RIMS-1875

On Q-deformations of Postnikov-Shapiro algebras

By

Anatol N. KIRILLOV and Greb NENASHEV

Aug 2017

京都大学　数理解析研究所

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

KYOTO UNIVERSITY, Kyoto, Japan

Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire **78B** (2017)
Article #55, 12 pp.

Proceedings of the 29th Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (London)

On Q-deformations of Postnikov-Shapiro algebras

Anatol N. Kirillov*[1] and Gleb Nenashev†[2]

[1]*Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences Kyoto University, Japan*
[1]*The Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe, Kashiwa, Japan*
[2]*Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, Sweden*

Abstract. For any given loopless graph G, we introduce Q - deformations of its Postnikov-Shapiro algebras counting spanning trees and forests. We determine the total dimension of the algebras; our proof also gives a new proof of the formula for the total dimensions of the usual Postnikov-Shapiro algebras.

Résumé. Pour tout graphe sans boucles G, nous introduisons Q - déformations de ses algèbres de Postnikov-Shapiro comptant les arbres et les forêts. Nous déterminons la dimension totale des algèbres; notre preuve donne aussi une nouvelle preuve des dimensions des algèbres usuelles de Postnikov-Shapiro.

Keywords: Commutative algebra, Spanning trees and forests, Score vectors

1 Introduction and main results

The Postnikov-Shapiro algebras (PS-algebras for short) have been introduced and studied in [10]. There are a few generalizations of those algebras: in [1] and [5], under the name *zonotopal algebras*, a generalization of PS-algebras algebra was introduced for (real) arrangements. In fact, this topic has its origin in earlier papers [12] and [11], which were motivated by the following problem posed by V. Arnold in [2]:

Describe algebra $\mathcal{C}_n$ generated by the curvature forms of tautological Hermitian linear bundles over the type A complete flag variety $\mathcal{F}l_n$.

Surprisingly enough, it was observed and conjectured in [12], that $\dim_Q \mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{F}_n$, where $\mathcal{F}_n$ denotes the number of spanning forests of the complete graph K_n on n labeled vertices. This conjecture has been proved in [11], and became a starting point for a wide variety of generalizations, including discovery of PS-algebras.

The PS-algebras have a number of interesting properties, including an explicit formula for their Hilbert polynomials. Also these algebras are related to Orlik-Terao algebras [9], for more details, see for example [3].

*kirillov@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp
†nenashev@math.su.se

In our paper we will use the following basic notation:

Notation 1. We fix a field of zero characteristic $\mathcal{K}$ (for example $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$).

We will work only with graphs without loops, but possibly with multiple edges. We denote by $E(G)$ and $V(G)$ the set of edges and vertices of G respectively. The cardinalities of $E(G)$ and $V(G)$ are denoted by $e(G)$ and $v(G)$ respectively. The number of connected components of G is denoted by $c(G)$.

We denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, (a-1), a\}$ by $[a]$.

The following algebra $\mathcal{C}_G$ (counting spanning forests) associated to an arbitrary vertex-labeled graph G was introduced in [10]. Let G be a graph without loops on the vertex set $[n]$. Let Φ_G be the graded commutative algebra over $\mathcal{K}$ generated by the variables $\phi_e, e \in G$, with the defining relations:

$$(\phi_e)^2 = 0, \quad \text{for every edge } e \in G.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_G$ be the subalgebra of Φ_G generated by the elements

$$X_i = \sum_{e \in G} c_{i,e} \phi_e,$$

for $i \in [n]$, where

$$c_{i,e} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e = (i,j),\ i < j; \\ -1 & \text{if } e = (i,j),\ i > j; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Observe that we assume that $\mathcal{C}_G$ contains 1.

Let us describe all relations between X_i. Namely given a graph G, consider the ideal J_G in the ring $\mathcal{K}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ generated by

$$p_I = \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^{d_I + 1},$$

where I ranges over all nonempty subsets of vertices, and d_I is the total number of edges between vertices in I and vertices outside I, i.e., belonging to $V(G) \setminus I$. Define the algebra $\mathcal{B}_G$ as the quotient $\mathcal{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/J_G$.

Theorem 1 (cf. [10])**.** *For any graph G, the algebras $\mathcal{B}_G$ and $\mathcal{C}_G$ are isomorphic, their total dimension over $\mathcal{K}$ is equal to the number of spanning forests in G.*

Moreover, the dimension of the k-th graded component of these algebras equals the number of spanning forests F of G with external activity $e(G) - e(F) - k$.

In particular, the second part of Theorem 1 implies that the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{C}_G$ is a specialization of the Tutte polynomial of G.

Corollary 1. *Given a graph G, the Hilbert polynomial $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}_G}(t)$ of the algebra $\mathcal{C}_G$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}_G}(t) = T_G\left(1+t, \frac{1}{t}\right) \cdot t^{e(G)-v(G)+c(G)}.$$

In the recent paper [7] the second author found the following important property of these algebras.

Theorem 2 (cf. [7])**.** *Given two graphs G_1 and G_2, the algebras $\mathcal{C}_{G_1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{G_2}$ are isomorphic if and only if the graphical matroids of G_1 and G_2 coincide. (The isomorphism can be thought of as either graded or non-graded, the statement holds in both cases.)*

Furthermore, the paper [8] contains a "K-theoretic" filtered structure of these algebras, which distinguishes graphs (see definition inside there).

The main object of study of the present paper is a family of Q-deformations of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ which we define as follows. For a graph G and a set of parameters $Q = \{q_e \in \mathcal{K} : \ e \in E(G)\}$, define $\Phi_{G,Q}$ as the commutative algebra generated by the variables $\{u_e : \ e \in E(G)\}$ satisfying

$$u_e^2 = q_e u_e, \text{ for every edge } \ e \in G.$$

Let $V(G) = [n]$ be the vertex set of a graph G. Define the *Q-deformation* $\Psi_{G,Q}$ of $\mathcal{C}_G$ as the filtered subalgebra of $\Phi_{G,Q}$ generated by the elements:

$$X_i = \sum_{e:\ i \in e} c_{i,e} u_e, \ i \in [n],$$

where $c_{i,e}$ are the same as in (1.1). The filtered structure on $\Psi_{G,Q}$ is induced by the elements X_i, $i \in [n]$. More concretely, the filtered structure is an increasing sequence

$$\mathcal{K} = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \ldots \subset F_m = \Psi_{G,Q}$$

of subspaces of $\Psi_{G,Q}$, where F_k is the linear span of all monomials $X_1^{\alpha_1} X_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n}$ such that $\alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n \leq k$. Note that algebra $\Phi_{G,Q}$ has a finite dimension, then $\Psi_{G,Q}$ has a finite dimension, which gives that the increasing sequence of subspaces is finite. The Hilbert polynomial of a filtered algebra is the Hilbert polynomial of the associated graded algebra, it has the following formula

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = 1 + \sum_{i=1} (dim(F_i) - dim(F_{i-1}))t^i.$$

In the case when all parameters coincide, i.e., $q_e = q$, $\forall e \in G$, we denote the corresponding algebras by $\Psi_{G,q}$ and $\Phi_{G,q}$ respectively We refer to $\Psi_{G,q}$ as the *Hecke deformation* of $\mathcal{C}_G$.

Remark 1. (i) *By definition, the algebra $\Psi_{G,0}$ coincides with $\mathcal{C}_G$.*

(ii) *If we change the signs of q_e, $e \in E'$ for some subset $E' \subseteq E$ of edges, we obtain an isomorphic algebra.*

(iii) *It is possible to write relations such as $u_e^2 = \beta_e$ or $u_e^2 = q_e u_e + \beta_e$ where $\beta_e \in \mathcal{K}$. But in the case of algebras counting spanning trees we need relations without constant terms, see Section 5.*

Example 1. (i) *Let G be a graph with two vertices, a pair of (multiple) edges a, b. Consider the Hecke deformation of its $\mathcal{C}_G$, i.e., satisfying $q_a = q_b = q$.*

The generators are $X_1 = a + b$, $X_2 = -(a + b) = -X_1$. One can easily check that the filtered structure is given by

$$F_0 = <1>; \;\; F_1 = <1, \; a + b>; \;\; F_2 = <1, \; a + b, \; ab>.$$

The Hilbert polynomial $\mathcal{H}(t)$ of $\Psi_{G,q}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = 1 + t + t^2.$$

The defining relation for X_1 is given by

$$X_1(X_1 - q)(X_1 - 2q) = 0.$$

(ii) *For the same graph as before, consider the case when $Q = \{q_a, q_b\}$, $q_a^2 \neq q_b^2$.*

The generators are the same: $X_1 = a + b$, $X_2 = -(a + b) = -X_1$. Since

$$X_1^3 = q_a^2 a + q_b^2 b + 3(q_a + q_b)ab = \frac{3(q_a + q_b)}{2} X_1^2 - \frac{q_a^2 + 3q_b^2}{2} a - \frac{3q_a^2 + q_b^2}{2} b$$
$$= \frac{3(q_a + q_b)}{2} X_1^2 - \frac{3q_a^2 + q_b^2}{2} X_1 + (q_a^2 - q_b^2)a,$$

we have

$$F_0 = <1>; \;\; F_1 = <1, \; a + b>; \;\; F_2 = <1, \; a + b, \; q_a a + q_b b + 2ab>; \;\; F_3 = <1, \; a, \; b, \; ab>.$$

The Hilbert polynomial $\mathcal{H}(t)$ of $\Psi_{G,Q}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = 1 + t + t^2 + t^3.$$

Observe that in this case the algebra $\Psi_{G,Q}$ coincides with the whole $\Phi_{G,Q}$ as a linear space, but has a different filtration. The defining relation for X_1 is given by

$$X_1(X_1 - q_a)(X_1 - q_b)(X_1 - q_a - q_b) = 0.$$

The first result of the present paper is about Hecke deformations.

Theorem 3. *For any loopless graph G, filtrations of its Hecke deformation $\Psi_{G,q}$ induced by X_i and induced by the algebra $\Phi_{G,q}$ coincide. Furthermore, the Hilbert polynomial $\mathcal{H}_{\Psi_{G,q}}(t)$ of this filtration is given by*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Psi_{G,q}}(t) = T_G\left(1+t, \frac{1}{t}\right) \cdot t^{e(G)-v(G)+c(G)},$$

i.e., it coincides with that of $\mathcal{C}_G$.

The latter result implies that cases when not all q_e are equal are more interesting than the case of the Hecke deformation. We will work with weighted graphs, i.e. when each edge e has non-zero $q_e \in \mathcal{K}$, and will simply denote the algebra for a weighted graph G by Ψ_G.

Definition 2. *For a loopless weighted graph G on n vertices and an orientation $\vec{G}$, define the score vector $D^+_{\vec{G}} \in \mathcal{K}^n$ as follows*

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{e\in E:\\ end(\vec{e})=1}} q_e, \sum_{\substack{e\in E:\\ end(\vec{e})=2}} q_e, \ldots, \sum_{\substack{e\in E:\\ end(\vec{e})=n}} q_e\right),$$

where $end(\vec{e})$ is the final vertex of oriented edge $\vec{e}$.

Theorem 4. *For any loopless weighted graph G, the dimension of the algebra Ψ_G is equal to the number of distinct score vectors, i.e.*

$$dim(\Psi_G) = \#\{D \in \mathcal{K}^n : \exists \vec{G} \text{ such that } D = D^+_{\vec{G}}\}.$$

As a consequence of Theorems 3 and 4, we obtain the following known property. (See bijective proofs in [6] and [4].)

Corollary 2. *For any graph G, the number of its spanning forests is equal to the number of distinct vectors of incoming degrees corresponding to its orientations.*

Our proof of Theorem 4 is very simple and it gives a new proof about total dimension of an original algebra. Unfortunately, our proof works only for weighted graphs (nonzero parameters). A zero parameter does not play role in score vectors, so we do not even have a conjecture.

Problem 1. *What is the dimension of $\Psi_{G,Q}$ in the case when some of q_e are non-zero and few are zero?*

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we prove Theorem 3 and discuss Hecke deformations. In Section 3 we describe the basis of Q-deformations and present a proof of Theorem 4. In Section 4 we consider "generic" cases and provide examples of Hilbert polynomials. In Section 5 we present Q-deformations of the Postnikov-Shapiro algebra which counts spanning trees instead of spanning forests.

2 Hecke deformations

Sketch of proof of Theorem 3. To settle this theorem, we need to show that if an element $y \in \Psi_{G,Q}$ has degree d, then it has the same degree in $\Phi_{G,Q}$.

Assume the opposite; then there exists an element $y = f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, where f is a polynomial of degree d, but y has degree less than d in its representation in terms of the edges u_e, $e \in G$.

Rewrite f as $f = f_d + f_{<d}$, where f_d is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d and $\deg f_{<d} < d$.

Let $\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_n$ be the elements in the algebra $\mathcal{C}_G = \Psi_{G,0}$ corresponding to the vertices. We conclude that $f_d(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_n)$ should vanish. Indeed, otherwise $\deg f_d(X_1, \dots, X_n) = d$ in $\Phi_{G,Q}$ and $\deg f_{<d}(X_1, \dots, X_n) < d$ which implies that $\deg f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = d$ in $\Phi_{G,Q}$.

By Theorem 1, we know all the relations between $\{\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_n\}$. Namely, they are of the form $(\sum_{i \in I} \widehat{X}_i)^{d_I+1}$, where I is an arbitrary subset of vertices and d_I is the number of edges between I and its complement $V(G) \setminus I$.

Using this, we obtain

$$f_d(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq V(G): \\ d_I \leq d-1}} r_I(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^{d_I+1},$$

where r_I is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d - d_I - 1$. However, it is possible to rewrite $(\sum_{i \in I} X_i)^{d_I+1}$ as an element of a smaller degree in terms of $\{X_i,\ i \in I\}$. Hence, there is polynomial g of degree less than d such that $y = g(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

The second part follows from the first one. It is enough to consider graded lexicographic orders of monomials in $\{u_e,\ e \in G\}$ and $\{\phi_e,\ e \in G\}$. For these orders, we have a natural bijection between the Gröbner bases of $\Psi_{G,q}$ and of $\mathcal{C}_G$. Hence, their Hilbert polynomials coincide. □

Corollary 2 shows that the dimension of a Hecke deformation is equal to the number of lattice points of the zonotope $Z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which is the Minkowski sum of edges, i.e,

$$Z_G := \bigoplus_{e \in G} I_e,$$

where, for edge $e = (i, j)$, I_e is the segment between points $(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{j-1}, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. In [5] Holtz and Ron defined the zonotopal algebra for any lattice zonotope, whose dimension is equal to the number of lattice points. By their definition PS-algebra $\mathcal{B}_G$ is the zonotopal algebra corresponding to Z_G. We think that Hecke deformations should be extended on a case of zonotopal algebras.

Problem 2. *Define Hecke deformations of zonotopal algebras.*

Since there is no definition of zonotopal algebras in terms of square-free algebras, we should work with quotient algebras. In the case of Hecke deformations of PS-algebras Proposition 9 from Section 3 gives all defining relations between elements X_i, $i \in [n]$.

Theorem 5. *Let G be a graph and $q \in \mathcal{K}$ ($q_e = q$, $\forall e \in G$). Then all defining relations between X_i, $i \in [n]$ are given by*

$$\prod_{k=-\overleftarrow{d}_I}^{\vec{d}_I} \left(\sum_{i \in I} X_i - qk \right) = 0,$$

where I is any subset of vertices and $\vec{d}_I$ (respectively $\overleftarrow{d}_I$) is the number of edges $e = (i,j) \in G$: $i \in I$, $j \notin I$ and $i > j$ (respectively $i < j$).

3 Basis of Q-deformations

For the next proofs, we need to describe a basis of the algebra Φ_G. For a subset E' of the edges, we define

$$\alpha_{E'} = \prod_{e \in E'} \frac{u_e}{q_e}.$$

Since $q_e \neq 0$ this basis is well defined. For an element $z = \sum_{E'} z_{E'} \alpha_{E'} \in \Phi_G$, we define the vector $\widetilde{z} = [\widetilde{z}_{E'}]_{E' \subseteq E} \in \mathcal{K}^{2^{e(G)}}$, where

$$\widetilde{z}_{E'} = \sum_{E'' \subseteq E'} z_{E''}.$$

It is clear that from this vector we can reconstruct z, also it is easy to describe the product on these coordinates. Furthermore the unit element I is given by $I := \widetilde{1} = [1]_{E' \subseteq E}$.

Lemma 6. *Elements corresponding to $[0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0]$ form a linear basis of Φ_G. This basis has the following property: let $y, z \in \Phi_G$, be elements of the algebra, then the sum of elements is the sum by coordinates*

$$\widetilde{(y+z)} = \widetilde{y} + \widetilde{z},$$

and the product is the Hadamard product of coordinates

$$\widetilde{(yz)} = \widetilde{y} \circ \widetilde{z}.$$

Consider the following bijection between subsets of $E(G)$ and orientations of G. For the subset $E' \subseteq E$ we define the following orientation: if $e \in E'$, then the orientation is from the biggest end to the smallest, otherwise the orientation is the opposite.

Lemma 7. *The element X_i in coordinates is given by*

$$\widetilde{X}_i = \left[\begin{array}{c} \\ D^+_{\vec{G}}(i) \\ \\ \end{array} \right]_{\vec{G}} - \left(\sum_{\substack{e \in E: \\ c_{i,e}=-1}} q_e \right) \cdot I,$$

where $D^+_{\vec{G}}(i)$ is i-th coordinate of a score vector $D^+_{\vec{G}}$.

We use in the proof of Theorem 4 the following elements

$$\widetilde{A}_i := \left[\begin{array}{c} \\ D^+_{\vec{G}}(i) \\ \\ \end{array} \right]_{\vec{G}}.$$

We need another technical lemma.

Lemma 8. *For an element $R \in \Phi_G$, the dimension of the space generated by R (i.e, span$<1, R, R^2, \dots>$) is equal to the number of different coordinates of the vector $\widetilde{R}$.*

Now we can prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. By Lemma 7 we can change the set of generators X_i, $i \in V(G)$ to the set A_i, $i \in V(G)$. If two orientations have the same score vector, then the corresponding coordinates in $\mathcal{I}$ and in $\widetilde{A}_i$, $i \in V(G)$ coincide. Using Lemma 6, we get that they coincide for any element from algebra Ψ_G, hence,

$$dim(\Psi_G) \leq \#\{D \in \mathcal{K}^n : \ \exists \vec{G} \text{ such that } D = D^+_{\vec{G}}\}.$$

For the converse, we consider an element

$$R = r_0 + r_1 A_1 + \ldots + r_n A_n,$$

where $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and are generic.

The coordinates $\widetilde{R}$ are non-zero and, for two orientations, they coincide if and only if their score vectors coincide. Then, by Lemma 8 the dimension of the subalgebra generated by R is equal to number of different score vectors. Since R belongs to Ψ_G, we obtain

$$dim(\Psi_G) \geq \#\{D \in \mathcal{K}^n : \ \exists \vec{G} \text{ such that } D = D^+_{\vec{G}}\},$$

which with the upper bound gives equality. □

Using Lemma 8 we can calculate the minimal annihilating polynomial for any linear combination of vertices.

Proposition 9. *Given a weighted graph G, for an element $X \cdot t = X_1 t_1 + \ldots + X_n t_n$, $t \in \mathcal{K}^n$ the minimal annihilating polynomial of it is given by*

$$\prod_{s \in \mathcal{D}_I} (X \cdot t - s + z) = 0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_I = \{D^+_{\vec{G}} \cdot t : \quad \vec{G}\} \quad \text{and} \quad z = \sum_{\substack{i,\, e: \\ c_{i,e} = -1}} q_e t_i.$$

In the case of Hecke deformations it gives all defining relations between X_i, $i \in V(G)$, see Theorem 5.

Problem 3. *Find all relations between X_i, $i \in V(G)$. In other words, define $\Psi_{G,Q}$ as a quotient algebra of the polynomial ring.*

4 Case $E = E_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup E_k$ and generic $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathcal{K}$

We cannot describe the Hilbert polynomial of $\Psi_{G,Q}$. We suggest to start from the following type of algebras: when different parameters are in a generic position. In this case we know the total dimension in terms of forests.

Theorem 10. *Let G be a graph, given a partition $E = E_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup E_k$ of edges and generic $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathcal{K}$ ($q_e = q_i$, for $e \in E_i$). Then the dimension of the algebra $\Psi_{G,Q}$ equals the number k-tuples of spanning forests such that $F_i \subseteq E_i$. In other words,*

$$\dim(\Psi_{G,Q}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \#\{F \subseteq E_i \mid \quad F \text{ is a forest}\}.$$

Problem 4. *What is the Hilbert polynomial $HS_{\Psi_{G,Q}}$ in the case $E = E_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup E_k$ and generic $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathcal{K}$?*

It seems that it is impossible to reconstruct the Hilbert polynomial from the Tutte polynomial. For example, let G be the graph on two vertices with k multiple edges, then its Tutte polynomial is given by

$$T_G(x, y) = x + y + \ldots + y^{k-1},$$

and the Hilbert polynomial, when each edge has a self generic parameter is

$$HS_{\Psi_{G,Q}} = 1 + t + \ldots + t^{2^k - 1}.$$

In each case it is not a specialization of the Tutte polynomial.

Here we present the Hilbert polynomial of algebras for complete graphs. Our tables correspond to algebras (1) with the same parameter; (2) with the same parameters except for one edge and (3) where all parameters are generic. By Theorem 10 we know their total dimensions, in the first case we also know the Hilbert polynomial.

4.1 Hilbert polynomials of $\mathcal{C}_{K_n}$ and $\Psi_{K_n,q}$

Graph $\backslash\mathcal{H}(t)$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
K_2	1	1									
K_3	1	2	3	1							
K_4	1	3	6	10	11	6	1				
K_5	1	4	10	20	35	51	64	60	35	10	1

4.2 Hilbert polynomials of $\Psi_{K_n,Q}$, when $E_1 = E(K_n)\backslash\{e\}$ and $E_2 = \{e\}$

Graph $\backslash\mathcal{H}(t)$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
K_2	1	1									
K_3	1	2	3	2							
K_4	1	3	6	10	13	11	4				
K_5	1	4	10	20	35	53	72	83	72	38	8

4.3 Hilbert polynomials of $\Psi_{K_n,Q}$, when Q is generic

Graph $\backslash\mathcal{H}(t)$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
K_2	1	1										
K_3	1	2	3	2								
K_4	1	3	6	10	15	19	10					
K_5	1	4	10	20	35	56	84	120	165	220	217	92

Note that in the last case for K_5, the 11^{th} graded component is not empty, because otherwise the total dimension would be at most $1+4+10+..+220+286 = 1001$, but by Theorem 4 the total dimension is $2^{\binom{5}{2}} = 1024$.

5 Deformations of Postnikov-Shapiro algebras counting spanning trees

To construct algebras counting spanning trees of G we need to add to the algebra $\Phi_{G,Q}$ several relations corresponding to cuts of G.

For a connected graph G with fixed vertex $g \in V(G)$ and a set of parameters $Q = \{q_e \in \mathcal{K} : \ e \in E(G)\}$, define $\Phi^T_{G,Q}$ as the commutative algebra generated by the variables $\{u_e : \ e \in E(G)\}$ satisfying

$$u_e^2 = q_e u_e, \text{ for every edge } \ e \in G;$$

$$\prod_{\substack{e=(i,j)\\ c_{i,e}=1}} \prod_{i\in I, j\notin I:} u_e \prod_{\substack{e=(i,j)\\ c_{i,e}=-1}} \prod_{i\in I, j\notin I:} (u_e - q_e) = 0, \text{ for every subset } I \subseteq V(G)\setminus\{g\}.$$

Let $V(G) = [n]$ be the vertex set of a graph G. Define the algebra $\Psi^T_{G,Q}$ as a filtered subalgebra of $\Phi^T_{G,Q}$ generated by the elements:

$$X_i = \sum_{e:\ i\in e} c_{i,e}u_e,\ i \in [n],$$

where $c_{i,e}$ are the same as in (1.1).

In the case when all parameters coincide, i.e., $q_e = q$, $\forall e \in G$, we denote the corresponding algebras by $\Psi^T_{G,q}$ and $\Phi^T_{G,q}$ respectively. The algebra $\Psi^T_{G,0}$ coincides with $\mathcal{C}^T_G$, the dimension of $\mathcal{C}^T_G$ is equal to the number of spanning trees (see [10]). We refer to $\Psi^T_{G,q}$ as the *Hecke deformation* of $\mathcal{C}^T_G$.

For these algebras, we have two similar theorems. The proof of Theorem 11 is similar to Theorem 3.

Theorem 11. *For any loopless connected graph G, the filtrations of its Hecke deformation $\Psi^T_{G,q}$ induced by X_i and induced from the algebra $\Phi^T_{G,q}$ coincide. Furthermore the Hilbert polynomial $\mathcal{H}_{\Psi^T_{G,q}}(t)$ of this filtration is given by*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Psi^T_{G,q}}(t) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}^T_G}(t) = T_G\left(1, \frac{1}{t}\right)\cdot t^{e(G)-v(G)+c(G)}.$$

Definition 3. *Orientation $\vec{G}$ is called a g-connected orientation if for any vertex there is a path to g. The corresponding score vector $D^+_{\vec{G}}$ is called a g-connected score vector.*

Theorem 12. *For any loopless weighted connected graph G with a root g, the dimension of the algebra Ψ^T_G is equal to the number of distinct g-connected score vectors.*

The proof of Theorem 12 is more complicated than Theorem 4, the key idea is that Ψ^T_G is a quotient algebra of Ψ_G.

Note that in Theorem 12 (unlike Theorem 4) it is not true that if we change signs of some q_e, the dimension remains the same. Also we do not have combinatorial analogue of Theorem 10.

Problem 5. *Let G be a connected graph with a root g, given a partition $E = E_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup E_k$ of edges and generic $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathcal{K}$ ($q_e = q_i$, for $e \in E_i$). Describe the dimension of the algebra $\Psi^T_{G,Q}$ in terms of trees and forests.*

Remark 2. *We can construct Q-deformations of internal algebras (see definitions in [1] and [5]), although there is no definition of internal algebra in terms of edges. For this we should add relations also for subsets $I \ni g$. These algebras count strong-connected score vectors, see more details inside full version.*

Acknowledgements

The authors want to thank Boris Shapiro for useful discussions. The first author is grateful to the department of mathematics at Stockholm University for the hospitality in October 2016 when this project was carried out.

References

[1] F. Ardila and A. Postnikov. "Combinatorics and geometry of power ideals". *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), pp. 4357–4384. DOI.

[2] V. I. Arnold. "Remarks on eigenvalues and eigenvectors of Hermitian matrices, Berry phase, adiabatic connections and quantum Hall effect". *Selecta Math.* **1** (1995), pp. 1–19. DOI.

[3] A. Berget. "Products of linear forms and Tutte polynomials". *European J. Combin.* **31** (2010), pp. 1924–1935. DOI.

[4] O. Bernardi. "Tutte polynomial, subgraphs, orientations and sandpile model: new connections via embeddings". *Electron. J. Combin.* **15** (2008), Art. #R109. URL.

[5] O. Holtz and A. Ron. "Zonotopal algebra". *Adv. Math.* **227** (2011), pp. 847–894. DOI.

[6] D. J. Kleitman and K. J. Winston. "Forests and score vectors". *Combinatorica* **1** (1981), pp. 49–54. DOI.

[7] G. Nenashev. "Postnikov-Shapiro algebras, graphical matroids and their generalizations". 2015. arXiv:1509.08736.

[8] G. Nenashev and B. Shapiro. ""K-theoretic" analog of Postnikov-Shapiro algebra distinguishes graphs". *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **148** (2017), pp. 316–332. DOI.

[9] O. Orlik and H. Terao. "Commutative algebras for arrangements". *Nagoya Math. J.* **134** (1994), pp. 65–73. DOI.

[10] A. Postnikov and B. Shapiro. "Trees, parking functions, syzygies, and deformations of monomial ideals". *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), pp. 3109–3142. DOI.

[11] A. Postnikov, B. Shapiro, and M. Shapiro. "Algebras of curvature forms on homogeneous manifolds". *Differential Topology, Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras, and Applications: D. B. Fuchs' 60th Anniversary Collection*. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser 2, Vol. 194. Amer. Math. Soc., 1999, pp. 227–235.

[12] B. Shapiro and M. Shapiro. "On ring generated by Chern 2-forms on SL_n/B". *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. I Math* **326** (1998), pp. 75–80. DOI.