RIMS-1768

On the field-theoreticity of homomorphisms between the multiplicative groups of number fields

By

Yuichiro HOSHI

January 2013

京都大学　数理解析研究所

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

KYOTO UNIVERSITY, Kyoto, Japan

On the Field-theoreticity of Homomorphisms Between the Multiplicative Groups of Number Fields

Yuichiro Hoshi

January 2013

Abstract. — In the present paper, we discuss the *field-theoreticity* of homomorphisms between the multiplicative groups of *number fields*. We prove that, for instance, for a given isomorphism between the multiplicative groups of number fields, it holds that either the given isomorphism or its multiplicative inverse arises from an *isomorphism of fields* if and only if the given isomorphism is *SPU-preserving* [i.e., roughly speaking, preserves the subgroups of principal units with respect to various nonarchimedean primes].

Contents

Introduction

In the present paper, we discuss the *field-theoreticity* of homomorphisms between the multiplicative groups of fields. Let us consider the following problem.

> For a homomorphism $\alpha\colon {}^{\circ}k^{\times} \to {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ between the multiplicative groups of fields ${}^{\circ}k$ and ${}^{\bullet}k$, when does the homomorphism α arise from a *homomorphism of fields* ${}^{\circ}k \to {}^{\bullet}k$? In other words, when is the *additive structure of* ${}^{\circ}k$ compatible with the *additive structure of* ${}^{\bullet}k$ relative to the homomorphism α?

At a more philosophical level:

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 11R04.
Key words and phrases. — number field, multiplicative group, field-theoreticity, PU-preserving homomorphism.
This research was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No. 24540016, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

> How can one understand the *additive structure* of a field by the language of the *multiplicative structure* of the field?

Now let us recall the following consequence of "*Uchida's lemma*" [reviewed in [1], Proposition 1.3] that is implicit in the argument of [4], Lemmas 8-11 [cf. also [3], Lemma 4.7].

> For $\square \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$, let ${}^{\square}k$ be an algebraically closed field and ${}^{\square}C$ a projective smooth curve over ${}^{\square}k$. Write ${}^{\square}K$ for the function field of ${}^{\square}C$ and ${}^{\square}C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ for the set of closed points of ${}^{\square}C$. For each closed point ${}^{\square}x \in {}^{\square}C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ of ${}^{\square}C$, write $\mathcal{O}_{{}^{\square}C,{}^{\square}x} \subseteq {}^{\square}K$ for the local ring of ${}^{\square}C$ at ${}^{\square}x$, $\mathfrak{m}_{{}^{\square}C,{}^{\square}x} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{{}^{\square}C,{}^{\square}x}$ for the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{{}^{\square}C,{}^{\square}x}$, and $\mathrm{ord}_{{}^{\square}x} \colon {}^{\square}K^{\times} \to \mathbb{Z}$ for the valuation of ${}^{\square}K$ given by mapping $f \in {}^{\square}K^{\times}$ to the order of f at ${}^{\square}x \in {}^{\square}C$. [Thus, one verifies easily that $1 + \mathfrak{m}_{{}^{\square}C,{}^{\square}x} \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^{\square}x}) = \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{{}^{\square}C,{}^{\square}x} \subseteq {}^{\square}K^{\times}$.] Let
>
> $$\alpha \colon {}^{\circ}K^{\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\bullet}K^{\times}$$
>
> be an isomorphism between the multiplicative groups of ${}^{\circ}K$, ${}^{\bullet}K$. Then it holds that the isomorphism α arises from an *isomorphism of fields* ${}^{\circ}K \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\bullet}K$ if and only if there exists a bijection $\phi \colon {}^{\bullet}C^{\mathrm{cl}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\circ}C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ such that, for every ${}^{\bullet}x \in {}^{\bullet}C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ and ${}^{\circ}x \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \phi({}^{\bullet}x) \in {}^{\circ}C^{\mathrm{cl}}$, it holds that $\mathrm{ord}_{{}^{\circ}x} = \mathrm{ord}_{{}^{\bullet}x} \circ \alpha$, and, moreover, $1 + \mathfrak{m}_{{}^{\circ}C,{}^{\circ}x} = \alpha^{-1}(1 + \mathfrak{m}_{{}^{\bullet}C,{}^{\bullet}x})$.

In the present paper, we discuss an *analogue for number fields* of the above result. In the remainder of Introduction, let $\mathfrak{Primes}$ be the set of all prime numbers, $\square \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$, ${}^{\square}k$ a *number field* [i.e., a finite extension of the field of rational numbers], ${}^{\square}\mathfrak{o} \subseteq {}^{\square}k$ the ring of integers of ${}^{\square}k$, ${}^{\square}\mathcal{V}$ the set of maximal ideals of ${}^{\square}\mathfrak{o}$ [i.e., the set of nonarchimedean primes of ${}^{\square}k$], and ${}^{\square}\mathbb{Q} \subseteq {}^{\square}k$ the [uniquely determined] subfield of ${}^{\square}k$ that is isomorphic to the *field of rational numbers*. For ${}^{\square}\mathfrak{p} \in {}^{\square}\mathcal{V}$, write ${}^{\square}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\square}\mathfrak{p}}$ for the localization of ${}^{\square}\mathfrak{o}$ at ${}^{\square}\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{c}({}^{\square}\mathfrak{p})$ for the residue characteristic of ${}^{\square}\mathfrak{p}$ [thus, we have a map $\mathfrak{c} \colon {}^{\square}\mathcal{V} \to \mathfrak{Primes}$], and $\mathrm{ord}_{{}^{\square}\mathfrak{p}} \colon {}^{\square}k^{\times} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ for the [uniquely determined] surjective valuation of ${}^{\square}k$ associated to ${}^{\square}\mathfrak{p}$ [cf. Definition 1.1]. Let

$$\alpha \colon {}^{\circ}k^{\times} \longrightarrow {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$$

be a homomorphism between the multiplicative groups of ${}^{\circ}k$, ${}^{\bullet}k$. Then the main result of the present paper may be stated as follows [cf. Theorem 2.5].

THEOREM A. — *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *The homomorphism α arises from a* **homomorphism of fields** ${}^{\circ}k \to {}^{\bullet}k$.

(2) *The homomorphism α is* **CPU-preserving** [*i.e., there exists a map $\phi \colon {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V} \to {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$ over $\mathfrak{Primes}$ relative to $\mathfrak{c}$ such that the inclusion $1 + {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(1 + {}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}})$, where we write ${}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \phi({}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}) \in {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$, holds for all but finitely many ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V}$ — cf. Definition* 1.3, (ii)], *and, moreover, there exists an $x \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^{\times}$ such that the "x" in ${}^{\circ}k$ maps, via α, to the "x" in ${}^{\bullet}k$.*

(3) *The homomorphism* α *is* **PU-preserving** [*i.e., there exists a map* $\phi\colon {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V} \to {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$ *such that the inclusion* $1 + {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}^{\circ}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(1 + {}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}})$*, where we write* ${}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi({}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}) \in {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$*, holds for all but finitely many* ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V}$ *— cf. Definition* 1.3, (i)], *and, moreover, the restriction* ${}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \to {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ *of* α *to* ${}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \subseteq {}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ *arises from a* **homomorphism of fields** ${}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q} \to {}^{\bullet}k$.

By concentrating on *surjections*, we obtain the following result [cf. Corollary 3.2].

THEOREM B. — *Suppose that the homomorphism* α *is* **surjective**. *Then it holds that either* α *or the composite* $(-)^{-1} \circ \alpha$ [*i.e., the surjection* ${}^{\circ}k^{\times} \twoheadrightarrow {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ *obtained by mapping* $x \in {}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ *to* $\alpha(x)^{-1} \in {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$] *arises from an* **isomorphism of fields** ${}^{\circ}k \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\bullet}k$ *if and only if the surjection* α *is* **SPU-preserving** [*i.e., there exists a map* $\phi\colon {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V} \to {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$ *such that the equality* $1 + {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}^{\circ}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha^{-1}(1 + {}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}})$*, where we write* ${}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi({}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}) \in {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$*, holds for all but finitely many* ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V}$ *— cf. Definition* 1.3, (i)].

As corollaries of Theorem A, we also prove the following results, that may be regarded as *analogues of Uchida's lemma for number fields* [cf. Theorem 3.1; Corollary 3.3].

THEOREM C. — *The homomorphism* α *arises from a* **homomorphism of fields** ${}^{\circ}k \to {}^{\bullet}k$ *if and only if there exists a map* $\phi\colon {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V} \to {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$ *over* $\mathfrak{Primes}$ [*relative to* $\mathfrak{c}$] *such that, for* ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V}$*, if we write* ${}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi({}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}) \in {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$*, then the equality*

$$\mathrm{ord}_{{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{ord}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}} \circ \alpha$$

holds for **infinitely many** ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V}$*, and, moreover, the inclusion*

$$1 + {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}^{\circ}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(1 + {}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}})$$

holds for **all but finitely many** ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V}$.

THEOREM D. — *Suppose that the homomorphism* α *is* **surjective**. *Then the surjection* α *arises from an* **isomorphism of fields** ${}^{\circ}k \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\bullet}k$ *if and only if there exists a map* $\phi\colon {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V} \to {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$ *such that, for* ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in S$*, if we write* ${}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi({}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}) \in {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$*, then the equality*

$$1 + {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}^{\circ}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha^{-1}(1 + {}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}})$$

holds for all but finitely many ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V}$*, and, moreover, there exist a maximal ideal* ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V}$ *of* ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{o}$ *and a* **positive** *integer* n *such that*

$$n \cdot \mathrm{ord}_{{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{ord}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}} \circ \alpha\,.$$

1. PU-PRESERVING HOMOMORPHISMS

In the present §1, we define and discuss the notion of a *PU-preserving* homomorphism [cf. Definition 1.3, (i), below]. In the present §1, write $\mathfrak{Primes}$ for the set of all prime numbers. For $\Box \in \{\circ, \bullet, \emptyset\}$, let ${}^{\Box}k$ be a *number field* [i.e., a finite extension of the field of rational numbers]; write $^{\square}\mathfrak{o} \subseteq {}^{\square}k$ for the ring of integers of $^{\square}k$, $^{\square}\mathcal{V}$ for the set of maximal ideals of $^{\square}\mathfrak{o}$ [i.e., the set of nonarchimedean primes of $^{\square}k$], and $^{\square}\mathbb{Q} \subseteq {}^{\square}k$ for the [uniquely determined] subfield of $^{\square}k$ that is isomorphic to the *field of rational numbers*.

DEFINITION 1.1. — Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{o}$.

(i) We shall write

$$\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

for the localization of $\mathfrak{o}$ at $\mathfrak{p}$,

$$\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

for the residue field of $\mathfrak{o}$ at $\mathfrak{p}$, and

$$\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{p}) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{char}(\kappa(\mathfrak{p}))$$

for the characteristic of $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$. Thus, we have a map

$$\mathfrak{c} \colon \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Primes}\,.$$

(ii) We shall write

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} \colon k^{\times} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

for the [uniquely determined] surjective valuation of k associated to $\mathfrak{p}$. Thus, one verifies easily that the kernel $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq k^{\times}$ of $\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ *coincides* with the group $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \subseteq k^{\times}$ of invertible elements of $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ [cf. (i)], i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} \subseteq k^{\times}\,.$$

Moreover, we have a natural exact sequence of abelian groups

$$1 \longrightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \longrightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{p})^{\times} \longrightarrow 1\,.$$

REMARK 1.1.1. — By the map $\mathfrak{c}$ [cf. Definition 1.1, (i)], let us identify $\mathfrak{Primes}$ with the "$\mathcal{V}$" that occurs in the case where we take the "k" to be a number field that is isomorphic to the *field of rational numbers* [e.g., the field $^{\square}\mathbb{Q}$].

DEFINITION 1.2. — Let $\phi \colon {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V} \to {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$ be a map of sets. Then we shall say that ϕ is *characteristic-compatible* if ϕ is a map over $\mathfrak{Primes}$ [relative to $\mathfrak{c}$ — cf. Definition 1.1, (i)].

DEFINITION 1.3. — Let $\alpha \colon {}^{\circ}k^{\times} \to {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ be a homomorphism of groups.

(i) Let $\phi \colon {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V} \to {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$ be a map of sets. Then we shall say that the homomorphism α is [ϕ-]*PU-preserving* [i.e., "principal-unit-preserving"] (respectively, [ϕ-]*SPU-preserving* [i.e., "strictly principal-unit-preserving"]) if the inclusion $1 + {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}\,{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(1 + {}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}\,{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}})$ (respectively, the equality $1 + {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}\,{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha^{-1}(1 + {}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}\,{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}})$) [cf. Definition 1.1, (i)], where we write ${}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \phi({}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}) \in {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$, holds for all but finitely many ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V}$. If, in this situation, for a maximal ideal ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V}$ of ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{o}$, the inclusion $1 + {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}\,{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(1 + {}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}\,{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}})$ (respectively, the equality $1 + {}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}\,{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha^{-1}(1 + {}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}\,{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}})$) does not hold, then we shall say that ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V}$ is *PU-exceptional* (respectively, *SPU-exceptional*) for (α, ϕ).

(ii) We shall say that the homomorphism α is *CPU-preserving* [i.e., "characteristic-compatibly principal-unit-preserving"] if α is ϕ-PU-preserving [cf. (i)] for some characteristic-compatible [cf. Definition 1.2] map $\phi\colon {}^\bullet\mathcal{V} \to {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$.

REMARK 1.3.1. — In the notation of Definition 1.3, one verifies easily that if α is *ϕ-PU-preserving*, and the equality $\mathfrak{c}({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{c}(\phi({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}))$ holds for all but finitely many ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$, then — by replacing ϕ by a suitable extension [to a map ${}^\bullet\mathcal{V} \to {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$] of the restriction of ϕ to the subset of ${}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$ consisting of ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$ such that $\mathfrak{c}({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{c}(\phi({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}))$ — α is *CPU-preserving*.

LEMMA 1.4. — *Let $\iota\colon {}^\circ k \to {}^\bullet k$ be a* **homomorphism of fields**. *Write $\iota^\times\colon {}^\circ k^\times \to {}^\bullet k^\times$ for the homomorphism between the multiplicative groups induced by ι and $\mathcal{V}_\iota\colon {}^\bullet\mathcal{V} \to {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$ for the [necessarily* **surjective** *and* **characteristic-compatible** *— cf. Definition 1.2] map obtained by mapping ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$ to $\iota^{-1}({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}) \cap {}^\circ\mathfrak{o} \in {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$. Then, for every ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$, the* **equality**

$$1 + \mathcal{V}_\iota({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p})^\circ\mathfrak{o}_{\mathcal{V}_\iota({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p})} = (\iota^\times)^{-1}(1 + {}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}})$$

holds. In particular, the homomorphism $\iota^\times$ is **$\mathcal{V}_\iota$-SPU-preserving** *and* **CPU-preserving** *[cf. Definition 1.3].*

PROOF. — This follows immediately from the various definitions involved. ☐

LEMMA 1.5. — *Let $\alpha\colon {}^\circ k^\times \to {}^\bullet k^\times$ be a homomorphism of groups, $\phi\colon {}^\bullet\mathcal{V} \to {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$ a map of sets, and ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$ a maximal ideal of ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}$. Write ${}^\circ\mathfrak{p} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \phi({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}) \in {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$. Then the following hold:*

(i) *Suppose that α is* **ϕ-PU-preserving**, *and that ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$ is* **not PU-exceptional** *for (α, ϕ) [cf. Definition 1.3, (i)]. Then it holds that $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}}))$. In particular, α determines homomorphisms of groups*

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})/(1 + {}^\circ\mathfrak{p}^\circ\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}}) \quad (\simeq \kappa({}^\circ\mathfrak{p})^\times) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}})/(1 + {}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}}) \quad (\simeq \kappa({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p})^\times)\,;$$

$${}^\circ k^\times/\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}}) \quad (\simeq \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow {}^\bullet k^\times/\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}}) \quad (\simeq \mathbb{Z})\,.$$

(ii) *Suppose that α is* **ϕ-SPU-preserving**, *and that ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$ is* **not SPU-exceptional** *for (α, ϕ) [cf. Definition 1.3, (i)]. Suppose, moreover, that α is* **surjective**. *Then the two displayed homomorphisms of (i) are* **isomorphisms**. *Moreover, the surjection α is* **CPU-preserving** *[cf. Definition 1.3, (ii)].*

PROOF. — Assertion (i) follows immediately from the [easily verified] fact that, for each $\square \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$, the subgroup $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\square\mathfrak{p}})/(1 + {}^\square\mathfrak{p}^\square\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\square\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq {}^\square k^\times/(1 + {}^\square\mathfrak{p}^\square\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\square\mathfrak{p}})$ *coincides* with the *maximal torsion subgroup* of ${}^\square k^\times/(1 + {}^\square\mathfrak{p}^\square\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\square\mathfrak{p}})$. Next, we verify assertion (ii). The assertion that the two displayed homomorphisms of (i) are *isomorphisms* follows immediately from the various definitions involved, together with the [easily verified] fact that every *surjective* endomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$ is an *isomorphism*. The assertion that the surjection α is *CPU-preserving* follows immediately from Remark 1.3.1, together with the [easily verified] fact that if $\kappa({}^\circ\mathfrak{p})^\times$ is *isomorphic* to $\kappa({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p})^\times$, then it holds that $\mathfrak{c}({}^\circ\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{c}({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p})$. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.5. ☐

LEMMA 1.6. — *Let* $\phi\colon {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V} \to {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$ *be a map of sets and* $\alpha, \beta\colon {}^{\circ}k^{\times} \to {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ *homomorphisms of groups. Suppose that* α *and* β *are* $\boldsymbol{\phi}$**-PU-preserving** [*cf. Definition* 1.3, (i)]. *Then the homomorphism* $\alpha \cdot \beta\colon {}^{\circ}k^{\times} \to {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ *obtained by forming the product of* α *and* β [*i.e., the homomorphism* ${}^{\circ}k^{\times} \to {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ *given by mapping* $x \in {}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ *to* $\alpha(x) \cdot \beta(x) \in {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$] *is* $\boldsymbol{\phi}$**-PU-preserving**.

PROOF. — This follows immediately from the various definitions involved. □

REMARK 1.6.1. — In the situation of Lemma 1.6:

(i) In general, the product of two *ϕ-SPU-preserving* [cf. Definition 1.3, (i)] homomorphisms is *not ϕ-SPU-preserving*. Indeed, although the identity automorphism $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ is $\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{Primes}}$*-SPU-preserving* [cf. Remark 1.1.1], [one verifies easily that] the product of two $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Q}^{\times}}$ [i.e., the endomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ given by mapping $x \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ to $x^2 \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$] is *not* $\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{Primes}}$*-SPU-preserving*.

(ii) Moreover, in general, the product of *CPU-preserving* [cf. Definition 1.3, (ii)] homomorphisms is *not CPU-preserving*. Indeed, suppose that k is *Galois* over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then it follows from Lemma 1.4 that the automorphism $g^{\times}$ of $k^{\times}$ determined by an element $g \in \mathrm{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ of $\mathrm{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ is *CPU-preserving*. Assume that the product Nm of all such automorphisms $g^{\times}$ [i.e., Nm is the composite of the *norm map* $k^{\times} \to \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ and the natural inclusion $\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \hookrightarrow k^{\times}$] is *CPU-preserving*. Then one verifies immediately that Nm and the endomorphism of $k^{\times}$ given by mapping $x \in k^{\times}$ to $x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \in k^{\times}$ *coincide* on the subgroup $\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \subseteq k^{\times}$. Thus, it follows immediately from Proposition 2.4, (i), below that we obtain a *contradiction*.

DEFINITION 1.7. — Let $\phi\colon {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V} \to {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$ be a map of sets. Then we shall write

$$\mathrm{Hom}({}^{\circ}k^{\times}, {}^{\bullet}k^{\times})$$

for the [abelian] group consisting of homomorphisms of groups ${}^{\circ}k^{\times} \to {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ and

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\phi\text{-PU}}({}^{\circ}k^{\times}, {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}({}^{\circ}k^{\times}, {}^{\bullet}k^{\times})$$

for the subgroup [cf. Lemma 1.6] of *ϕ-PU-preserving* homomorphisms ${}^{\circ}k^{\times} \to {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$.

LEMMA 1.8. — *Let* $\phi\colon {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V} \to {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$ *be a map of sets. Then the homomorphism of groups*

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\phi\text{-PU}}({}^{\circ}k^{\times}, {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}({}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}^{\times}, {}^{\bullet}k^{\times})$$

[*cf. Definition* 1.7] *induced by the natural inclusion* ${}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \hookrightarrow {}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ **factors** *through the subgroup* $\mathrm{Hom}^{(\mathfrak{c}\circ\phi)\text{-PU}}({}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}^{\times}, {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}({}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}^{\times}, {}^{\bullet}k^{\times})$ [*cf. Remark* 1.1.1]. *In particular, we obtain a homomorphism of groups*

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\phi\text{-PU}}({}^{\circ}k^{\times}, {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^{(\mathfrak{c}\circ\phi)\text{-PU}}({}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}^{\times}, {}^{\bullet}k^{\times})\,.$$

PROOF. — This follows immediately from the various definitions involved. □

2. Field-theoreticity for Certain PU-preserving Homomorphisms

In the present §2, we prove the *field-theoreticity* for certain *PU-preserving* homomorphisms [cf. Theorem 2.5 below]. We maintain the notation of preceding §1.

Lemma 2.1. — *Let* $\phi\colon {}^\bullet\mathcal{V} \to {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$ *be a map of sets,* n *a positive integer, and* $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in {}^\circ k^\times$ *elements of* ${}^\circ k^\times$. *Suppose that the image of the composite* ${}^\bullet\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\phi} {}^\circ\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{Primes}$ *is of* **density one**. *Then the subset* $S[\phi; x_1, \ldots, x_n] \subseteq {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$ *consisting of maximal ideals* ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$ *of* ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}$ *that satisfy the following condition is* **infinite**: *If we write* ${}^\circ\mathfrak{p} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \phi({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}) \in {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$, *then* $x_i \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})$ *for each* $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, *and, moreover,* $\sharp\kappa({}^\circ\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{c}({}^\circ\mathfrak{p})$.

Proof. — Let us observe that one verifies immediately that, to verify Lemma 2.1, it suffices to verify that the set of prime numbers $p \in \mathfrak{Primes}$ that *split completely* in the finite extension ${}^\circ k/{}^\circ\mathbb{Q}$ contains a subset of $\mathfrak{Primes}$ of *positive density.* On the other hand, this follows immediately, by considering the *Galois closure* of ${}^\circ k/{}^\circ\mathbb{Q}$, by *Čhebotarev's density theorem.* This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. □

Lemma 2.2. — *For* $p \in \mathfrak{Primes}$, *write* $\mathrm{ord}_p\colon \mathbb{Q}^\times \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ *for the surjective* p*-adic valuation. Let* x, $y \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ *be such that* $y \notin \{1, -1\}$. *Then the subset* $S_{x,\langle y\rangle} \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$ *consisting of prime numbers* $p \in \mathfrak{Primes}$ *that satisfy the following condition is* **infinite**: x, $y \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_p)$, *and, moreover, the image of* x *in* $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ *is* **contained** *in the subgroup of* $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ *generated by the image of* y *in* $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$.

Proof. — This follows from [the argument given in the proof of] [2], Theorem 1. For the reader's convenience [and, moreover, in order to make it clear that the argument given in the proof of [2], Theorem 1, works under only our assumption that "$y \notin \{1, -1\}$"], however, we review the argument as follows:

Let us first observe that since $y \notin \{1, -1\}$, it is immediate that, to verify Lemma 2.2, by replacing y by y^{-1} if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that the absolute value $|y|$ of y is *greater than one.* Write (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) for the [uniquely determined] pairs of nonzero rational integers such that $x_1\mathbb{Z} + x_2\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$; $y_1\mathbb{Z} + y_2\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$; x_2, $y_2 > 0$; $x = x_1/x_2$; $y = y_1/y_2$. For each nonnegative integer n, write $a_n \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} x_1 \cdot y_2^n - x_2 \cdot y_1^n$. Now if $a_n = 0$ for some n, then Lemma 2.2 is immediate. Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that $a_n \neq 0$ for every n. Next, let us observe that one verifies easily that $S_{x,\langle y\rangle}$ *coincides* with the set of prime numbers $p \in \mathfrak{Primes}$ such that x, $y \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_p)$ but $a_n \notin \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_p)$ for some n. To verify Lemma 2.2, assume that $S_{x,\langle y\rangle}$ is *finite.* Write $n_0 \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \sharp\big(\mathbb{Z}/(\prod_{p\in S_{x,\langle y\rangle}} p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(a_0)+1})\mathbb{Z}\big)^\times$. [Thus, one verifies easily that, for every $p \in S_{x,\langle y\rangle}$ and $z \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, if $z \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_p)$, then $z^{n_0} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(a_0)+1}}$.]

Now I claim that the following assertion holds:

> Claim 2.2.A: For each nonnegative integer n and $p \in S_{x,\langle y\rangle}$, it holds that $\mathrm{ord}_p(a_{n_0\cdot n}) \leq \mathrm{ord}_p(a_0)$.

Indeed, let us first observe that since $y \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_p)$, it holds that y_1, $y_2 \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_p)$, which thus implies that $y_1^{n_0}$, $y_2^{n_0} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(a_0)+1}}$ [cf. the discussion at the final portion of the preceding paragraph]. Thus, we conclude that $a_{n_0\cdot n} - a_0 = x_1 \cdot (y_2^{n_0\cdot n} -$

$1) - x_2 \cdot (y_1^{n_0 \cdot n} - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(a_0)+1}}$, i.e., $\mathrm{ord}_p(a_0) < \mathrm{ord}_p(a_{n_0 \cdot n} - a_0)$. In particular, it holds that $\mathrm{ord}_p(a_{n_0 \cdot n}) \leq \mathrm{ord}_p(a_0)$, as desired. This completes the proof of Claim 2.2.A.

Next, let us observe that one verifies immediately from Claim 2.2.A that $|a_{n_0 \cdot n}| \leq |a_0|$ for every nonnegative integer n. Thus, since $|y|^n - |x| \leq |x - y^n| = |a_n|/|x_2 \cdot y_2^n| \leq |a_n|$, and $1 < |y|$, we obtain a *contradiction*. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. $\square$

REMARK 2.2.1. — If, in the situation of Lemma 2.2, one omits our assumption that "$y \neq \{1, -1\}$", then the conclusion no longer hold. More precisely, for $x \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ and $y \in \{1, -1\}$, it holds that the set "$S_{x,\langle y \rangle}$" discussed in Lemma 2.2 is *infinite* if and only if $(x, y) \in \{(1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, -1)\}$. Indeed, the *sufficiency* is immediate. To verify the *necessity*, let us observe that since $1^2 = (-1)^2 = 1$, it holds that $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod p$ for every $p \in S_{x,\langle y \rangle}$. Thus, since $S_{x,\langle y \rangle}$ is *infinite*, we conclude that $x^2 = 1$. In particular, since [one verifies easily that] the set "$S_{x,\langle y \rangle}$" that occurs in the case where we take the "(x, y)" to be $(-1, 1)$ *coincides* with $\{2\}$ [hence *finite*], the *necessity* under consideration follows.

LEMMA 2.3. — *Let $x \in k^\times$ be an element of $k^\times$. Then it holds that $x \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ if and only if $x^{\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{p})-1} \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}$ for all but finitely many $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}$.*

PROOF. — Let us first observe that one verifies easily that the condition that $x^{\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{p})-1} \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}$ implies the condition that $x \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_\mathfrak{p})$. Thus, one verifies immediately that the condition that $x^{\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{p})-1} \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}$ is *equivalent* to the condition that $x \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_\mathfrak{p})$, and, moreover, the image of $x \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_\mathfrak{p})$ in $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_\mathfrak{p})/(1 + \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p})$ is *annihilated by* $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{p}) - 1$, i.e., that the image of $x \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_\mathfrak{p})$ in $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_\mathfrak{p})/(1 + \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}_\mathfrak{p}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \kappa(\mathfrak{p})^\times$ is *contained in the prime subfield* [i.e., $\simeq \mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{p})\mathbb{Z}$] of $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$. Thus, Lemma 2.3 follows immediately from *Čhebotarev's density theorem*. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.4. — *Let $\phi \colon {}^\bullet\mathcal{V} \to {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$ be a map of sets. Then the following hold:*

(i) *Suppose that the image of the composite ${}^\bullet\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\phi} {}^\circ\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{Primes}$ is of* **density one**. *Then the homomorphism of groups*

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\phi\text{-PU}}({}^\circ k^\times, {}^\bullet k^\times) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^{(\mathfrak{c}\circ\phi)\text{-PU}}({}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times, {}^\bullet k^\times)$$

of Lemma 1.8 *is* **injective**.

(ii) *Suppose, moreover, that the image of the composite ${}^\bullet\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\phi} {}^\circ\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{c}} \mathfrak{Primes}$ is* **cofinite** [*i.e., its complement in $\mathfrak{Primes}$ is* **finite**]. *Let ${}^\circ J \subseteq {}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times$ be an* **infinite** *subgroup of ${}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times$. Write $\mathrm{Hom}({}^\circ J, {}^\bullet k^\times)$ for the* [*abelian*] *group consisting of homomorphisms of groups ${}^\circ J^\times \to {}^\bullet k^\times$. Then the homomorphism of groups*

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\phi\text{-PU}}({}^\circ k^\times, {}^\bullet k^\times) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}({}^\circ J, {}^\bullet k^\times)$$

induced by the natural inclusion ${}^\circ J \hookrightarrow {}^\circ k^\times$ is **injective**.

(iii) *The homomorphism of groups*

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{Primes}}\text{-PU}}({}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times, {}^\bullet\mathbb{Q}^\times) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathfrak{c}\text{-PU}}({}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times, {}^\bullet k^\times)$$

induced by the natural inclusion ${}^\bullet\mathbb{Q}^\times \hookrightarrow {}^\bullet k^\times$ is **bijective**.

PROOF. — First, we verify assertion (i). Let $\alpha\colon {}^\circ k^\times \to {}^\bullet k^\times$ be a *ϕ-PU-preserving* homomorphism such that $\alpha({}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times) = \{1\}$. To verify that $\alpha({}^\circ k^\times) = \{1\}$, let us take $x \in {}^\circ k^\times$ and ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in S[\phi; x]$ [cf. the notation of Lemma 2.1] that is *not PU-exceptional* for (α, ϕ) [cf. Definition 1.3, (i)]. Write ${}^\circ\mathfrak{p} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \phi({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}) \in {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$ and $\alpha_\mathfrak{p}\colon \kappa({}^\circ\mathfrak{p})^\times \to \kappa({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p})^\times$ for the homomorphism induced by α [cf. Lemma 1.5, (i)]. Then since $\sharp\kappa({}^\circ\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{c}({}^\circ\mathfrak{p})$ [cf. the definition of $S[\phi; x]$], and $\alpha({}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times) = \{1\}$, one verifies easily that $\alpha_\mathfrak{p}(\kappa({}^\circ\mathfrak{p})^\times) = \{1\}$, which thus implies that

$$\alpha(x) \pmod{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha_\mathfrak{p}(x \pmod{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}}) = 1\,.$$

Thus, by allowing ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}$ to *vary*, it follows immediately from Lemma 2.1 that $\alpha(x) = 1$. This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we verify assertion (ii). Let us first observe that it follows from assertion (i) that, to verify assertion (ii), by replacing ${}^\circ k$ by ${}^\circ\mathbb{Q}$, we may assume without loss of generality that ${}^\circ k = {}^\circ\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\alpha\colon {}^\circ k^\times = {}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times \to {}^\bullet k^\times$ be a *ϕ-PU-preserving* homomorphism such that $\alpha({}^\circ J) = \{1\}$. To verify that $\alpha({}^\circ k^\times) = \{1\}$, let us take $x \in {}^\circ k^\times = {}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times$ and $y \in {}^\circ J \setminus ({}^\circ J \cap \{1, -1\})$. Then let us observe that it follows immediately from Lemma 2.2, together with our assumption that the image of $\phi\colon {}^\bullet\mathcal{V} \to {}^\circ\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{Primes}$ is *cofinite*, that the subset $T \subseteq {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$ consisting of maximal ideals ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$ of ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}$ that satisfy the following condition is *infinite*: If we write ${}^\circ\mathfrak{p} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \phi({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p})$, then

- ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}$ is *not PU-exceptional* for (α, ϕ),
- x, $y \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})$, and
- the image of x in $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})/(1+{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})$ is *contained* in the subgroup of $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})/(1+{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})$ generated by the image of y in $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})/(1 + {}^\circ\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})$.

Let ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in T$ be an element of T. Then it follows immediately from the definition of T that there exists an integer n such that $x \cdot y^n \in 1 + {}^\circ\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus, since [we have assumed that] $\alpha({}^\circ J) = \{1\}$, it follows that $\alpha(x) = \alpha(x \cdot y^n) \in 1 + {}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}{}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}}$. In particular, since T is *infinite*, we conclude that $\alpha(x) \in \bigcap_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}\in T}(1 + {}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}{}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}}) = \{1\}$, i.e., $\alpha(x) = 1$. This completes the proof of assertion (ii).

Finally, we verify assertion (iii). The *injectivity* of the homomorphism under consideration follows immediately from the *injectivity* of the natural inclusion ${}^\bullet\mathbb{Q}^\times \hookrightarrow {}^\bullet k^\times$. Next, to verify the *surjectivity* of the homomorphism under consideration, let us take a *$\mathfrak{c}$-PU-preserving* homomorphism $\alpha\colon {}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times \to {}^\bullet k^\times$. Then it follows immediately from Lemma 2.3 that α *factors* through the subgroup ${}^\bullet\mathbb{Q}^\times \subseteq {}^\bullet k^\times$ of ${}^\bullet k^\times$; thus, we obtain a homomorphism ${}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times \to {}^\bullet\mathbb{Q}^\times$. On the other hand, since α is *$\mathfrak{c}$-PU-preserving*, one verifies immediately from Lemma 1.4 that this homomorphism ${}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times \to {}^\bullet\mathbb{Q}^\times$ is *$\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{Primes}}$-PU-preserving*. This completes the proof of assertion (iii). $\square$

REMARK 2.4.1. — If, in the situation of Proposition 2.4, (ii), one replaces our assumption that "${}^\circ J$ is *infinite*" by the assumption that "${}^\circ J$ is *nontrivial*", then the conclusion no longer hold. Indeed, one verifies easily that the *distinct* two endomorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}^\times$ obtained by mapping $x \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ to $x \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, $x^3 \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, respectively, are *contained* in $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{Primes}}\text{-PU}}(\mathbb{Q}^\times, \mathbb{Q}^\times)$ and *coincide* on the *nontrivial* subgroup $\{1, -1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^\times$.

THEOREM 2.5. — *For $\Box \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$, let ${}^{\Box}k$ be a* **number field** *[i.e., a finite extension of the field of rational numbers]; write ${}^{\Box}\mathcal{V}$ for the set of maximal ideals of the ring of integers of ${}^{\Box}k$ [i.e., the set of nonarchimedean primes of ${}^{\Box}k$] and ${}^{\Box}\mathbb{Q} \subseteq {}^{\Box}k$ for the [uniquely determined] subfield of ${}^{\Box}k$ that is isomorphic to the field of rational numbers. Let*

$$\alpha \colon {}^{\circ}k^{\times} \longrightarrow {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$$

be a homomorphism between the multiplicative groups of ${}^{\circ}k$, ${}^{\bullet}k$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) *The homomorphism α arises from a* **homomorphism of fields** *${}^{\circ}k \to {}^{\bullet}k$.*

(2) *The homomorphism α is* **CPU-preserving** *[cf. Definition* 1.3, (ii)*], and, moreover, there exists an $x \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^{\times}$ such that the "x" in ${}^{\circ}k$ maps, via α, to the "x" in ${}^{\bullet}k$.*

(3) *The homomorphism α is* **PU-preserving** *[cf. Definition* 1.3, (i)*], and, moreover, the restriction ${}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \to {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ of α to ${}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \subseteq {}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ arises from a* **homomorphism of fields** *${}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q} \to {}^{\bullet}k$.*

PROOF. — The implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) follows immediately from Lemma 1.4, together with the various definitions involved. Next, we verify the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Suppose that condition (2) is satisfied. Let us first observe that it follows from Lemma 1.8 that, to verify the implication under consideration, by replacing ${}^{\circ}k$ by ${}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}$, we may assume without loss of generality that ${}^{\circ}k = {}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}$. Next, let us observe that it follows from Proposition 2.4, (iii), that, to verify the implication under consideration, by replacing ${}^{\bullet}k$ by ${}^{\bullet}\mathbb{Q}$, we may assume without loss of generality that ${}^{\bullet}k = {}^{\bullet}\mathbb{Q}$. Then since the isomorphism ${}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\bullet}\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ determined by the *identity automorphism* of $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ is *contained* in $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{Primes}}\text{-PU}}({}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}^{\times}, {}^{\bullet}\mathbb{Q}^{\times})$, the implication under consideration follows immediately from Proposition 2.4, (ii). This completes the proof of the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3).

Finally, we verify the implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Suppose that condition (3) is satisfied. Let $\phi \colon {}^{\bullet}\mathcal{V} \to {}^{\circ}\mathcal{V}$ be such that α is *ϕ-PU-preserving*. Now let us observe that one verifies easily that, to verify the implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1), it suffices to verify that the following assertion holds:

> Claim 2.5.A: For x, $y \in {}^{\circ}k^{\times}$, if $x + y = 0$ (respectively, $x + y \neq 0$), then $\alpha(x) + \alpha(y) = 0$ (respectively, $\alpha(x + y) = \alpha(x) + \alpha(y)$).

The remainder of the proof of the implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is devoted to verifying Claim 2.5.A.

Now let us observe that since the restriction $\alpha|_{{}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}^{\times}} \colon {}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \to {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ arises from a *homomorphism of fields* ${}^{\circ}\mathbb{Q} \to {}^{\bullet}k$, one verifies easily that the "-1" in ${}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ maps, via α, to the "-1" in ${}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$; in particular, if $x + y = 0$ [i.e., $y = -x$], then $\alpha(x) + \alpha(y) = 0$ [i.e., $\alpha(y) = -\alpha(x)$]. Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that $x + y \neq 0$. Then, to complete the verification of Claim 2.5.A, I claim that the following assertion holds:

> Claim 2.5.B: Let ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in S[\phi; x, y, x + y]$ [cf. the notation of Lemma 2.1] be such that ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}$ is *not PU-exceptional* for (α, ϕ) [cf. Definition 1.3, (i)]. Then it holds that
>
> $$\alpha(x + y) \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}}) = \alpha(x) + \alpha(y) \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{o}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}})\,.$$

Indeed, write ${}^\circ\mathfrak{p} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \phi({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}) \in {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$. Then let us observe that since $\sharp\kappa({}^\circ\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{c}({}^\circ\mathfrak{p})$, there exist $x_\mathbb{Q}$, $y_\mathbb{Q} \in {}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that $x_\mathbb{Q}$, $y_\mathbb{Q}$, $x_\mathbb{Q} + y_\mathbb{Q} \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})$, and, moreover, the images of $x_\mathbb{Q}$, $y_\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})/(1 + {}^\circ\mathfrak{p}{}^\circ\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})$ *coincide* with the images of x, y in $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})/(1 + {}^\circ\mathfrak{p}{}^\circ\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})$, respectively. Thus, the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(x+y) \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}{}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}}) &= \alpha_\mathfrak{p}(x+y \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^\circ\mathfrak{p}{}^\circ\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})) \\
&= \alpha_\mathfrak{p}(x_\mathbb{Q} + y_\mathbb{Q} \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^\circ\mathfrak{p}{}^\circ\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})) \\
&= \alpha(x_\mathbb{Q} + y_\mathbb{Q}) \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}{}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}}) \\
&= \alpha(x_\mathbb{Q}) + \alpha(y_\mathbb{Q}) \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}{}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}}) \\
&= \alpha_\mathfrak{p}(x_\mathbb{Q} \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^\circ\mathfrak{p}{}^\circ\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})) + \alpha_\mathfrak{p}(y_\mathbb{Q} \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^\circ\mathfrak{p}{}^\circ\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})) \\
&= \alpha_\mathfrak{p}(x \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^\circ\mathfrak{p}{}^\circ\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})) + \alpha_\mathfrak{p}(y \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^\circ\mathfrak{p}{}^\circ\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}})) \\
&= \alpha(x) \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}{}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}}) + \alpha(y) \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}{}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}}) \\
&= \alpha(x) + \alpha(y) \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 1 + {}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}{}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}})
\end{aligned}$$

— where we write $\alpha_\mathfrak{p} \colon \kappa({}^\circ\mathfrak{p})^\times \to \kappa({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p})^\times$ for the homomorphism induced by α [cf. Lemma 1.5, (i)]; the first, third, fifth, and seventh equalities follow immediately from the definition of $\alpha_\mathfrak{p}$; the second and sixth equalities follow immediately from the choices of $x_\mathbb{Q}$, $y_\mathbb{Q}$; the fourth equality follows immediately from our assumption that $\alpha|_{{}^\circ\mathbb{Q}^\times}$ arises from a *homomorphism of fields* ${}^\circ\mathbb{Q} \to {}^\bullet k$; the eighth equality follows immediately from the various definitions involved. This completes the proof of Claim 2.5.B.

Thus, by allowing ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}$ to *vary*, it follows immediately from Claim 2.5.B, together with Lemma 2.1, that Claim 2.5.A holds. This completes the proof of Claim 2.5.A, hence also of the implication (3) ⇒ (1). □

REMARK 2.5.1. — If, in the situation of Theorem 2.5, one replaces "$\mathbb{Q}^\times \setminus \mathbb{Z}^\times$" in condition (2) by either "$\mathbb{Q}^\times$" or "$\mathbb{Q}^\times \setminus \{1\}$", then the conclusion no longer hold. Indeed, one verifies easily that the automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}^\times$ obtained by mapping $x \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ to $x^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ is *CPU-preserving*, maps $-1 \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ to $-1 \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, but does *not arise from a homomorphism of fields* $\mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}$.

3. UCHIDA'S LEMMA FOR NUMBER FIELDS

In the present §3, we prove analogues of *Uchida's lemma* reviewed in Introduction for *number fields* [cf. Theorem 3.1; Corollary 3.3 below].

THEOREM 3.1. — *For* $\square \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$, *let* ${}^\square k$ *be a* **number field** [*i.e., a finite extension of the field of rational numbers*]; *write* ${}^\square\mathfrak{o} \subseteq {}^\square k$ *for the ring of integers of* ${}^\square k$ *and* ${}^\square\mathcal{V}$ *for the set of maximal ideals of* ${}^\square\mathfrak{o}$ [*i.e., the set of nonarchimedean primes of* ${}^\square k$]. *Write* $\mathfrak{Primes}$ *for the set of all prime numbers. Let*

$$\alpha \colon {}^\circ k^\times \longrightarrow {}^\bullet k^\times$$

be a homomorphism between the multiplicative groups of ${}^\circ k$, ${}^\bullet k$. *Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *The homomorphism* α *arises from a* **homomorphism of fields** ${}^\circ k \to {}^\bullet k$.

(2) *There exists a map* $\phi\colon {}^\bullet\mathcal{V} \to {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$ *over* $\mathfrak{Primes}$ [*relative to, for each* $\Box \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$, *the map* ${}^\Box\mathcal{V} \to \mathfrak{Primes}$ *obtained by mapping* ${}^\Box\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\Box\mathcal{V}$ *to the residue characteristic of* ${}^\Box\mathfrak{p}$] *such that, for* ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$, *if we write* ${}^\circ\mathfrak{p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}) \in {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$, *then the following hold:*

(a) *For* $\Box \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$, *if we write* $\mathrm{ord}_{\Box\mathfrak{p}}\colon {}^\Box k^\times \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ *for the* [*uniquely determined*] *surjective valuation of* ${}^\Box k$ *associated to* ${}^\Box\mathfrak{p}$, *then it holds that*

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\circ\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{ord}_{\bullet\mathfrak{p}} \circ \alpha$$

for **infinitely many** ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$.

(b) *For* $\Box \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$, *if we write* ${}^\Box\mathfrak{o}_{\Box\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq {}^\Box k$ *for the localization of* ${}^\Box\mathfrak{o}$ *at the maximal ideal* ${}^\Box\mathfrak{p} \subseteq {}^\Box\mathfrak{o}$, *then it holds that*

$$1 + {}^\circ\mathfrak{p}^\circ\mathfrak{o}_{\circ\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(1 + {}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}_{\bullet\mathfrak{p}})$$

for **all but finitely many** ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$.

PROOF. — The implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) follows immediately from Lemma 1.4, together with the well-known fact that the finite extension ${}^\bullet k/{}^\circ k$ [determined by the homomorphism of fields ${}^\circ k \to {}^\bullet k$] is *unramified at all but finitely many nonarchimedean primes*. Next, we verify the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Suppose that condition (2) is satisfied. Now since α is *CPU-preserving* [cf. conditions (b)], it follows from the equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) of Theorem 2.5 that, to verify the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1), it suffices to verify that the following assertion holds:

> Claim 3.1.A: There exists an $x \in \mathbb{Q}^\times \setminus \mathbb{Z}^\times$ such that the "x" in ${}^\circ k$ maps, via α, to the "x" in ${}^\bullet k$.

The remainder of the proof of the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is devoted to verifying Claim 3.1.A.

Now let us observe that since α is *CPU-preserving* [cf. condition (b)], it follows immediately from Lemma 1.8, together with the well-known fact that the finite extension ${}^\circ k/{}^\circ\mathbb{Q}$ is *unramified at all but finitely many nonarchimedean primes*, that, to verify Claim 3.1.A, by replacing ${}^\circ k$ by ${}^\circ\mathbb{Q}$, we may assume without loss of generality that ${}^\circ k = {}^\circ\mathbb{Q}$. Next, let us observe that again by the fact that α is *CPU-preserving* [cf. condition (b)], it follows immediately from Proposition 2.4, (iii), that, by replacing ${}^\bullet k$ by ${}^\bullet\mathbb{Q}$, we may assume without loss of generality that ${}^\bullet k = {}^\bullet\mathbb{Q}$. In particular, one verifies immediately from Remark 1.1.1 that ϕ is the *identity automorphism* of $\mathfrak{Primes}$.

Let $S_{(\mathrm{b})} \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$ be a *cofinite* [i.e., its complement in $\mathfrak{Primes}$ is *finite*] subset of $\mathfrak{Primes}$ such that the displayed inclusion of condition (b) for ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in S_{(\mathrm{b})} \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes} = {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$ holds and $S_{(\mathrm{a}),(\mathrm{b})} \subseteq S_{(\mathrm{b})}$ an *infinite* subset of $S_{(\mathrm{b})}$ such that the displayed equality of condition (a) for ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in S_{(\mathrm{a}),(\mathrm{b})} \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes} = {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$ holds. Then it follows immediately from Lemma 1.5, (i), that, for each ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in S_{(\mathrm{b})}$, there exists a [uniquely determined] [not necessarily positive] integer $n_{\bullet\mathfrak{p}}$ such that the equality

$$n_{\bullet\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \mathrm{ord}_{\circ\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{ord}_{\bullet\mathfrak{p}} \circ \alpha$$

holds. [Thus, if ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in S_{(\mathrm{a}),(\mathrm{b})}$, then $n_{\bullet\mathfrak{p}} = 1$.]

For $\Box \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$ and ${}^\Box\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\Box\mathcal{V}$, write $J_{\Box\mathfrak{p}}$ ($\simeq \mathbb{Z}$) $\subseteq {}^\Box k^\times$ for the subgroup of ${}^\Box k^\times$ generated by the [element of ${}^\Box k^\times = {}^\Box\mathbb{Q}^\times$ corresponding to the] residue characteristic $\mathfrak{c}({}^\Box\mathfrak{p})$ of ${}^\Box\mathfrak{p}$ [i.e., $J_{\Box\mathfrak{p}} =$ "$\mathfrak{c}({}^\Box\mathfrak{p})^{\mathbb{Z}}$"]. Then one verifies easily that the various inclusions $J_{\Box\mathfrak{p}} \hookrightarrow {}^\Box k^\times$ and the inclusion ${}^{\square}k^{\times}_{\mathrm{tor}} \hookrightarrow {}^{\square}k^{\times}$ [where we write ${}^{\square}k^{\times}_{\mathrm{tor}} \subseteq {}^{\square}k^{\times}$ for the *maximal torsion subgroup* of ${}^{\square}k^{\times}$, i.e., ${}^{\square}k^{\times} =$"$\{1,-1\}$"] determine an *isomorphism* of abelian groups

$$ {}^{\square}k^{\times}_{\mathrm{tor}} \oplus \Big(\bigoplus_{{}^{\square}\mathfrak{p} \in {}^{\square}\mathcal{V}} J_{{}^{\square}\mathfrak{p}} \Big) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\square}k^{\times} . $$

Write $\beta \colon {}^{\circ}k^{\times} \to {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ for the homomorphism defined as follows [cf. the above displayed isomorphism]:

- β maps the "-1" in ${}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ to the "-1" in ${}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$.
- If ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \notin S_{(\mathrm{b})}$, then β maps the "$\mathfrak{c}(\phi({}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}))$" in ${}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ to the "$\mathfrak{c}({}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p})$" in ${}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$.
- If ${}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in S_{(\mathrm{b})}$, then β maps the "$\mathfrak{c}(\phi({}^{\circ}\mathfrak{p}))$" in ${}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ to the "$\mathfrak{c}({}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p})^{n_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}}}$" in ${}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ [where we refer to the discussion at the final portion of the preceding paragraph concerning "$n_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}}$"].

Write, moreover, $\gamma \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \alpha \cdot \beta^{-1} \colon {}^{\circ}k^{\times} \to {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ for the product of α and β^{-1}. Then one verifies immediately from the definition of β, together with the various definitions involved, that

(i) the composite

$$ {}^{\circ}k^{\times} \xrightarrow{\gamma} {}^{\bullet}k^{\times} \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in S_{(\mathrm{b})}} \mathrm{ord}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}}} \bigoplus_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in S_{(\mathrm{b})}} \mathbb{Z} $$

is *trivial*, i.e., the homomorphism γ *factors* through the kernel ${}^{\bullet}k^{\times}_{\mathrm{tor}} \oplus \big(\bigoplus_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \notin S_{(\mathrm{b})}} J_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}}\big) \subseteq {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ of $\bigoplus_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in S_{(\mathrm{b})}} \mathrm{ord}_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}}$, and, moreover,

(ii) the kernel $\mathrm{Ker}(\gamma) \subseteq {}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ of γ *coincides* with the subgroup of ${}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ consisting of elements $x \in {}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ such that $\alpha(x) = \beta(x)$.

Now let us observe that the kernel ${}^{\bullet}k^{\times}_{\mathrm{tor}} \oplus \big(\bigoplus_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \notin S_{(\mathrm{b})}} J_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p}}\big) \subseteq {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ discussed in (i) is of *finite rank*, and $S_{(\mathrm{a}),(\mathrm{b})}$ is *infinite*. Thus, by considering the composite of the natural inclusion $\bigoplus_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in S_{(\mathrm{a}),(\mathrm{b})}} J_{\phi({}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p})} \hookrightarrow {}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ and the homomorphism γ, we conclude from (i), (ii), together with the various definitions involved, that there exists a *nontorsion* element $x \in (\bigoplus_{{}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p} \in S_{(\mathrm{a}),(\mathrm{b})}} J_{\phi({}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{p})} \subseteq)\ {}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ such that $\alpha(x) = x$. This completes the proof of Claim 3.1.A, hence also of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. — *For* $\square \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$*, let* ${}^{\square}k$ *be a* **number field** [*i.e., a finite extension of the field of rational numbers*]. *Let*

$$ \alpha \colon {}^{\circ}k^{\times} \twoheadrightarrow {}^{\bullet}k^{\times} $$

be a **surjection** *between the multiplicative groups of* ${}^{\circ}k$, ${}^{\bullet}k$. *Then it holds that either* α *or the composite* $(-)^{-1} \circ \alpha$ [*i.e., the surjection* ${}^{\circ}k^{\times} \twoheadrightarrow {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$ *obtained by mapping* $x \in {}^{\circ}k^{\times}$ *to* $\alpha(x)^{-1} \in {}^{\bullet}k^{\times}$] *arises from an* **isomorphism of fields** ${}^{\circ}k \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\bullet}k$ *if and only if the surjection* α *is* **SPU-preserving** [*cf. Definition* 1.3*,* (i)].

Proof. — The *necessity* follows from Lemma 1.4. Next, we verify the *sufficiency*. Suppose that α is *SPU-preserving*. Then one verifies immediately from Lemma 1.5, (ii), that either α or the composite $(-)^{-1} \circ \alpha$ satisfies condition (2) of the statement of Theorem 3.1. In particular, the *sufficiency* under consideration follows from Theorem 3.1. This completes the proof of Corollary 3.2. $\square$

COROLLARY 3.3. — *For* $\square \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$*, let* ${}^\square k$ *be a* **number field** [*i.e., a finite extension of the field of rational numbers*]*; write* ${}^\square\mathfrak{o} \subseteq {}^\square k$ *for the ring of integers of* ${}^\square k$ *and* ${}^\square\mathcal{V}$ *for the set of maximal ideals of* ${}^\square\mathfrak{o}$ [*i.e., the set of nonarchimedean primes of* ${}^\square k$]*. Let*

$$\alpha \colon {}^\circ k^\times \twoheadrightarrow {}^\bullet k^\times$$

be a **surjection** *between the multiplicative groups of* ${}^\circ k$, ${}^\bullet k$*. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *The surjection* α *arises from an* **isomorphism of fields** ${}^\circ k \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^\bullet k$.

(2) *There exists a map* $\phi \colon {}^\bullet\mathcal{V} \to {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$ *such that, for* ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$*, if we write* ${}^\circ\mathfrak{p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi({}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}) \in {}^\circ\mathcal{V}$*, then the following hold:*

(a) *For* $\square \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$*, if we write* $\mathrm{ord}_{{}^\square\mathfrak{p}} \colon {}^\square k^\times \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ *for the* [*uniquely determined*] *surjective valuation of* ${}^\square k$ *associated to* ${}^\square\mathfrak{p}$*, then there exist a maximal ideal* ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$ *of* ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}$ *and a* **positive** *integer* n *such that*

$$n \cdot \mathrm{ord}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{ord}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}} \circ \alpha\,.$$

(b) *For* $\square \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$*, if we write* ${}^\square\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\square\mathfrak{p}}$ *for the localization of* ${}^\square\mathfrak{o}$ *at the maximal ideal* ${}^\square\mathfrak{p} \subseteq {}^\square\mathfrak{o}$*, then it holds that*

$$1 + {}^\circ\mathfrak{p}\,{}^\circ\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\circ\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha^{-1}(1 + {}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}\,{}^\bullet\mathfrak{o}_{{}^\bullet\mathfrak{p}})$$

for all but finitely many ${}^\bullet\mathfrak{p} \in {}^\bullet\mathcal{V}$.

PROOF. — This follows immediately from Corollary 3.2, together with the various definitions involved. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] S. Mochizuki, *Topics in Absolute Anabelian Geometry III: Global Reconstruction Algorithms*, RIMS Preprint **1626** (March 2008).

[2] P. Moree and P. Stevenhagen, A two-variable Artin conjecture, *J. Number Theory* **85** (2000), 291-304.

[3] A. Tamagawa, The Grothendieck conjecture for affine curves, *Compositio Math.* **109** (1997), 135-194.

[4] K. Uchida, Isomorphisms of Galois groups of algebraic function fields, *Ann. of Math.* **106** (1977), 589-598.

(Yuichiro Hoshi) RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: yuichiro@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp