by the n-th iterate of the Frobenius morphism on k; thus, we obtain a k-linear relative Frobenius morphism $\Phi_X^n: X \to X^{F^n}$. If k is of characteristic zero, then we set $X^{F^n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X$, $\Phi_X^n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{id}_X$, for integers $n \geq 1$. If $\phi: X \to Y$ is a morphism of k-schemes, then we shall refer to ϕ as a sub-Frobenius morphism if, for some integer $n \geq 1$, there exists a k-morphism $\psi: Y \to X^{F^n}$ such that $\psi \circ \phi = \Phi_X^n$, $\phi^{F^n} \circ \psi = \Phi_Y^n$. [Thus, in characteristic zero, a sub-Frobenius morphism is simply an automorphism.]

Remark A.1.1. As is well-known, if V is a k-variety, then Φ_V^n induces an $isomorphism \Pi_V \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_{VF^n}$, for all integers $n \geq 1$. Note that this implies that every

sub-Frobenius morphism $V \to W$ of k-varieties induces isomorphisms $\Pi_V \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_W$, $\Delta_V \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_W$.

Before proceeding, we review the following well-known result.

Lemma A.2. (Morphisms to Abelian and Semi-abelian Schemes) Let S be a noetherian scheme; X an S-scheme whose underlying scheme is absolutely toric; A an abelian scheme over S (respectively, a semi-abelian scheme over S which is an extension of an abelian scheme $B \to S$ by a torus $T \to S$); $V \subseteq X$ an open subscheme whose complement in X is of codimension ≥ 1 (respectively, ≥ 2) in X. Then any morphism of S-schemes $V \to A$ extends uniquely to X.

Proof. First, we consider the case where A is an abelian scheme. If X is regular, then Lemma A.2 follows from [BLR], §8.4, Corollary 6. When X is an arbitrary absolutely toric scheme with torifier X^{\log} , we reduce immediately to the case where X is strictly henselian, hence admits a resolution of singularities [cf., e.g., [Mzk4], §2]

$$Y^{\log} \to X^{\log}$$

— i.e., a log étale morphism of log schemes which induces an isomorphism $U_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} U_X$ between the respective interiors such that Y^{\log} arises from a divisor with normal crossings in a regular scheme Y. Since the "regular case" has already been settled, we may assume that $U_X \subseteq V$; also, it follows that the restriction $U_Y \to A$ to U_Y of the resulting morphism $U_X \to A$ extends uniquely to a morphism $Y \to A$. The image of this morphism determines a closed subscheme $Z \subseteq A_Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \times_S Y$. Moreover, by considering the image of Z under the morphism $A_Y \to A_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \times_S$ X of proper X-schemes, we conclude from "Zariski's main theorem" [since X is normal that to obtain the [manifestly unique, since V is schematically dense in X desired extension $X \to A$, it suffices to show that the fibers of $Y \to X$ map to points of A. On the other hand, as is observed in the discussion of [Mzk4], $\S 2$, each irreducible component of the fiber of $Y \to X$ at a point $x \in X$ is a rational variety over the residue field k(x) at x, hence maps to a point in the abelian variety $A_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \times_S k(x)$ [cf., e.g., [BLR], §10.3, Theorem 1, (b), (c)]. This completes the proof of Lemma A.2 in the non-resp'd case. Thus, to complete the proof of Lemma A.2 in the resp'd case, we may assume that A = T is a torus over S. In fact, by étale descent, we may even assume that T is a *split torus* over S. Then it suffices to show that if \mathcal{L} is any line bundle on X that admits a generating section $s_V \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{L})$, then it follows that s_V extends to a generating section of \mathcal{L} over X. But since X is normal, this follows immediately from [SGA2], XI, 3.4; [SGA2], XI, 3.11.

Proposition A.3. (Basic Properties of Albanese Varieties) Let $C \in \{C_k^{ab}, C_k^{s-ab}\}$; $\phi_V : V \to A$, $\phi_W : W \to B$ C-Albanese morphisms. Then:

(i) (Base-change) Let k' be an algebraic field extension of k; denote the result of base-change [of k-schemes and morphisms of k-schemes] from k to k' by

means of a subscript "k'". If $C = C_k^{ab}$ (respectively, $C = C_k^{s-ab}$), then set $C' = C_{k'}^{ab}$ (respectively, $C' = C_{k'}^{s-ab}$). Then $(\phi_V)_{k'} : V_{k'} \to A_{k'}$ is a C'-Albanese morphism.

- (ii) (Functoriality) Given any k-morphism $\beta_V : V \to W$, there exists a unique k-trans-homomorphism $\beta_A : A \to B$ such that $\phi_W \circ \beta_V = \beta_A \circ \phi_V$. If, moreover, β_V is pointed, then β_A is a homomorphism.
- (iii) (Relative Frobenius Morphisms) For any integer $n \geq 1$, $\phi_V^{F^n}: V^{F^n} \to A^{F^n}$ is a C-Albanese morphism. If, moreover, in (ii), $\phi_W = \phi_V^{F^n}$, $\beta_V = \Phi_V^n$, then $\beta_A = \Phi_A^n$.
- (iv) (Sub-Frobenius Morphisms) If, in (ii), β_V is a sub-Frobenius morphism, then so is β_A .
- (v) (Toric Open Immersions) Suppose, in (ii), that β_V is an open immersion, that W is **k-toric**, and that if $C = C_k^{\text{ab}}$ (respectively, $C = C_k^{\text{s-ab}}$), then the codimension of the complement of the image of β_V in W is ≥ 1 (respectively, ≥ 2). Then β_A is an isomorphism.
- (vi) (Dominant Quotients) If, in (ii), β_V is dominant, then β_A is surjective.
- (vii) (Surjectivity of Fundamental Groups) The [outer] homomorphisms $\Pi_{\phi_V}: \Pi_V \to \Pi_A, \ \Delta_{\phi_V}: \Delta_V \to \Delta_A \ induced \ by \ \phi_V \ are \ surjective.$
- (viii) (Semi-abelian versus Abelian Albanese Morphisms) Suppose that $C = C_k^{\text{s-ab}}$. Write $A \twoheadrightarrow A^{\text{ab}}$ for the maximal quotient of group schemes over k such that $A^{\text{ab}} \in C_k^{\text{ab}}$. Then the composite morphism $V \to A \twoheadrightarrow A^{\text{ab}}$ is a C_k^{ab} -Albanese morphism.
 - (ix) (Group Law Generation) For integers $n \geq 1$, write

$$\zeta_n: V \times_k \dots \times_k V \to A$$

 $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n v_j$

for the morphism from the product over k of n copies of V to A given by adding the images under ϕ_V of the points in the n factors. Then there exists an integer N such that ζ_n is surjective for all $n \geq N$. In particular, if V is proper, then so is A.

Proof. To verify assertion (i), we may assume that k' is a *finite* [hence necessarily étale, since k is perfect] extension of k. Then assertion (i) follows immediately by considering the Weil restriction functor $W_{k'/k}(-)$ from k' to k. That is to say, it is immediate that $W_{k'/k}(-)$ takes objects in \mathcal{C}' to objects in \mathcal{C} . Thus, to give a k'-morphism $V_{k'} \to A'$ (respectively, $A_{k'} \to A'$) is equivalent to giving a k-morphism $V \to W_{k'/k}(A')$ (respectively, $A \to W_{k'/k}(A')$). This completes the proof of assertion (i). Assertions (ii), (iii) follow immediately from the definition of a " \mathcal{C} -Albanese morphism"; assertion (iv) follows immediately from assertion (iii).

Assertion (v) follows immediately from the definition of a "C-Albanese morphism", in light of Lemma A.2.

Assertion (vi) follows from the definition of a "C-Albanese morphism", by arguing as follows: First, we observe that β_V is an epimorphism in the category of schemes. Also, we may assume without loss of generality that β_V is pointed. Now consider the composite $\beta \circ \phi_W : W \to B/C$ of $\phi_W : W \to B$ with the natural quotient morphism $\beta: B \to B/C$, where we write $C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Im}(\beta_A) \subseteq B$ [so $C \in \mathcal{C}$]. Since $\beta \circ \phi_W$ has the same restriction [via β_V] to V as the constant pointed morphism $W \to B/C$, we thus conclude that $\beta \circ \phi_W$ is constant, i.e., that $\operatorname{Im}(\phi_W) \subseteq C$. But, by the definition of a "C-Albanese morphism", this implies the existence of a section $B \to C$ of the natural inclusion $C \hookrightarrow B$, hence that B = C, as desired. In a similar vein, assertion (vii) follows from the definition of a "C-Albanese morphism", by observing that if $\Pi_{\phi_V}:\Pi_V\to\Pi_A$ fails to surject, then [after possibly replacing k by a finite extension of k, which is possible, by assertion (i)] it follows that $\phi_V: V \to A \text{ factors } V \to C \to A, \text{ where the morphism } C \to A \text{ is a nontrivial finite}$ étale Galois covering, with C geometrically connected over k, so $C \in \mathcal{C}$. But this implies, by the definition of a "C-Albanese morphism", the existence of a section $A \to C$ of the surjection $C \to A$, hence that this surjection is an isomorphism $C \stackrel{\sim}{\to} A$, a contradiction.

Next, we observe that assertion (viii) follows immediately from the definitions, in light of the well-known fact that any homomorphism $G \to H$ of group schemes over k, where G is a *torus* and H is an *abelian variety*, is *trivial* [cf., e.g., [BLR], $\S 10.3$, Theorem 1, (b), (c)].

Finally, we consider assertion (ix). First, let us observe that we may assume without loss of generality that k = k. Next, let us observe that since the image of ϕ_V contains $0_A \in A(k)$, it follows that for $n \geq m$, the image $I_n \subseteq A(k)$ of ζ_n contains the image I_m of ζ_m . Write $F_n \subseteq A$ for the [reduced closed subscheme given by the closure of I_n . Since the domain of ζ_n is irreducible, it follows immediately that F_n is *irreducible*. Thus, the ascending sequence $\ldots \subseteq F_m \subseteq \ldots \subseteq F_n \subseteq \ldots$ terminates, i.e., we have $F_n = F_m$ for all $n, m \ge N'$, for some N'; write $F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_{N'}$. Since $I_{N'}$ is constructible, it follows that $I_{N'}$ contains a nonempty open subset U of [the underlying topological space of] F; let $u \in U(k)$. Now let us write I'_n for the union of the translates of U by elements of I_n ; thus, one verifies immediately that I'_n is open in F, that $I'_n \subseteq I_{n+N'}$, and that $u+I_n \subseteq I'_n$. Since F is noetherian, it thus follows that the ascending sequence $\ldots \subseteq I'_m \subseteq \ldots \subseteq I'_n \subseteq \ldots$ terminates, i.e., that for some N'' > N', we have $I'_n = I'_m$ for all $n, m \geq N''$; write $I \subseteq F$ for the resulting open subscheme. Thus, for $n \geq N''$, $u + I \subseteq u + I_{n+N'} \subseteq I$. On the other hand, again since F is noetherian, it follows that the ascending sequence $I \subseteq I - u \subseteq I - 2u \subseteq \dots$ terminates, hence that u + I = I. In particular, for some N''' > N'', we have $I_n = I$, for $n \ge N'''$. Next, let us observe that for any $j \in I(k)$, it follows from the definition of the I_n that $j+I\subseteq I$, hence [as in the case where j=u, we have j+I=I. Since $0_A\in I$, it thus follows that I is closed under the group operation on A, as well as taking inverses in A. Thus, it follows that I is a subgroup scheme of A, hence that I is a closed subscheme of A [so I = F]. But this implies, by the definition of a "C-Albanese morphism", the existence of a

homomorphism $A \to I$ whose composite with the inclusion $I \hookrightarrow A$ is the identity on A. Thus, we conclude that the inclusion $I \hookrightarrow A$ is a *surjection*, i.e., that I = A, as desired. \bigcirc

A proof of the following result may be found, in essence, in [NS] [albeit in somewhat *archaic* language], as well as in [FGA], 236, Théorème 2.1, (ii) [albeit in somewhat *sketchy* form]. Various other approaches [e.g., via *Weil divisors*] to this result are discussed in [Klei], Theorem 5.4 and the discussion following [Klei], Theorem 5.4.

Theorem A.4. (Properness of the Identity Component of the Picard Scheme) The identity component of the Picard scheme

$$\operatorname{Pic}_{V/k}^0$$

[cf., e.g., [BLR], §8.2, Theorem 3; [BLR], §8.4] associated to a complete normal variety V over a field k is proper.

Proof. Write G for the reduced group scheme $(\operatorname{Pic}_{V/k}^0)_{\text{red}}$ over k. Then by a well-known theorem of Chevalley [cf., e.g., [Con], for a treatment of this result in modern language], it follows that to show that G [hence also $\operatorname{Pic}_{V/k}^0$] is proper, it suffices to show that G does not contain any copies of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{G}_m)_k$ or the additive group $(\mathbb{G}_a)_k$. On the other hand, since $(\mathbb{G}_m)_k$, $(\mathbb{G}_a)_k$ may be thought of as open subschemes of the affine line \mathbb{A}^1_k , this follows immediately from Lemma A.5 below [i.e., by applying the functorial interpretation of $\operatorname{Pic}_{V/k}^0$ — cf., e.g., [BLR], §8.1, Proposition 4]. \bigcirc

Lemma A.5. (Rational Families of Line Bundles) Let V be a normal variety over k; $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^1_k$ a nonempty open subscheme of the affine line \mathbb{A}^1_k . Then every line bundle \mathcal{L}_U on $V \times_k U$ arises via pull-back from a line bundle \mathcal{L}_k on V.

Proof. In the following, let us regard \mathbb{A}^1_k as an open subscheme $\mathbb{A}^1_k \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1_k$ of the projective line [obtained in the standard way by removing the point at infinity $\infty_k \in \mathbb{P}^1_k(k)$]. First, let us verify Lemma A.5 under the further hypothesis that V is smooth over k. Then it follows immediately that $V \times_k \mathbb{P}^1_k$ is smooth over k, hence locally factorial [cf., e.g., [SGA2], XI, 3.13, (i)]. Thus, \mathcal{L}_U extends to a line bundle \mathcal{L}_P on $P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V \times_k \mathbb{P}^1_k$ ($\supseteq V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1_k \supseteq V \times_k U$). Moreover, by tensoring with line bundles associated to multiples of the divisor on P arising from ∞_k , we may assume that the degree of \mathcal{L}_P on the fibers of the trivial projective bundle $f: P \to V$ is zero. Thus, the natural morphism $f^*f_*\mathcal{L}_P \to \mathcal{L}_P$ is an isomorphism, which exhibits \mathcal{L}_P , hence also \mathcal{L}_U , as a line bundle \mathcal{L}_k pulled back from V.

Now we return to the case of an arbitrary normal variety V. As is well-known, V contains a dense open subscheme $W \subseteq V$ which is smooth over k and such that the closed subscheme $F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V \backslash W$ [where we equip F with the reduced induced

structure] is of $codimension \geq 2$ in V [cf., e.g., [SGA2], XI, 3.11, applied to the geometric fiber of $V \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$]. Thus, by the argument given in the smooth case, we conclude that $\mathcal{M}_U \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{L}_U|_{W \times_k U}$ arises from a line bundle \mathcal{M}_k on W. Next, let us write $\iota_k : W \hookrightarrow V$, $\iota_U : W \times_k U \hookrightarrow V \times_k U$ for the natural open immersions. Since U is k-flat, it follows immediately that we have a natural isomorphism

$$((\iota_k)_*\mathcal{M}_k)|_{V\times_k U} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} (\iota_U)_*\mathcal{M}_U$$

[arising, for instance, by computing the right-hand side by means of an affine covering of $W \times_k U$ obtained by taking the product over k with U of an affine covering of W]. On the other hand, since $V \times_k U$ is normal and $F \times_k U \subseteq V \times_k U$ is a closed subscheme of codimension ≥ 2 , it follows from the definition of \mathcal{M}_U that $(\iota_U)_*\mathcal{M}_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_U$ [cf., e.g., [SGA2], XI, 3.4; [SGA2], XI, 3.11], i.e., that $((\iota_k)_*\mathcal{M}_k)|_{V\times_k U}$ is a line bundle on $V\times_k U$. On the other hand, since the morphism $U \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$, hence also the projection morphism $V \times_k U \to V$, is faithfully flat, we thus conclude that $\mathcal{L}_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\iota_k)_*\mathcal{M}_k$ is a line bundle on V whose pull-back to $V \times_k U$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{L}_U , as desired. \bigcirc

Proposition A.6. (Duals of Picard Varieties as Albanese Varieties) Let V be a complete normal variety over k; $\operatorname{Pic}_{V/k}^0$ the identity component of the associated Picard scheme; A the dual abelian variety to $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\operatorname{Pic}_{V/k}^0)_{\text{red}}$ [which is an abelian variety by Theorem A.4]; $v \in V(k)$. Then the universal line bundle \mathcal{P}_V [cf., e.g., [BLR], §8.1, Proposition 4] on $V \times_k G$ relative to the rigidification determined by v [i.e., such that $\mathcal{P}_V|_{\{v\}\times G}$ is trivial] determines [by the definition of A] a morphism of pointed k-varieties

$$\phi: V \to A$$

such that the pull-back of the Poincaré bundle \mathcal{P}_A on $A \times_k G$ via $\phi \times_k G : V \times_k G \to A \times_k G$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{P}_V [in a fashion compatible with the respective rigidifications]. Moreover:

- (i) The morphism ϕ is a C_k^{ab} -Albanese morphism.
- (ii) Suppose, in the situation of Proposition A.3, (ii), that W is also complete and normal, and that β_V is pointed and birational. Then the dual morphism $\beta_G: H \to G$ to $\beta_A: A \to B$ is a closed immersion. In particular, β_A is an isomorphism if and only if $\dim_k(A) \leq \dim_k(B)$.
 - (iii) The morphism ϕ induces an **injection** $H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \hookrightarrow H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$.
- (iv) The morphism ϕ induces an **isomorphism** $\Delta_V^{\text{ab-t}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_A$ [where we refer to §0 for the notation "ab-t"].

Proof. First, we consider assertion (i). Let $\psi_V : V \to C$ be a morphism of pointed k-varieties, where $C \in \mathcal{C}_k^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Now by the functoriality of "Pic $_{(-)/k}^0$ ", ψ_V induces a

morphism $D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Pic}_{C/k}^0 \to \operatorname{Pic}_{V/k}^0$ [so D is the dual abelian variety to C], hence a morphism $\psi_D: D \to G$, whose dual gives a morphism $\psi_A: A \to C$. The fact that $\psi_V = \psi_A \circ \phi: V \to C$ follows by thinking of morphisms as classifying morphisms for line bundles and considering the following [a priori, not necessarily commutative] diagram of morphisms between varieties equipped with [isomorphism classes of] line bundles:

$$(V \times_k D, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}} (V \times_k D, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{V \times_k \psi_D} (V \times_k G, \mathcal{P}_V)$$

$$\downarrow^{\psi_V \times_k D} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\phi \times_k D} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\phi \times_k G}$$

$$(C \times_k D, \mathcal{P}_C) \xrightarrow{\psi_A \times_k D} (A \times_k D, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{A \times_k \psi_D} (A \times_k G, \mathcal{P}_A)$$

— where we write $\mathcal{L} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\psi_V \times_k D)^* \mathcal{P}_C$; $\mathcal{M} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\psi_A \times_k D)^* \mathcal{P}_C \cong (A \times_k \psi_D)^* \mathcal{P}_A$. That is to say, the desired commutativity of the left-hand square follows by computing:

$$(\phi \times_k D)^* (\psi_A \times_k D)^* \mathcal{P}_C \cong (\phi \times_k D)^* (A \times_k \psi_D)^* \mathcal{P}_A$$
$$\cong (V \times_k \psi_D)^* (\phi \times_k G)^* \mathcal{P}_A$$
$$\cong (V \times_k \psi_D)^* \mathcal{P}_V$$
$$\cong (\psi_V \times_k D)^* \mathcal{P}_C$$

— which implies that $\psi_V = \psi_A \circ \phi$. Finally, the *uniqueness* of such a " ψ_A " follows immediately by applying " $\operatorname{Pic}^0_{(-)/k}$ " to the condition " $\psi_V = \psi_A \circ \phi : V \to A \to C$ ". This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we consider assertion (ii). First, observe that there exists a k-smooth open subscheme $U \subseteq W$ such that $W \setminus U$ has codimension ≥ 2 in W [cf., e.g., [SGA2], XI, 3.11, as it was applied in the proof of Lemma A.5], and, moreover, $\beta_V: V \to W$ admits a section $\sigma: U \to V$ over U. Note, moreover, that if S is any local artinian finite k-scheme, and we write $\iota_S: U_S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} U \times_k S \hookrightarrow W_S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W \times_S k$ for the natural inclusion, then for any line bundle \mathcal{L} on W_S , we have a natural isomorphism $(\iota_S)_*(\iota_S^*\mathcal{L}) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{L}$ [cf., e.g., [SGA2], XI, 3.4; [SGA2], XI, 3.11]. Thus, by applying this natural isomorphism, together with the section σ , we conclude that the map $\operatorname{Pic}_{W/k}^0(S) \to \operatorname{Pic}_{V/k}^0(S)$ [induced by β_V] is an injection, which implies that the kernel group scheme of $\beta_G: H \to G$ is trivial, hence that β_G is a closed immersion, as desired. This completes the proof of assertion (ii).

Next, we consider assertion (iii). The morphism $H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \to H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$ in question may be interpreted as the morphism induced by ϕ on tangent spaces to the Picard scheme, i.e., as the morphism

$$G(k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)) = \operatorname{Pic}^0_{A/k}(k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)) \to \operatorname{Pic}^0_{V/k}(k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2))$$

[cf., e.g., [BLR], §8.4, Theorem 1, (a)]. But, by the definition of G, this morphism arises from a closed immersion $G \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{V/k}^0$, hence is an *injection*, as desired.

Finally, we consider assertion (iv). The *surjectivity* portion of assertion (iv) follows immediately from Proposition A.3, (vii). To verify the fact that the surjection $\Delta_V^{\text{ab-t}} \to \Delta_A$ is an *isomorphism*, we reason as follows: First, we recall that

a line bundle \mathcal{L} on V such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ [where $n \geq 1$ is an integer invertible in k] is trivial may be interpreted [via the Kummer exact sequence in étale cohomology] as a continuous homomorphism $\Delta_V \to (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})(1)$ [where the "(1)" denotes a "Tate twist"]. On the other hand, by [BLR], §8.4, Theorem 7, there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that for every integer $n \geq 1$, the cokernel of the inclusion ${}_nG(\overline{k}) \hookrightarrow {}_n\operatorname{Pic}_{V/k}(\overline{k})$ [where the " ${}_n$ " preceding an abelian group denotes the kernel of multiplication by n] is annihilated by m. In light of the functorial interpretation of the inclusion $G \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{V/k}^0 \subseteq \operatorname{Pic}_{V/k}^0$, this implies that the cokernel of the homomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}(\Delta_A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\Delta_V, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is annihilated by m. But, by applying $\operatorname{Hom}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, this implies that the induced homomorphism $\Delta_V^{ab} \to \Delta_A$ has finite kernel, hence [in light of the surjectivity already verified] induces an isomorphism upon passing to "ab-t". \bigcirc

Remark A.6.1. The content of Proposition A.6, (i), is discussed in [FGA], 236, Théorème 3.3, (iii).

Remark A.6.2. Suppose that we are in the situation of Proposition A.6, (ii). Then it is *not* necessarily the case that the induced morphism β_A is an *isomorphism*. This phenomenon already appears in the work of *Chevalley* — cf. [Chev]; the discussion of [Klei], p. 248; Example A.7 below.

Example A.7. Albanese Varieties and Resolution of Singularities. For simplicity, suppose that $k = \overline{k}$. Write $\mathbb{P}^2_k = \text{Proj}(k[x_1, x_2, x_3])$ [i.e., where we consider $k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ as a graded ring, in which x_1, x_2, x_3 are of degree 1]. Let $f \in k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be a homogeneous polynomial that defines a smooth plane curve $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2_k$ of genus ≥ 1 . Thus, any $x \in X(k)$ determines an embedding $X \hookrightarrow J$, where J is the Jacobian variety of X. Set $Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Spec}(k[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(f))$; write $y \in Y(k)$ for the origin, $U_Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y \setminus \{y\}$. Thus, we have a natural morphism $Y \supseteq U_Y \to X$; $U_Y \to X$ is a \mathbb{G}_{m} -torsor over X. In particular, U_Y is k-smooth. Thus, since Y is clearly a local complete intersection [hence, in particular, Cohen-Macaulay], it follows from Serre's criterion of normality [cf., e.g., [SGA2], XI, 3.11] that Y is normal. Let $Z \to Y$ be the blow-up of Y at the origin y. Thus, we obtain an isomorphism $U_Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z \times_Y U_Y \stackrel{\sim}{\to} U_Y$. Moreover, one verifies immediately that the morphism $U_Z \stackrel{\sim}{\to} U_Y \to X$ extends to a morphism $Z \to X$ which has the structure of an \mathbb{A}^1 -bundle, in which $E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z \times_Y \{y\} \subseteq Z$ forms a "zero section" [so $E \xrightarrow{\sim} X$]. Thus, Z admits a natural compactification $Z \hookrightarrow Z^*$ to a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $Z^* \to X$. Moreover, by gluing $Z^* \setminus E$ to Y along $Z \setminus E = U_Z \xrightarrow{\sim} U_Y \subseteq Y$, we obtain a compactification $Y \hookrightarrow Y^*$ such that the blow-up morphism extends to a morphism $Z^* \to Y^*$ [which may be thought of as the blow-up of Y^* at $y \in Y(k) \subseteq Y^*(k)$]. On the other hand, note that the composite $Z^* \to X \hookrightarrow J$ determines a closed immersion $Z^* \supseteq E \xrightarrow{\sim} X \hookrightarrow J$. Thus, the restriction $U_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} U_Z \to J$ of this morphism $Z^* \to J$ to $U_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} U_Z$ does not extend to Y or Y^* . In particular, it follows that if we write $Y^* \to A_Y$, $Z^* \to A_Z$ for the $\mathcal{C}_k^{\mathrm{ab}}$ -Albanese varieties of Proposition A.6, (i), then the surjection $A_Y \to A_Z$ induced by $Y^* \to Z^*$ [cf. Proposition A.6, (ii) is not an isomorphism.

Proposition A.8. (Albanese Varieties of Complements of Divisors with Normal Crossings) Let Z be a smooth projective variety over k; $D \subseteq Z$ a divisor with normal crossings; $Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z \backslash D \subseteq Z$; $y \in Y(k)$;

$$D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{r} D_n$$

[for some integer $r \ge 1$] the decomposition of D into irreducible comonents; M the free \mathbb{Z} -module [of rank r] of **divisors** supported on D; $P \subseteq M$ the submodule of divisors that determine a line bundle $\in \operatorname{Pic}_{Z/k}^0(k)$. Then:

- (i) (Y, y) admits an Albanese morphism $Y \to A_Y$.
- (ii) Suppose that each of the D_n is geometrically irreducible. Then the A_Y of (i) may be taken to be an extension of the abelian variety A_Z given by the dual to $G_Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\operatorname{Pic}_{Z/k}^0)_{\text{red}}$ [cf. Propositions A.3, (viii); A.6, (i)] by a torus whose character group is naturally isomorphic to P.
 - (iii) The morphism $Y \to A_Y$ of (i) induces an **isomorphism** $\Delta_Y^{\text{ab-t}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_{A_Y}$.

Proof. By étale descent [with respect to finite extensions of k], it follows immediately that to verify assertion (i), it suffices to verify assertion (ii). Next, we consider assertion (ii). Again, by étale descent, we may assume without loss of generality that $k = \overline{k}$. Note that the tautological homomorphism $P \to G_Z(k)$ determines an extension

$$0 \to T_V \to A_V \to A_Z \to 0$$

of A_Z by a split torus T_Y with character group P. Now the fact that A_Y serves as an Albanese variety for Y is essentially a tautology: Indeed, since any pointed morphism from Y to an abelian variety C extends [cf. Lemma A.2] to a pointed morphism $Z \to C$, and, moreover, we already know that A_Z is a $\mathcal{C}_k^{\mathrm{ab}}$ -Albanese variety for Z [cf. Proposition A.6, (i)], it follows that it suffices to consider pointed morphisms $Y \to B$, where B is an extension of A_Z by a [split] torus. In fact, for simplicity, we may even assume that this torus is simply $(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}})_k$. Thus, it suffices to consider pointed morphisms $Y \to B$, where B is an extension of A_Z by $(\mathbb{G}_m)_k$, determined by some extension class $\kappa_B \in G_Z(k)$. Then the datum of a morphism $Y \to B$ corresponds precisely to an invertible section of the restriction to Y of the line bundle \mathcal{L} on Z given by pulling back the \mathbb{G}_{m} -torsor $B \to A_Z$ via the Albanese morphism $Z \to A_Z$. Note that such an invertible section of $\mathcal{L}|_Y$ may be thought of as the datum of an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_Z(E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$ for some divisor E supported on D. That is to say, since the isomorphism class of \mathcal{L} is precisely the class determined by the element $\kappa_B \in G_Z(k) \subseteq \operatorname{Pic}_{Z/k}(k)$, it thus follows that $E \in P$, and that κ_B is the image of $E \in P$ in $Pic_{Z/k}^0(k) = G_Z(k)$. Thus, in summary, the datum of a pointed morphism $Y \to B$, where B is an extension of A_Z by a [split] torus, is equivalent [in a functorial way] to the datum of a homomorphism $A_Y \to B$ lying over the identity morphism of A_Z . In particular, the identity morphism $A_Y \to A_Y$ determines a morphism $Y \to A_Y$. This completes the proof of assertion (ii).

Finally, we consider assertion (iii). We may assume without loss of generality that $k = \overline{k}$. Let $F \subseteq D$ be a closed subscheme of codimension ≥ 1 in D such that $Z' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z \setminus F \subseteq Z$, $D' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D \setminus F \subseteq D$ are k-smooth. Then one has the associated Gysin sequence in étale cohomology

$$0 \to H^1_{\text{\'et}}(Z', \mathbb{Z}_l(1)) \to H^1_{\text{\'et}}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_l(1)) \to M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l \to H^2_{\text{\'et}}(Z', \mathbb{Z}_l(1))$$

for $l \in \Sigma_k$ [cf. [Milne], p. 244, Remark 5.4, (b)]. Moreover, we have natural isomorphisms $H^j_{\text{\'et}}(Z', \mathbb{Z}_l(1)) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} H^j_{\text{\'et}}(Z, \mathbb{Z}_l(1))$, for j=1,2. [Indeed, by applying noetherian induction, it suffices to verify these isomorphisms in the case where F is k-smooth, in which case these isomorphisms follow from [Milne], p. 244, Remark 5.4, (b).] Note, moreover, that the morphism $M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l \to H^2_{\text{\'et}}(Z', \mathbb{Z}_l(1)) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} H^2_{\text{\'et}}(Z, \mathbb{Z}_l(1))$ is precisely the "fundamental class map", hence factors through the natural inclusion

$$\operatorname{Pic}_{Z/k}(k)^{\wedge} \hookrightarrow H^2_{\operatorname{\acute{e}t}}(Z, \mathbb{Z}_l(1))$$

[where the " \wedge " denotes the *pro-l completion*] arising from the *Kummer exact sequence* on Z. On the other hand, since $\mathrm{Pic}_{Z/k}^0(k)$ is l-divisible, and the quotient $\mathrm{Pic}_{Z/k}(k)/\mathrm{Pic}_{Z/k}^0(k)$ is finitely generated [cf. [BLR], §8.4, Theorem 7], it follows that we have an *isomorphism*

$$(\operatorname{Pic}_{Z/k}(k)/\operatorname{Pic}_{Z/k}^{0}(k))\otimes \mathbb{Z}_{l} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Pic}_{Z/k}(k)^{\wedge}$$

— i.e., that the kernel of the morphism $M \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l \to H^2_{\text{\'et}}(Z',\mathbb{Z}_l(1))$ is precisely $P \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l$. In particular, the isomorphism $\Delta_Z^{\text{ab-t}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_{A_Z}$ of Proposition A.6, (iv), implies [in light of the above exact sequence] that $H^1_{\text{\'et}}(Y,\mathbb{Z}_l(1))$ [i.e., $\text{Hom}(\Delta_Y^{\text{ab-t}},\mathbb{Z}_l(1))$], hence also $\Delta_Y^{\text{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l$, is a $free \mathbb{Z}_l$ -module of $rank \dim_k(A_Y)$. Thus, we conclude that the surjection $\Delta_Y^{\text{ab-t}} \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_{A_Y}$ of Proposition A.3, (vii), is an isomorphism, as desired. \bigcirc

Remark A.8.1. A sharper version [in the sense that it includes a computation of the torsion subgroup of Δ_Y^{ab}] of Proposition A.8, (iii), is given in [SS], Proposition 4.2. The discussion of [SS] involves the point of view of 1-motives. On the other hand, such a sharper version may also be obtained directly from the Gysin sequence argument of the above proof of Proposition A.8, (iii), by working with torsion coefficients.

The following result is elementary and well-known.

Lemma A.9. (Descending Chains of Subgroup Schemes) Let G be a [not necessarily reduced] commutative group scheme of finite type over k:

$$\ldots \subseteq G_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq G_1 \subseteq G_0 = G$$

a descending chain of [not necessarily reduced!] subgroup schemes of G, indexed by the nonnegative integers. Then there exists an integer N such that $G_n = G_m$ for all n, m > N.

Proof. First, let us consider the case where all of the G_n , for $n \geq 0$, are reduced and connected. Then since all of the G_n are closed irreducible subschemes of G, it follows immediately that if we take any integer N such that $\dim_k(G_n) = \dim_k(G_m)$ for all $n, m \geq N$, then $G_n = G_m$ for all $n, m \geq N$. Now we return to the general case. By what we have done so far, we may assume without loss of generality that $(G_0)_{\text{red}} = (G_n)_{\text{red}}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Thus, by forming the quotient by $(G_0)_{\text{red}}$, we may assume that all of the G_n are finite over Spec(k). Then Lemma A.9 follows immediately. \bigcirc

Before proceeding, we recall the following result of de Jong.

Lemma A.10. (Equivariant Alterations) Suppose that $k = \overline{k}$; let V be a variety over k. Then there exists a smooth projective variety Z over k, a finite group Γ of automorphisms of Z over k, a divisor with normal crossings $D \subseteq Z$ fixed by Γ , and a Γ -equivariant [relative to the trivial action of Γ on V] dominant, proper, generically quasi-finite morphism

$$Y \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} Z \backslash D \to V$$

such that if we write k(Z), k(V) for the respective function fields of Z, V, then the subfield of Γ -invariants $k(Z)^{\Gamma} \subseteq k(Z)$ forms a **purely inseparable extension** of k(V).

Proof. This is the content of [deJong], Theorem 7.3. \bigcirc

We are now ready to prove the *main result* of the present Appendix, the first portion of which [i.e., Corollary A.11, (i)] is due to *Serre* [cf. [Serre1]].

Corollary A.11. (Albanese Varieties of Arbitrary Varieties)

- (i) Every pointed variety (V, v) over k admits an Albanese morphism $V \to A$.
- (ii) Let $\phi: V \to A$ be an Albanese morphism, where (V, v) is a k-toric pointed variety. Then ϕ induces an isomorphism $\Delta_V^{\text{ab-t}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_A$.

Proof. First, we consider assertion (i). By applying étale descent, we may assume without loss of generality that $k = \overline{k}$. Let $Z \supseteq Y \to V$ be as in Lemma A.10, $y \in Y(k)$ a point that maps to $v \in V(k)$ [where we observe that, as is easily verified, the existence of an Albanese morphism as desired is independent of the choice of v]. Then by Proposition A.8, (i), it follows that Y admits an Albanese morphism $Y \to B$. Thus, every pointed morphism $v : V \to C$, where $C \in \mathcal{C}_k^{\text{s-ab}}$, determines, by restriction to Y, a homomorphism $B \to C$, whose kernel is a subgroup scheme $H_{\nu} \subseteq B$. In particular, the pointed morphisms $v : V \to C$ determine a projective system of subgroup schemes $H_{\nu} \subseteq B$ which is filtered [a fact that is easily verified by

considering product morphisms $V \to C_1 \times_k C_2$ of pointed morphisms $\nu_1 : V \to C_1$, $\nu_2 : V \to C_2$]. Moreover, by Lemma A.9, this projective system admits a cofinal subsystem which is *constant*, i.e., given by a single subgroup scheme $H \subseteq B$. Now it is a *tautology* that the composite morphism $Y \to B \twoheadrightarrow B/H$ factors uniquely [where we observe that uniqueness follows from the fact that $Y \to V$ is dominant] through a morphism $V \to B/H$ which serves as an Albanese morphism for V.

Next, we consider assertion (ii). First, let us observe that, by Proposition A.3, (i), we may assume without loss of generality that $k = \overline{k}$. Let $Z \supseteq Y \to V$, Γ be as in Lemma A.10; write $Y \to V' \to V$ for the factorization through the normalization $V' \to V$ of V in the purely inseparable extension $k(Z)^{\Gamma}$ of k(V). Let $V \to A$, $V' \to A'$ be Albanese morphisms [which exist by assertion (i)]. Since V is normal, it follows immediately that $V' \to V$ is a sub-Frobenius morphism. Thus, by Proposition A.3, (iv) [cf. also Remark A.1.1], it follows that $V' \to V$ induces isomorphisms $\Delta_{V'}^{\text{ab-t}} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \Delta_{V}^{\text{ab-t}}$, $\Delta_{A'} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \Delta_{A}$. In particular, by replacing V by V', we may assume without loss of generality that $Y \to V$ is generically étale.

Next, let us observe that since V is k-toric, it follows that there exists a closed subscheme $F \subseteq V$ of $codimension \geq 2$ such that $U \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V \setminus F$ is k-smooth [cf., e.g., [SGA2], XI, 3.11]. Note, moreover, that the composite $U \to V \to A$ is an Albanese morphism for U [cf. Proposition A.3, (v)]. Thus, we have surjections

$$\Delta_U^{ ext{ab-t}} woheadrightarrow \Delta_V^{ ext{ab-t}} woheadrightarrow \Delta_A$$

[cf. Proposition A.3, (vii)]. In particular, if the surjection $\Delta_U^{\text{ab-t}} \to \Delta_A$ is an isomorphism, then so is the surjection $\Delta_V^{\text{ab-t}} \to \Delta_A$. Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that V is k-smooth.

Next, let $Y \to B$ be an Albanese morphism for B [cf. Proposition A.8, (i)]. Then, by Proposition A.3, (ii), the action of Γ on Y extends to a compatible action of Γ on B by k-trans-homomorphisms. This action of Γ on B may be thought of as the combination of an action of Γ on the group scheme B [i.e., via group scheme automorphisms], together with a twisted homomorphism $\chi: \Gamma \to B(k)$ [where Γ acts on B(k) via the group scheme action of Γ on B]. Write $B \to C'$ for the quotient semi-abelian scheme of B by the group scheme action Γ , i.e., the quotient of B by the subgroup scheme generated by the images of the group scheme endomorphisms $(1-\gamma): B \to B$, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus, χ determines a homomorphism $\chi': \Gamma \to C'(k)$; write $C' \to C$ for the quotient semi-abelian scheme of C by the finite subgroup scheme of C' determined by the image of χ' . Note that every trans-homomorphism of semi-abelian schemes $B \to D$ which is Γ -equivariant with respect to the trivial action of Γ on D and the trans-homomorphism action of Γ of B factors uniquely through $B \to C$. Note, moreover, that the composite $Y \to B \to C$ factors uniquely through V. Indeed, this is clear generically; then since V is normal, one may extend such a factorization [uniquely] to points of height 1 of V by applying the properness of $Y \to V$; finally, since V is *smooth*, one may extend such a factorization [uniquely] to the entire scheme V by applying Lemma A.2.] Thus, it is a tautology that the resulting morphism $V \to C$ is an Albanese morphism for V. In particular, we may assume without loss of generality that $V \to A$ is $V \to C$. Also, let us observe that

it follows immediately from the description of finite étale coverings of semi-abelian schemes reviewed at the beginning of the present Appendix that, for $l \in \Sigma_k$, the surjection $\Delta_B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_A \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$ induces an *isomorphism*

$$(\Delta_B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)/\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_A \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$$

[where the "/ Γ " denotes the maximal quotient on which Γ acts trivially].

On the other hand, by Proposition A.8, (iii), it follows that we have a natural isomorphism $\Delta_Y^{\text{ab-t}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_B$, hence, in particular, a natural isomorphism

$$(\Delta_V^{\text{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)/\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Delta_B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)/\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_A \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$$

for $l \in \Sigma_k$. Moreover, since $Y \to V$ is dominant, it induces an open homomorphism $\Delta_Y \to \Delta_V$, hence a surjection $\Delta_Y^{\text{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \to \Delta_V^{\text{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$ which is Γ -equivariant [with respect to the trivial action of Γ on $\Delta_V^{\text{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$]. In particular, we obtain that the natural isomorphism $(\Delta_Y^{\text{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)/\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_A \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$ factors as the composite of surjections

$$(\Delta_Y^{\text{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l)/\Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_V^{\text{ab-t}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_A \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$$

[cf. Proposition A.3, (vii)]. Thus, we conclude that these surjections are *isomorphisms*, hence that the surjection $\Delta_V^{\text{ab-t}} \to \Delta_A$ of Proposition A.3, (vii), is an *isomorphism*, as desired. \bigcirc

Remark A.11.1. In fact, given any variety V over k, one may construct an "Albanese morphism" $V \to A$, where A is a torsor over a semi-abelian variety over k, by passing to a finite [separable] extension k' of k such that $V(k') \neq \emptyset$, applying Corollary A.11, (i), over k', and then descending back to k. This morphism $V \to A$ will then satisfy the universal property for morphisms $V \to A'$ to torsors A' over semi-abelian varieties over k [i.e., every such morphism $V \to A'$ admits a unique factorization $V \to A \to A'$, where the morphism $A \to A'$ is a k-morphism that base-changes to a trans-homomorphism over \overline{k}]. In the present Appendix, however, we always assumed the existence of rational points in order to simplify the discussion.

Remark A.11.2. One may further generalize Remark A.11.1, as follows. If V is a geometrically integral separated algebraic stack of finite type over k that is obtained by forming the quotient, in the sense of stacks, of some variety W over k by the action of a finite group of automorphisms $\Gamma \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(W)$, then, by applying Remark A.11.1 to W to obtain an Albanese morphism $W \to B$ for W, one may construct an "Albanese morphism"

$$V \to A$$

for V [i.e., which satisfies the universal property described in Remark A.11.1] by forming the quotient $B \to A$ of B as in the proof of Corollary A.11, (ii): That is to say, after reducing, via étale descent, to the case $k = \overline{k}$, the action of Γ on W induces an action of Γ by k-trans-homomorphisms on B, hence an action of Γ by group scheme automorphisms on B, together with a twisted homomorphism

 $\chi: \Gamma \to B(k)$. Then we take $B \twoheadrightarrow A'$ to be the quotient by the images of the group scheme endomorphisms [arising from the group scheme action of Γ on B] $(1-\gamma): B \to B$, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and $A' \twoheadrightarrow A$ to be the quotient by the image of the homomorphism $\chi': \Gamma \to A'(k)$ determined by χ . Moreover, just as in the proof of Corollary A.11, (ii), we obtain a *natural isomorphism*

$$\Delta_V^{ ext{ab-t}} \stackrel{\sim}{ o} \Delta_A$$

[where we use the notation " $\Delta_{(-)}$ " to denote the evident stack-theoretic generalization of this notation for varieties].

The content of more classical works [cf., e.g., [NS], [Chev]] written from the point of view of *birational geometry* may be recovered via the following result.

Corollary A.12. (Albanese Varieties and Birational Geometry)

(i) Let $\beta_V: V' \to V$ be a proper birational morphism of normal varieties over k which restricts to an isomorphism $\beta_U: U' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V' \times_V U \stackrel{\sim}{\to} U$ over some nonempty open subscheme $U \subseteq V$; $\beta_A: A' \to A$ the induced morphism on Albanese varieties [cf. Corollary A.11, (i)]; $W \subseteq V$ a k-toric open subscheme. Then the composite morphism $U \cap W \hookrightarrow U \stackrel{\sim}{\to} U' \hookrightarrow V' \to A'$ extends uniquely to a morphism $W \to A'$ which induces a surjection $\Delta_W \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_{A'}$.

(ii) Let
$$\ldots \to V_n \to \ldots \to V_1 \to V_0 = V$$

be a sequence [indexed by the nonnegative integers] of birational morphisms of complete normal varieties over k. Then there exists an integer N such that for all $n, m \geq N$, where $n \geq m$, the induced morphism on Albanese varieties $A_n \to A_m$ is an isomorphism. If V is k-toric, then one may take N = 0.

Proof. First, we consider assertion (i). We may assume without loss of generality that $U \subseteq W$. Then since $V' \to V$ is proper, and W is normal, it follows that the morphism $U \stackrel{\sim}{\to} U' \hookrightarrow V'$ extends uniquely to an open subset $W \setminus F \subseteq W$, where F is a closed subscheme of codimension ≥ 2 in W. Thus, the fact that the resulting morphism $W \setminus F \to V' \to A'$ extends uniquely to W follows immediately from Lemma A.2. To verify the surjectivity of $\Delta_W \to \Delta_{A'}$, it suffices to verify the surjectivity of $\Delta_U \to \Delta_{A'}$, i.e., of $\Delta_{U'} \to \Delta_{V'} \to \Delta_{A'}$. On the other hand, this follows from the surjectivity of $\Delta_{U'} \to \Delta_{V'} \to \Delta_{A'}$ [cf. Proposition A.3, (vii)], together with the surjectivity of $\Delta_{U'} \to \Delta_{V'}$ [cf. the fact that $U' \subseteq V'$ is a nonempty open subscheme of the normal variety V'].

Next, we consider assertion (ii). By Proposition A.6, (i), (ii) [cf. also Proposition A.3, (viii), (ix); Corollary A.11, (i)], each induced morphism on Albanese varieties $A_n \to A_m$, for $n \geq m$, is a surjection of abelian varieties which is an isomorphism if and only if $\dim_k(A_n) \leq \dim_k(A_m)$. On the other hand, if $W \subseteq V$ is any nonempty k-toric [e.g., k-smooth] open subscheme whose Albanese morphism

[cf. Corollary A.11, (i)] we denote by $W \to A_W$, then assertion (i) yields a morphism $W \to A_n$ that induces a surjection $\Delta_W \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_{A_n}$, hence, in particular, a morphism $A_W \to A_n$ that induces a surjection $\Delta_{A_W} \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_{A_n}$. But this implies that $\dim_k(A_n) \leq \dim_k(A_W)$, hence that for some integer N, $\dim_k(A_n) = \dim_k(A_m)$, for all $n, m \geq N$. In particular, if W = V, then $\dim_k(A_n) \leq \dim_k(A_0)$, for all $n \geq 0$. \bigcirc

Bibliography

- [BS] L. Barbieri-Viale, V. Srinivas, Albanese and Picard 1-motives, Mem. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) 87 (2001).
- [BLR] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, Néron Models, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 21, Springer-Verlag (1990).
- [Chev] C. Chevalley, Sur la théorie de la variété de Picard, Amer. J. Math. 82 (1960), pp. 435-490.
- [Con] B. Conrad, A modern proof of Chevalley's theorem on algebraic groups, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **17** (2002), pp. 1-18.
- [deJong] A. J. de Jong, Smoothness, Semi-stability, and Alterations, *IHES Publ. Math.* 83 (1996), pp. 51-93.
 - [DM] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, The Irreducibility of the Moduli Space of Curves of Given Genus, *IHES Publ. Math.* **36** (1969), pp. 75-109.
 - [FC] G. Faltings and C.-L. Chai, *Degenerations of Abelian Varieties*, Springer Verlag (1990).
 - [FGA] A. Grothendieck, Fondements de la géométrie algébrique, Séminaire Bourbaki, 1957-1962; also available as a PDF file from: http://www.math.columbia.edu/~lipyan/FGA.pdf.
 - [FJ] M. Fried and M. Jarden, *Field Arithmetic* (second edition), Springer-Verlag (2005).
 - [Kato] K. Kato, Toric Singularities, Amer. J. Math. 116 (1994), pp. 1073-1099.
 - [Klei] S. Kleiman, The Picard Scheme, in Fundamental Algebraic Geometry: Grothen-dieck's FGA Explained, B. Fantechi, L. Göttsche, L. Illusie, S. Kleiman, N. Nitsure, and A. Vistoli, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 123 (2005); also available as arXiv e-print math.AG/0504020 (April 2005).
 - [Milne] J. S. Milne, Étale Cohomology, Princeton Mathematical Series **33**, Princeton University Press (1980).
 - [Mzk1] S. Mochizuki, A Version of the Grothendieck Conjecture for p-adic Local Fields, The International Journal of Math. 8 (1997), pp. 499-506.
 - [Mzk2] S. Mochizuki, Correspondences on Hyperbolic Curves, *Journ. Pure Appl. Algebra* **131** (1998), pp. 227-244.
 - [Mzk3] S. Mochizuki, The Local Pro-p Anabelian Geometry of Curves, *Invent. Math.* **138** (1999), pp. 319-423.
 - [Mzk4] S. Mochizuki, Extending Families of Curves over Log Regular Schemes, *J. reine* angew. Math. **511** (1999), pp. 43-71.
 - [Mzk5] S. Mochizuki, Topics Surrounding the Anabelian Geometry of Hyperbolic Curves, Galois Groups and Fundamental Groups, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications 41, Cambridge University Press (2003), pp. 119-165.

- [Mzk6] S. Mochizuki, The Absolute Anabelian Geometry of Hyperbolic Curves, *Galois Theory and Modular Forms*, Kluwer Academic Publishers (2003), pp. 77-122.
- [Mzk7] S. Mochizuki, The Absolute Anabelian Geometry of Canonical Curves, *Kazuya Kato's fiftieth birthday*, *Doc. Math. 2003*, *Extra Vol.*, pp. 609-640.
- [Mzk8] S. Mochizuki, The Geometry of Anabelioids, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **40** (2004), pp. 819-881.
- [Mzk9] S. Mochizuki, Galois Sections in Absolute Anabelian Geometry, Nagoya Math. J. 179 (2005), pp. 17-45.
- [Mzk10] S. Mochizuki, Semi-graphs of Anabelioids, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **42** (2006), pp. 221-322.
- [Mzk11] S. Mochizuki, Global Solvably Closed Anabelian Geometry, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 48 (2006), pp. 57-71.
- [Mzk12] S. Mochizuki, The Étale Theta Function and its Frobenioid-theoretic Manifestations, RIMS Preprint **1569** (September 2006); updated version available at the following web site: http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~motizuki/papers-english.html
- [Mzk13] S. Mochizuki, A combinatorial version of the Grothendieck conjecture, *Tohoku Math J.* **59** (2007), pp. 455-479.
- [Mzk14] S. Mochizuki, Absolute anabelian cuspidalizations of proper hyperbolic curves, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 47 (2007), pp. 451-539.
- [Mzk15] S. Mochizuki, Topics in Absolute Anabelian Geometry II: Decomposition Groups and Endomorphisms, manuscript.
 - [MT] S. Mochizuki and A. Tamagawa, *The Algebraic and Anabelian Geometry of Configuration Spaces*, RIMS Preprint **1570** (September 2006); to appear in *Hokkaido Math. J.* **37** (2008).
- [Mumf] D. Mumford, Abelian Varieties, Oxford Univ. Press (1974).
- [NSW] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, K. Wingberg, Cohomology of number fields, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 323, Springer-Verlag (2000).
 - [NS] A. Néron and P. Samuel, La variété de Picard d'une variété normale, Ann. Inst. Fourier 4 (1952), pp. 1-30.
 - [RZ] L. Ribes and P. Zaleskii, *Profinite Groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3, Springer-Verlag (2000).
- [Serre1] J.-P. Serre, Morphismes universels et variété d'Albanese, Seminaire Claude Chevalley 4 (1958-1959), Expose No. 10, 22 pp.
- [Serre2] J.-P. Serre, Local Class Field Theory in *Algebraic Number Theory*, ed. J.W.S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, Academic Press (1967).
- [Serre3] J.-P. Serre, Abelian l-adic Representations and Elliptic Curves, W. A. Ben-jamin, Inc., 1968.

- [SS] T. Szamuely and M. Spiess, On the Albanese map for smooth quasi-projective varieties, *Mathematische Annalen* **325** (2003), pp. 1-17.
- [SGA2] A. Grothendieck et al., Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefshetz locaux et globaux, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1968).
- [SGA3-1] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, eds., Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962-64 Schémas en groupes (SGA 3) vol. 1, Lecture notes in mathematics **151**, Springer-Verlag (1970).
- [SGA3-2] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, eds., Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962-64 Schémas en groupes (SGA 3) vol. 2, Lecture notes in mathematics **152**, Springer-Verlag (1970).
 - [Tama] A. Tamagawa, Resolution of nonsingularities of families of curves, *Publ. of RIMS* **40** (2004), pp. 1291-1336.
 - [Tate] J. Tate, *p-Divisible Groups*, Proceedings of a Conference on Local Fields, Driebergen, Springer-Verlag (1967), pp. 158-183.
 - [Yoshi] T. Yoshida, Finiteness theorems in the class field theory of varieties over local fields, *J. of Number Theory* **101** (2003), pp. 138-150.