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Abstract

In this paper, we give an overview of our previous paper concerning the investigation of the algebraic and $p$-adic properties of Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers using Mumford's theory of algebraic theta functions.

§1. Introduction

In the paper [BK1], we used Mumford's theory of algebraic theta functions to study the algebraic and $p$-adic properties of Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers, which are analogues in the case of imaginary quadratic fields of the classical generalized Bernoulli numbers, or more precisely, special values of Hurwitz zeta functions. The purpose of this paper is to give an overview of the main arguments of [BK1], highlighting the main ideas. We will first prove that the generating function for Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers is in fact given by a theta function which we call the Kronecker theta function. This theta function differs from the two-variable Jacobi theta function studied by Zagier [Zag] by a simple exponential factor. Then we will show how to use Mumford's theory of algebraic theta functions to study the algebraic and $p$-adic properties of this generating function. Using this result, when $p$ is an ordinary prime, we will construct a $p$-adic measure interpolating Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers. We will then use this measure to construct the two-variable $p$-adic measure, constructed by Yager [Yag], interpolating special values of Hecke $L$-functions. Our construction may also be used to construct the two-variable $p$-adic measure originally constructed by Manin-Vishik [MV] and Katz [Ka]. We refer the reader to [BK1] for details.

The detailed content of this paper is as follows. We first review the cyclotomic case to demonstrate the type of result we are aiming to prove. Let $\Gamma := 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}$. The cyclotomic analogue of the Eisenstein-Kronecker number is the following.
**Definition 1.1.** For any \( z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \) and integer \( b > 0 \), we let

\[
e^*_b(z_0) := \sum_{n \in \Gamma}^* \frac{1}{(z_0 + n)^b},
\]

where \( \sum^* \) means the sum taken over all \( n \in \Gamma \) other than \(-z_0\) if \( z_0 \in \Gamma \).

The arithmetic importance of \( e^*_b(z_0) \) is its relation to critical \( L \)-values of Dirichlet characters. Let \( f = (f) \) be an ideal in \( \mathbb{Z} \) and suppose \( \chi \) is a Dirichlet character of conductor \( f \) such that \( \chi(-\alpha) = (-1)^b \chi(\alpha) \). Then we have by definition

\[
L_f(\chi, b) = \frac{(2\pi i)^b}{2f^b} \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/f)^\times} \chi(\alpha)e^*_b(2\pi i \alpha/f)
\]

for \( b > 0 \). We define a function \( g(z) \) on \( \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \) by

\[
g(z) := \frac{\exp(z)}{\exp(z) - 1} - \frac{1}{2}
\]

(we subtract \( \frac{1}{2} \) so that \( g(z) \) becomes an odd function satisfying \( g(-z) = -g(z) \)) and for any \( z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \), we let \( g_{z_0}(z) := g(z + z_0) \). Then \( g_{z_0}(z) \) is a generating function

\[
g_{z_0}(z) = \delta_{z_0} z^{-1} + \sum_{b > 0} (-1)^{b-1} e^*_b(z_0) z^{b-1}
\]

of \( e^*_b(z_0) \) for \( b > 0 \), where \( \delta_x = 1 \) if \( x \in \Gamma \) and is zero otherwise. We fix an embedding \( i : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \). If \( z_0 \in \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q} \), then the Laurent expansion of \( g_{z_0}(z) \) has coefficients in \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \). Hence we have \( e^*_b(z_0) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \). The mechanism behind this proof is the following: \( \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \) has an algebraic model given by the multiplicative group \( \mathbb{G}_m \) defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \), with a uniformization \( \xi : \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \cong \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}) \) given by \( z \mapsto \exp(z) \). Then \( g(z) \) corresponds to the rational function \( t/(t-1) - \frac{1}{2} \) on \( \mathbb{G}_m \) defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \), and the algebraicity is a consequence of this fact.

Using this generating function, we can also construct a \( p \)-adic measure interpolating the values \( e^*_b(z_0) \). Throughout this paper, we fix an embedding \( i_p : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p \), and denote by \( W \) the ring of integers of the completion of the maximal unramified extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) in \( \mathbb{C}_p \). If \( z_0 \) is a torsion point \( \neq 1 \) in \( \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{C}) \) of order prime to \( p \), then \( g_{z_0}(z) \) corresponds through \( \xi \) to a rational function defined over \( W \), and we have

\[
\tilde{g}_{z_0}(T) := g_{z_0}(z)|_{z = \log(1 + T)} \in W[[T]],
\]

where \( T \) is the formal parameter of the formal group \( \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m \), with relation \( T = t - 1 \). Using the standard dictionary between \( p \)-adic measures on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) and power series in \( W[[T]] \) (see for example [Hid]), we define the measure \( \mu_{z_0} \) to be the measure on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) corresponding
to the power series \( \tilde{g}_{z_{0}}(T) \). Since \( \partial_{\log T} := (1 + T)\partial T = \partial z \), this measure satisfies the interpolation property

\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}} x^{b-1} d\mu_{z_{0}}(x) = (-1)^{b-1}(b-1)!e_{b}^{*}(z_{0})
\]

for \( b > 1 \). This measure may be used to construct the \( p \)-adic \( L \)-function interpolating critical \( L \)-values of Dirichlet characters.

The goal of [BK1], and also of this paper, is to use similar ideas to study Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers. Let \( K \) be an imaginary quadratic field with ring of integers \( \mathcal{O}_{K} \). We assume in this paper for simplicity that the class number of \( K \) is one. See [BK1] for the general case. Fix an ideal \( \mathfrak{f} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{K} \). Then there exists by the theory of complex multiplication some complex period \( \Omega \in \mathbb{C} \) such that for the lattice \( \Gamma := \Omega \mathfrak{f} \), the complex torus \( \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \) has an algebraic model \( E \) defined over \( K \), with standard uniformization \( \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \cong E(\mathbb{C}) \).

Let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be the area of the fundamental domain of \( \Gamma \) divided by \( \pi = 3.1415\ldots \), and \( \langle z, w \rangle = \exp((z\overline{w} - w\overline{z})/\mathfrak{g}) \), where \( \overline{z} \) and \( \overline{w} \) are the complex conjugates of \( z \) and \( w \).

**Definition 1.2.** For \( z_{0}, w_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \) and integers \( b > a + 2 \), we define the Eisenstein-Kronecker number \( e_{a,b}^{*}(z_{0}, w_{0}) \) to be the sum

\[
e_{a,b}^{*}(z_{0}, w_{0}) := \sum_{\gamma \neq -z_{0}}^{*} \frac{(\overline{z_{0}} + \overline{\gamma})^{a}}{(z_{0} + \gamma)^{b}} \langle \gamma, w_{0} \rangle,
\]

where \( \sum^{*} \) means the sum taken over all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \) other than \( -z_{0} \) if \( z_{0} \in \Gamma \). We may extend the definition to \( a \geq 0, b > 0 \) using analytic continuation (See Definition 2.4).

The arithmetic importance of \( e_{a,b}^{*}(z_{0}, w_{0}) \) is its relation to critical \( L \)-values of Hecke characters on \( K \) (see (12).) The observation for our research is the fact that the generating function, which plays the role of \( g(z) \) above, is given by the Kronecker theta function \( \Theta(z, w) \). Since this function is not a rational function, the translation \( \Theta_{z_{0}, w_{0}}(z, w) \) of this function is taken using the theory of algebraic theta functions of Mumford, which uses extra exponential factors to preserve the algebraicity. As an analogy of (2), we have

\[
\Theta_{z_{0}, w_{0}}(z, w) = \langle w_{0}, z_{0} \rangle \delta_{z_{0}} z^{-1} + \delta_{w_{0}} w^{-1} + \sum_{a, b \geq 0} (-1)^{a+b} \frac{e_{a,b}^{*}(z_{0}, w_{0})}{A^{a} a!} z^{b} w^{a}.
\]

We will systematically use Mumford's theory to study the algebraic and \( p \)-adic properties of this function. In particular, we prove that \( e_{a,b}^{*}(z_{0}, w_{0}) \) is algebraic if \( z_{0}, w_{0} \in \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q} \).
In addition, when \( p \geq 5 \) is ordinary (i.e. if \( p \) splits as \( p = pp^\star \) in \( \mathcal{O}_K \)), we will prove a \( p \)-integrality statement for \( \Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w) \). We will then use this result to construct a \( p \)-adic measure analogous to (3) interpolating the values \( e_{a, b}^\star(z_0, w_0) \). At the end, we will use this measure to construct Yager’s measure interpolating critical values of Hecke \( L \)-functions.

Construction of a two-variable distribution when \( p \) is supersingular interpolating Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers will be given in a subsequent paper [BK2]. The construction of the two-variable \( p \)-adic distribution as in [BK1] and [BK2] play an important role in explicit calculation of the \( p \)-adic elliptic polylogarithm in [BKT], extending previous results of [Ba] to two variables.

The authors would like to thank the organizers Ki-ichiro Hashimoto, Yukiyoshi Nakkajima and Hiroshi Tsunogai for the opportunity to give a talk at this conference. The authors would also like to thank the referee for carefully reading the manuscript and giving appropriate comments. Part of this research was conducted while the first author was visiting the École Normale Supérieure at Paris, and the second author Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu. The authors would like to thank their hosts Yves André and Pierre Colmez for hospitality.

\[ \| \| \| \| \| \| \| \] § 2. Kronecker theta function

In this section, we first define and investigate the properties of Eisenstein-Kronecker-Lerch series and Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers. Then, after reviewing the theory of line bundles and theta functions on a general complex torus, we prove that the Kronecker theta function \( \Theta(z, w) \) is a generating function of Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers. In this section, we let \( \Gamma \) be a general lattice \( \mathbb{C} \).

**Definition 2.1.** ([W] VIII §12) Let \( a \) be an integer \( \geq 0 \). For \( z, w \in \mathbb{C} \), we define the *Eisenstein-Kronecker-Lerch function* \( K_a(z, w, s) \) by

\[
(5) \quad K_a(z, w, s; \Gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma}^{*} \frac{(\overline{z} + \overline{\gamma})^a}{|z + \gamma|^{2s}} \langle \gamma, w \rangle \quad (\text{Re } s > a/2 + 1),
\]

where \( \sum^{*} \) means the sum taken over all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \) other than \(-z\) if \( z \) is in \( \Gamma \).

We omit \( \Gamma \) from the notation if there is no fear of confusion. The function \( K_a(z, w, s) \) may be continued analytically in \( s \) as follows.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( a \) be an integer \( \geq 0 \). The function \( K_a(z, w, s) \) continues meromorphically to a function on the whole \( s \)-plane, with possible poles only at \( s = 0 \) (if \( a = 0, z \in \Gamma \)) and at \( s = 1 \) (if \( a = 0, w \in \Gamma \)).
Proof. See [W] VIII §13 for the proof. \hfill \Box

From the definition of Eisenstein-Kronecker-Lerch series, we have the following differential equations.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( a \) be an integer > 0. For \( z, w \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma) \), the function \( K_a(z, w, s) \) as a real analytic function in \( z \) and \( w \) satisfies the differential equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_z K_a(z, w, s) &= -sK_{a+1}(z, w, s+1) \\
\partial_{\bar{z}} K_a(z, w, s) &= (a-s)K_{a-1}(z, w, s) \\
\partial_w K_a(z, w, s) &= (\bar{z}K_a(z, w, s) - K_\zeta(z, w, s))/A \\
\partial_{\bar{w}} K_a(z, w, s) &= (K_{a-1}(z, w, s-1) - zK_a(z, w, s))/A.
\end{align*}
\]

Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers are defined as follows.

**Definition 2.4** (Eisenstein-Kronecker number). For any \( z_0, w_0 \in \mathbb{C} \) and integers \( a \geq 0, b > 0 \), we define the Eisenstein-Kronecker number \( e_{a,b}^{*}(z_0, w_0) \) by the formula

\[
e_{a,b}^{*}(z_0, w_0) := K_{a+b}(z_0, w_0, b).
\]

We next review the theory of line bundles and theta functions on a general complex torus following Mumford [Mum2]. Let \( V \) be a complex vector space. For a lattice \( \Lambda \subset V \), consider the complex torus \( \mathbb{T} = V/\Lambda \). The holomorphic line bundles on \( \mathbb{T} \) are classified by the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5** (Appell and Humbert). The group \( \text{Pic}(\mathbb{T}) \) of isomorphism classes of holomorphic line bundles on \( \mathbb{T} \) is isomorphic to the group of pairs \((H, \alpha)\), where

(i) \( H \) is a Hermitian form on \( V \).

(ii) \( E = \text{Im}H \) takes integral values on \( \Lambda \).

(iii) \( \alpha : \Lambda \to U(1) := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1 \} \) is a map such that \( \alpha(\gamma + \gamma') = \exp(\pi iE(\gamma, \gamma'))\alpha(\gamma)\alpha(\gamma') \).

One may construct from a pair \((H, \alpha)\) a line bundle \( L(H, \alpha) \) on \( \mathbb{T} = V/\Lambda \). Any meromorphic section of \( L(H, \alpha) \) over \( \mathbb{T} \) is given by a meromorphic function \( \theta : V \to \mathbb{C} \) satisfying the transformation formula

\[
\theta(v + \gamma) = \alpha(\gamma) \exp \left[ \pi H(v, \gamma) + \frac{\tau}{2} H(\gamma, \gamma) \right] \theta(v).
\]

**Definition 2.6.** We call any meromorphic function \( \theta : V \to \mathbb{C} \) satisfying the transformation formula (6) a reduced theta function associated to \( L(H, \alpha) \).
Example 2.7. We define $\theta(z)$ to be a reduced theta function associated to $L(H, \alpha)$ for $V = \mathbb{C}$,

$$H(z_1, z_2) = \frac{z_1 \overline{z}_2}{\pi A}, \quad \alpha(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \gamma \in 2\Gamma \\ -1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

The divisor of $\theta(z)$ is (0). We normalize this function so that $\theta'(0) = 1$.

The function $\theta(z)$ is the Weierstrass $\sigma$-function $\sigma(z)$ up to a simple exponential factor. This function was used by Roberts [Robl] in his construction of elliptic units.

Example 2.8 (Kronecker theta function). We define $\Theta(z, w)$ to be the Kronecker theta function

$$\Theta(z, w) := \frac{\theta(z + w)}{\theta(z) \theta(w)}.$$ 

This function is a reduced theta function associated to the line bundle $L(H, \alpha)$ for $V = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$,

$$H((z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)) = \frac{z_1 \overline{w}_2 + z_2 \overline{w}_1}{\pi A}, \quad \alpha(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \frac{\gamma_1 \overline{\gamma}_2 - \gamma_2 \overline{\gamma}_1}{2A}.$$

This line bundle is the Poincaré bundle. The divisor of $\Theta(z, w)$ is $\Delta - (E \times \{0\}) - (\{0\} \times E)$ of $E^2 = (\mathbb{C}/\Gamma)^2$, where $\Delta$ is the image of the map $x \mapsto (x, -x)$, and the residue at $z = 0$ and $w = 0$ is the constant one. This function satisfies the translation formula

$$(7) \quad \Theta(z + \gamma_1, w + \gamma_2) = \exp \left[ \frac{\gamma_1 \overline{\gamma}_2}{A} \right] \exp \left[ \frac{z \overline{\gamma}_2 + w \overline{\gamma}_1}{A} \right] \Theta(z, w)$$

for any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$.

We will next give a relation between the Kronecker theta function and Eisenstein-Kronecker-Lerch series.

Lemma 2.9. Let $f(z, w) := \exp[z \overline{w}/A] K_1(z, w, 1)$. Then this function satisfies the following.

1. $f(z, w)$ satisfies the transformation formula (7).
2. $f(z, w)$ is a meromorphic function in $z$ and $w$, holomorphic except simple poles when $z \in \Gamma$ or $w \in \Gamma$.
3. The residue of $f(z, w)$ at $z = 0$ and $w = 0$ is equal to one.

Proof. The proof is given in [BK1] Proposition 1.12 (ii). □
Theorem 2.10 (Kronecker).

\[ \Theta(z, w) = \exp \left[ \frac{z \overline{w}}{A} \right] K_1(z, w, 1). \]

Proof. By the property of \( \Theta(z, w) \) and Lemma 2.9, the difference
\( \Theta(z, w) - \exp \left[ \frac{z \overline{w}}{A} \right] K_1(z, w, 1) \) is a holomorphic function on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) satisfying (7). Since \( H \) is not positive definite, the line bundle \( L(H, \alpha) \) is not ample hence has no non-zero holomorphic sections. Therefore, the above difference must be zero as desired. \( \square \)

The above equality is used to prove that \( \Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w) \) below is a generating function for the values \( e_{a, b}^*(z_0, w_0) \).

Theorem 2.11. For any \( z_0, w_0 \in \mathbb{C} \), let
\[ \Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w) := \exp \left[ -\frac{z_0 \overline{w}_0}{A} \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{z \overline{w}_0 + w \overline{z}_0}{A} \right] \Theta(z + z_0, w + w_0). \]
Then the Laurent expansion of this function at the origin is given by (4).

Proof. Let \( \widetilde{K}_{a+b}(z, w, b) := \exp \left[ -w \overline{z}/A \right] K_{a+b}(z, w, b) \). Then by Lemma 2.3, we have
\[ \partial_z \widetilde{K}_{a+b}(z, w, b) = -b \widetilde{K}_{a+b+1}(z, w, b+1), \]
\[ \partial_w \widetilde{K}_{a+b}(z, w, b) = -\widetilde{K}_{a+b+1}(z, w, b)/A. \]
Hence when \( z_0, w_0 \notin \Gamma \), the coefficient of \( z \) and \( w \) in the Taylor expansion of \( \widetilde{K}_1(z + z_0, w + w_0, 1) \) at the origin is given by
\[ \sum_{a, b \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^a b \widetilde{K}_{a+b+1}(z_0, w_0, b+1)}{a! A^a} z^b w^a. \]

By definition and the previous theorem, \( \Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w) \) is equal to
\[ \exp \left[ \frac{w_0 \overline{z}_0}{A} \right] \exp \left[ \frac{(z + z_0) \overline{w} + (w + w_0) \overline{z}}{A} \right] \widetilde{K}_1(z + z_0, w + w_0, 1). \]
Since \( \Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w) \) is holomorphic at the origin and the second exponential above is equal to one when \( \overline{z} = \overline{w} = 0 \), our assertion follows from the fact that \( e_{a, b}^*(z_0, w_0) = \exp \left[ w_0 z_0 / A \right] K_{a+b}(z_0, w_0, b) \). The case when \( z_0 \) or \( w_0 \in \Gamma \) follows using a similar argument, paying careful attention to the poles of \( \Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w) \). See [BK1] §1.4 for details. \( \square \)
§ 3. Algebraicity

In this section, using the fact that $\Theta(z, w)$ is a generating function for Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers, we give a simple proof of Damerell’s theorem concerning the algebraicity of such numbers. Let $K$ be an imaginary quadratic field of class number one as in the introduction, and let $\mathfrak{f}$ be an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_K$. Then by the theory of complex multiplication, there exists an elliptic curve $E$ and an invariant differential $\omega$ defined over $K$ and a complex number $\Omega$, such that we have a complex uniformization

$$\xi : \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \to E(\mathbb{C})$$

where $\Gamma := \Omega \mathfrak{f}$ corresponds to the period lattice of $\omega$. We first prove that the Laurent expansion of $\Theta(z, w)$ at the origin has coefficients in $K$.

**Lemma 3.1.** The Laurent expansion of $\Theta(z, w)$ at the origin has coefficients in $K$.

**Proof.** We first prove that the Taylor expansion of $\theta(z)$ at the origin has coefficients in $K$. Take an $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$. The function $\theta_\alpha(z) := \theta(z)^{N(\alpha)}/\theta(\alpha z)$ is periodic with respect to $\Gamma$, and in fact corresponds to a rational function of $E$ defined over $K$. Hence the Taylor expansion of $\theta_\alpha(z)$ at $z = 0$ has coefficients in $K$. The statement for $\theta(z)$ is obtained from the statement for $\theta_\alpha(z)$ by inductively comparing the coefficients. The statement for $\Theta(z, w)$ now follows, since $\Theta(z, w) := \theta(z+w)/\theta(z)\theta(w)$.

**Remark 3.2.** The condition $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ is necessary to inductively compare the coefficients of $\theta(z)$ and $\theta_\alpha(z)$. Hence the assumption that $\Gamma$ has an $\mathcal{O}_K$-structure plays an important role in the proof.

In order to prove the algebraicity of the Laurent expansion of $\Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w)$, we will use the theory of algebraic theta functions due to Mumford. We first review the general theory. Consider again a general complex torus $\mathbb{T} = V/\Lambda$. Assume that $\mathbb{T}$ has an algebraic model $A$ defined over a number field $F$, with an isomorphism $\mathbb{T} \cong A(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\vartheta(v)$ be a theta function associated to $L$, satisfying the translation formula (6). We assume in addition that

1. $\vartheta(v)$ is odd, in other words $\vartheta(-v) = -\vartheta(v)$.
2. The divisor of $\vartheta(v)$ (the theta divisor) is defined over $F$.

Suppose we know the property of $\vartheta(v)$ at a neighborhood of $v = 0$. Mumford’s theory allows us to deduce the properties of $\vartheta(v)$ at arbitrary torsion points from its property at $v = 0$. 


We take a $v_0 \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes \Lambda$ corresponding to a torsion point of $A$. We let $n$ be an integer such that $nv_0 \in 2\Lambda$, and we let $q_0$ be a point such that $2q_0 = v_0$. Then we have the following:

**Lemma 3.3.** The function

$$\rho(v) := \exp \left[ -\pi H(nv, q_0) \right] \vartheta(q_0 + nv) \vartheta(nv)^{-1}$$

is meromorphic in $v$ and is periodic with respect to $\Lambda$.

**Proof.** Since $H$ is a hermitian form, the exponential term is holomorphic in $v$. The lemma follows from the transformation formula (6), noting that $nq_0 \in \Lambda$ for our choice of $n$. \qed

We have assumed that the divisor of $\vartheta(v)$ is defined over $F$. Then from the explicit description of $\rho(v)$, we see that the divisor of $\rho(v)$ is defined over $\overline{F}$. This implies that there exists some constant $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that the function $c\rho(v)$ corresponds to a rational function on $A$ defined over $\overline{F}$. Since $\rho(-v)^{-1} \rho(v) = (c\rho(-v))^{-1}(c\rho(v))$, we see that

$$\rho(-v)^{-1} \rho(v) = \exp \left[ -2\pi H(nv, q_0) \right] \frac{\vartheta(q_0 + nv)}{\vartheta(-q_0 + nv)}$$

is also a rational function defined over $\overline{F}$ independent of the choice of $c$. Taking change of coordinates $v \mapsto v + (q_0/n)$, we have

$$r(v) := \rho(-v - (q_0/n))^{-1} \rho(v + (q_0/n))$$
$$= \exp \left[ -\pi H(nv, v_0) - \frac{\pi}{2} H(v_0, v_0) \right] \vartheta(v_0 + nv) \vartheta(nv)^{-1},$$

which corresponds to a rational function defined over $\overline{F}$ independent of the choice of $c$. This method of first taking $q_0$ in order to remove the constant $c$ is the key in Mumford’s theory. We define Mumford’s algebraic theta function (at point $v_0$) by

$$\vartheta_{v_0}^{M}(v) := r(v/n) \vartheta(v).$$

Then we have

$$\vartheta_{v_0}^{M}(v) = \exp \left[ -\pi H(v, v_0) - \frac{\pi}{2} H(v_0, v_0) \right] \vartheta(v + v_0).$$

Since $r(v)$ corresponds to a rational function defined over $\overline{F}$, one may deduce algebraicity results at $v = 0$ for $\vartheta_{v_0}^{M}(v)$ from corresponding results for $\vartheta(v)$. 
Since $\Theta(z, w)$ is odd and its divisor $\Delta - (E \times \{0\}) - (\{0\} \times E)$ is defined over $K$, we may apply the above theory. Let $z_0, w_0 \in \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then by definition, we have

$$\Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w) = \exp \left[ \frac{w_0 \overline{z}_0 - z_0 \overline{w}_0}{2A} \right] \Theta_{z_0, w_0}^{M}(z, w).$$

This gives the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose $z_0, w_0 \in \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then the Laurent expansion of $\Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w)$ at the origin has coefficients in $\overline{K}$.

**Proof.** Since the difference between $\Theta_{z_0, w_0}$ and $\Theta_{z_0, w_0}^M$ is a root of unity, it is sufficient to prove the statement for $\Theta_{z_0, w_0}^M$. In the construction of $\Theta_{z_0, w_0}^M(z, w)$, we may take $\rho(z, w)$ hence $r(z, w)$ to be a rational function on $E \times E$ defined over $K$. Hence the Laurent expansion of $r(z, w)$ at the origin has coefficients in $\overline{K}$. Since $\Theta_{z_0, w_0}^M(z, w) = r(z/n, w/n) \Theta(z, w)$, our assertion now follows from Lemma 3.1. \qed

Since $\Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w)$ is a generating function for Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers, the above theorem gives the following.

**Theorem 3.5 (Damerell).** Let $\Gamma$ be as above. For any $z_0, w_0 \in \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and integers $a > 0, b \geq 0$, we have

$$e_{a, b}^*(z_0, w_0)/A^a \in \overline{K}.$$

§ 4. $p$-integrality

In this section, we prove the $p$-integrality of the Laurent expansion of $\Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w)$ with respect to the variable of the formal parameter, when the elliptic curve $E$ has good ordinary reduction at $p$. We will then use this fact to construct $p$-adic measures interpolating Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers.

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime for which $E$ has good ordinary reduction. This condition is equivalent to saying that $(p)$ splits as $(p) = pp^*$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$. We fix a Weierstrass model $\mathcal{E}$ of $E$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$, given by the equation

$$\mathcal{E} : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$$

with good reduction at $p$. We denote by $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ the formal group of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to the parameter $t = -2x/y$, and we denote by $\lambda(t)$ the formal logarithm of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$. We also fix an embedding $i_p : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ such that the completion of $K$ in $\mathbb{C}_p$ is $K_p$, and let $W$ be the ring of integers of the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $Q_p$ as in the introduction. Then we have the following lemma, due to Bernardi, Goldstein and Stephens.
Lemma 4.1 ([BGS] Proposition III.1). We let \( \tilde{\theta}(t) := \theta(z)|_{z = \lambda(t)} \) be the formal composition of the Taylor expansion of \( \theta(z) \) at \( z = 0 \) with \( \lambda(t) \). Then we have
\[
t^{-1}\tilde{\theta}(t) \in W[[t]]^\times.
\]

Using this lemma, we have the following.

Proposition 4.2. Let \( \tilde{\Theta}(s, t) := \Theta(z, w)|_{z = \lambda(s), w = \lambda(t)} \) be the formal composition of the Laurent expansion of \( \Theta(z, w) \) at the origin with \( z = \lambda(s) \) and \( w = \lambda(t) \). Then we have
\[
\tilde{\Theta}(s, t) - s^{-1} - t^{-1} \in W[[s, t]].
\]

Proof. The statement follows from the previous lemma and the definition of \( \Theta(z, w) \).
\( \square \)

Again using the method of Mumford, we may prove a \( p \)-integrality statement for \( \Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w) \).

Theorem 4.3. Let \( z_0, w_0 \in \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) be torsion points whose order \( n \) is prime to \( p \), and let \( \tilde{\Theta}_{z_0, w_0}(s, t) := \Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w)|_{z = \lambda(s), w = \lambda(t)} \) be the formal composition of the Laurent expansion of \( \Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w) \) at the origin with \( z = \lambda(s) \) and \( w = \lambda(t) \). Then we have
\[
\tilde{\Theta}_{z_0, w_0}(s, t) - s^{-1} - t^{-1} \in W[[s, t]].
\]

Proof. Since the difference between \( \Theta_{z_0, w_0} \) and \( \Theta_{z_0, w_0}^M \) is a root of unity of order prime to \( p \), it is sufficient to prove the statement for \( \Theta_{z_0, w_0}^M \). In the construction of \( \Theta_{z_0, w_0}^M \), we may take \( \rho(z, w) \) hence \( r(z, w) \) so that it is defined over \( W \). Using the fact that the only possible poles of \( r(z, w) \) at the formal neighborhood \( \hat{\mathcal{E}} \times \hat{\mathcal{E}} \) of the origin is the origin itself, we can prove that
\[
\tilde{\hat{r}}(s, t) := r(z, w)|_{z = \lambda(s), w = \lambda(t)} \in W[s^{-1}, t^{-1}][[s, t]].
\]

By substituting into the equality \( \tilde{\Theta}_{z_0, w_0}^M([n]s, [n]t) = \tilde{\hat{r}}(s, t)\tilde{\Theta}([n]s, [n]t) \) the inverse power series of \( [n]s \) and \( [n]t \), which also have coefficients in \( W \), we have \( \tilde{\Theta}_{z_0, w_0}(s, t) \in W[s^{-1}, t^{-1}][[s, t]] \). Our assertion now follows from the explicit shape of the poles of \( \Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w) \).
\( \square \)

Remark 4.4. In the above theorem, the condition that \( p \) is ordinary is crucial in proving the integrality. The coefficients of \( \tilde{\Theta}_{z_0, w_0}(s, t) \) is \( p \)-adically unbounded when \( p \) is supersingular.
We use the above theorem to construct our $p$-adic measure. It is known that there exists an isomorphism of formal groups

$$\eta_p : \hat{E} \cong \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$$

over $W$, which is expressed in the form $\eta_p(t) = \exp(\lambda(t)/\Omega_p) - 1$ for some suitable $p$-adic period $\Omega_p \in W^\times$. We let $\iota(T) = \Omega_p T + \cdots$ be the inverse power series of $\eta_p(t)$, and we let

$$\hat{\Theta}_{z_0, w_0}^{*}(S, T) := \hat{\Theta}_{z_0, w_0}^{*}(s, t)|_{s = \iota(S), t = \iota(T)}.$$

Using the standard dictionary between $p$-adic measures on $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$ and formal power series in $W[[S, T]]$, we may define the measure $\mu_{z_0, w_0}$ as follows.

**Definition 4.5.** Let $z_0, w_0 \in \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ be torsion points of order prime to $p$. We define $\mu_{z_0, w_0}$ to be the $p$-adic measure on $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ characterized by the formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2} (1 + S)^x (1 + T)^y d\mu_{z_0, w_0}(x, y) = \hat{\Theta}_{z_0, w_0}^{*}(S, T).$$

When $z_0, w_0 \not\in \Gamma$, we have $\hat{\Theta}_{z_0, w_0}^{*} = \hat{\Theta}_{z_0, w_0}$. Note that the differential $\partial_{\log, S} := (1 + S)\partial_{S}$ corresponds to the differential $\Omega_p^{-1}\partial_s$ through the equality $z = \lambda \circ \iota(S)$, and similarly for $\partial_{\log, T}$. Since $\Theta_{z_0, w_0}(z, w)$ is a generating function of Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers, the measure $\mu_{z_0, w_0}$ in this case satisfies the interpolation property

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2} x^{b-1} y^a d\mu_{z_0, w_0}(x, y) = (-1)^{a+b-1}(b-1)! \frac{e_{a,b}(z_0, w_0)}{A^a}$$

for integers $a \geq 0$ and $b > 0$. When $z_0$ or $w_0 \in \Gamma$, we cannot calculate directly the interpolation property of $\mu_{z_0, w_0}$. We calculate instead the interpolation property of the restriction of $\mu_{z_0, w_0}$ to $(\mathbb{Z}_p^2)^2$ (See [BK1] Proposition 3.6 for details.)

**Remark 4.6.** In [BK2], extending previous results of Boxall [Box2] and Schneider-Teitelbaum [ST], we develop a theory associating $p$-adic distributions to two-variable power series which are not necessarily $p$-integral, enabling our construction also in the supersingular case.

We use the measure $\mu_{z_0, w_0}$ to construct the two-variable $p$-adic $L$-function of Yager [Yag], interpolating special values of Hecke $L$-functions. We first review the relation between Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers and Hecke $L$-functions.

Let $\varphi$ be a Hecke character of $K$ of infinity type $(1, 0)$ with values in $K$. Assume in addition that the conductor $\mathfrak{f}$ of $\varphi$ is prime to $p$, and assume $w_\mathfrak{f} = 1$, where $w_\mathfrak{f}$ is the number of roots of unity in $K$ congruent to one modulo $\mathfrak{f}$. Then there exists a CM elliptic curve $E$ over $K$ whose Grössencharakter is $\varphi$. We fix a Weierstrass model of $E$...
over $\mathcal{O}_K$ with good reduction at $p$, and let $\Gamma$ be its period lattice. Let $\Omega$ be a complex number such that $\Gamma = \Omega \mathfrak{m}$. The Hecke $L$-function $L_f(\overline{\varphi}^a, s)$ for $a \geq 0$ may be expressed in terms of Eisenstein-Kronecker-Lerch series as follows.

$$L_f(\overline{\varphi}^a, s) = \sum_a \overline{\varphi}^a(a) \frac{N(a)^s}{\varphi(\alpha, 0, s; \mathfrak{m})} = \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m})^\times} K_a(\varphi(\alpha), 0, s).$$

Since $A := A(\Gamma) = N(f)\Omega \overline{\Omega} A(\mathcal{O}_K) = N(f)\Omega \overline{\Omega}(\sqrt{-d_K}/2\pi)$, where $-d_K$ is the discriminant of $K$, the above equality gives

$$(12) \quad \frac{2\pi}{d_K} L_f(\overline{\varphi}^{a+b}, b) = \frac{N(f)^a}{\varphi(\alpha, 0, s)} \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m})^\times} e^{a+b}(\varphi(\alpha)\Omega, 0).$$

Hence the special values of Hecke $L$-functions may be expressed in terms of Eisenstein-Kronecker numbers. We now define the measure $\mu_\varphi$ as follows.

**Definition 4.7.** We define the measure $\mu_\varphi$ on $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ as

$$\mu_\varphi(x, y) = \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m})^\times} \mu_\varphi(\alpha, x, N(f)y).$$

Then this measure satisfies the interpolation property of Yager.

**Proposition 4.8.** For any integer $a \geq 0$ and $b > 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\Omega_p^{a+b}} \int_{(\mathbb{Z}_p^2)^2} x^{b-1} y^a d\mu_\varphi(x, y) = (-1)^{a+b-1}(b-1)! \left[ \frac{2\pi}{d_K} \right]^a \left( 1 - \frac{\varphi(p)^{a+b}}{Np^{a+1}} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\varphi(\overline{p})^{a+b}}{Np^b} \right) \frac{L_f(\overline{\varphi}^{a+b}, b)}{\Omega_p^{a+b}}.$$ 

**Proof.** This is [BK1] Proposition 3.8, and follows essentially from the interpolation property (11) of $\mu_{z_0, w_0}$. The crucial step in the proof is the explicit calculation of the restriction of the measure on $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ to $(\mathbb{Z}_p^c)^2$. This is done by calculating the translations by $p$-th roots of unity of the power series $\hat{\Theta}^{z_0, w_0}(s, t)$ used in defining the measure, and then applying a certain distribution formula. See [BK1] §2.4 for details.

The measure $\mu_{z_0, w_0}$ may also be used to construct the two-variable $p$-adic $L$-function of Manin-Vishik [MV] and Katz [Ka]. See [BK1] §3.3 for details.
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