

$\{\rightarrow, \multimap\}$ is Full in $\{!, \multimap\}^*$

Masahito Hasegawa
RIMS, Kyoto University

21 January 2002

Abstract

We show that the $\{\rightarrow, \multimap\}$ -fragment of Intuitionistic Linear Logic is *full* in the $\{!, \multimap\}$ -fragment, both formulated as linear lambda calculi. The proof is a mild extension of our previous technique used for showing the fullness of Girard's translation from Intuitionistic Logic into Intuitionistic Linear Logic, and makes use of double-parameterized logical predicates.

1 Linear Lambda Calculi

Our presentation is based on a dual-context type system for intuitionistic linear logic (called DILL) due to Barber and Plotkin [1]. In this formulation of the linear lambda calculi, a typing judgement takes the form $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash M : \tau$ in which Γ represents an intuitionistic (or additive) context whereas Δ is a linear (multiplicative) context.

A set of *base types* (b ranges over them) is fixed throughout this paper.

1.1 $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \multimap}$

The first system we consider is a fragment with connectives \rightarrow (intuitionistic or non-linear arrow type) and \multimap (linear arrow type). We use $\lambda x^\sigma.M$ and $M @ N$ for the non-linear lambda abstraction and application respectively, while $\lambda x^\sigma.M$ and $M N$ for the linear ones.

Types and Terms

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &::= b \mid \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \mid \sigma \multimap \sigma \\ M &::= x \mid \lambda x^\sigma.M \mid M @ M \mid \lambda x^\sigma.M \mid M M \end{aligned}$$

*The content of this paper is a very mild extension of our previous work presented in [2] – the structure and most of the details of the proof are essentially the same (or even identical). The reason of this reworking is rather technical; we need the main result of this paper in our another work [3].

Typing

$$\begin{array}{c}
\overline{\Gamma ; x : \sigma \vdash x : \sigma} \\
\frac{\Gamma, x : \sigma_1 ; \Delta \vdash M : \sigma_2}{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash \lambda x^{\sigma_1}.M : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2} \quad \frac{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash M : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \quad \Gamma ; \emptyset \vdash N : \sigma_1}{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash M \circ N : \sigma_2} \\
\frac{\Gamma ; \Delta, x : \sigma_1 \vdash M : \sigma_2}{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash \lambda x^{\sigma_1}.M : \sigma_1 \multimap \sigma_2} \quad \frac{\Gamma ; \Delta_1 \vdash M : \sigma_1 \multimap \sigma_2 \quad \Gamma ; \Delta_2 \vdash N : \sigma_1}{\Gamma ; \Delta_1 \# \Delta_2 \vdash MN : \sigma_2}
\end{array}$$

where $\Delta_1 \# \Delta_2$ is a merge of Δ_1 and Δ_2 [1]. Thus, $\Delta_1 \# \Delta_2$ represents one of possible merges of Δ_1 and Δ_2 as finite lists. We assume that, when we introduce $\Delta_1 \# \Delta_2$, there is no variable occurring both in Δ_1 and in Δ_2 . We write \emptyset for the empty context. We note that any typing judgement has a unique derivation (hence a typing judgement can be identified with its derivation).

Axioms

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
(\lambda x.M) N & = & M[N/x] \\
\lambda x.M x & = & M \\
(\lambda x.M) N & = & M[N/x] \\
\lambda x.M \circ x & = & M \quad (x \notin FV(M))
\end{array}$$

The equality judgement $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash M = N : \sigma$ is defined as usual.

1.2 $\lambda^!, \multimap$

The second fragment is that with the exponential $!$ and the linear arrow type \multimap . The same system has been used in [2] as the target calculus of Girard's translation.

Types and Terms

$$\begin{array}{l}
\tau ::= b \mid !\tau \mid \tau \multimap \tau \\
M ::= x \mid !M \mid \text{let } !x^\tau \text{ be } M \text{ in } M \mid \lambda x^\tau.M \mid MM
\end{array}$$

Typing

$$\begin{array}{c}
\overline{\Gamma ; x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \quad \overline{\Gamma_1, x : \tau, \Gamma_2 ; \emptyset \vdash x : \tau} \\
\frac{\Gamma ; \emptyset \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma ; \emptyset \vdash !M : !\tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma ; \Delta_1 \vdash M : !\tau_1 \quad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 ; \Delta_2 \vdash N : \tau_2}{\Gamma ; \Delta_1 \# \Delta_2 \vdash \text{let } !x^{\tau_1} \text{ be } M \text{ in } N : \tau_2} \\
\frac{\Gamma ; \Delta, x : \tau_1 \vdash M : \tau_2}{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash \lambda x^{\tau_1}.M : \tau_1 \multimap \tau_2} \quad \frac{\Gamma ; \Delta_1 \vdash M : \tau_1 \multimap \tau_2 \quad \Gamma ; \Delta_2 \vdash N : \tau_1}{\Gamma ; \Delta_1 \# \Delta_2 \vdash MN : \tau_2}
\end{array}$$

Axioms

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda x.M)N &= M[N/x] \\
\lambda x.Mx &= M \\
\text{let } !x \text{ be } !M \text{ in } N &= N[M/x] \\
\text{let } !x \text{ be } M \text{ in } !x &= M \\
C[\text{let } !x \text{ be } M \text{ in } N] &= \text{let } !x \text{ be } M \text{ in } C[N]
\end{aligned}$$

where $C[-]$ is a linear context (no $!$ binds $[-]$); formally it is generated from the following grammar.

$$C ::= [-] \mid \lambda x.C \mid CM \mid MC \mid \text{let } !x \text{ be } C \text{ in } M \mid \text{let } !x \text{ be } M \text{ in } C$$

1.3 Translation from $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \dashv}$ to $\lambda^{!, \dashv}$

We now give the translation $(-)^{\circ}$ from $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \dashv}$ to $\lambda^{!, \dashv}$, which is a straightforward extension of Girard's translation from the simply typed lambda calculus into $\lambda^{!, \dashv}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
b^{\circ} &\equiv b \\
(\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2)^{\circ} &\equiv !\sigma_1^{\circ} \dashv \sigma_2^{\circ} \\
x^{\circ} &\equiv x \\
(\lambda x^{\sigma}.M)^{\circ} &\equiv \lambda x^{\sigma^{\circ}}.M^{\circ} \\
(M^{\sigma_1 \dashv \sigma_2} N^{\sigma_1})^{\circ} &\equiv M^{\circ} N^{\circ} \\
(\boldsymbol{\lambda} x^{\sigma}.M)^{\circ} &\equiv \lambda y^{!\sigma^{\circ}}.\text{let } !x^{\sigma^{\circ}} \text{ be } y \text{ in } M^{\circ} \\
(M^{\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2} \circledast N^{\sigma_1})^{\circ} &\equiv M^{\circ} (!N^{\circ})
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.1 (type soundness) *If $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash M : \sigma$ is derivable in $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \dashv}$, so is $\Gamma^{\circ} ; \Delta^{\circ} \vdash M^{\circ} : \sigma^{\circ}$ in $\lambda^{!, \dashv}$.*

Proof: For instance, the derivation

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \sigma_1 ; \overset{\vdots}{\Delta} \vdash M : \sigma_2}{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash \boldsymbol{\lambda} x^{\sigma_1}.M : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2}$$

is sent to

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\Gamma^{\circ} ; y : !\sigma_1^{\circ} \vdash y : !\sigma_1^{\circ}} \quad \Gamma^{\circ}, x : \sigma_1^{\circ} ; \overset{\vdots}{\Delta^{\circ}} \vdash M^{\circ} : \sigma_2^{\circ}}{\Gamma^{\circ} ; \Delta^{\circ}, y : !\sigma_1^{\circ} \vdash \text{let } !x^{\sigma_1^{\circ}} \text{ be } y \text{ in } M^{\circ} : \sigma_2^{\circ}}}{\Gamma^{\circ} ; \Delta^{\circ} \vdash (\boldsymbol{\lambda} x^{\sigma_1}.M)^{\circ} \equiv \lambda y^{!\sigma_1^{\circ}}.\text{let } !x^{\sigma_1^{\circ}} \text{ be } y \text{ in } M^{\circ} : !\sigma_1^{\circ} \dashv \sigma_2^{\circ}}$$

while

$$\frac{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash \overset{\vdots}{M} : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \quad \Gamma ; \emptyset \vdash \overset{\vdots}{N} : \sigma_1}{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash M \circledast N : \sigma_2}$$

is sent to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma^\circ ; \Delta^\circ \vdash M^\circ : !\sigma_1^\circ \multimap \sigma_2^\circ \quad \frac{\Gamma^\circ ; \emptyset \vdash N^\circ : \sigma_1^\circ}{\Gamma^\circ ; \emptyset \vdash !N^\circ : !\sigma_1^\circ}}{\Gamma^\circ ; \Delta^\circ \vdash (M \circledast N)^\circ \equiv M^\circ (!N^\circ) : \sigma_2^\circ}}{\Gamma^\circ ; \Delta^\circ \vdash (M \circledast N)^\circ \equiv M^\circ (!N^\circ) : \sigma_2^\circ}$$

Lemma 1.2 (compatibility with substitution) $M^\circ [N^\circ/x] = (M[N/x])^\circ$.

Proof: Easy. □

Proposition 1.3 (equational soundness) *If $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash M = N : \sigma$ holds in $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \multimap}$, so does $\Gamma^\circ ; \Delta^\circ \vdash M^\circ = N^\circ : \sigma^\circ$ in $\lambda^{!, \multimap}$.*

Proof: The crucial cases are:

$$\begin{aligned} ((\lambda x.M) \circledast N)^\circ &\equiv (\lambda y.\text{let } !x \text{ be } y \text{ in } M^\circ) (!N^\circ) \\ &= \text{let } !x \text{ be } !N^\circ \text{ in } M^\circ \\ &= M^\circ [N^\circ/x] \\ &= (M[N/x])^\circ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x.M \circledast x)^\circ &\equiv \lambda y.\text{let } !x \text{ be } y \text{ in } M^\circ (!x) \\ &= \lambda y.M^\circ (\text{let } !x \text{ be } y \text{ in } !x) \\ &= \lambda y.M^\circ y \\ &= M \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 1.4 (equational completeness) *If $\Gamma^\circ ; \Delta^\circ \vdash M^\circ = N^\circ : \sigma^\circ$ holds in $\lambda^{!, \multimap}$, then $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash M = N : \sigma$ holds in $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \multimap}$.*

Proof: There is an obvious translation $(-)^{\bullet}$ from $\lambda^{!, \multimap}$ to the simply typed lambda calculus λ^{\rightarrow} which forgets all the information regarding the linearity (see [2]). Since the composition $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \multimap} \xrightarrow{(-)^\circ} \lambda^{!, \multimap} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\bullet}} \lambda^{\rightarrow}$ obviously reflects the equality, so does $(-)^{\circ}$. □

2 Dual Logical Predicates

In addition to the case of Girard's translation from the simply typed lambda calculus to $\lambda^{!, \multimap}$, we have to deal with the linearity in the source calculus as well. To this end we add one more parameter for linear contexts to the logical predicates used in [2] for showing the fullness of Girard's translation.

Notations We write $\Lambda^{\rightarrow, \multimap}$ and $\Lambda^{!, \multimap}$ for the sets of well-typed terms of $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \multimap}$ and $\lambda^{!, \multimap}$ respectively, and use the following notations.

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\sigma}^{\rightarrow, \multimap}(\Gamma ; \Delta) &= \{M \in \Lambda^{\rightarrow, \multimap} \mid \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash M : \sigma\} \\ \Lambda_{\tau}^{!, \multimap}(\Gamma ; \Delta) &= \{M \in \Lambda^{!, \multimap} \mid \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash M : \tau\} \\ \Gamma_1 \leq \Gamma_2 &\text{ iff } \Gamma_2 = \Gamma, \Gamma_1 \text{ for some } \Gamma \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.1 (dual predicate) Let τ be a type of $\lambda^{!,-\circ}$. A family P of sets indexed by the contexts of $\lambda^{\rightarrow,-\circ}$ is called a dual predicate on τ when $P(\Gamma ; \Delta) \subseteq \Lambda_{\tau}^{!,-\circ}(\Gamma^{\circ} ; \Delta^{\circ})$ and closed under

- renaming: $M \in P(\Gamma ; \Delta)$ implies $M\rho \in P(\Gamma\rho ; \Delta\rho)$ for any renaming ρ
- weakening: $\Gamma \leq \Gamma'$ implies $P(\Gamma ; \Delta) \subseteq P(\Gamma' ; \Delta)$
- equality: $M \in P(\Gamma ; \Delta)$ and $M = M'$ imply $M' \in P(\Gamma ; \Delta)$

Lemma 2.2 (linear implication on dual predicates) Let P_1, P_2 be dual predicates on τ_1 and τ_2 respectively. Then there is a dual predicate $P_1 \multimap P_2$ on $\tau_1 \multimap \tau_2$ defined by

$$(P_1 \multimap P_2)(\Gamma ; \Delta) = \left\{ M \in \Lambda_{\tau_1 \multimap \tau_2}^{!,-\circ}(\Gamma^{\circ} ; \Delta^{\circ}) \mid \begin{array}{l} N \in P_1(\Gamma' ; \Delta') \text{ and } \Gamma \leq \Gamma' \text{ imply} \\ M N \in P_2(\Gamma' ; \Delta \# \Delta') \end{array} \right\}$$

Proof: Easy. □

Lemma 2.3 (exponential on dual predicates) Let P be a dual predicate on τ . Then there is a dual predicate $!P$ on $!\tau$ defined by

$$!P(\Gamma ; \Delta) = \begin{cases} \left\{ M \in \Lambda_{!\tau}^{!,-\circ}(\Gamma^{\circ} ; \emptyset) \mid \begin{array}{l} M = !N \text{ for some} \\ N \in P(\Gamma ; \emptyset) \end{array} \right\} & \text{if } \Delta = \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Easy. □

Now we are ready to repeat the same story as given in [2]:

Definition 2.4 A family $\{P_{\tau}\}$ of dual predicates is called a logical dual predicate when each P_{τ} is a dual predicate on τ , and $P_{\tau_1 \multimap \tau_2} = P_{\tau_1} \multimap P_{\tau_2}$ as well as $P_{!\tau} = !P_{\tau}$ hold.

Proposition 2.5 (Basic Lemma) Let $\{P_{\tau}\}$ be a logical dual predicate. For $M_i \in P_{!\tau_i}(\Gamma ; \Delta_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), $M'_j \in P_{\tau'_j}(\Gamma ; \Delta'_j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) and $x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_m : \tau_m ; y_1 : \tau'_1, \dots, y_n : \tau'_n \vdash N : \tau$, it follows that

$$\text{let } !x_1 \text{ be } M_1 \text{ in } \dots \text{let } !x_m \text{ be } M_m \text{ in } N[M'_1/y_1, \dots, M'_n/y_n] \in P_{\tau}(\Gamma ; \Delta)$$

where $\Delta = \Delta_1 \# \dots \# \Delta_m \# \Delta'_1 \# \dots \# \Delta'_n$.

Note that this can be equally stated as follows:

Proposition 2.6 Let $\{P_{\tau}\}$ be a logical dual predicate. For $M_i \in P_{!\tau_i}(\Gamma ; \emptyset)$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), $M'_j \in P_{\tau'_j}(\Gamma ; \Delta'_j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) and $x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_m : \tau_m ; y_1 : \tau'_1, \dots, y_n : \tau'_n \vdash N : \tau$, it follows that

$$N[M_1/x_1, \dots, M_m/x_m, M'_1/y_1, \dots, M'_n/y_n] \in P_{\tau}(\Gamma ; \Delta)$$

where $\Delta = \Delta'_1 \# \dots \# \Delta'_n$.

Proof: Induction on the derivation of terms (equivalently typing judgements) - actually identical as the proof of Basic Lemma in [2], except that we have to add the parameters for linear contexts. \square

Corollary 2.7 (Basic Lemma for closed terms) *Let $\{P_\tau\}$ be a logical dual predicate. Then, for any $\emptyset ; \emptyset \vdash N : \tau$, it follows that $N \in P_\tau(\emptyset ; \emptyset)$.*

3 Fullness

Definition 3.1 *For a type σ and context $\Gamma ; \Delta$ of $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \circ}$, define*

$$\mathbf{P}_\sigma(\Gamma ; \Delta) = \{N \in \Lambda_{\sigma^\circ}^{\circ, \rightarrow}(\Gamma^\circ ; \Delta^\circ) \mid N = M^\circ \text{ for some } M \in \Lambda_\sigma^{\rightarrow, \circ}(\Gamma ; \Delta)\}$$

Lemma 3.2 *\mathbf{P} is a dual predicate on σ° .*

Proof: Obvious. \square

Lemma 3.3 (crucial lemma) $\mathbf{P}_{\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2} = !\mathbf{P}_{\sigma_1} \multimap \mathbf{P}_{\sigma_2}$.

Proof: Suppose that $M \in \mathbf{P}_{\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2}(\Gamma ; \Delta)$, i.e. $M = M'^\circ$ for some $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash M' : \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$. Let $N \in !\mathbf{P}_{\sigma_1}(\Gamma' ; \Delta')$ - by definition we may safely assume that $\Delta' = \emptyset$. This means that $N = !N'$ for some $N' \in \mathbf{P}_{\sigma_1}(\Gamma' ; \emptyset)$. Therefore $N = !N''^\circ$ for some $\Gamma' ; \emptyset \vdash N'' : \sigma_1$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} M N &= M'^\circ (!N''^\circ) \\ &= (M' \circledast N'')^\circ \end{aligned}$$

Hence $M N \in \mathbf{P}_{\sigma_2}(\Gamma' ; \Delta \# \Delta')$. Therefore $M \in (!\mathbf{P}_{\sigma_1} \multimap \mathbf{P}_{\sigma_2})(\Gamma ; \Delta)$.

Conversely, suppose that $M \in (!\mathbf{P}_{\sigma_1} \multimap \mathbf{P}_{\sigma_2})(\Gamma ; \Delta)$. Since $!z \in !\mathbf{P}_{\sigma_1}(z : \sigma_1, \Gamma ; \emptyset)$, we have $M(!z) \in \mathbf{P}_{\sigma_2}(z : \sigma_1, \Gamma ; \Delta)$. Hence there exists $z : \sigma_1, \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash N : \sigma_2$ such that $M(!z) = N^\circ$.

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \lambda y^{\sigma_1^\circ}. M y \\ &= \lambda y^{\sigma_1^\circ}. M (\text{let } !z^{\sigma_1^\circ} \text{ be } y \text{ in } !z) \\ &= \lambda y^{\sigma_1^\circ}. \text{let } !z^{\sigma_1^\circ} \text{ be } y \text{ in } M(!z) \\ &= \lambda y^{\sigma_1^\circ}. \text{let } !z^{\sigma_1^\circ} \text{ be } y \text{ in } N^\circ \\ &= (\lambda y^{\sigma_1}. N)^\circ \end{aligned}$$

So we conclude that $M \in \mathbf{P}_{\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2}(\Gamma ; \Delta)$. \square

Proposition 3.4 *Consider a family $\{P_\sigma\}$ indexed by the types of $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \circ}$, such that P_σ is a dual predicate on σ° and satisfies $P_{\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2} = !P_{\sigma_1} \multimap P_{\sigma_2}$. Then there is a logical dual predicate $\{P_\tau^*\}$ such that $P_{\sigma^\circ}^* = P_\sigma$ holds for any type σ of $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \circ}$ - explicitly, such a $\{P_\tau^*\}$ is given by $P_b^* = P_b$, $P_{\tau_1 \multimap \tau_2}^* = P_{\tau_1}^* \multimap P_{\tau_2}^*$ and $P_{! \tau}^* = !P_\tau^*$.*

Proof: Induction on the types of $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \neg}$. The only nontrivial case is that of arrow types $\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{(\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2)^\circ}^* &= P_{!_{\sigma_1^\circ} \rightarrow \sigma_2^\circ}^* \\
&= !P_{\sigma_1^\circ}^* \multimap P_{\sigma_2^\circ}^* && \text{definition of } P^* \\
&= !P_{\sigma_1} \multimap P_{\sigma_2} && \text{induction hypothesis} \\
&= P_{\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.5 *Define a logical dual predicate $\{\mathbf{P}_\tau^*\}$ by $\mathbf{P}_b^* = \mathbf{P}_b$, $\mathbf{P}_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}^* = \mathbf{P}_{\tau_1}^* \multimap \mathbf{P}_{\tau_2}^*$ and $\mathbf{P}_{!_\tau}^* = !\mathbf{P}_\tau^*$. Then $\mathbf{P}_{\sigma^\circ}^* = \mathbf{P}_\sigma$ holds for any type σ of $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \neg}$.*

Theorem 3.6 (fullness) *Suppose that $\Gamma^\circ ; \Delta^\circ \vdash N : \sigma^\circ$ is derivable in $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \neg}$. Then there exists $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash M : \sigma$ derivable in $\lambda^{\rightarrow, \neg}$ such that $\Gamma^\circ ; \Delta^\circ \vdash M^\circ = N : \sigma^\circ$ holds.*

Proof: Apply the Basic Lemma to the logical dual predicate $\{\mathbf{P}_\tau^*\}$. □

References

- [1] Barber, A. and Plotkin, G. (1997) Dual intuitionistic linear logic. Submitted.
- [2] Hasegawa, M. (2000) Girard translation and logical predicates. *J. Functional Programming* **10**(1), 77–89.
- [3] Hasegawa, M. (2001) Linearly used effects: Monadic and CPS transformations into the linear lambda calculus (preliminary version). Presented at *2nd Asian Workshop on Programming Languages and Systems*, December 2001.