

Finite Type System of Partial  
Differential Operators and  
Decomposition of Solutions of  
Partial Differential Equations<sup>(\*)</sup>

by

Shigetake MATSUURA

§1. Introduction,  $\mathcal{P}(D)$ -convexity.

First we fix some notations. Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose points shall be denoted by their coordinates  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  over the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

A partial differential operator with constant coefficients  $P(D)$  is obtained from the polynomial  $P(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  just by replacing the variables  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  by the differentiations  $D = (D_1, \dots, D_n)$  with  $D_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

Now let  $\mathcal{P}(X) = (P_{jk}(X))$  be a matrix with  $q$  rows and  $p$  columns with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .  $\mathcal{Q}(X) = (Q_{jk}(X))$  be a relation matrix with  $r$  rows and  $q$  columns for  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ , i.e. the row vectors  $(Q_{j1}(X), \dots, Q_{jq}(X))$  ( $j = 1, \dots, r$ ) generate the relation module

---

(\*) The content of this article was partly spoken in a slightly different form in Séminaire MALGRANGE (1964) à Orsay.

$$\{(Q_1(X), \dots, Q_q(X))\}; \sum_{j=1}^q Q_j(X) P_{jk}(X) = 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, p) .$$

Then we get the following differential complex

$$(1.1) \quad [C^\infty(\Omega)]^p \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}(D)} [C^\infty(\Omega)]^q \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}(D)} [C^\infty(\Omega)]^r ,$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(D) \mathcal{P}(D) = 0 .$$

DEFINITION. An open set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called  $\mathcal{P}(D)$ -convex if the above sequence is exact.

EXAMPLES.

1) For the case of a single operator  $P(D)$  ( $p = q = 1$ ), the exactness of (1.1) is reduced to the subjectivity

$$(1.2) \quad P(D)C^\infty(\Omega) = C^\infty(\Omega) ,$$

since the relation module is 0. Thus the concept of  $\mathcal{P}(D)$ -convexity is a generalization of that of the usual  $P(D)$ -convexity (see [3], [4]).

2) When  $\mathcal{P}(D) = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 \\ \vdots \\ D_n \end{pmatrix}$  is the gradient operator, the exact-

ness is just the vanishing of the first de Rham cohomology group

$$(1.3) \quad H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) = 0 .$$

3) If we identify the complex space  $\mathbb{C}^m$  with  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n = 2m$ ) in the usual manner, then the pseudo-convexity of an open set  $\Omega$  can be characterized by the exactness of the following sequence

$$(1.4) \quad C_{(0,0)}^{\infty}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} C_{(0,1)}^{\infty}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \dots \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} C_{(0,m)}^{\infty}(\Omega) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $C_{(0,q)}^{\infty}$  denotes the space of all  $C^{\infty}$ -forms of type  $(0,q)$  defined on  $\Omega$  (see [2], [5]). This can be stated as the exactness of the following sequence

$$(1.4)' \quad E_1 \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} E_2 \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} E_3$$

with  $E_1 = \prod_{q=0}^{m-1} C_{(0,q)}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ,  $E_2 = \prod_{q=1}^m C_{(0,q)}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ,  $E_3 = \prod_{q=2}^{m+1} C_{(0,q)}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Thus it can be written in the form (1.1).

4) When  $\mathcal{P}(D)$  is quite general, we know only the following

**THEOREM 1.1.** (Ehrenpreis-Malgrange) *If  $\Omega$  is convex, then  $\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{P}(D)$ -convex for any  $\mathcal{P}(D)$  (See [1], [2], [5]).*

## §2. Finite type systems of partial differential operators.

In the preceding section, we have explained the  $\mathcal{P}(D)$ -convexity and some examples. But, other than these, no general results are known. Therefore it will have some meaning to state the following

**THEOREM 2.1.** *If  $\Omega$  is simply connected, then  $\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{P}(D)$ -convex for any  $\mathcal{P}(D)$  of finite type.\**

Before giving a sketchy proof of the above theorem, we should explain some notions.

To a matrix  $\mathcal{P}(X) = (P_{jk}(X))$  with  $q$  rows and  $p$  columns we associate the ideal  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P})$  generated by all the  $(p,p)$ -minors of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ . (If  $p > q$ , we only put  $\mathcal{A} = 0$ .) Let  $V = V(\mathcal{P})$  be the algebraic variety defined by  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P})$ , i.e.

$$V(\mathcal{P}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n ; P(z) = 0 \text{ for all } P \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P})\}.$$

(\*) See the definition below.

This is called the *variety*, attached to the system of differential operators  $\mathcal{P}(D)$ .

DEFINITION. A system of partial differential operators  $\mathcal{P}(D)$  is called of *finite type* if the attached variety is of dimension 0, i.e.  $V(\mathcal{P})$  consists of only a finite number of points.

Now consider the following homogeneous equation

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{P}(D)U = 0$$

where  $U$  is an unknown element of  $[C^\infty(\Omega)]^P$ .

Then, using Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, it is not difficult to show the following

LEMMA *The vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  of the solutions of (2.1) is of finite dimension if and only if the system  $\mathcal{P}(D)$  is of finite type. And then the solutions of (2.1) consist only of entire functions, more precisely the exponential-polynomial solutions of (2.1).*

To prove Theorem 2.1, first we fix a covering of  $\Omega$  by its convex open subsets:  $\Omega = \bigcup_i \Omega_i$ , and consider the equation

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{P}(D)U = F$$

where  $F$  is an arbitrary given element in  $[C^\infty(\Omega)]^q$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{Q}(D)F = 0.$$

In each convex open set  $\Omega_i$ , we can apply Theorem 1.1. and we get a solution in  $\Omega_i$ . In the intersection  $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j$  of two such convex open sets, the difference of the solutions should

satisfy the homogeneous equation (2.1). Hence, according to the above lemma, we get a solution of (2.2) in the union  $\Omega_i \cup \Omega_j$  by adjusting those solutions by a certain entire function. Now starting at some fixed point we can proceed along curves repeating such adjustments in a manner similar to the usual analytic continuation in function theory. The resulting solution  $U$  should be univalent according to the assumption that  $\Omega$  be simply connected. This shows that (1.1) is exact. This completes the proof.

### §3. Decomposition of solutions of partial differential equations.

Since partial differential operators with constant coefficients operate on  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  commutatively, we see clearly that

(I) For any pair of polynomials  $P_1, P_2$  and for any pair of functions  $u_1, u_2 \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad P_1(D)u_1 = 0, \quad P_2(D)u_2 = 0,$$

the sum

$$(3.2) \quad u = u_1 + u_2$$

satisfies the equation for the product operator

$$(3.3) \quad P_1(D)P_2(D)u = 0;$$

(II) For any polynomial  $P$  and for any multiindexed family of solutions  $u_\alpha \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  ( $|\alpha| \leq \nu-1$ )<sup>(\*)</sup> of the equation

---

(\*) For a multiindex  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  (a sequence of non-negative integers) we set  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ . If  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is variable point, we set  $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ .

$$(3.4) \quad P(D)u_\alpha = 0$$

the sum

$$(3.5) \quad u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq v-1} x^\alpha u_\alpha$$

is a solution of the equation for the  $v$ -times interacted operator

$$(3.6) \quad P(D)^v u = 0$$

Our question is to ask when the converses of the above facts are true, naturally assuming always that

(i)  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  have no common factor

for the converse of (I), and that

(ii)  $P$  is irreducible

for the converse of (II).

THEOREM 3.1. If  $\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{P}(D)$ -convex with  $\mathcal{P}(D) = \begin{pmatrix} P_1(D) \\ P_2(D) \end{pmatrix}$ , then any solution  $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  of (3.3) can be decomposed into the form (3.2) with (3.1). Conversely, if each solution  $u$  of (3.3) can be written in the form (3.2) with (3.1) and if  $\Omega$  is  $P_1(D)P_2(D)$ -convex, then  $\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{P}(D)$ -convex.

DEFINITION. We say that an open set  $\Omega$  is a *decomposing domain* if the converses of (I) and (II) are true for all  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  and  $P$  satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii).

Application of Ehrenpreis-Malgrange's theorem thus shows

THEOREM 3.2. If  $\Omega$  is convex, then  $\Omega$  is a decomposing domain.

In the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we can prove a more precise result, using Theorem 2.1.

THEOREM 3.3. An open set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is a decomposing domain if and only if  $\Omega$  is simply connected.

The "only if" part comes from the fact that, for an open set  $\Omega$  in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the vanishing of the first de Rham cohomology group (1.3) is equivalent to the simple-connectedness of  $\Omega$ . (\*)

Theorem 3.3 is no longer true for  $n \geq 3$ .

If we restrict ourselves to the polynomial or exponential polynomial solutions then we get, by a purely algebraic argument, the following

THEOREM 3.4. *If we assume (i) and (ii), then any polynomial (resp. exponential-polynomial) solution of (3.3) or (3.6) can be decomposed into the form (3.2) or (3.5) with  $u_1, u_2$  or  $u_\alpha$  polynomials (resp. exponential-polynomials).*

---

The content of the present article can be considered as a completion of our previous work [6]. The more detailed treatment shall be published elsewhere.

---

#### References.

- [1] Ehrenpreis, L., A fundamental principle for systems of linear differential equations with constant coefficients and some of its applications, Proc. Intern. Symp. on Linear Spaces, 161-174, Jerusalem, 1961.
- [2] Hörmander, L., An introduction to complex analysis in several variables, D. Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1966.

---

(\*) This can be proved by a combinatorial argument, for that I thank Prof. H. TODA.

- [3] Hörmander, L., Linear partial differential operators, Springer-Verlag, 1963.
- [4] Malgrange, B., Existence et approximation des solutions des équations aux dérivées partielles et des équations de convolution, Ann. Inst. Fourier 6, 271-354.(1955).
- [5] Malgrange, B., Sur les systèmes différentiels à coefficients constants, Coll. C. N. R. S., 113-122, Paris, 1963.
- [6] Matsuura, S., Factorization of differential operators and decomposition of solutions of homogeneous equations, Osaka Math. J., 213-231, 15 (1963).