# Propagation of the analyticity for the solution to the Euler equations with non-decaying initial velocity

#### Okihiro Sawada

Department of Mathematical and Design Engineering, Gifu University

#### Ryo Takada

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University

#### 1 Introduction

This note is a survey of our paper [12] on the initial value problems for the Euler equations in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \ge 2$ , describing the motion of perfect incompressible fluids,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$
(E)

where the unknown functions  $u=u(x,t)=(u^1(x,t),\ldots,u^n(x,t))$  and p=p(x,t) denote the velocity field and the pressure of the fluid, respectively, while  $u_0=u_0(x)=(u_0^1(x),\ldots,u_0^n(x))$  is the given initial velocity field satisfying the compatibility condition  $\operatorname{div} u_0=0$ .

The main purpose of this note is to prove the propagation properties of the real analyticity in spatial variables for the solution of (E) with non-decaying initial velocity. Let  $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the Schwartz class of all rapidly decreasing functions, and let  $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the space of all tempered distributions. We first recall the definition of the Littlewood-Paley operators. Let  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  be the functions in  $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying the following properties:

$$\operatorname{supp} \widehat{\Phi} \subset \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |\xi| \leqslant 5/6 \right\}, \quad \operatorname{supp} \widehat{\varphi} \subset \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 3/5 \leqslant |\xi| \leqslant 5/3 \right\},$$

$$\widehat{\Phi}(\xi) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\varphi_j}(\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

Received September 27, 2011.

where  $\varphi_j(x) = 2^{jn}\varphi(2^jx)$  and  $\widehat{f}$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Given  $f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we denote

$$\Delta_{j}f := \begin{cases} \Phi * f & j = -1, \\ \varphi_{j} * f & j \geqslant 0, \\ 0 & j \leqslant -2, \end{cases} S_{k}f := \sum_{j \leqslant k} \Delta_{j}f, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where \* denotes the convolution operator. Then, we define the Besov spaces  $B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \leqslant p, q \leqslant \infty$ , the Besov space  $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all tempered distributions  $f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that the following norm is finite:

$$||f||_{B_{p,q}^s} := \left| \left| \left\{ 2^{sj} \left\| \Delta_j f \right\|_{L^p} \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right| \right|_{\ell^q}.$$

In the framework for decaying initial velocities, Kato [7] proved that for the given initial velocity field  $u_0 \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  with m > n/2 + 1, there exist  $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H^m})$  such that the Euler equation (E) possesses a unique solution u in the class  $C([0,T];H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)^n)$ . Alinhac and Métivier [2] proved that Kato's solution is real analytic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if the initial velocity is real analytic. See also Bardos, Benachour and Zerner [3], Le Bail [9] and Levermore and Oliver [10]. Kukavica and Vicol [8] considered the vorticity equations for (E) in  $H^s(\mathbb{T}^3)^3$  with s > 7/2 and proved the propagation properties of the real analyticity. In particular, they improved the estimate for the size of the radius of the convergence of the Taylor expansion for the solution to the vorticity equations. On the other hand, in the framework for non-decaying initial velocities, Pak and Park [11] proved that for the given initial velocity  $u_0 \in B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  with div  $u_0 = 0$ , there exists a  $T = T(\|u_0\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}}) > 0$  such that the Euler equation (E) possesses a unique solution u in the class  $C([0,T];B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n)$  with  $\nabla p = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\partial_i u_j\partial_j u_i$ . Note that the Besov space  $B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  contains some non-decaying functions, for example, the trigonometric function  $e^{ix\cdot a}$  with the wave vector  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . In [12], we prove the propagation of the analyticity for the solution to (E) constructed by Pak and Park in [11]. In particular, we give an improvement for the estimate for the size of the radius of convergence of Taylor's expansion.

Before stating our result about the analyticity, we set some notation. Let  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of all positive integers. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , put

$$m_k := c \frac{k!}{(k+1)^2},$$

where c is a positive constant such that one has

$$\sum_{0 \leqslant \beta \leqslant \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} m_{|\beta|} m_{|\alpha-\beta|} \leqslant m_{|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n,$$

$$\sum_{0 < \beta \leqslant \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} m_{|\beta|-1} m_{|\alpha-\beta|+1} \leqslant |\alpha| m_{|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \setminus \{0\}^n.$$

For example, it suffices to take  $c \leq 1/16$ . For the detail, see Kahane [6] and Alinhac and Métivier [1].

Our result on the propagation of the analyticity now reads:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $u_0 \in B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  be an initial velocity field satisfying  $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ , and let  $u \in C([0,T]; B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n)$  be the solution of (E). Suppose that  $u_0 \in C^{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  in the following sense: there exist positive constants  $K_0$  and  $\rho_0$  such that

$$\|\partial_x^{\alpha} u_0\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} \leqslant K_0 \rho_0^{-|\alpha|} m_{|\alpha|}$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ . Then,  $u(\cdot,t) \in C^{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  for all  $t \in [0,T]$  and satisfies the following estimate: there exist positive constants  $K = K(n,K_0)$ ,  $L = L(n,K_0)$  and  $\lambda = \lambda(n)$  such that

$$\|\partial_x^{\alpha} u(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{\infty, 1}^1} \leqslant K \left(\frac{\rho_0}{L}\right)^{-|\alpha|} m_{|\alpha|} (1 + t)^{\max\{|\alpha| - 1, 0\}} \exp\left\{\lambda |\alpha| \int_0^t \|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{\infty, 1}^1} d\tau\right\}$$
(1.1)

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ .

**Remark 1.3.** (i) Since K, L and  $\lambda$  do not depend on T, (1.1) gives a grow-rate estimate for large time behavior of the higher order derivatives of Pak-Park's solutions.

(ii) From (1.1), one can derive the estimate for the size of the uniform analyticity radius of the solutions as follows:

$$\liminf_{|\alpha| \to \infty} \left( \frac{\|\partial_x^{\alpha} u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\alpha!} \right)^{-\frac{1}{|\alpha|}} \geqslant \frac{\rho_0}{L} (1+t)^{-1} \exp\left\{ -\lambda \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} d\tau \right\}.$$

Moreover, since  $B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuously embedded in  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see Triebel [14]), we have by (1.1) that

$$\liminf_{|\alpha| \to \infty} \left( \frac{\|\partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{rot} u(t)\|_{L^\infty}}{\alpha!} \right)^{-\frac{1}{|\alpha|}} \geqslant \frac{\rho_0}{L} (1+t)^{-1} \exp\left\{ -\lambda \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} d\tau \right\}.$$

Recently, Kukavica and Vicol [8] considered the vorticity equations of (E) in  $H^s(\mathbb{T}^3)^3$  with s > 7/2, and obtained the following estimate for uniform analyticity radius:

$$\liminf_{|\alpha| \to \infty} \left( \frac{\|\partial_x^{\alpha} \operatorname{rot} u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\alpha!} \right)^{-\frac{1}{|\alpha|}} \geqslant \rho (1 + t^2)^{-1} \exp\left\{ -\lambda \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}} d\tau \right\}$$

with some  $\rho := \rho(r, \operatorname{rot} u_0)$  and  $\lambda = \lambda(r)$ . Hence our result is an improvement of the previous analyticity-rate in the sense that  $(1+t^2)^{-1}$  is replaced by  $(1+t)^{-1}$ , and clarifies that  $\rho = \rho_0/L$ .

In [12], we also consider the propagation properties of the almost periodicity with respect to the spatial variables for the solution to the Euler equations (E). We recall the definition of the almost periodicity in the sense of Bohr.

**Definition 1.4.** Let f be a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Put

$$\Sigma_f := \{ \tau_{\xi} f \mid \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \} (\subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \tau_{\xi} f := f(\cdot + \xi).$$

Then, f is called almost periodic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if  $\Sigma_f$  is relatively compact in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Our result on the propagation of the almost periodicity now reads:

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $u_0 \in B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  be an initial velocity field satisfying  $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ , and let  $u \in C([0,T]; B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n)$  be the solution of (E). Suppose that  $u_0$  is almost periodic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the solution  $u(\cdot,t)$  of (E) is almost periodic in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for all  $t \in [0,T]$ .

The same assertion is known for the solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations by Giga, Mahalov and Nicolaenko [5]. Recently, Taniuchi, Tashiro and Yoneda [13] proved the almost periodicity of weak solutions to (E) in the whole plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  when  $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ . On the other hand, in the Theorem 1.5, we treat the classical solutions and all space-dimensions  $n \geq 2$ . The proof of Theorem 1.5 is based on the argument given by [5]. The key of the proof is to use the estimate concerning the continuity with respect to the initial velocities. The details are given in [12].

This note is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the key lemmas which play important roles in our proof. In Sections 3, we present the proof of Theorems 1.2.

## 2 Key Lemmas

In this section, we recall some key lemmas and prove a bilinear estimate in the Besov space  $B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We first prepare the commutator type estimates and the bilinear estimates in the Besov space  $B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for nonlinear terms of (E).

**Lemma 2.1** (Pak-Park [11]). There exists a positive constant C = C(n) such that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j} \| (S_{j-2}u \cdot \nabla) \Delta_{j} f - \Delta_{j} ((u \cdot \nabla)f) \|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant C \| u \|_{B^{1}_{\infty,1}} \| f \|_{B^{1}_{\infty,1}}$$

holds for all  $(u, f) \in B^1_{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)^{n+1}$  with div u = 0.

**Lemma 2.2.** There exists a positive constant C = C(n) such that

$$||fg||_{B^1_{\infty,1}} \le C(||f||_{L^\infty}||g||_{B^1_{\infty,1}} + ||g||_{L^\infty}||f||_{B^1_{\infty,1}})$$

holds for all  $f, g \in B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* For the proof, we use the Bony paraproduct formula [4]. Let us decompose fg as

$$fg = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} S_{j-3} f \Delta_j g + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} S_{j-3} g \Delta_j f + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j-2}^{j+2} \Delta_j f \Delta_k g.$$

Since supp  $\mathscr{F}[\varphi_j] \cap \operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F}[\varphi_{j'}] = \emptyset$  if  $|j - j'| \ge 2$ , we see that

$$\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F}[S_{j-3}f\Delta_j g] \subset \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 2^{j-2} \leqslant |\xi| \leqslant 2^{j+2} \right\}$$

and

$$\operatorname{supp} \mathscr{F} \left[ \Delta_j f \Delta_k g \right] \subset \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |\xi| \leqslant 2^{\max\{j,k\}+2} \right\},\,$$

which yield that

$$\Delta_{j}(fg) = \sum_{\substack{j' \geqslant 2\\|j'-j| \leqslant 3}} \Delta_{j} \left( S_{j'-3} f \Delta_{j'} g \right) + \sum_{\substack{j' \geqslant 2\\|j'-j| \leqslant 3}} \Delta_{j} \left( S_{j'-3} g \Delta_{j'} f \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{\max\{j',j''\} \ge j-2} \sum_{|j''-j'| \le 2} \Delta_{j} \left( \Delta_{j'} f \Delta_{j''} g \right)$$
  
=:  $I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3}$ . (2.1)

By the Hausdorff-Young inequality and the Hölder inequality, we have that

$$||I_{1}||_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant C \sum_{\substack{j' \geqslant 2\\|j'-j| \leqslant 3}} ||S_{j'-3}f||_{L^{\infty}} ||\Delta_{j'}g||_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$\leqslant C||f||_{L^{\infty}} \sum_{\substack{j' \geqslant 2\\|j'-j| \leqslant 3}} ||\Delta_{j'}g||_{L^{\infty}}.$$
(2.2)

Similarly, it holds that

$$||I_2||_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant C||g||_{L^{\infty}} \sum_{\substack{j'\geqslant 2\\|j'-j|\leqslant 3}} ||\Delta_{j'}f||_{L^{\infty}}.$$
 (2.3)

Moreover, we see that

$$||I_{3}||_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant C \sum_{\max\{j',j''\} \geqslant j-2} \sum_{|j''-j'| \leqslant 2} ||\Delta_{j'}f||_{L^{\infty}} ||\Delta_{j''}g||_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$\leqslant C ||g||_{L^{\infty}} \sum_{j' \geqslant j-4} ||\Delta_{j'}f||_{L^{\infty}}.$$
(2.4)

Hence it follows from (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$||fg||_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j} ||\Delta_{j}(fg)||_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$\leq C||f||_{L^{\infty}} \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{j' \geqslant 2 \\ |j'-j| \leqslant 3}} 2^{j} ||\Delta_{j'}g||_{L^{\infty}} + C||g||_{L^{\infty}} \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{j' \geqslant 2 \\ |j'-j| \leqslant 3}} 2^{j} ||\Delta_{j'}f||_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$+ C||g||_{L^{\infty}} \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j' \geqslant j-4} 2^{j} ||\Delta_{j'}f||_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$=: J_{1} + J_{2} + J_{3}. \tag{2.5}$$

For the estimate of  $J_1$ , we have that

$$I_{1} \leqslant C \|f\|_{L^{\infty}} \sum_{|k| \leqslant 3} 2^{-k} \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} 2^{j+k} \|\Delta_{j+k}g\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$\leqslant C \|f\|_{L^{\infty}} \|g\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}}.$$
(2.6)

Similarly, we have for  $I_2$  that

$$I_2 \leqslant C \|g\|_{L^{\infty}} \|f\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}}.$$
 (2.7)

Concerning the estimate of  $I_3$ , we have

$$I_3 \leqslant C \|g\|_{L^{\infty}} \sum_{k > -4} 2^{-k} \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} 2^{j+k} \|\Delta_{j+k} f\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$\leq C \|g\|_{L^{\infty}} \|f\|_{B^{1}_{\infty,1}}.$$
 (2.8)

Substituting (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) into (2.5), we obtain that

$$||fg||_{B_{\infty,1}^1} \le C(||f||_{L^{\infty}}||g||_{B_{\infty,1}^1} + ||g||_{L^{\infty}}||f||_{B_{\infty,1}^1}).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Next, we give the estimate for the gradient of pressure  $\pi = \nabla p$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (Pak-Park [11]). There exists a positive constant C = C(n) such that

$$\|\pi(u,v)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} \leqslant C\|u\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}}\|v\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}}$$

holds for all  $u, v \in B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  with  $\operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} v = 0$ , where

$$\pi(u,v) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{x_j} u^k \partial_{x_k} v^j = \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \left\{ (u \cdot \nabla) v \right\}.$$

Finally, we recall the Gronwall inequality.

**Lemma 2.4** (The Gronwall inequality). Let  $A \ge 0$ , and let f, g and h be non-negative, continuous functions on [0, T] satisfying

$$f(t) \leqslant A + \int_0^t g(s)ds + \int_0^t h(s)f(s)ds$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then it holds that

$$f(t) \leqslant Ae^{\int_0^t h(\tau)d\tau} + \int_0^t e^{\int_s^t h(\tau)d\tau} g(s)ds$$

for all  $t \in [0,T]$ .

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let  $u_0$  satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1.2. We first remark that  $u \in C([0,T]; B^s_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n)$  for all  $s \geqslant 1$  if  $u_0 \in B^s_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  for all  $s \geqslant 1$ . Hence  $u(\cdot,t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  for all  $t \in [0,T]$  by our assumption on the initial velocity  $u_0$  and the embedding theorem. Moreover, the time-interval in which the solution exists does not depend on s. Indeed, we can choose T such that  $T \geqslant C/\|u_0\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}}$  with some positive constant C depending only on n by the blow-up criterion, and the solution u satisfies

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u(t)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} \leqslant C_0 \|u_0\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} \tag{3.1}$$

with some positive constant  $C_0$  depending only on n.

Now we discuss with the induction argument. In the case  $\alpha=0$ , (1.1) follows from (3.1) with  $K=C_0K_0$ . Next, we consider the case  $|\alpha|\geqslant 1$ . We first introduce some notation. For  $l\in\mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda,L>0$ , we put

$$X_{l}(t) := \max_{|\alpha|=l} \|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} u(t)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}}, \quad t \in [0,T],$$
$$Y_{l} = Y_{l}^{\lambda,L} := \max_{1 \leq k \leq l} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\{ \frac{M_{k}(t)}{m_{k}} X_{k}(t) \right\},$$

where

$$M_k(t) = M_k^{\lambda, L}(t) := \rho_0^k L^{-(k-1)} (1+t)^{-(k-1)} e^{-\lambda k \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B^1_{\infty, 1}} d\tau}$$

The similar notation were used in [1] and [2]. In what follows, we shall show that  $Y_{|\alpha|} \leq 2K_0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  with  $|\alpha| \geqslant 1$  when  $\lambda$  and L are sufficiently large. We now consider the case  $|\alpha| = 1$ . Let k be an integer with  $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ . Taking the differential operation  $\partial_{x_k}$  to the first equation of (E), we have

$$\partial_t(\partial_{x_k}u) + (\partial_{x_k}u \cdot \nabla)u + (u \cdot \nabla)\partial_{x_k}u + \partial_{x_k}\pi(u, u) = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\nabla p = \pi(u, u) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{x_j} u^k \partial_{x_k} u^j = \nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \left\{ (u \cdot \nabla) u \right\}.$$

Applying the Littlewood-Paley operator  $\Delta_j$  and adding the term  $(S_{j-2}u \cdot \nabla)\Delta_j(\partial_{x_k}u)$  to the both sides of (3.2), we have

$$\frac{\partial_t \Delta_j(\partial_{x_k} u) + (S_{j-2} u \cdot \nabla) \Delta_j(\partial_{x_k} u)}{= (S_{j-2} u \cdot \nabla) \Delta_j(\partial_{x_k} u) - \Delta_j((u \cdot \nabla) \partial_{x_k} u) - \Delta_j((\partial_{x_k} u \cdot \nabla) u) - \Delta_j(\partial_{x_k} \pi(u, u)).}$$
(3.3)

Here we consider the family of trajectory flows  $\{Z_j(y,t)\}$  defined by the solution of the ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Z_j(y,t) = S_{j-2} u(Z_j(y,t),t), \\ Z_j(y,0) = y. \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

Note that  $Z_j \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T])^n$ , and div  $S_{j-2}u = 0$  implies that each  $y \mapsto Z_j(y,t)$  is a volume preserving mapping from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto itself. From (3.3) and (3.4), we see that

$$\partial_t \Delta_j(\partial_{x_k} u) + (S_{j-2} u \cdot \nabla) \Delta_j(\partial_{x_k} u) \Big|_{(x,t)=(Z_j(y,t),t)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \Delta_j(\partial_{x_k} u)(Z_j(y,t),t) \right\},\,$$

which yields that

$$\Delta_{j}(\partial_{x_{k}}u)(Z_{j}(y,t),t) = \Delta_{j}(\partial_{x_{k}}u_{0})(y) - \int_{0}^{t} \Delta_{j}((\partial_{x_{k}}u \cdot \nabla)u)(Z_{j}(y,s),s)ds$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ (S_{j-2}u \cdot \nabla)\Delta_{j}(\partial_{x_{k}}u) - \Delta_{j}((u \cdot \nabla)\partial_{x_{k}}u) \right\} (Z_{j}(y,s),s)ds$$

$$- \int_{0}^{t} \Delta_{j}(\partial_{x_{k}}\pi(u,u))(Z_{j}(y,s),s)ds.$$

$$(3.5)$$

Since the map  $y \mapsto Z_j(y,t)$  is bijective and volume-preserving for all  $t \in [0,T]$ , by taking the  $L^{\infty}$ -norm with respect to y to both sides of (3.5), we have

$$\|\Delta_{j}(\partial_{x_{k}}u)(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \|\Delta_{j}(\partial_{x_{k}}u_{0})\|_{L^{\infty}} + \int_{0}^{t} \|\Delta_{j}((\partial_{x_{k}}u \cdot \nabla)u)(s)\|_{L^{\infty}}ds$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \|\{(S_{j-2}u \cdot \nabla)\Delta_{j}(\partial_{x_{k}}u) - \Delta_{j}((u \cdot \nabla)\partial_{x_{k}}u)\}(s)\|_{L^{\infty}}ds \qquad (3.6)$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \|\Delta_{j}(\partial_{x_{k}}\pi(u, u))(s)\|_{L^{\infty}}ds.$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.6) by  $2^j$  and then taking the  $\ell^1$ -norm in j, we obtain that

$$\|\partial_{x_{k}}u(t)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} \leq \|\partial_{x_{k}}u_{0}\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} + \int_{0}^{t} \|(\partial_{x_{k}}u \cdot \nabla)u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} ds + \int_{0}^{t} \|\partial_{x_{k}}\pi(u,u)(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} ds + \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j} \|\{(S_{j-2}u \cdot \nabla)\Delta_{j}(\partial_{x_{k}}u) - \Delta_{j}((u \cdot \nabla)\partial_{x_{k}}u)\} (s)\|_{L^{\infty}} ds$$

$$=: I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} + I_{4}.$$

$$(3.7)$$

It follows from the assumption on  $u_0$  that

$$I_1 \leqslant K_0 \rho_0^{-1} m_1. \tag{3.8}$$

From Lemma 2.2, we see that

$$I_{2} \leqslant C \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} ds$$

$$\leqslant C \int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} X_{1}(s) ds,$$
(3.9)

where we used the continuous embedding  $B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For the pressure term  $I_3$ , it follow from Lemma 2.3 that

$$I_{3} \leqslant 2 \int_{0}^{t} \|\pi(\partial_{x_{k}} u, u)(s)\|_{B_{\infty, 1}^{1}} ds$$

$$\leqslant C \int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty, 1}^{1}} X_{1}(s) ds.$$
(3.10)

For the estimate of  $I_4$ , we have from Lemma 2.1 that

$$I_{4} \leqslant C \int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} \|\partial_{x_{k}} u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} ds$$

$$\leqslant C \int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} X_{1}(s) ds.$$
(3.11)

Substituting (3.8), (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) into (3.7), we have

$$\|\partial_{x_k} u(t)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} \leqslant K_0 \rho_0^{-1} m_1 + C_1 \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} X_1(s) ds \tag{3.12}$$

with some positive constant  $C_1$  depending only on n. Since  $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$  is arbitrary, it follows from (3.12) that

$$X_1(t) \leqslant K_0 \rho_0^{-1} m_1 + C_1 \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} X_1(s) ds,$$

which implies by Lemma 2.4 that

$$X_1(t) \leqslant K_0 \rho_0^{-1} m_1 e^{C_1 \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} d\tau}.$$
(3.13)

By choosing  $\lambda \geqslant C_1$ , we obtain from (3.13) that

$$\frac{M_1(t)}{m_1} X_1(t) \leqslant K_0 e^{(C_1 - \lambda) \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} d\tau} \leqslant K_0,$$

which yields that

$$Y_1 \leqslant K_0. \tag{3.14}$$

Next, we consider the case  $|\alpha| \geqslant 2$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a multi-index with  $|\alpha| \geqslant 2$ . Taking the differential operation  $\partial_x^{\alpha}$  to the first equation of (E), we have

$$\partial_t(\partial_x^{\alpha} u) + \sum_{0 \le \beta \le \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} (\partial_x^{\beta} u \cdot \nabla) \partial_x^{\alpha - \beta} u + \partial_x^{\alpha} \pi(u, u) = 0.$$
 (3.15)

Applying the Littlewood-Paley operator  $\Delta_j$  and adding the term  $(S_{j-2}u \cdot \nabla)\Delta_j(\partial_x^\alpha u)$  to the both sides of (3.15), we have

$$\partial_{t} \Delta_{j} (\partial_{x}^{\alpha} u) + (S_{j-2} u \cdot \nabla) \Delta_{j} (\partial_{x}^{\alpha} u)$$

$$= (S_{j-2} u \cdot \nabla) \Delta_{j} (\partial_{x}^{\alpha} u) - \Delta_{j} ((u \cdot \nabla) \partial_{x}^{\alpha} u)$$

$$- \sum_{0 < \beta \leqslant \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \Delta_{j} ((\partial_{x}^{\beta} u \cdot \nabla) \partial_{x}^{\alpha-\beta} u) - \Delta_{j} (\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \pi(u, u))$$
(3.16)

Similarly to the case of  $|\alpha| = 1$ , we have from (3.16) that

$$\|\Delta_{j}(\partial_{x}^{\alpha}u)(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \|\Delta_{j}(\partial_{x}^{\alpha}u_{0})\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$+ \sum_{0<\beta\leq\alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_{0}^{t} \|\Delta_{j}\left((\partial_{x}^{\beta}u\cdot\nabla)\partial_{x}^{\alpha-\beta}u\right)(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} ds$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \|\Delta_{j}(\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\pi(u,u))(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} ds$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \|\{(S_{j-2}u\cdot\nabla)\Delta_{j}(\partial_{x}^{\alpha}u) - \Delta_{j}((u\cdot\nabla)\partial_{x}^{\alpha}u)\}(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} ds.$$

$$(3.17)$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.17) by  $2^j$  and then taking the  $\ell^1$ -norm in j, we obtain that

$$\|\partial_x^{\alpha} u(t)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} \le \|\partial_x^{\alpha} u_0\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}}$$

$$+ \sum_{0 < \beta \leqslant \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_0^t \left\| (\partial_x^\beta u \cdot \nabla) \partial_x^{\alpha - \beta} u(s) \right\|_{B_{\infty, 1}^1} ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t \left\| \partial_x^\alpha \pi(u, u)(s) \right\|_{B_{\infty, 1}^1} ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j \left\| \left\{ (S_{j-2} u \cdot \nabla) \Delta_j (\partial_x^\alpha u) - \Delta_j ((u \cdot \nabla) \partial_x^\alpha u) \right\} (s) \right\|_{L^\infty} ds$$

$$=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4. \tag{3.18}$$

It follows from the assumption on  $u_0$  that

$$J_1 \leqslant K_0 \rho_0^{-|\alpha|} m_{|\alpha|}. \tag{3.19}$$

For the estimate of  $J_2$ , we have from Lemma 2.2 and the continuous embedding that

$$J_{2} \leqslant C \sum_{0 < \beta \leqslant \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_{0}^{t} \left( \|\partial_{x}^{\beta} u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\nabla \partial_{x}^{\alpha - \beta} u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} + \|\nabla \partial_{x}^{\alpha - \beta} u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\partial_{x}^{\beta} u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} \right) ds$$

$$= C \sum_{j=1}^{n} {\alpha \choose e_{j}} \int_{0}^{t} \|\partial_{x_{j}} u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\nabla \partial_{x}^{\alpha - e_{j}} u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} ds$$

$$+ C \sum_{0 \leqslant \beta \leqslant \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_{0}^{t} \|\partial_{x}^{\beta} u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\nabla \partial_{x}^{\alpha - \beta} u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} ds$$

$$+ C \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} ds$$

$$+ C \sum_{0 \leqslant \beta \leqslant \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_{0}^{t} \|\nabla \partial_{x}^{\alpha - \beta} u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\partial_{x}^{\beta} u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} ds$$

$$\leqslant C|\alpha| \int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} X_{|\alpha|}(s) ds + C \sum_{0 \leqslant \beta \leqslant \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_{0}^{t} X_{|\beta| - 1}(s) X_{|\alpha - \beta| + 1}(s) ds$$

$$+ C \sum_{0 \leqslant \beta \leqslant \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_{0}^{t} X_{|\beta|}(s) X_{|\alpha - \beta|}(s) ds. \tag{3.20}$$

For the pressure term  $J_3$ , from Lemma 2.3, we have

$$J_{3} \leqslant \sum_{0 \leqslant \beta \leqslant \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_{0}^{t} \|\pi(\partial_{x}^{\beta}u, \partial_{x}^{\alpha-\beta}u)(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} ds$$

$$\leqslant C \sum_{0 \leqslant \beta \leqslant \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_{0}^{t} \|\partial_{x}^{\beta}u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} \|\partial_{x}^{\alpha-\beta}u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} ds$$

$$\leqslant C \int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} X_{|\alpha|}(s) ds + C \sum_{0 \leqslant \beta \leqslant \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_{0}^{t} X_{|\beta|}(s) X_{|\alpha-\beta|}(s) ds. \tag{3.21}$$

For the estimate of  $J_4$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$J_{4} \leqslant C \int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} \|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} ds$$

$$\leqslant C \int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} X_{|\alpha|}(s) ds.$$
(3.22)

Substituting (3.19), (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) to (3.18), we have

$$\|\partial_{x}^{\alpha}u(t)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}} \leq K_{0}\rho_{0}^{-|\alpha|}m_{|\alpha|} + C|\alpha| \int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^{1}}X_{|\alpha|}(s)ds$$

$$+ C \sum_{\substack{0 < \beta \leq \alpha \\ |\beta| \geqslant 2}} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_{0}^{t} X_{|\beta|-1}(s)X_{|\alpha-\beta|+1}(s)ds$$

$$+ C \sum_{\substack{0 < \beta < \alpha \\ \beta}} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_{0}^{t} X_{|\beta|}(s)X_{|\alpha-\beta|}(s)ds.$$

$$(3.23)$$

Furthermore, for the third term of the right-hand side of (3.23), we see that

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < \beta \leqslant \alpha \\ |\beta| \geqslant 2}} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_0^t X_{|\beta|-1}(s) X_{|\alpha-\beta|+1}(s) ds$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{0 < \beta \leqslant \alpha \\ |\beta| \geqslant 2}} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_0^t \frac{M_{|\beta|-1}(s)}{m_{|\beta|-1}} X_{|\beta|-1}(s) \frac{M_{|\alpha-\beta|+1}(s)}{m_{|\alpha-\beta|+1}} X_{|\alpha-\beta|+1}(s) \frac{m_{|\beta|-1}}{M_{|\beta|-1}(s)} \frac{m_{|\alpha-\beta|+1}}{M_{|\alpha-\beta|+1}(s)} ds$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{\substack{0 < \beta \leqslant \alpha \\ |\beta| \geqslant 2}} {\alpha \choose \beta} m_{|\beta|-1} m_{|\alpha-\beta|+1} \rho_0^{-|\alpha|} L^{|\alpha|-2} (Y_{|\alpha|-1})^2 \int_0^t (1+s)^{|\alpha|-2} e^{\lambda|\alpha| \int_0^s ||u(\tau)||_{B_{\infty,1}^1} d\tau} ds$$

$$\leqslant |\alpha| m_{|\alpha|} \rho_0^{-|\alpha|} L^{|\alpha|-2} (Y_{|\alpha|-1})^2 \int_0^t (1+s)^{|\alpha|-2} e^{\lambda|\alpha| \int_0^s ||u(\tau)||_{B_{\infty,1}^1} d\tau} ds. \tag{3.24}$$

Similarly, for the fourth term of the right hand side of (3.23), we have

$$\sum_{0<\beta<\alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \int_0^t X_{|\beta|}(s) X_{|\alpha-\beta|}(s) ds 
\leqslant m_{|\alpha|} \rho_0^{-|\alpha|} L^{|\alpha|-2} (Y_{|\alpha|-1})^2 \int_0^t (1+s)^{|\alpha|-2} e^{\lambda |\alpha| \int_0^s ||u(\tau)||_{B^1_{\infty,1}} d\tau} ds.$$
(3.25)

Substituting (3.24) and (3.25) to (3.23), we have

$$\begin{split} \|\partial_x^\alpha u(t)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} &\leqslant K_0 \rho_0^{-|\alpha|} m_{|\alpha|} + C|\alpha| \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} X_{|\alpha|}(s) ds \\ &\quad + C|\alpha| m_{|\alpha|} \rho_0^{-|\alpha|} L^{|\alpha|-2} (Y_{|\alpha|-1})^2 \int_0^t (1+s)^{|\alpha|-2} e^{\lambda|\alpha| \int_0^s \|u(\tau)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} d\tau} ds, \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$X_{|\alpha|}(t) \leqslant K_0 \rho_0^{-|\alpha|} m_{|\alpha|} + C|\alpha| \int_0^t ||u(s)||_{B^1_{\infty,1}} X_{|\alpha|}(s) ds$$

$$+ C|\alpha| m_{|\alpha|} \rho_0^{-|\alpha|} L^{|\alpha|-2} (Y_{|\alpha|-1})^2 \int_0^t (1+s)^{|\alpha|-2} e^{\lambda |\alpha| \int_0^s ||u(\tau)||_{B^1_{\infty,1}} d\tau} ds.$$
(3.26)

By Lemma 2.4, we obtain from (3.26) that

$$X_{|\alpha|}(t) \leqslant K_0 \rho_0^{-|\alpha|} m_{|\alpha|} e^{C_2 |\alpha| \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} d\tau} + C_2 |\alpha| m_{|\alpha|} \rho_0^{-|\alpha|} L^{|\alpha|-2} (Y_{|\alpha|-1})^2$$

$$\times \int_0^t (1+s)^{|\alpha|-2} e^{C_2 |\alpha| \int_s^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} d\tau + \lambda |\alpha| \int_0^s \|u(\tau)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} d\tau} ds$$

with some positive constant  $C_2$  depending only on n. By choosing  $\lambda \geqslant C_2$  and  $L \geqslant 1$ , we thus have

$$\frac{M_{|\alpha|}(t)}{m_{|\alpha|}} X_{|\alpha|}(t) \leqslant K_0 L^{-(|\alpha|-1)} (1+t)^{-(|\alpha|-1)} e^{(C_2-\lambda)|\alpha| \int_0^t ||u(\tau)||_{B_{\infty,1}^1} d\tau} 
+ C_2 |\alpha| L^{-1} (1+t)^{-(|\alpha|-1)} (Y_{|\alpha|-1})^2 \int_0^t (1+s)^{|\alpha|-2} e^{(C_2-\lambda)|\alpha| \int_s^t ||u(\tau)||_{B_{\infty,1}^1} d\tau} ds 
\leqslant K_0 + C_2 |\alpha| L^{-1} (1+t)^{-(|\alpha|-1)} (Y_{|\alpha|-1})^2 \int_0^t (1+s)^{|\alpha|-2} ds 
\leqslant K_0 + \frac{2C_2}{L} (Y_{|\alpha|-1})^2.$$

The above estimate with (3.14) implies that

$$Y_{|\alpha|} \leqslant K_0 + \frac{2C_2}{L} (Y_{|\alpha|-1})^2 \tag{3.27}$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 2$ . From (3.14) and (3.27), we obtain by the standard inductive argument that

$$Y_{|\alpha|} \leqslant 2K_0 \tag{3.28}$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  with  $|\alpha| \geqslant 1$ , provided  $\lambda \geqslant \max\{C_1, C_2\}$  and  $L \geqslant \max\{1, 8C_2K_0\}$ . Therefore, it follows from (3.28) that

$$\|\partial_x^{\alpha} u(t)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} \leqslant \frac{2K_0}{L} \left(\frac{\rho_0}{L}\right)^{-|\alpha|} m_{|\alpha|} (1+t)^{|\alpha|-1} e^{\lambda|\alpha| \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^1} d\tau}$$
(3.29)

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 1$ . From (3.1) and (3.29) with  $K = K_0 \max\{C_0, 2/L\}$ , we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Acknowledgement** The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Professor Hideo Kozono for his valuable suggestions and continuous encouragement. They are also grateful to Professor Yoshihiro Shibata, Professor Matthias Hieber and Professor Reinhard Farwig for their various supports. The second author is partly supported by Research Fellow of the Japan society for Promotion of Science for Young Scientists.

## References

- [1] S. Alinhac and G. Métivier, *Propagation de l'analyticité des solutions de systèmes hyperboliques non-linéaires*, Invent. Math. **75** (1984), 189–204.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, Propagation de l'analyticité locale pour les solutions de l'équation d'Euler, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 92 (1986), 287–296.
- [3] C. Bardos, S. Benachour, and M. Zerner, *Analyticité des solutions périodiques de l'équation d'Euler en deux dimensions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **282** (1976), Aiii, A995–A998.
- [4] J.-M. Bony, Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **14** (1981), 209–246.
- [5] Y. Giga, A. Mahalov, and B. Nicolaenko, *The Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with spatially almost periodic initial data*, Mathematical aspects of nonlinear dispersive equations, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 163, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007, pp. 213–222.
- [6] C. Kahane, *On the spatial analyticity of solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations.*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **33** (1969), 386–405.
- [7] T. Kato, Nonstationary flows of viscous and ideal fluids in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , J. Functional Analysis 9 (1972), 296–305.
- [8] I. Kukavica and V. Vicol, *On the radius of analyticity of solutions to the three-dimensional Euler equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 669–677.
- [9] D. Le Bail, *Analyticité locale pour les solutions de l'équation d'Euler*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **95** (1986), 117–136.
- [10] C. D. Levermore and M. Oliver, *Analyticity of solutions for a generalized Euler equation*, J. Differential Equations **133** (1997), 321–339.
- [11] H. C. Pak and Y. J. Park, Existence of solution for the Euler equations in a critical Besov space  $\mathbf{B}^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , Comm. Partial Differential Equations **29** (2004), 1149–1166.
- [12] O. Sawada and R. Takada, *On the analyticity and the almost periodicity of the solution to the Euler equations with non-decaying initial velocity*, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (2011), 2148–2162.
- [13] Y. Taniuchi, T. Tashiro, and T. Yoneda, *On the Two-Dimensional Euler Equations with Spatially Almost Periodic Initial Data*, to appear in Journal of Math. Fluid Mech.
- [14] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 78, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.