

# Parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and Schubert varieties

Masaki Kashiwara

*Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8502,  
Japan*

and

Toshiyuki Tanisaki

*Department of Mathematics, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, 739-8526,  
Japan*

We shall give a description of the intersection cohomology groups of the Schubert varieties in partial flag manifolds over symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebras in terms of parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials introduced by Deodhar.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a Coxeter system  $(W, S)$  Kazhdan-Lusztig [6], [7] introduced polynomials

$$P_{y,w}(q) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} P_{y,w,k} q^k \in \mathbf{Z}[q], \quad Q_{y,w}(q) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} Q_{y,w,k} q^k \in \mathbf{Z}[q],$$

called a Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial and an inverse Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial respectively. Here,  $(y, w)$  is a pair of elements of  $W$  such that  $y \leq w$  with respect to the Bruhat order. These polynomials play important roles in various aspects of the representation theory of reductive algebraic groups.

In the case  $W$  is associated to a symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the polynomials have the following geometric meanings. Let  $X = G/B$  be the corresponding flag variety (see Kashiwara [3]), and set  $X^w = B^- w B / B$  and  $X_w = B w B / B$  for  $w \in W$ . Here  $B$  and  $B^-$  are the “Borel subgroups” corresponding to the standard Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}$  and its opposite  $\mathfrak{b}^-$  respectively. Then  $X^w$  (resp.  $X_w$ ) is an  $\ell(w)$ -codimensional (resp.  $\ell(w)$ -dimensional) locally closed subscheme of the infinite-dimensional scheme  $X$ . Here  $\ell(w)$  denotes the length of  $w$  as an element of the Coxeter group

$W$ . Set  $X' = \bigcup_{w \in W} X_w$ . Then  $X'$  coincides with the flag variety considered by Kac-Peterson [2], Tits [10], et al. Moreover we have

$$X = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} X^w, \quad X' = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} X_w,$$

and

$$\overline{X^w} = \bigsqcup_{y \geq w} X^y, \quad \overline{X_w} = \bigsqcup_{y \leq w} X_y$$

for any  $w \in W$ .

By Kazhdan-Lusztig [7] we have the following result (see also Kashiwara-Tanisaki [4]).

THEOREM 1.1 .

(i) *Let  $w, y \in W$  satisfying  $w \leq y$ . Then we have*

$$H^{2k+1}(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{X^w}^H)_{yB/B} = 0, \quad H^{2k}(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{X^w}^H)_{yB/B} = \mathbf{Q}^H(-k)^{\oplus Q_{w,y,k}}$$

for any  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

(ii) *The multiplicity of the irreducible Hodge module  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{X^y}^H[-\ell(y)](-k)$  in the Jordan Hölder series of the Hodge module  $\mathbf{Q}_{X^w}^H[-\ell(w)]$  coincides with  $P_{w,y,k}$ .*

THEOREM 1.2 .

(i) *Let  $w, y \in W$  satisfying  $w \geq y$ . Then we have*

$$H^{2k+1}(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{X^w}^H)_{yB/B} = 0, \quad H^{2k}(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{X^w}^H)_{yB/B} = \mathbf{Q}^H(-k)^{\oplus P_{y,w,k}}$$

for any  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

(ii) *The multiplicity of the irreducible Hodge module  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{X^y}^H[\ell(y)](-k)$  in the Jordan Hölder series of the Hodge module  $\mathbf{Q}_{X^w}^H[\ell(w)]$  coincides with  $Q_{y,w,k}$ .*

Here  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{X^w}^H[-\ell(w)]$  and  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{X^w}^H[\ell(w)]$  denote the Hodge modules corresponding to the perverse sheaves  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{X^w}[-\ell(w)]$  and  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{X^w}[\ell(w)]$  respectively. In Theorem 1.1 we have used the convention so that  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_Z^H[-\text{codim } Z]$  is a Hodge module for a locally closed finite-codimensional subvariety  $Z$  since we deal with sheaves supported on finite-codimensional subvarieties,

while in Theorem 1. 2 we have used another convention so that  $\pi_{\mathbf{Q}_Z^H}[\dim Z]$  is a Hodge modules for a locally closed finite-dimensional subvariety  $Z$  since we deal with sheaves supported on finite-dimensional subvarieties.

Let  $J$  be a subset of  $S$ . Set  $W_J = \langle J \rangle$  and denote by  $W^J$  the set of elements  $w \in W$  whose length is minimal in the coset  $wW_J$ . In [1] Deodhar introduced two generalizations of the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials to this relative situation. For  $(y, w) \in W^J \times W^J$  such that  $y \leq w$  we denote the parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial for  $u = -1$  by

$$P_{y,w}^{J,q}(q) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} P_{y,w,k}^{J,q} q^k \in \mathbf{Z}[q],$$

and that for  $u = q$  by

$$P_{y,w}^{J,-1}(q) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} P_{y,w,k}^{J,-1} q^k \in \mathbf{Z}[q]$$

contrary to the original reference [1]. We can also define inverse parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials

$$Q_{y,w}^{J,q}(q) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} Q_{y,w,k}^{J,q} q^k \in \mathbf{Z}[q], \quad Q_{y,w}^{J,-1}(q) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} Q_{y,w,k}^{J,-1} q^k \in \mathbf{Z}[q]$$

(see Soergel [9] and § 2 below).

The aim of this paper is to extend Theorem 1. 1 and Theorem 1. 2 to this relative situation using the partial flag variety corresponding to  $J$ .

Let  $Y$  be the partial flag variety corresponding to  $J$ . Let  $1_Y$  be the origin of  $Y$  and set  $Y^w = B^-w1_Y$  and  $Y_w = Bw1_Y$  for  $w \in W^J$ . Then  $Y^w$  (resp.  $Y_w$ ) is an  $\ell(w)$ -codimensional (resp.  $\ell(w)$ -dimensional) locally closed subscheme of the infinite-dimensional scheme  $Y$ . Set  $Y' = \bigcup_{w \in W^J} Y_w$ . Then we have

$$Y = \bigsqcup_{w \in W^J} Y^w, \quad Y' = \bigsqcup_{w \in W^J} Y_w,$$

and

$$\overline{Y^w} = \bigsqcup_{y \geq w} Y^y, \quad \overline{Y_w} = \bigsqcup_{y \leq w} Y_y$$

for any  $w \in W^J$ .

We note that the construction of the partial flag variety similar to the ordinary flag variety in Kashiwara [3] has not yet appeared in the literature. In the case where  $W_J$  is a finite group (especially when  $W$  is an affine

Weyl group), we can construct the partial flag variety  $Y = G/P$  and the properties of Schubert varieties in  $Y$  stated above are established in exactly the same manner as in Kashiwara [3] and Kashiwara-Tanisaki [5]. In the case  $W_J$  is an infinite group we can not define the ‘‘parabolic subgroup’’  $P$  corresponding to  $J$  as a group scheme and hence the arguments in Kashiwara [3] are not directly generalized. We leave the necessary modification in the case  $W_J$  is an infinite group to the future work.

Our main result is the following.

THEOREM 1.3 .

(i) *Let  $w, y \in W^J$  satisfying  $w \leq y$ . Then we have*

$$H^{2k+1}(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H)_{y1_Y} = 0, \quad H^{2k}(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H)_{y1_Y} = \mathbf{Q}^H(-k)^{\oplus Q_{w,y,k}^{J,-1}}$$

for any  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

(ii) *The multiplicity of the irreducible Hodge module  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_y}^H[-\ell(y)](-k)$  in the Jordan Hölder series of the Hodge module  $\mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[-\ell(w)]$  coincides with  $P_{w,y,k}^{J,-1}$ .*

THEOREM 1.4 .

(i) *Let  $w, y \in W^J$  satisfying  $w \geq y$ . Then we have*

$$H^{2k+1}(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H)_{y1_Y} = 0, \quad H^{2k}(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H)_{y1_Y} = \mathbf{Q}^H(-k)^{\oplus P_{y,w,k}^{J,q}}$$

for any  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

(ii) *The multiplicity of the irreducible Hodge module  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_y}^H[\ell(y)](-k)$  in the Jordan Hölder series of the Hodge module  $\mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[\ell(w)]$  coincides with  $Q_{y,w,k}^{J,-1}$ .*

In Theorem 1.3 we have used the convention so that  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_Z^H[-\text{codim } Z]$  is a Hodge module for a locally closed finite-codimensional subvariety  $Z$ , and in Theorem 1.4 we have used another convention so that  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_Z^H[\dim Z]$  is a Hodge modules for a locally closed finite-dimensional subvariety  $Z$ .

We note that a result closely related to Theorem 1.4 was already obtained by Deodhar [1].

The above results imply that the coefficients of the four (ordinary or inverse) parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials are all non-negative in the case  $W$  is the Weyl group of a symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra.

## 2. KAZHDAN-LUSZTIG POLYNOMIALS

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring containing  $\mathbf{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$  equipped with a direct sum decomposition  $R = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} R_k$  into  $\mathbf{Z}$ -submodules and an involutive ring endomorphism  $R \ni r \mapsto \bar{r} \in R$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$R_i R_j \subset R_{i+j}, \quad \overline{R_i} = R_{-i}, \quad 1 \in R_0, \quad q \in R_2, \quad \bar{q} = q^{-1}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system. We denote by  $\ell : W \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\geq$  the length function and the Bruhat order respectively. The Hecke algebra  $H = H(W)$  over  $R$  is an  $R$ -algebra with free  $R$ -basis  $\{T_w\}_{w \in W}$  whose multiplication is determined by the following:

$$T_{w_1} T_{w_2} = T_{w_1 w_2} \quad \text{if } \ell(w_1 w_2) = \ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2), \quad (2.2)$$

$$(T_s + 1)(T_s - q) = 0 \quad \text{for } s \in S. \quad (2.3)$$

Note that  $T_e = 1$  by (2.2).

We define involutive ring endomorphisms  $H \ni h \mapsto \bar{h} \in H$  and  $j : H \rightarrow H$  by

$$\overline{\sum_{w \in W} r_w T_w} = \sum_{w \in W} \bar{r}_w T_{w^{-1}}, \quad j\left(\sum_{w \in W} r_w T_w\right) = \sum_{w \in W} r_w (-q)^{\ell(w)} T_{w^{-1}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Note that  $j$  is an endomorphism of an  $R$ -algebra.

**PROPOSITION 2.1** (Kazhdan-Lusztig [6]). *For any  $w \in W$  there exists a unique  $C_w \in H$  satisfying the following conditions:*

$$C_w = \sum_{y \leq w} P_{y,w} T_y \quad \text{with } P_{w,w} = 1 \quad \text{and } P_{y,w} \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell(w) - \ell(y) - 1} R_i \quad (2.5)$$

for  $y < w$ ,

$$\overline{C_w} = q^{-\ell(w)} C_w. \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover we have  $P_{y,w} \in \mathbf{Z}[q]$  for any  $y \leq w$ .

Note that  $\{C_w\}_{w \in W}$  is a basis of the  $R$ -module  $H$ . The polynomials  $P_{y,w}$  for  $y \leq w$  are called Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials. We write

$$P_{y,w} = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} P_{y,w,k} q^k. \quad (2.7)$$

Set  $H^* = H^*(W) = \text{Hom}_R(H, R)$ . We denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the coupling between  $H^*$  and  $H$ . We define involutions  $H^* \ni m \mapsto \bar{m} \in H^*$  and

$j : H^* \rightarrow H^*$  by

$$\langle \overline{m}, h \rangle = \overline{\langle m, \overline{h} \rangle}, \quad \langle j(m), h \rangle = \langle m, j(h) \rangle \quad \text{for } m \in H^* \text{ and } h \in H. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that  $j$  is an endomorphism of an  $R$ -module. For  $w \in W$  we define elements  $S_w, D_w \in H^*$  by

$$\langle S_w, T_x \rangle = (-1)^{\ell(w)} \delta_{w,x}, \quad \langle D_w, C_x \rangle = (-1)^{\ell(w)} \delta_{w,x}. \quad (2.9)$$

Then any element of  $H^*$  is uniquely written as an infinite sum in two ways  $\sum_{w \in W} r_w S_w$  and  $\sum_{w \in W} r'_w D_w$  with  $r_w, r'_w \in R$ . Note that we have

$$S_w = \sum_{y \geq w} (-1)^{\ell(w) - \ell(y)} P_{w,y} D_y \quad (2.10)$$

by  $C_w = \sum_{y \leq w} P_{y,w} T_y$ . By (2.6), we have

$$\overline{D}_w = q^{\ell(w)} D_w, \quad (2.11)$$

and we can write

$$D_w = \sum_{y \geq w} Q_{w,y} S_y, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $Q_{w,y}$  are determined by

$$\sum_{w \leq y \leq z} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(w)} Q_{w,y} P_{y,z} = \delta_{w,z}. \quad (2.13)$$

Note that (2.12) is equivalent to

$$T_w = \sum_{y \leq w} (-1)^{\ell(w) - \ell(y)} Q_{y,w} C_y. \quad (2.14)$$

By (2.13) we see easily that

$$Q_{w,y} \in \mathbf{Z}[q], \quad (2.15)$$

$$Q_{w,w} = 1 \text{ and } \deg Q_{w,y} \leq (\ell(y) - \ell(w) - 1)/2 \text{ for } w < y. \quad (2.16)$$

The polynomials  $Q_{w,y}$  for  $w \leq y$  are called inverse Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials (see Kazhdan-Lusztig [7]). We write

$$Q_{w,y} = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} Q_{w,y,k} q^k. \quad (2.17)$$

The following is proved similarly to Proposition 2. fil (see Kashiwara-Tanisaki [4]).

PROPOSITION 2.2 . *Let  $w \in W$ . Assume that  $D \in H^*$  satisfies the following conditions:*

$$D = \sum_{y \geq w} r_y S_y \text{ with } r_w = 1 \text{ and } r_y \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell(y)-\ell(w)-1} R_i \quad (2.18)$$

for  $w < y$ ,

$$\overline{D} = q^{\ell(w)} D. \quad (2.19)$$

Then we have  $D = D_w$ .

We fix a subset  $J$  of  $S$  and set

$$W_J = \langle J \rangle, \quad W^J = \{w \in W ; ws > w \text{ for any } s \in J\}. \quad (2.20)$$

Then we have

$$W = \bigsqcup_{w \in W^J} wW_J, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\ell(wx) = \ell(w) + \ell(x) \text{ for any } w \in W^J \text{ and } x \in W_J. \quad (2.22)$$

When  $W_J$  is a finite group, we denote the longest element of  $W_J$  by  $w_J$ .

Let  $a \in \{q, -1\}$  and define  $a^\dagger \in \{q, -1\}$  by  $aa^\dagger = -q$ . Define an algebra homomorphism  $\chi^a : H(W_J) \rightarrow R$  by  $\chi^a(T_w) = a^{\ell(w)}$ , and denote the corresponding one-dimensional  $H(W_J)$ -module by  $R^a = R1^a$ . We define the induced module  $H^{J,a}$  by

$$H^{J,a} = H \otimes_{H(W_J)} R^a, \quad (2.23)$$

and define  $\varphi^{J,a} : H \rightarrow H^{J,a}$  by  $\varphi^{J,a}(h) = h \otimes 1^a$ .

It is easily checked that  $H^{J,a} \ni k \mapsto \overline{k} \in H^{J,a}$  and  $j^a : H^{J,a} \rightarrow H^{J,a^\dagger}$  are well defined by

$$\overline{\varphi^{J,a}(h)} = \varphi^{J,a}(\overline{h}), \quad j^a(\varphi^{J,a}(h)) = \varphi^{J,a^\dagger}(j(h)) \quad \text{for } h \in H. \quad (2.24)$$

Note that  $j^a$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules and that

$$\overline{rk} = \overline{r}\overline{k} \quad \text{for } r \in R \text{ and } k \in H^{J,a}, \quad (2.25)$$

$$\overline{\overline{k}} = k \quad \text{for } k \in H^{J,a}, \quad (2.26)$$

$$j^{a^\dagger} \circ j^a = \text{id}_{H^{J,a}}. \quad (2.27)$$

For  $w \in W^J$  set  $T_w^{J,a} = \varphi^{J,a}(T_w)$ . It is easily seen that  $H^{J,a}$  is a free  $R$ -module with basis  $\{T_w^{J,a}\}_{w \in W^J}$ . Note that we have

$$\varphi^{J,a}(T_{wx}) = a^{\ell(x)} T_w^{J,a} \quad \text{for } w \in W^J \text{ and } x \in W_J. \quad (2.28)$$

PROPOSITION 2.3 (Deodhar [1]). *For  $w \in W^J$  there exists a unique  $C_w^{J,a} \in H^{J,a}$  satisfying the following conditions.*

$$C_w^{J,a} = \sum_{y \leq w} P_{y,w}^{J,a} T_y \quad \text{with } P_{w,w}^{J,a} = 1 \text{ and } P_{y,w}^{J,a} \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell(w)-\ell(y)-1} R_i \quad (2.29)$$

for  $y < w$ .

$$\overline{C_w^{J,a}} = q^{-\ell(w)} C_w^{J,a}. \quad (2.30)$$

Moreover we have  $P_{y,w}^{J,a} \in \mathbf{Z}[q]$  for any  $y \leq w$ .

The polynomials  $P_{y,w}^{J,a}$  for  $y, w \in W^J$  with  $y \leq w$  are called parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials. We write

$$P_{y,w}^{J,a} = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} P_{y,w,k}^{J,a} q^k. \quad (2.31)$$

*Remark.* In the original reference [1] Deodhar uses

$$(-1)^{\ell(w)} j^{a^\dagger} (C_w^{J,a^\dagger}) = \sum_{y \leq w} (-q)^{\ell(w)-\ell(y)} \overline{P_{y,w}^{J,a^\dagger}} T_y^{J,a}$$

instead of  $C_w^{J,a}$  in defining the parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials. Hence our  $P_{y,w}^{J,a}$  is actually the parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial  $P_{y,w}^J$  for  $u = a^\dagger$  in the terminology of [1].

PROPOSITION 2.4 (Deodhar [1]). *Let  $w, y \in W^J$  such that  $w \geq y$ .*

(i) *We have*

$$P_{y,w}^{J,-1} = \sum_{x \in W_J, yx \leq w} (-1)^{\ell(x)} P_{yx,w}.$$

(ii) *If  $W_J$  is a finite group, then we have  $P_{y,w}^{J,q} = P_{yw_J, w w_J}$ .*

Set

$$H^{J,a,*} = \text{Hom}_R(H^{J,a}, R), \quad (2.32)$$



and define  ${}^t\varphi^{J,a} : H^{J,a,*} \rightarrow H^*$  by

$$\langle {}^t\varphi^{J,a}(n), h \rangle = \langle n, \varphi^{J,a}(h) \rangle \quad \text{for } n \in H^{J,a,*} \text{ and } h \in H.$$

Then  ${}^t\varphi^{J,a}$  is an injective homomorphism of  $R$ -modules. We define an involution  $-$  of  $H^{J,a,*}$  similarly to (2.8). We can easily check that

$$\overline{{}^t\varphi^{J,a}(n)} = {}^t\varphi^{J,a}(\bar{n}) \quad \text{for any } n \in H^{J,a,*}. \quad (2.33)$$

For  $w \in W^J$  we define  $S_w^{J,a}, D_w^{J,a} \in H^{J,a,*}$  by

$$\langle S_w^{J,a}, T_x^{J,a} \rangle = (-1)^{\ell(w)} \delta_{w,x}, \quad \langle D_w^{J,a}, C_x^{J,a} \rangle = (-1)^{\ell(w)} \delta_{w,x}. \quad (2.34)$$

Then any element of  $H^{J,a,*}$  is written uniquely as an infinite sum in two ways  $\sum_{w \in W^J} r_w S_w^{J,a}$  and  $\sum_{w \in W^J} r'_w D_w^{J,a}$  with  $r_w, r'_w \in R$ . Note that we have

$$S_w^{J,a} = \sum_{y \in W^J, y \geq w} (-1)^{\ell(w) - \ell(y)} P_{w,y}^{J,a} D_y^{J,a} \quad (2.35)$$

by  $C_w^{J,a} = \sum_{y \leq w} P_{y,w}^{J,a} T_y$ . We see easily by (2.28) that

$${}^t\varphi^{J,a}(S_w^{J,a}) = \sum_{x \in W^J} (-a)^{\ell(x)} S_{wx} \quad \text{for } w \in W^J. \quad (2.36)$$

By the definition we have

$$\overline{D_w^{J,a}} = q^{\ell(w)} D_w^{J,a}, \quad (2.37)$$

and we can write

$$D_w^{J,a} = \sum_{y \in W^J, y \geq w} Q_{w,y}^{J,a} S_y^{J,a} \quad (2.38)$$

where  $Q_{w,y}^{J,a} \in R$  are determined by

$$\sum_{y \in W^J, w \leq y \leq z} (-1)^{\ell(y) - \ell(w)} Q_{w,y}^{J,a} P_{y,z}^{J,a} = \delta_{w,z} \quad (2.39)$$

for  $w, z \in W^J$  satisfying  $w \leq z$ .

Note that (2.38) is equivalent to

$$T_w^{J,a} = \sum_{y \in W^J, y \leq w} (-1)^{\ell(w) - \ell(y)} Q_{y,w}^{J,a} C_y^{J,a}. \quad (2.40)$$

By (2.39) we have for  $w, y \in W_J$

$$Q_{w,y}^{J,a} \in \mathbf{Z}[q], \quad (2.41)$$

$$Q_{w,w}^{J,a} = 1 \text{ and } \deg Q_{w,y}^{J,a} \leq (\ell(y) - \ell(w) - 1)/2 \text{ for } w < y. \quad (2.42)$$

We call the polynomials  $Q_{w,y}^{J,a}$  for  $w \leq y$  inverse parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials. We write

$$Q_{w,y}^{J,a} = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} Q_{w,y,k}^{J,a} q^k. \quad (2.43)$$

Similarly to Propositions 2. fi1, 2. 2, 2. fi3, we can prove the following.

PROPOSITION 2.5 . *Let  $w \in W^J$ . Assume that  $D \in H^{J,a,*}$  satisfies the following conditions:*

$$D = \sum_{y \in W^J, y \geq w} r_y S_y^{J,a} \text{ with } r_w = 1 \text{ and } r_y \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell(y) - \ell(w) - 1} R_i \quad (2.44)$$

for  $y \in W^J$  satisfying  $w < y$ .

$$\bar{D} = q^{\ell(w)} D. \quad (2.45)$$

Then we have  $D = D_w^{J,a}$ .

PROPOSITION 2.6 (Soergel [9]). *Let  $w, y \in W^J$  such that  $w \leq y$ .*

(i) *We have  $Q_{w,y}^{J,-1} = Q_{w,y}$ .*

(ii) *If  $W_J$  is a finite group, then we have*

$$Q_{w,y}^{J,q} = \sum_{x \in W_J, w w_J \leq y x} (-1)^{\ell(x) + \ell(w_J)} Q_{w w_J, y x}.$$

### 3. HODGE MODULES

In this section we briefly recall the notation from the theory of Hodge modules due to M. Saito [8].

We denote by  $\text{HS}$  the category of mixed Hodge structures and by  $\text{HS}_k$  the category of pure Hodge structures with weight  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $R$  and  $R_k$  be the Grothendieck groups of  $\text{HS}$  and  $\text{HS}_k$  respectively. Then we have  $R = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} R_k$  and  $R$  is endowed with a structure of a commutative ring via the tensor product of mixed Hodge structures. The identity element of

$R$  is given by  $[\mathbf{Q}^H]$ , where  $\mathbf{Q}^H$  is the trivial Hodge structure. We denote by  $R \ni r \mapsto \bar{r} \in R$  the involutive ring endomorphism induced by the duality functor  $\mathbf{D} : \text{HS} \rightarrow \text{HS}^{\text{op}}$ . Here  $\text{HS}^{\text{op}}$  denotes the opposite category of  $\text{HS}$ . Let  $\mathbf{Q}^H(1)$  and  $\mathbf{Q}^H(-1)$  be the Hodge structure of Tate and its dual respectively, and set  $\mathbf{Q}^H(\pm n) = \mathbf{Q}^H(\pm 1)^{\otimes n}$  for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We can regard  $\mathbf{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$  as a subring of  $R$  by  $q^n = [\mathbf{Q}^H(-n)]$ . Then the condition (2.1) is satisfied for this  $R$ .

Let  $Z$  be a finite-dimensional algebraic variety over  $\mathbf{C}$ . There are two conventions for perverse sheaves on  $Z$  according to whether  $\mathbf{Q}_U[\dim U]$  is a perverse sheaf or  $\mathbf{Q}_U[-\text{codim } U]$  is a perverse sheaf for a closed smooth subvariety  $U$  of  $Z$ . Correspondingly, we have two conventions for Hodge modules. When we use the convention so that  $\mathbf{Q}_U[\dim U]$  is a perverse sheaf, we denote the category of Hodge modules on  $Z$  by  $\text{HM}_d(Z)$ , and when we use the other one we denote it by  $\text{HM}_c(Z)$ . Let  $D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z))$  and  $D^b(\text{HM}_c(Z))$  denote the bounded derived categories of  $\text{HM}_d(Z)$  and  $\text{HM}_c(Z)$  respectively. Note that  $d$  is for dimension and  $c$  for codimension. Then the functor  $\text{HM}_d(Z) \rightarrow \text{HM}_c(Z)$  given by  $M \mapsto M[-\dim Z]$  gives the category equivalences

$$\text{HM}_d(Z) \cong \text{HM}_c(Z), \quad D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z)) \cong D^b(\text{HM}_c(Z)).$$

We shall identify  $D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z))$  with  $D^b(\text{HM}_c(Z))$  via this equivalence, and then we have

$$\text{HM}_c(Z) = \text{HM}_d(Z)[- \dim Z]. \quad (3.1)$$

Although there are no essential differences between  $\text{HM}_d(Z)$  and  $\text{HM}_c(Z)$ , we have to be careful in extending the theory of Hodge modules to the infinite-dimensional situation. In dealing with sheaves supported on finite-dimensional subvarieties embedded into an infinite-dimensional manifold we have to use  $\text{HM}_d$ , while we need to use  $\text{HM}_c$  when we treat sheaves supported on finite-codimensional subvariety of an infinite-dimensional manifold. In fact what we really need in the sequel is the results for infinite-dimensional situation; however, we shall only give below a brief explanation for the finite-dimensional case. The extension of  $\text{HM}_d$  to the infinite-dimensional situation dealing with sheaves supported on finite-dimensional subvarieties is easy, and as for the extension of  $\text{HM}_c$  to the infinite-dimensional situation dealing with sheaves supported on finite-codimensional subvarieties we refer the readers to Kashiwara-Tanisaki [4].

Let  $Z$  be a finite-dimensional algebraic variety over  $\mathbf{C}$ . When  $Z$  is a smooth variety, one has a Hodge module  $\mathbf{Q}_Z^H[\dim Z] \in \text{Ob}(\text{HM}_d(Z))$  corresponding to the perverse sheaf  $\mathbf{Q}_Z[\dim Z]$ . More generally, for a locally closed smooth subvariety  $U$  of  $Z$  one has a Hodge module  ${}^\pi \mathbf{Q}_U^H[\dim U] \in \text{Ob}(\text{HM}_d(Z))$  corresponding to the perverse sheaf  ${}^\pi \mathbf{Q}_U[\dim U]$ . For  $M \in$

$\text{Ob}(D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z)))$  and  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$  we set  $M(n) = M \otimes \mathbf{Q}^H(n)$ . One has the duality functor

$$\mathbf{D}_d : \text{HM}_d(Z) \rightarrow \text{HM}_d(Z)^{\text{op}}, \quad \mathbf{D}_d : D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z)) \rightarrow D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z))^{\text{op}} \quad (3.2)$$

satisfying  $\mathbf{D}_d \circ \mathbf{D}_d = \text{Id}$ , and we have

$$\mathbf{D}_d(\pi \mathbf{Q}_U^H[\dim U]) = \pi \mathbf{Q}_U^H[\dim U](\dim U) \quad (3.3)$$

for a locally closed smooth subvariety  $U$  of  $Z$ .

Let  $f : Z \rightarrow Z'$  be a morphism of finite-dimensional algebraic varieties. Then one has the functors:

$$\begin{aligned} f^* : D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z')) &\rightarrow D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z)), & f^! : D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z')) &\rightarrow D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z)), \\ f_* : D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z)) &\rightarrow D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z')), & f_! : D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z)) &\rightarrow D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z')), \end{aligned}$$

satisfying

$$f^* \circ \mathbf{D}_d = \mathbf{D}_d \circ f^!, \quad f_* \circ \mathbf{D}_d = \mathbf{D}_d \circ f_!.$$

We define the functors  $f^*, f^!, f_*, f_!$  for  $D^b(\text{HM}_c)$  by identifying  $D^b(\text{HM}_c)$  with  $D^b(\text{HM}_d)$ . For  $\text{HM}_c$  we use the modified duality functor

$$\mathbf{D}_c : \text{HM}_c(Z) \rightarrow \text{HM}_c(Z)^{\text{op}}, \quad \mathbf{D}_c : D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z)) \rightarrow D^b(\text{HM}_d(Z))^{\text{op}} \quad (3.4)$$

given by

$$\mathbf{D}_c(M) = (\mathbf{D}_d(M))[-2 \dim Z](-\dim Z).$$

It also satisfies  $\mathbf{D}_c \circ \mathbf{D}_c = \text{Id}$ . For a locally closed smooth subvariety  $U$  of  $Z$  we have  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_U^H[-\text{codim } U] \in \text{Ob}(\text{HM}_c(Z))$  and

$$\mathbf{D}_c(\pi \mathbf{Q}_U^H[-\text{codim } U]) = \pi \mathbf{Q}_U^H[-\text{codim } U](-\text{codim } U). \quad (3.5)$$

When  $f : Z \rightarrow Z'$  is a proper morphism, we have  $f_* = f_!$  and hence  $f_! \circ \mathbf{D}_d = \mathbf{D}_d \circ f_!$ . When  $f$  is a smooth morphism, we have  $f^! = f^*[2(\dim Z - \dim Z')](\dim Z - \dim Z')$  and hence  $f^* \circ \mathbf{D}_c = \mathbf{D}_c \circ f^*$ .

#### 4. FINITE-CODIMENSIONAL SCHUBERT VARIETIES

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{C}$ . We denote by  $W$  its Weyl group and by  $S$  the set of simple roots. Then  $(W, S)$  is a

Coxeter system. We shall consider the Hecke algebra  $H = H(W)$  over the Grothendieck ring  $R$  of the category HS (see § 3), and use the notation in § 2.

Let  $X = G/B$  be the flag manifold for  $\mathfrak{g}$  constructed in Kashiwara [3]. Here  $B$  is the “Borel subgroup” corresponding to the standard Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $X$  is a scheme over  $\mathbf{C}$  covered by open subsets isomorphic to

$$\mathbf{A}^\infty = \text{Spec } \mathbf{C}[x_k; k \in \mathbf{N}]$$

(unless  $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$ ).

Let  $1_X = eB \in X$  denote the origin of  $X$ . For  $w \in W$  we have a point  $w1_X = wB/B \in X$ . Let  $B^-$  be the “Borel subgroup” opposite to  $B$ , and set  $X^w = B^-w1_X = B^-wB/B$  for  $w \in W$ . Then we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 4.1 (Kashiwara [3]).

- (i) We have  $X = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} X^w$ .
- (ii) For  $w \in W$ ,  $X^w$  is a locally closed subscheme of  $X$  isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}^\infty$  (unless  $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$ ) with codimension  $\ell(w)$ .
- (iii) For  $w \in W$ , we have  $\overline{X^w} = \bigsqcup_{y \in W, y \geq w} X^y$ .

We call  $X^w$  for  $w \in W$  a finite-codimensional Schubert cell, and  $\overline{X^w}$  a finite-codimensional Schubert variety.

Let  $J$  be a subset of  $S$ . We denote by  $Y$  the partial flag manifold corresponding to  $J$ . Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  be the canonical projection and set  $1_Y = \pi(1_X)$ . We have  $\pi(w1_X) = 1_Y$  for any  $w \in W_J$ . For  $w \in W^J$  we set  $Y^w = B^-w1_Y = \pi(X^w)$ . When  $W_J$  is a finite group, we have  $Y = G/P_J$  and  $Y^w = B^-wP_J/P_J$ , where  $P_J$  is the “parabolic subgroup” corresponding to  $J$  (we cannot define  $P_J$  as a group scheme unless  $W_J$  is a finite group).

Similarly to Proposition 4.1 we have the following.

PROPOSITION 4.2 .

- (i) We have  $Y = \bigsqcup_{w \in W^J} Y^w$ .
- (ii) For  $w \in W^J$ ,  $Y^w$  is a locally closed subscheme of  $Y$  isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}^\infty$  (unless  $\dim Y < \infty$ ) with codimension  $\ell(w)$ .
- (iii) For  $w \in W^J$ , we have  $\overline{Y^w} = \bigsqcup_{y \in W^J, y \geq w} Y^y$ .
- (iv) For  $w \in W^J$ , we have  $\pi^{-1}(Y^w) = \bigsqcup_{x \in W_J} X^{wx}$ .

We call a subset  $\Omega$  of  $W^J$  (resp.  $W$ ) admissible if it satisfies

$$w, y \in W^J \text{ (resp. } W), w \leq y, y \in \Omega \Rightarrow w \in \Omega. \quad (4.1)$$

For a finite admissible subset  $\Omega$  of  $W^J$  we set  $Y^\Omega = \bigcup_{w \in \Omega} Y^w$ . It is a quasi-compact open subset of  $Y$ . Let  $\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y^\Omega)$  be the category of  $B^-$ -equivariant Hodge modules on  $Y^\Omega$  (see Kashiwara-Tanisaki [4] for the equivariant Hodge modules on infinite-dimensional manifolds), and denote its Grothendieck group by  $K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y^\Omega))$ . For  $w \in W^J$  the Hodge modules  $\mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H[-\ell(w)]$  and  ${}^\pi\mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H[-\ell(w)]$  are objects of  $K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y^\Omega))$ . Note that  $\mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H[-\ell(w)]$  is a perverse sheaf on  $Y$  because  $Y^w$  is affine. Set

$$\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y) = \varinjlim_{\Omega} \mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y^\Omega), \quad K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y)) = \varinjlim_{\Omega} K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y^\Omega)), \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\Omega$  runs through finite admissible subsets of  $W^J$ . By the tensor product,  $K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y))$  is endowed with a structure of an  $R$ -module. Then any element of  $K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y))$  is uniquely written as an infinite sum

$$\sum_{w \in W^J} r_w [\mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H[-\ell(w)]] \text{ with } r_w \in R.$$

Denote by  $K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y)) \ni m \mapsto \bar{m} \in K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y))$  the involution induced by the duality functor  $\mathbf{D}_c$ . Then we have  $\overline{\bar{r}m} = r\bar{m}$  for any  $r \in R$  and  $m \in K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y))$ .

We can similarly define  $\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(X)$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}_{X^w}^H[-\ell(w)]$  and  ${}^\pi\mathbf{Q}_{X^w}^H[-\ell(w)]$  for  $w \in W$ ,  $K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(X))$ , and  $K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(X)) \ni m \mapsto \bar{m} \in K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(X))$  (for  $J = \emptyset$ ).

Let  $\mathrm{pt}$  denote the algebraic variety consisting of a single point. For  $w \in W$  (resp.  $w \in W^J$ ) we denote by  $i_{X,w} : \mathrm{pt} \rightarrow X$  (resp.  $i_{Y,w} : \mathrm{pt} \rightarrow Y$ ) denote the morphism with image  $\{w1_X\}$  (resp.  $\{w1_Y\}$ ). We define homomorphisms

$$\Phi : K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(X)) \rightarrow H^*, \quad \Phi^J : K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y)) \rightarrow H^{J,-1,*} \quad (4.3)$$

of  $R$ -modules by

$$\Phi([M]) = \sum_{w \in W} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^k [H^k i_{X,w}^*(M)] \right) S_w, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\Phi^J([M]) = \sum_{w \in W^J} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^k [H^k i_{Y,w}^*(M)] \right) S_w^{J,-1}. \quad (4.5)$$

By the definition we have

$$\Phi([\mathbf{Q}_{X^w}^H[-\ell(w)]]) = (-1)^{\ell(w)} S_w \quad \text{for } w \in W, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\Phi^J([\mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H[-\ell(w)]]) = (-1)^{\ell(w)} S_w^{J,-1} \quad \text{for } w \in W^J, \quad (4.7)$$

and hence  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi^J$  are isomorphisms of  $R$ -modules.

The projection  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  induces a homomorphism

$$\pi^* : K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y)) \rightarrow K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(X))$$

of  $R$ -modules.

LEMMA 4.1 .

(i) *The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y)) & \xrightarrow{\Phi^J} & H^{J,-1,*} \\ \pi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow {}^t\varphi^{J,-1} \\ K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & H^* \end{array}$$

(ii)  $\overline{\pi^*(m)} = \pi^*(\overline{m})$  for any  $m \in K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y))$ .

(iii)  $\overline{\Phi(m)} = \Phi(\overline{m})$  for any  $m \in K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(X))$ .

(iv)  $\overline{\Phi^J(m)} = \Phi^J(\overline{m})$  for any  $m \in K(\mathrm{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y))$ .

*Proof.* For  $w \in W^J$  we have  $\pi^*(\mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H) = \mathbf{Q}_{\pi^{-1}Y^w}^H$ , and hence Proposition 4.2 (iv) implies

$$\pi^*([\mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H]) = \sum_{x \in W_J} [\mathbf{Q}_{X^w x}^H].$$

Thus (i) follows from (4.6), (4.7) and (2.36)

Locally on  $X$  the morphism  $\pi$  is a projection of the form  $Z \times \mathbf{A}^\infty \rightarrow Z$ , and thus  $\pi^* \circ \mathbf{D}_c = \mathbf{D}_c \circ \pi^*$ . Hence the statement (ii) holds.

The statement (iii) is already known (see Kashiwara-Tanisaki [4]).

Then the statement (iv) follows from (i), (ii), (iii), (2.33) and the injectivity of  ${}^t\varphi^{J,-1}$ .  $\blacksquare$

**THEOREM 4.1 .** *Let  $w, y \in W^J$  satisfying  $w \leq y$ . Then we have*

$$H^{2k+1}i_{Y,y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H) = 0, \quad H^{2k}i_{Y,y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H) = \mathbf{Q}^H(-k)^{\oplus Q_{w,y}^{J,-1}}$$

for any  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . In particular, we have

$$\Phi^J([\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H[-\ell(w)]]) = (-1)^{\ell(w)} D_w^{J,-1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $w \in W^J$  and set

$$(-1)^{\ell(w)} \Phi^J([\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H[-\ell(w)]]) = D = \sum_{y \in W^J, y \geq w} r_y S_y^{J,-1}.$$

By the definition of  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H[-\ell(w)]$  we have

$$\mathbf{D}_c(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H[-\ell(w)]) = \pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H[-\ell(w)](-\ell(w)),$$

and hence we obtain

$$\overline{D} = q^{\ell(w)} D \tag{4.8}$$

by Lemma 4.1 (iv). By the definition of  $\Phi^J$  we have

$$r_y = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^k [H^k i_{Y,y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H)], \tag{4.9}$$

and by the definition of  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H[-\ell(w)]$  we have

$$r_w = 1, \tag{4.10}$$

$$\text{for } y > w \text{ we have } H^k i_{Y,y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H) = 0 \text{ unless} \tag{4.11}$$

$$0 \leq k \leq (\ell(y) - \ell(w) - 1).$$

By the argument similar to Kashiwara-Tanisaki [4] (see also Kazhdan-Lusztig [7]) we have

$$[H^k i_{Y,y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H)] \in R_k. \tag{4.12}$$



In particular, we have

$$\text{for } y > w \text{ we have } r_y \in \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\ell(y)-\ell(w)-1} R_k. \quad (4.13)$$

Thus we obtain  $D = D_w^{J,-1}$  by (4.8), (4.10), (4.13) and Proposition 2. 5. Hence  $r_y = Q_{y,w}^{J,-1}$ . By (4.9) and (4.12) we have  $[H^{2k+1}i_{Y,y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H)] = 0$  and  $[H^{2k}i_{Y,y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H)] = q^k Q_{w,y,k}$  for any  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . The proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$

By (2.35) and Theorem 4. 1 we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 4.1 . *We have*

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H[-\ell(w)]] = \sum_{y \geq w} P_{w,y}^{J,-1}[\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^y}^H[-\ell(y)]]$$

in  $K(\text{HM}_c^{B^-}(Y))$ . In particular, the coefficient  $P_{w,y,k}^{J,-1}$  of the parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial  $P_{w,y}^{J,-1}$  is non-negative and equal to the multiplicity of the irreducible Hodge module  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y^y}^H[-\ell(y)](-k)$  in the Jordan Hölder series of the Hodge module  $\mathbf{Q}_{Y^w}^H[-\ell(w)]$ .

## 5. FINITE-DIMENSIONAL SCHUBERT VARIETIES

Set

$$X_w = Bw1_X = BwB/B \quad \text{for } w \in W. \quad (5.1)$$

Then we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 5.1 (Kashiwara-Tanisaki [5]). *Set  $X' = \bigcup_{w \in W} X_w$ . Then  $X'$  is the flag manifold considered by Kac-Peterson [2], Tits [10], et al. In particular, we have the following.*

- (i) *We have  $X' = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} X_w$ .*
- (ii) *For  $w \in W$   $X_w$  is a locally closed subscheme of  $X$  isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}^{\ell(w)}$ .*
- (iii) *For  $w \in W$  we have  $\overline{X}_w = \bigsqcup_{y \in W, y \leq w} X_y$ .*

We call  $X_w$  for  $w \in W$  a finite-dimensional Schubert cell and  $\overline{X}_w$  a finite-dimensional Schubert variety. Note that  $X'$  is not a scheme but an inductive limit of finite-dimensional projective schemes (an ind-scheme).

For  $w \in W^J$ , we set  $Y_w = Bw1_Y = \pi(X_w)$ . Similarly to Proposition 5. fi1 we have the following.

PROPOSITION 5.2 . *Set  $Y' = \bigcup_{w \in W^J} Y_w$ . Then we have the following.*

- (i) *We have  $Y' = \bigsqcup_{w \in W^J} Y_w$ .*
- (ii) *For  $w \in W^J$ ,  $Y_w$  is a locally closed subscheme of  $Y$  isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}^{\ell(w)}$ .*
- (iii) *For  $w \in W^J$ , we have  $\bar{Y}_w = \bigsqcup_{y \in W^J, y \leq w} Y_y$ .*
- (iv) *For  $w \in W^J$ , we have  $\pi^{-1}(Y_w) = \bigsqcup_{x \in W^J} X_{wx}$ .*

For a finite admissible subset  $\Omega$  of  $W^J$  we set  $Y'_\Omega = \bigcup_{w \in \Omega} Y_w$ . It is a finite dimensional projective scheme.

Let  $\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y'_\Omega)$  be the category of  $B$ -equivariant Hodge modules on  $Y'_\Omega$ . For  $w \in W^J$  the Hodge modules  $\mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[\ell(w)]$  and  ${}^\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[\ell(w)]$  are objects of  $\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y'_\Omega)$ . Note that  $\mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}[\ell(w)]$  is a perverse sheaf because  $Y_w$  is affine. Set

$$\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y') = \varinjlim_{\Omega} \mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y'_\Omega), \quad K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y')) = \varinjlim_{\Omega} K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y'_\Omega)), \quad (5.2)$$

where  $\Omega$  runs through finite admissible subsets of  $W^J$ . By the tensor product  $K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y'))$  is endowed with a structure of an  $R$ -module. Then any element of  $K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y'))$  is uniquely written as a finite sum in two ways

$$\sum_{w \in W^J} r_w [\mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[\ell(w)]] \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{w \in W^J} r'_w [{}^\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[\ell(w)]] \quad \text{with } r_w, r'_w \in R.$$

Denote by  $K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y')) \ni m \mapsto \bar{m} \in K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y'))$  the involution of an abelian group induced by the duality functor  $\mathbf{D}_d$ . Then we have  $r\bar{m} = \bar{r}m$  for any  $r \in R$  and  $m \in K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y'))$ .

We can similarly define  $\mathrm{HM}_d^B(X')$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}_{X_w}^H[\ell(w)]$  and  ${}^\pi \mathbf{Q}_{X_w}^H[\ell(w)]$  for  $w \in W$ ,  $K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(X'))$ , and  $K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(X')) \ni m \mapsto \bar{m} \in K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(X'))$  (for  $J = \emptyset$ ).

For  $w \in W$  (resp.  $w \in W^J$ ) we denote by  $i_{X',w} : \mathrm{pt} \rightarrow X'$  (resp.  $i_{Y',w} : \mathrm{pt} \rightarrow Y'$ ) denote the morphism with image  $\{w1_X\}$  (resp.  $\{w1_Y\}$ ). We define homomorphisms

$$\Psi : K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(X')) \rightarrow H, \quad \Psi^J : K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y')) \rightarrow H^{J,q} \quad (5.3)$$

of  $R$ -modules by

$$\Psi([M]) = \sum_{w \in W} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^k [H^k i_{X',w}^*(M)] \right) T_w, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\Psi^J([M]) = \sum_{w \in W^J} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^k [H^k i_{Y',w}^*(M)] \right) T_w^{J,q}. \quad (5.5)$$

By the definition we have

$$\Psi([\mathbf{Q}_{X_w}^H[\ell(w)]]) = (-1)^{\ell(w)} T_w \quad \text{for } w \in W, \quad (5.6)$$

$$\Psi^J([\mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[\ell(w)]]) = (-1)^{\ell(w)} T_w^{J,q} \quad \text{for } w \in W^J, \quad (5.7)$$

and hence  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi^J$  are isomorphisms.

Let  $\pi' : X' \rightarrow Y'$  denote the projection. Let  $\Omega$  be a finite admissible subset of  $W$  and set  $\Omega' = \{w \in W^J; wW_J \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then  $\Omega'$  is a finite admissible subset of  $W^J$  and  $\pi'$  induces a surjective projective morphism  $X'_\Omega \rightarrow Y'_{\Omega'}$ . Hence we can define a homomorphism  $\pi'_! : K(\mathrm{HM}^B(X')) \rightarrow K(\mathrm{HM}^B(Y'))$  of  $R$ -modules by

$$\pi'_!([M]) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^k [H^k \pi'_!(M)]. \quad (5.8)$$

LEMMA 5.1 .

(i) *The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(X')) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & H \\ \pi'_! \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^{J,q} \\ K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y')) & \xrightarrow{\Psi^J} & H^{J,q} \end{array}$$

(ii)  $\overline{\pi'_!(m)} = \pi'_!(\overline{m})$  for any  $m \in K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(X'))$ .

(iii)  $\overline{\Psi(m)} = \Psi(\overline{m})$  for any  $m \in K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(X'))$ .

(iv)  $\overline{\Psi^J(m)} = \Psi^J(\overline{m})$  for any  $m \in K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y'))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w \in W^J$  and  $x \in W_J$ . Since  $X_{wx} \rightarrow Y_w$  is an  $\mathbf{A}^{\ell(x)}$ -bundle, we have  $\pi'_!(\mathbf{Q}_{X_{wx}}^H) = \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[-2\ell(x)](-\ell(x))$ , and hence

$$\pi'_!(\mathbf{Q}_{X_{wx}}^H[\ell(wx)]) = (-q)^{\ell(x)} [\mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[\ell(w)]].$$

Thus (i) follows from (5.6), (5.7) and (2.28).

The statement (ii) follows from the fact that  $\pi'$  is an inductive limit of projective morphisms and hence  $\pi'_!$  commutes with the duality functor  $\mathbf{D}_d$ .

The statement (iii) is proved similarly to Kashiwara-Tanisaki [4], and we omit the details (see also Kazhdan-Lusztig [7]). Then the statement (iv) follows from (i), (ii), (iii), (2.24) and surjectivity of  $\varphi^{J,q}$ .  $\blacksquare$

THEOREM 5.1 . *Let  $w, y \in W^J$  such that  $w \geq y$ . Then we have*

$$H^{2k+1}i_{Y',y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H) = 0, \quad H^{2k}i_{Y',y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H) = \mathbf{Q}^H(-k)^{\oplus P_{y,w,k}^{J,q}}$$

for any  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . In particular, we have

$$\Psi^J([\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[\ell(w)]]) = (-1)^{\ell(w)} C_w^{J,q}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $w \in W^J$  and set

$$(-1)^{\ell(w)} \Psi^J([\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[\ell(w)]]) = C = \sum_{y \in W^J, y \leq w} r_y T^{J,q}.$$

By the definition of  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[\ell(w)]$  we have

$$\mathbf{D}_d(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[\ell(w)]) = \pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[\ell(w)](\ell(w)).$$

Hence we obtain

$$\overline{C} = q^{-\ell(w)} C \tag{5.9}$$

by Lemma 5. 1 (iv). By the definition of  $\Psi^J$  we have

$$r_y = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^k [H^k i_{Y',y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H)], \tag{5.10}$$

and by the definition of  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H[\ell(w)]$  we have

$$r_w = 1, \tag{5.11}$$

$$\text{for } y < w \text{ we have } H^k i_{Y',y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H) = 0 \text{ unless} \tag{5.12}$$

$$0 \leq k \leq (\ell(w) - \ell(y) - 1).$$

Moreover, by the argument similar to Kazhdan-Lusztig [7] and Kashiwara-Tanisaki [4] we have

$$[H^k i_{Y',y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H)] \in R_k. \tag{5.13}$$

In particular, we have

$$\text{for } y < w \text{ we have } r_y \in \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\ell(w)-\ell(y)-1} R_k. \tag{5.14}$$

Thus we obtain  $C = C_w^{J,q}$  by (5.9), (5.11), (5.14) and Proposition 2. f3. Hence  $r_y = P_{y,w}^{J,q}$ . By (5.10) and (5.13) we have  $[H^{2k+1}i_{Y',y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H)] = 0$  and  $[H^{2k}i_{Y',y}^*(\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y_w}^H)] = q^k P_{y,w,k}$  for any  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . The proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$

We note that a result closely related to Theorem 5. 1 above is already given in Deodhar [1].

By (2.40) and Theorem 5. 1 we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 5.1 . *We have*

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{Y'_l}^H[\ell(w)]] = \sum_{y \leq w} Q_{y,w}^{J,q}[\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y'_l}^H[\ell(y)]]$$

in the Grothendieck group  $K(\mathrm{HM}_d^B(Y'))$ . In particular, the coefficient  $Q_{y,w,k}^{J,q}$  of the inverse parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial  $Q_{y,w,k}^{J,q}$  is non-negative and is equal to the multiplicity of the irreducible Hodge module  $\pi \mathbf{Q}_{Y'_l}^H[\ell(y)](-k)$  in the Jordan Hölder series of the Hodge module  $\mathbf{Q}_{Y'_l}^H[\ell(w)]$ .

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank B. Leclerc for leading our attention to this problem. We also thank H. Tagawa for some helpful comments on the manuscript.

### REFERENCES

1. V. Deodhar, *On some geometric aspects of Bruhat orderings II. The parabolic analogue of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, J. Algebra, **111** (1979), 483–506.
2. V. Kac, D. Peterson, *Infinite flag varieties and conjugacy theorems*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **80** (1983), 1778–1782.
3. M. Kashiwara, “The flag manifold of Kac-Moody Lie algebra” in *Algebraic Analysis, Geometry and Number Theory*, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, 1990.
4. M. Kashiwara, T. Tanisaki, “Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture for symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebras II” in *Operator Algebras, Unitary Representations, Enveloping Algebras, and Invariant Theory*, Prog. Math. **92** Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990, 159–195.
5. M. Kashiwara, T. Tanisaki, *Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture for affine Lie algebras with negative level*, Duke Math. J. **77** (1995), 21–62.
6. D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math., **53** (1979), 165–184.
7. D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, *Schubert varieties and Poincaré duality*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **36** (1980), 185–203.
8. M. Saito, *Mixed Hodge Modules*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **26** (1989), 221–333.
9. W. Soergel, *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and a combinatoric for tilting modules*, Representation theory **1** (1997), 83–114.
10. J. Tits, “Groups and group functors attached to Kac-Moody data” in *Workshop Bonn 1984*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1111** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, 193–223.