

**RIMS WorkShop**

**Introduction to  
Idealistic Filtration Program**

**An approach to resolution of singularities  
in positive characteristics**

**Hiraku Kawanoue and Kenji Matsuki**

**December 01–05, 2008**

# Lecture 5

Two topics in IFP:

Algebraization

and

Nonsingularity principle

Hiraku Kawanoue and Kenji Matsuki

December 01, 2008

## Contents

- 1 Plan of today's talk** (1)
- 2 Algebraization problem revisited** (2)
- 3 Construction of  $\Phi_l$  when  $\#\mathbb{H} = 1$**  (6)
- 4 Nonsingularity principle revisited** (12)
- 5 Sketch of proof: the former part** (14)
- 6 Sketch of proof: the latter part** (17)

# 1 Plan of today's talk

Today I want to talk on 2 small topics:

- **One Prop.** concerning algebraization problem
- Outline of the **proof** of nonsingularity principle

We consider again in the case  
with **NO** exceptional divisors

## 2 Algebraization problem revisited

### Setting for today

$M$ : nonsing. var./ $k$ ,  $P \in M$ : closed point,  
 $R = (R, \mathfrak{m}) = \mathcal{O}_{M,P}$ : local ring at  $P \in M$ ,  
 $\mathbb{I}$ :  $\mathfrak{D}$ -sat. I.F. on  $R$ ,  $\mu(\mathbb{I}) \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbb{H}$ : LGS of  $\mathbb{I}$ .

### Review

- We use **enlargement** to define invariant

$$\mathbb{I}^0 \subset \mathbb{I}^1 \dots \subset \mathbb{I}^t \subset \mathbb{I}^{t+1}$$

$$(\sigma_0, \mu_0^\sim) \dots \dots (\sigma_t, \mu_t^\sim) (\sigma_{t+1}, \infty)$$

- Idea to define enlargement  $\mathbb{I}'$  of  $\mathbb{I}$

$$\mathbb{I} = \text{“ } \mathbb{H} + (\text{Remainder}) \text{”}$$

$$\mathbb{I}' = \text{“ } \mathbb{H} + \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{Remainder} \\ \text{with } \alpha \times (\text{level}) \end{array} \right) \text{”}$$

where  $\alpha := \mu^\sim(\mathbb{I}) \geq 1$ .

- In completion level, enlargement exists

$$\widehat{\mathbb{I}} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{H} \cup \{(c_0(f), a) \mid (f, a) \in \mathbb{I}\})$$

$$\widehat{\mathbb{I}}' = \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{H} \cup \{(c_0(f), \alpha a) \mid (f, a) \in \mathbb{I}\}))$$

- $c_0(f)$ : “the remainder of  $f$  w.r.t.  $\mathbb{H}$ ”.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \widehat{R} = k[[x, y]] \\ \mathbb{H} = \{(h_i, p^{e_i}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq l\}: \text{LGS of } \mathbb{I} \\ h_i \in x_i^{p^{e_i}} + (\text{higher}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq l) \end{array} \right.$$

↓

$c_0(f)$  is element of  $k[[y]][x] \subset \widehat{R}$  with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f - c_0(f) \in \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \widehat{R} \\ \deg_{x_i}(c_0(f)) < p^{e_i} \quad (1 \leq i \leq l) \end{array} \right.$$

## How to construct enlargement $\mathbb{I}'$ in Zariski local level?

**Problem**      Let  $f \in R$ . Find  
suitable approximation of  $c_0(f)$  in  $R$ !  
(algebraization problem)

### Abstract approach

- By Artin's approximation theorem,  
construct  $c_0(f)$  in henselization  $R^*$ .
- Then, apply descent theory.

( Seems to work nicely, but today we skip it  
by our ignorance of "well-known method" )

## Constructive approach

What is the “suitable” approximation?

Fix  $t > 0$ . By definition of  $\hat{\mathbb{I}}'$ ,

we require  $\mathbb{I}_{\alpha t} \subset \mathbb{I}'_t$ .

Therefore, we can define  $\mathbb{I}'_t$  if there exists

$$\phi: R \rightarrow R \quad (\text{approximation of } c_0)$$

$$\text{s.t. } (c_0 - \phi)(\mathbb{I}_t) \in \mathbb{I}_{\alpha t} \hat{R}$$

We show the following:

### Lemma 1

Let  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . If  $\#\mathbb{H} = 1$ , we can construct

$$\Phi_l: R \rightarrow R \quad \text{s.t. } (c_0 - \Phi_l)(R) \in \mathbb{I}_l \hat{R}.$$

**Remark**  $\mu(\mathbb{I}) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{I}_l \subset \mathfrak{m}^l$ . Thus

$\Phi_l(f)$  is a special  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic approxim. of  $c_0(f)$ .



### 3 Construction of $\Phi_l$ when $\#\mathbb{H} = 1$

Assume:  $\mathbb{H} = \{(h, q)\}$  ( $q = p^e$ ,  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ )

How to construct  $\Phi_l$ : approxim. of  $c_0$  ?

#### Idea for construction of $\Phi_l$

1. Construct  $\psi_l$ : approxim. of “ $\partial_h$ ”!

i.e.,  $\psi_l: R \rightarrow R$  with

$$\begin{cases} \psi_l(x^u) = 0 & (0 \leq u < q) \\ \psi_l(h\beta) \in \beta + \mathbb{I}_l \hat{R} & (\beta \in \hat{R}) \end{cases}$$

2. Put  $\Phi_l = 1 - h\psi_l$

3. Done! since

$$(1 - h\psi_l): \begin{cases} R & \rightarrow & R \\ h\beta & \rightarrow & \mathbb{I}_l \\ c_0(f) & \mapsto & c_0(f) \end{cases}$$

## Notation

- $X$ : RSP of  $R$
- $\{\partial_{X^I} : R \rightarrow R \mid I : \text{multi-index}\}$   
: partial diff. operators w.r.t.  $X$

$$\text{i.e. } \partial_{X^I} X^J = \binom{J}{I} X^{J-I}$$

- Since  $h = (\text{linear})^q + (\text{higher})$ ,

$$\exists x \in X \text{ such that } \partial_{x^q} h \in R^\times.$$

$$\partial_t := \begin{cases} \partial_{x^t} & : t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ 0 & : t \in \mathbb{Z}_{< 0} \end{cases}$$

- $h_t := \partial_t h \in R$

Since  $\partial_{x^{q-t}} h \in \partial_{x^{q-t}} \mathbb{I}_q \subset \mathbb{I}_t$ ,

$$h_{q-t} \in \mathbb{I}_t$$

- $M_l := (h_{q-i+j})_{0 \leq i, j \leq l} \in \text{Mat}(l+1, R)$

i.e.

$$M_l = \begin{bmatrix} h_q & h_{q+1} & \cdots & h_{q+l} \\ h_{q-1} & h_q & \cdots & h_{q+l-1} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{q-l} & h_{q-l+1} & \cdots & h_q \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $h_q \in R^\times$  and  $h_i \in \mathfrak{m}$  ( $i < q$ ),

$$M_l \in \text{GL}(R)$$

- $c_t := \left( M_l^{-1} \right)_{t,0} \in R$

**By definition,**

$$c_t = (-1)^t (\det M_l)^{-1} \times (\text{minor})$$

**where (minor) is calculated as follows:**

$$M_l = \begin{bmatrix} h_q & \dots & h_{q+t} & \dots & h_{q+l} \\ h_{q-1} & \dots & h_{q+t-1} & \dots & h_{q+l-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_{q-l} & \dots & h_{q+t-l} & \dots & h_q \end{bmatrix}$$

**Ignore colored entries and take determinant.**

**Monomials in (minor) are of the shape**

$$\pm h_{s_1} h_{s_2} \cdots h_{s_l} \quad \text{with}$$

$$\sum_{u=1}^l s_u = (l+1)q - (q+t) = lq - t$$

$$\Rightarrow h_{s_1} h_{s_2} \cdots h_{s_l} \in \mathbb{I}_t \quad \text{i.e. } \boxed{c_t \in \mathbb{I}_t}$$

## Construction of $\psi_l$

Define

$$\psi_l := \sum_{t=0}^l c_t \partial_{q+t} : R \rightarrow R$$

Clearly,  $\psi_l(x^u) = 0 \quad (0 \leq u < q)$

Calculation of  $\psi_l(h\beta)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_l(h\beta) &= \sum_{t=0}^l c_t \partial_{q+t}(h\beta) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^l c_t \sum_{s \geq 0} \partial_{q+t-s} h \cdot \partial_s \beta \\ &= \sum_{s \geq 0} \partial_s \beta \sum_{t=0}^l h_{q-s+t} c_t \end{aligned}$$

For  $0 \leq s \leq l$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \geq 0} h_{q-s+t} c_t &= \sum_{t=0}^l (M_l)_{s,t} (M_l^{-1})_{t,0} \\ &= (M_l M_l^{-1})_{s,0} = \delta_{0,s} \end{aligned}$$

For  $l < s$ ,

$$h_{q-s+t} c_t \in \mathbb{I}_{s-t} \mathbb{I}_t \subset \mathbb{I}_s \subset \mathbb{I}_l$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_l(h\beta) &= \sum_{s \geq 0} \partial_s \beta \sum_{t=0}^l h_{q-s+t} c_t \\ &\in \sum_{s=0}^l \delta_{0,s} \partial_s \beta + \mathbb{I}_l \hat{R} = \beta + \mathbb{I}_l \hat{R}. \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $\boxed{\phi_l(h\beta) \in \beta + \mathbb{I}_\alpha.}$

Thus this  $\psi_l$  is what we need.

## 4 Nonsingularity principle revisited

### **Theorem 2** (Nonsingularity principle)

Assume  $\mu^{\sim}(\mathbb{I}) = \infty$ . Then,

1.  $\mathbb{I}$  is generated by any LGS  $\mathbb{H}$  of  $\mathbb{I}$ .
2.  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \{x_i \mid i\} \subset R \quad : \text{a part of RSP of } R \\ \exists \{e_i \mid i\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad : \text{non-neg. integers} \end{array} \right.$   
such that  $\left\{ (x_i^{p^{e_i}}, p^{e_i}) \mid i \right\}$  is an LGS of  $\mathbb{I}$ .

This theorem guarantees the nonsingularity  
of max. locus of  $\text{inv}_P$ ,  
which coincides  $\text{Supp}(\mathbb{I})$  in the last stage  
of defining  $\text{inv}_P$  (when  $\mu^{\sim} = \infty$ ).

## Notation

$\mathbb{H} = \{(h_i, q_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$ : **LGS of  $\mathbb{I}$**

$X$ : **RSP of  $R$**

$\{x_i, \dots, x_\ell\} \subset X$

**s.t.**  $h_i = x_i^{q_i} + (\text{higher}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq \ell)$

$B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\ell)$ : **multi-index**

$H^B = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} h_i^{b_i}, \quad |B| = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} b_i$

$[B] = (q_1 b_1, q_2 b_2, \dots, q_\ell b_\ell)$



## 5 Sketch of proof: the former part

$\mu^{\sim}(\mathbb{I}) = \infty$  is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{I}_t \subset \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} Rh_i \quad (\forall t > 0). \quad (1)$$

$\mathbb{I} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{H})$  is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{I}_t \subset \sum_{|[B]| \geq t} RH^B \quad (\forall t > 0). \quad (2)$$

Regarding RHS as “expansion w.r.t.  $\mathbb{H}$ ”,

(1): constant part = 0.

(2): coeff. of  $H^B = 0$  for  $|[B]| < (\text{level})$ .

We want to derive (2) from (1).

key point:  $\mathbb{I}$  is  $\mathfrak{D}$ -saturated.

## Example

$$R = k[x, y]_{(x, y)}, \mathbb{H} = \{(x, 1)\}.$$

$$\mathbb{I}_{2.5} \ni f = \sum_{i=0}^4 c_i(y) x^i$$

$$\mu^{\sim}(\mathbb{I}) = \infty \Rightarrow \mathbb{I}_t \subset xR \text{ for } t > 0.$$

$$\mathbb{I}_{2.5} \ni f = \sum_{i=0}^4 c_i x^i \Rightarrow c_0 = 0.$$

$$\mathbb{I}_{1.5} \ni \partial_x f = \sum_{i=1}^4 i c_i x^{i-1} \Rightarrow c_1 = 0.$$

$$\mathbb{I}_{0.5} \ni \partial_{x^2} f = \sum_{i=2}^5 \binom{i}{2} c_i x^{i-2} \Rightarrow c_2 = 0.$$

$$\mathbb{I}_{-0.5} \ni \partial_{x^3} f \quad (\text{level} < 0) \Rightarrow \text{STOP!}$$

Thus

$$f = \sum_{i=3}^4 c_i x^i \in \sum_{i > 2.5} R x^i$$

## Strategy

By differentiation, bring coeff's of  $H^B$

into constant part and kill it!

**General case: more complicated, i.e.**

- many variables
- levels of LGS  $> 1$

→ Use of higher diff. operators:

→ Leibneiz rule is not usual.

$$\partial_{X^K}(fg) = \sum_{I+J=K} \partial_{X^I}f \cdot \partial_{X^J}g$$

**But, we can still find out the**

**leading part of coeff. of specified  $H^B$ :**

↑

**key point:**

If  $i \neq j$  or  $u \neq 0$ ,  $q_i$ ,  
then  $\text{ord } \partial_{x_j^u} h_i > q_i - u$ .

**We have only to repeat this procedure  
and use Krull's intersection theorem!**

## 6 Sketch of proof: the latter part

As LGS  $\mathbb{H}$  is representative of  $\mathbf{L}(\mathbb{I})$ ,  
usually we have “tail” i.e.

$$h_i = x_i^{q_i} + (\text{higher, not } q_i\text{-th power})$$

$$\text{with } q_i = p^{e_i} > 1.$$

The latter part of NSP asserts that **LGS without tails** exists provided  $\mu^\sim(\mathbb{I}) = \infty$ .

Let  $F^q: R \ni r \mapsto r^q \in R$  (Frobenius).

**Strategy of whole proof**      Fix LGS  $\mathbb{H}$ .

- Change  $\mathbb{H}$  into tail-free **from lower level**.
- induction on  $r$ , where

$$r := r(\mathbb{H}) = \min\{q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid \mathbb{H}_q \not\subset F^q(\mathfrak{m})\}$$

## Setup

As  $\mathbb{I} = G(\mathbb{H})$ , we can express

$$\mathbb{I}_r = \sum_{|[B]| \geq r} RH^B = \sum_{q_i \geq r} Rh_i + J,$$

where  $J$  is factors from lower level, i.e.

$$J = (H^B \mid |[B]| \geq r, b_i = 0 \text{ if } q_i \geq r).$$

Since  $h_i \in \delta_{r, q_i} x^{q_i} + \mathfrak{m}^{r+1}$  for  $q_i \geq r$ ,

$$\mathbb{I}_r \subset F^r(\mathfrak{m}) + \mathfrak{m}^{r+1} + J$$

**Goal** Our goal is to prove

$$\mathbb{I}_r = F^r(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \mathbb{I}_r + \mathbb{I}_r \cap \mathfrak{m}^{r+1} + J.$$

lives elt's of LGS

↑

↑

(big order) (from lower)

To prove above equation, we show

$$\mathbb{I}_r \subset K_n \quad (\forall n \geq 0) \quad \text{where}$$

$$K_n := F^r(\mathfrak{m}) + \mathbb{I}_r \cap \mathfrak{m}^{r+1} + J + \mathfrak{m}^n.$$

### Step: $n \rightarrow (n + 1)$

For  $f \in \mathbb{I}_n$ , we show  $f \in K_{n+1}$  as follows:

1.  $\exists \phi \in \mathfrak{m}^n$  s.t.  $f - \phi \in K_{n+1}$
2. Find suitable **partial diff. operator**  $\partial$  of degree  $< r$  to extract the information of  $\text{in}(\phi)$  (leading term)
3. By  $\partial F^r(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ , show that  $\text{in}(\partial\phi) \in \text{in}(\mathbb{I}_{r-\text{deg } \partial})$ .
4. By  $\mathbb{I}_{r-\text{deg } \partial} \subset \mathbb{I}_1 = \sum_i Rh_i$ , show  $\text{in}(\partial\phi) \in (\text{in}(h_i) \mid i)$ , and deduce  $\phi \in K^{n+1}$ .

**Conclusion** Regard  $K_n$  as  $R$ -mod. by  $F^r$ , and use Krull's intersection theorem to get the equation in "Goal".