RIMS-1778

Bisubmodular Polyhedra, Simplicial Divisions, and Discrete Convexity

By

Satoru FUJISHIGE

April 2013

京都大学　数理解析研究所

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

KYOTO UNIVERSITY, Kyoto, Japan

Bisubmodular Polyhedra, Simplicial Divisions, and Discrete Convexity

SATORU FUJISHIGE

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
fujishig@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

April 15, 2013

Abstract

We consider a class of integer-valued discrete convex functions, called BS-convex functions, defined on integer lattices whose affinity domains are sets of integral points of integral bisubmodular polyhedra. We examine discrete structures of BS-convex functions and give a characterization of BS-convex functions in terms of their convex conjugate functions by means of (discordant) Freudenthal simplicial divisions of the dual space.

1. Introduction

Kazuo Murota [9] has developed the theory of discrete convex functions such as M- and $M^\natural$-convex functions and L- and $L^\natural$-convex functions (also see [7, Chapter VII]). The class of integer-valued such discrete convex functions defined on integer lattices is the most fundamental, where $M^\natural$-convex functions have generalized polymatroids as their affinity domains and $L^\natural$-convex functions have convex extensions with respect to the Freudenthal simplicial divisions.

We consider a class of integer-valued discrete convex functions, called BS-convex functions, which are defined on integer lattices and whose affinity domains are sets of integral points of integral bisubmodular polyhedra. We give a characterization of BS-convex functions by means of the Freudenthal simplicial divisions and the Union-Jack simplicial divisions of the dual space.

2. Bisubmodular polyhedra

Let V be a finite nonempty set and 3^V be the set of ordered pairs (X, Y) of disjoint subsets $X, Y \subseteq V$. Denote by $\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ the set of integers and that of reals, respectively. Also define $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z} = \{\frac{k}{2} \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Any element in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$ is called *half-integral* and is called a *half-integer* if it is not an integer. Any vector x in $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z})^V$ is called *half-integral* and is called *integral* if $x(v)$ is an integer for each $v \in V$. For any $X \subseteq V$ define $\chi_X \in \{0, 1\}^V$ to be the characteristic vector of X, i.e., $\chi_X(v) = 1$ for $v \in X$ and $\chi_X(v) = 0$ for $v \in V \setminus X$. When X is a singleton $\{w\}$, we also write χ_w as $\chi_{\{w\}}$. For any $x \in \mathbf{R}^V$ and $X \subseteq V$ define $x(X) = \sum_{v \in X} x(v)$, where $x(\emptyset) = 0$.

Let $f : 3^V \to \mathbf{R}$ be a *bisubmodular function*, i.e., for every $(X, Y), (W, Z) \in 3^V$ we have

$$f(X, Y) + f(W, Z) \geq f((X, Y) \sqcup (W, Z)) + f((X, Y) \sqcap (W, Z)), \tag{2.1}$$

where $(X, Y) \sqcup (W, Z) = ((X \cup W) \setminus (Y \cup Z), (Y \cup Z) \setminus (X \cup W))$ and $(X, Y) \sqcap (W, Z) = (X \cap W, Y \cap Z)$. We assume $f(\emptyset, \emptyset) = 0$. Define

$$\mathrm{P}(f) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^V \mid \forall (X, Y) \in 3^V : x(X) - x(Y) \leq f(X, Y)\}, \tag{2.2}$$

which is called the *bisubmodular polyhedron* associated with f. When f is integer-valued, we call the set $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{Z}}(f)$ of all the integral points of $\mathrm{P}(f)$ a *BS-convex set* (BS stands for 'bisubmodular'). Note that the convex hull of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{Z}}(f)$ is equal to $\mathrm{P}(f)$ (see [3, 4] and [7, Sect. 3.5.(b)]). Occasionally we identify a BS-convex set with its corresponding bisubmodular polyhedron.

Now consider an integer-valued function $g : \mathbf{Z}^V \to \mathbf{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ on the integer lattice $\mathbf{Z}^V$. Suppose that for every vector $\mu : V \to \mathbf{R}$ the convex hull of the affinity (or linearity) domain given by

$$\mathrm{Argmin}\{g(x) - \langle \mu, x \rangle \mid x \in \mathbf{Z}^V\}, \tag{2.3}$$

if nonempty, is a BS-convex set. Then we call g a *BS-convex function*. Note that every face of a bisubmodular polyhedron (or a BS-convex set) is a bisubmodular polyhedron (or a BS-convex set).

We have the following theorem, which can be shown by using characterizations of base polyhedra due to Tomizawa [7, Th. 17.1] and of bisubmodular polyhedra due to Ando and Fujishige [1]. We define an *edge vector* to be an edge-direction vector identified up to non-zero scalar multiplication.

Theorem 1: *A pointed polyhedron Q is a bisubmodular polyhedron if and only if every edge vector of Q has at most two nonzero components that are equal to* 1 *or* -1.

3. BS-convex functions

Now, let us examine the combinatorial structures of BS-convex functions. Let $g : \mathbf{Z}^V \to \mathbf{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a BS-convex function. In the sequel we suppose that the effective domain of BS-convex function g is full-dimensional and every affinity domain of g is pointed.

Consider an affinity domain Q, of g, of full dimension and suppose that the affine function supporting g on Q is given by

$$y = \langle \mu, x \rangle + \alpha. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that μ is the gradient vector of g on Q.

Let q be an extreme point of Q. Then we have a signed poset $\mathcal{P}(q) = (V, A(q))$ that expresses the signed exchangeability associated with q for Q (see [1, 2, 6]). Signed poset $\mathcal{P}(q)$ has possible bidirected arcs a as follows:

(a) $a = u{+}{-}v$ for distinct vertices $u, v \in V$, which means that $q + \chi_u - \chi_v \in Q$.

(b) $a = u{+}{+}v$ for vertices $u, v \in V$, which means that $q + \chi_u + \chi_v \in Q$ if $u \neq v$, and $q + \chi_u \in Q$ if $u = v$.

(c) $a = u{-}{-}v$ for vertices $u, v \in V$, which means that $q - \chi_u - \chi_v \in Q$ if $u \neq v$, and $q - \chi_u \in Q$ if $u = v$.

For any arc $a = u{\pm}{\pm}v$ define $\partial a = \pm\chi_u \pm \chi_v$ if $u \neq v$, and $\partial a = \pm\chi_u$ if $u = v$. Note that (a), (b), and (c) mean that for any arc $a \in A(q)$ we have $q + \partial a \in Q$.

For a half-integral vector $x \in (\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z})^V$ we call $U_0 = \{v \in V \mid x(v) \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ the *integer support* of x and $U_1 = V \setminus U_0$ the *half-integer support* of x, respectively.

Then we have the following.

Theorem 2: *Let $g : \mathbf{Z}^V \to \mathbf{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a BS-convex function. For every affinity domain Q of g of full dimension the gradient vector μ of g on Q and the constant α in* (3.1) *are half-integral, and for the half-integer support U_1 of μ we have even $z(U_1)$ for all $z \in Q$ or odd $z(U_1)$ for all $z \in Q$ according as α is an integer or a half-integer.*

PROOF: Since Q is full-dimensional, letting q be an extreme point of Q, the gradient vector μ is the unique solution of the following system of linear equations with integral right-hand sides:

$$\langle \partial a, \mu \rangle = g(q + \partial a) - g(q) \qquad (\forall a \in A(q)), \tag{3.2}$$

which has a half-integral solution.

Moreover, it follows from the above argument that μ is expressed as $\mu_0 + \frac{1}{2}\chi_{U_1}$, where $\mu_0 = \lfloor \mu \rfloor$, the integral vector obtained from μ by rounding $\mu(v)$ $(v \in V)$ downward to the nearest integers. Then we have $g(z) = \langle \mu_0, z \rangle + \frac{1}{2}z(U_1) + \alpha$, which is an integer. Hence, α is half-integral, from which the latter part of the present theorem easily follows. $\square$

Example 3: *The set of four points*

$$Q = \{(0,0,0),(1,1,0),(1,0,1),(0,1,1)\}$$

in $\mathbf{Z}^3$ *is a BS-convex set due to Theorem* 1*. A linear function*

$$y = \frac{1}{2}\{x(1)+x(2)+x(3)\}$$

with a half-integer gradient takes on integers on Q *since* $x(1)+x(2)+x(3)$ *is even for all* $x \in Q$*. Actually* Q *is an even-parity delta-matroid* (*see* [3, 8]).

A BS-convex set $Q \subseteq \mathbf{Z}^V$ is said to have *constant parity* if $x(V)$ for all $x \in Q$ are even or are odd.

Conjecture 4: *Every constant-parity BS-convex set of full dimension is a translation of a delta-matroid.*

Note that BS-convex sets are exactly jump systems without any hole ([3, 8]) and that all the points of every constant-parity BS-convex set Q of full dimension lie on the boundary of the convex hull of Q.

4. BS-convex functions and Freudenthal simplicial divisions

For the unit hypercube $[0,1]^V$ a *Freudenthal cell* is defined as follows. Let $\lambda = (v_1, \cdots, v_n)$ be a permutation of V, where $n = |V|$. For each $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n$ denote by S_i the set of the first i elements of λ. Then the simplex formed by χ_{S_i} $(i = 0, 1, \cdots, n)$ is a Freudenthal cell. The collection of $n!$ such Freudenthal cells corresponding to permutations of V gives us the (*standard*) *Freudenthal simplicial division* of the unit hypercube $[0,1]^V$.

For any $S \subseteq V$, transforming the standard Freudenthal simplicial division of $[0,1]^V$ by making points χ_X correspond to points $\chi_{(X \setminus S) \cup (S \setminus X)}$ for all $X \subseteq V$, we get another simplicial division of $[0,1]^V$, which we call the *Freudenthal simplicial division reflected by* S and each cell of it a *Freudenthal cell reflected by* S.

The (*standard*) *Freudenthal simplicial division of* $\mathbf{R}^V$ is obtained by translations of the standard Freudenthal simplicial division of $[0,1]^V$ to translated unit hypercubes $[0,1]^V + z$ $(= [z, z+\chi_V])$ by all integral $z \in \mathbf{Z}^V$ (see Figure 1).



Figure 1. The Freudenthal simplicial division.



Figure 2. A discordant Freudenthal simplicial division D.

For each integral point $z \in \mathbf{Z}^V$ let us consider a Freudenthal simplicial division of $[0,1]^V + z$ reflected by a set (depending on z) in such a way that it gives us a simplicial division of $\mathbf{R}^V$. We call such a simplicial division of $\mathbf{R}^V$ a *discordant Freudenthal simplicial division* of $\mathbf{R}^V$ (see Figure 2). Given a discordant Freudenthal simplicial division D of $\mathbf{R}^V$, we call $f : \mathbf{Z}^V \to \mathbf{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ a *D-convex function* if the extension, denoted by $\hat{f}$, of f with respect to simplicial division D is convex on $\mathbf{R}^V$. The convex conjugate

$f^\bullet : \mathbf{R}^V \to \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ of f is defined by

$$f^\bullet(p) = \sup\{\langle p, x\rangle - f(x) \mid x \in \mathbf{Z}^V\} \qquad (\forall p \in \mathbf{R}^V). \tag{4.1}$$

The restriction of $f^\bullet$ on the integer lattice $\mathbf{Z}^V$ is denoted by $f^\bullet_{\mathbf{Z}}$.

Theorem 5: *Given a discordant Freudenthal simplicial division D of $\mathbf{R}^V$, let $f : \mathbf{Z}^V \to \mathbf{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a D-convex function having full-dimensional pointed affinity domains. Then $f^\bullet_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is a BS-convex function. Moreover, the gradient of $f^\bullet_{\mathbf{Z}}$ on every full-dimensional affinity domain is an integral vector.*

PROOF: Since facets of any (standard) Freudenthal cell have normal vectors of form $\chi_u - \chi_v$ for $u, v \in V$ with $u \neq v$ and $\pm\chi_v$ for $v \in V$ and since f has an integral gradient on every reflected Freudenthal cell, the present theorem follows from Theorem 1 and the definitions of $f^\bullet$ and $f^\bullet_{\mathbf{Z}}$. □

Now, for a discordant Freudenthal simplicial division D for integer lattice $\mathbf{Z}^V$ let us consider the simplicial division $\frac{1}{2}D$ for the half-integral lattice $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z})^V$. Then, Theorem 5 leads us to the following.

Corollary 6: *Consider any $\frac{1}{2}D$-convex function $f : (\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z})^V \to \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ having full-dimensional pointed affinity domains. Let Q be an affinity domain (a BS-convex set), of $f^\bullet$, of full dimension that corresponds to a point $p \in (\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z})^V$ giving a vertex of the epi-graph of $\hat{f}$. Then, the subdifferential $\partial f(p)$ of f at p (the affinity domain Q of $f^\bullet_{\mathbf{Z}}$ corresponding to p) is a BS-convex set.*

It should be noted that for any $\frac{1}{2}D$-convex function f (in Corollary 6) $f^\bullet_{\mathbf{Z}}$ defined on $\mathbf{Z}^V$ takes on values in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$, possibly half-integers.

Theorem 7: *Let $f : (\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z})^V \to \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a $\frac{1}{2}D$-convex function having full-dimensional pointed affinity domains. Suppose that for every point $p \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$ corresponding to a vertex of the epi-graph of $\hat{f}$, putting $Q = \partial f(p)$ and letting U_1 be the half-integer support of p, $z(U_1)$ is even for all $z \in Q$ or $z(U_1)$ is odd for all $z \in Q$ according as $f(p)$ is an integer or a half-integer. Then, $f^\bullet_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is a BS-convex function.*

PROOF: Note that for the affine function (3.1) that supports $f^\bullet$ on $Q = \partial f(p)$ we have $\mu = p$ and $\alpha = -f(p)$. We can thus see from the assumption that $f^\bullet_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is integer-valued (cf. Theorem 2). Hence the present theorem follows from Corollary 6. □

We call a $\frac{1}{2}D$-convex function f in Theorem 7 a *BS$^\bullet$-convex function*. From Theorems 2 and 7 we now have the following.

Theorem 8: *A function $g : \mathbf{Z}^V \to \mathbf{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a BS-convex function if and only if we have $g = f^\bullet_{\mathbf{Z}}$ for a BS$^\bullet$-convex function $f : (\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z})^V \to \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$.*

Let us denote by UJ the *Union-Jack simplicial division* for $\mathbf{Z}^V$ of $\mathbf{R}^V$. (The Union-Jack simplicial division is a discordant Freudenthal simplicial division obtained in a somewhat concordant way as follows. For each integral point $z \in \mathbf{Z}^V$ z is expressed as $z_0 + \chi_W$ where z_0 has all even values $z_0(v)$ $(v \in V)$ and W is a subset of V. Then consider a Freudenthal simplicial division of $[z, z + \chi_V]$ reflected by W.) Also denote by $\frac{1}{2}$UJ the half Union-Jack simplicial division for $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z})^V$ (see Figure 3). Similarly we define the quarter Union-Jack simplicial division $\frac{1}{4}$UJ for $(\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{Z})^V$. Then we have

Theorem 9: *Every discordant Freudenthal simplicial division D for $\mathbf{Z}^V$ of $\mathbf{R}^V$ is a coarsening of the half Union-Jack simplicial division $\frac{1}{2}UJ$ for $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z})^V$. Hence the class of the convex extensions of BS-convex functions is a subclass of the convex conjugate functions of $\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{Z}$-valued $\frac{1}{4}UJ$-convex functions for the* fixed *quarter Union-Jack simplicial division $\frac{1}{4}UJ$ for $(\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{Z})^V$.*



Figure 3. The half Union-Jack simplicial division $\frac{1}{2}$UJ.

5. Concluding Remarks

We have examined structures of BS-convex functions, which are integer-valued discrete convex functions having BS-convex sets (sets of integral points in integral bisubmodular polyhedra) as their affinity domains. We have shown the following relations.

$$\{D\text{-convex functions } (\forall D)\} \subset \{\text{BS}^\bullet\text{-convex functions}\}$$
$$\subset \{\tfrac{1}{2}D\text{-convex functions } (\forall D)\}$$

and by duality (or conjugacy)

$$\{D\text{-convex functions } (\forall D)\}^\bullet \subset \{\text{BS-convex functions}\} \subset \{\tfrac{1}{2}D\text{-convex functions } (\forall D)\}^\bullet,$$

where $\{f, \cdots\}^\bullet = \{f^\bullet, \cdots\}$. We also have

$$\{\tfrac{1}{2}D\text{-convex functions } (\forall D)\} \subset \{\tfrac{1}{4}\text{UJ-convex functions}\}.$$

Murota [10] considered M-convex functions on constant-parity jump systems, which are closely related to BS-convex functions since the convex hulls of BS-convex sets and of jump systems are both integral bisubmodular polyhedra (see [3, 8]). Domains of M-convex functions on jump systems considered in [10] may have holes. Moreover, the convex extension of such an M-convex function restricted on the underlying integer lattice may take on non-integral values on the holes. A special case of BS-convex functions defined on delta-matroids was also considered in [5, 11].

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Hiroshi Hirai, Shin-ichi Tanigawa, and Kenjiro Takazawa for their useful comments that improved the presentation. The present research is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 25280004.

References

[1] K. Ando and S. Fujishige: On structures of bisubmodular polyhedra. *Mathematical Programming* **74** (1996) 293–317.

[2] K. Ando, S. Fujishige, and T. Nemoto: Decomposition of a signed graph into strongly connected components and its signed poset structure. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **68** (1996) 237–248.

[3] A. Bouchet and W. H. Cunningham: Delta-matroids, jump systems, and bisubmodular polyhedra. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* **8** (1995) 17–32.

[4] R. Chandrasekaran and S. N. Kabadi: Pseudomatroids. *Discrete Mathematics* **71** (1988) 205–217.

[5] A. W. M. Dress and W. Wenzel: A greedy algorithm characterization of valuated Δ-matroids. *Applied Mathematics Letters* **4** (1991) 55–58.

[6] S. Fujishige: A min-max theorem for bisubmodular polyhedra. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* **10** (1997) 294–308.

[7] S. Fujishige: *Submodular Functions and Optimization* Second Edition, Elsevier, 2005.

[8] J. F. Geelen: Lectures on jump systems. Notes of lectures presented at the Center of Parallel Computing, University of Cologne, Germany, 1996.

[9] K. Murota: *Discrete Convex Analysis*, SIAM, 2003.

[10] K. Murota: M-convex functions on jump systems: a general framework for minsqure graph factor. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* **20** (2006) 213–226.

[11] K. Takazawa: Optimal matching forests and valuated delta-matroids. IPCO 2011, LNCS 6655, pp. 404–416.