

Gentzen-type Formal System Representing Properties of Functions\*

Toshio Nishimura

Tokyo Univ. of Education

§0. Introduction

First, we shall roughly explain system given in this paper, which is mainly based on the 2-valued logic. By some little modifications, we shall be able to give the systems based on the 3-valued logic presented by S.C. Kleene or J. McCarthy, which is treated in the forthcoming paper.

Now let  $F$  or  $G$  be a function of type  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  or  $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$  respectively, then we shall denote the composition of  $F$  and  $G$  by

$$F \cdot G \quad (F \cdot G(x) = G(F(x))).$$

And if  $F$  and  $G$  are compatible and of the same type, then the join of  $F$  and  $G$  shall be denoted by

$$F \vee G.$$

Let  $P$  be a formula, then  $P$  has a truth value. The function  $\emptyset$  which has always the value  $\emptyset$  (the empty-set) represents the truth value 'false', and the identity function  $I$  the 'true'. (In 3-valued case we shall take the totally undefined function  $\mathcal{Q}$  as another value 'undefined'). Then a formula  $P$  can be considered as a function, and the composition

$$P \cdot F$$

has the value  $F$  if  $P$  is true and  $\emptyset$  if  $P$  is false. (In 3-valued case, if  $P$  is undefined then the value is totally undefined function  $\mathcal{Q}$ ).

$$\text{if } P(x) \text{ then } y \text{ else } f(x)$$

has the value  $y$  if  $P(x)$  is true and  $f(x)$  if  $P(x)$  is false. Thus, this can be represented by the composition

$$P(x) \cdot y \vee P(x) \cdot f(x),$$

---

\* This is partly supported by CUDI foundation.

where  $\neg P(x)$  represent the negation of  $P(x)$ . And the program

F; G;

loop: if P then begin H; K; goto loop end

can be represented by

$$F.G. \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} (P.H.K)^n \neg P,$$

where  $A^0 = I$ ,  $A^{n+1} = \underbrace{A.A \dots A}_{n+1 \text{ times}}$  and  $\bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n = A^0 \vee A^1 \vee A^2 \dots$

Now let A and B be formulas. Then the composition  $A \cdot B$  means

'A and B', because  $I \cdot I = I$ ,  $I \cdot \emptyset = \emptyset$ ,  $\emptyset \cdot I = \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset \cdot \emptyset = \emptyset$

And  $A \vee B$  means 'A or B' because  $I \vee I = I$ ,  $I \vee \emptyset = I$ ,  $\emptyset \vee I = I$

And  $\emptyset \vee \emptyset = \emptyset$

The program

i: = 1 ; s: = 0;

loop: if  $\neg i > N$  then begin s: = s +  $a_i$  ; i: = i + 1 ; goto loop end shall give the result  $s; = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N$ . This is represented by the expression of the form

$$(i: = 1) (s: = 0) \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} (\neg(i > N)(s := s + a_i)(i := i + 1))^n (c > N) \subset s := a_1 + \dots + a_N$$

which is called a sequent. This can be proved in our system. ' $F \subset G$ '

means that G is an extension of F. In the 2-valued case, for formulas

A and B, ' $A \subset B$ ' means that A implies B, and so is the same as  $A \rightarrow B$  in the Gentzen's original form.

We shall consider the following recursive definition of the function F (of type  $\alpha$ )

$$F(x, y) = \text{if } p(x) \text{ then } y \text{ else } h(F(k(x), y)).$$

It is well-known that F can be defined as the least fixed point  $\bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(\emptyset)$

(denoted by  $\partial F$ ), under the following definition of the function f of type

$\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

$$f^0(\emptyset) = I \cdot \emptyset = \emptyset$$

$$f^{n+1}(\emptyset) = \text{if } p(x) \text{ then } y \text{ else } h(f^n(\emptyset)(k(x), y))$$

(represented as  $p(x) y \vee \neg p(x) \cdot h(f^n(\emptyset)(k(x), h))$ )

We shall consider another function G of the same type as F, which is defined by the following:

$$G(x, y) = \text{if } p(x) \text{ then } y \text{ else } G(k(x), h(y))$$

Then

$$G(x, y) = \partial g(x, y) = \emptyset \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} (p(x) \cdot y \vee \neg p(x) \cdot g^n(\emptyset)(k(x), h(y)))$$

In order to prove that F is the same function as G, it is sufficient to show the following two sequents:

$$\partial f(x, y) \subset \partial g(x, y) \text{ and } \partial g(x, y) \subset \partial f(x, y)$$

In our system, the notation ' $\subset$ ' plays the similar role to the Gentzen's original notation ' $\rightarrow$ '. Rules of inference shall be given symmetrically for the left hand-side and the right hand-side of  $\subset$ .

In this paper, we shall give the formal system and its interpretation. However, we shall omit the proofs of the main theorems 'the completeness theorem' and 'the cut-elimination theorem'. Concerning to formal systems representing properties of functions, Platek, D.Scott and M. Takahashi gave several axiomatized system, but Gentzen-type formulation including compositions of formulas and functions has not been given as yet. Gentzen-type formulation shall give the following profits to us:

- (1) This will make us easy transmission to 3-valued cases from 2-valued case.
- (2) This will suggest that the back-tracking is a powerful method in order to obtain the proofs for equivalence or correctness of programs. In fact, the theorem-prover based on our system are now working as a powerful processor, which processed most problems presented in 'Inductive Methods for Proving Properties of Programs' by Z.Manna, S. Ness and J. Vjillemin.

### §1. Formal system and its interpretation

In this section we shall give the formal system and its interpretation.

1.1 Constant symbols for types are  $o$  and  $'$ . Types are defined by the following. (1)  $o$  or  $'$  is a type. (2) If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are types, so is  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ . (3) Types are obtained only by applying (1) and (2) (Extreme clause). In what follows, we shall often omit the extreme clause. A predicate-type is defined by the following. (1)  $\alpha$  is a type, then  $\alpha \rightarrow '$  is a predicate type. (2) If  $\alpha$  is a type and  $p$  is predicate-type, then  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  is a predicate-type. Types other than predicate-types are called 'object-type'.

1.2 Basic symbols other than those for types are following: functional (abbreviated by  $f\ell$ ) constants of type  $\alpha$   $\phi_\alpha$  etc.; free  $f\ell$  variables of type  $\alpha$   $F_\alpha$ ,  $G_\alpha$  etc.; bound variables of type  $\alpha$   $X_\alpha$   $Y_\alpha$  etc.; overall-functional (abbreviated by  $of\ell$ ) constants  $K$ ,  $L$  etc.; free  $of\ell$  variables  $U$ ,  $V$  etc.; index constants  $O$  etc.; free index variables  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  etc.; index functions  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $'$  etc.; logical connectives  $\vee$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\neg$ ,  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\exists$ ,  $\forall$ ,  $=$ ; other symbols  $\subset$  etc. Especially,  $\phi_\alpha$  is the constant of type  $\alpha$  for every type  $\alpha$  and  $T_\alpha$  For every predicate type  $\alpha$ .

1.3 Now we shall give mathematical domains, in which our formal system will be interpreted.

Let  $D_{-1}$  be the domain of individuals. The totally undefined function on  $D_{-1}$  is denoted by  $\phi_0$  or  $\omega$ . We put

$$D_0 = \alpha^* \mid \text{We have } \alpha \in D_{-1} \text{ such that } \alpha^*(x) = \alpha \text{ for every } x \in D_{-1} \cup \{\omega\}$$

For  $a, b \in D_0$ , the relation  $a \subset_0 b$  is defined by

$a \subset_0 b \iff \text{dom}(a) \subset \text{dom}(b) \text{ and } a(x) = b(x) \text{ for every } x \in D_0.$

Then we have  $\omega \subset_0 a$  and  $a \subset_0 a$  for every  $a \in D_0$ .

We put  $D = \{ \langle \rangle, \phi \}$ , where  $\langle \rangle$  denote the empty word and  $\phi$  the empty set. And the relation  $\subset_l$  is defined by

$$\phi \subset_l \phi, \phi \subset_l \langle \rangle \text{ or } \langle \rangle \subset_l \langle \rangle$$

We shall often use the notation  $I$  or  $\emptyset$  instead of  $\langle \rangle$  or  $\phi$  respectively, because, as we shall see in 1.5,  $\langle \rangle$  shall play the same role as the identity function  $I$  and  $\phi$  as the  $\emptyset$  which has the always the value  $\phi$ .

Supposing that the domains  $D_\alpha$ ,  $D_\beta$  and the relations  $\subset_\alpha$ ,  $\subset_\beta$  are already defined, we put

$$D_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}^1 = \{ F_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} \mid F_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}: D_\alpha \rightarrow D_\beta \text{ and } \text{dom}(F_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}) = D_\alpha \}$$

Now we shall define the relation  $F_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} \subset_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} G_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}$  by

$$F_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}(h) \subset_\beta G_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}(h) \text{ for every } h \in D_\alpha$$

$F_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}$  is said to be monotonic, if  $F_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}(h) \subset_\beta F_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}(g)$  for every  $h, g \in D_\alpha$  such that  $h \subset_\alpha g$ .

Then  $D_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}$  is defined by

$$D_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = \{ F \mid \text{monotonic } F \in D_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}^1 \}$$

Next, let  $\mathcal{J}$  be the set of all types and  $\mathcal{D}$  be  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} D_\alpha$ .

We define the set  $\mathcal{F}$  by

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ f \mid f \text{ is an fl defined on } D \text{ and for every } \alpha \in \mathcal{J} \text{ the restriction of } f \text{ to } D_\alpha \text{ (denoted by } f \upharpoonright D_\alpha \text{)} \text{ is an fl of type } \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \}$$

Let  $N$  be  $\{ 0, 1, 2, \dots \}$  and we consider the number theoretic functions corresponding to the index-functions.

Let  $\phi_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}^*$  be the function such that  $\phi_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}^*(h) = \phi_\beta^*$  for every  $h \in D_\alpha$ , where  $\phi_0^* = \omega$  and  $\phi_i^* = \phi$

In what follows, we shall often omit type-subscript (i.e.  $F$  instead of  $F_\alpha$ ).

1.4 We shall assign an element of  $D_\alpha$  (or  $\mathcal{F}$ ) to every  $fl$  (or  $ofl$ )-constant or every  $fl$  (or  $ofl$ )-variable of type  $\alpha$  and an element of  $N$  to every index constant or variable, as follows. We denote this assignment by  $\varphi$ .

$$\varphi(\emptyset_2) = \emptyset_\alpha^*$$

$\varphi(f) \in D_\alpha$  for every free  $fl$ -variable or  $fl$ -constant of type  $\alpha$

$\varphi(f) \in \mathcal{F}$  for every free  $ofl$ -variable or  $ofl$ -constant

$\varphi(0)$  for the index constant 0

$\varphi(a) \in N$  for the index variable  $a$

$\varphi(f) = f^*$  for the index-function  $f$ , where  $f^*$  is the corresponding

number theoretic function.

(In what follows,  $\varphi(E)$  is represented by  $E^*$ .)

1.5  $fl$ 's,  $ofl$ 's, indices and formulas and the extension of the assignment over these are defined by the following. In what follows, we denote one assigned to a formal expression  $E$  by  $E^*$

1.5.1 An  $fl$ -constant of type  $\alpha$  ( $ofl$ -constant) or a free  $fl$ -variable of type  $\alpha$  (free  $ofl$ -variable) is an  $fl$  of type  $\alpha$  ( $ofl$ ). An  $ofl$  is an  $fl$ . An  $fl$  of type  $\iota$  is called a formula.

1.5.2 If  $F$  or  $f$  is an  $fl$  of type  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  or  $\alpha$  respectively, so is  $F(f)$  of type  $\beta$ . Especially, if  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  and  $F$  are of types  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $\alpha_1 \rightarrow (\alpha_2 \rightarrow \dots (\alpha_n \rightarrow \beta))$  respectively,  $F(f_1)(f_2) \dots (f_n)$  is of type  $\beta$ , abbreviated by  $F(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . If  $F$  is an  $ofl$  and  $f$  is an  $fl$  of type  $\alpha$ , then  $F(f)$  is an  $fl$  of type  $\alpha$ .  $(F(f))^*$  is  $F^*(f^*)$ .

1.5.3 Let  $F$  and  $G$  be  $fl$ 's of type  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$  respectively. Then  $F \cdot G$  (abbreviated by  $FG$ ) is the  $fl$  of type  $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ . If  $F$  or  $G$  is an  $ofl$ , then  $FG$  is the  $fl$  of the same type of another.  $(FG)^*$  is  $F^*G^*$  (the

composition of  $F^*$  and  $G^*$ ) i.e.

$$(FG)^* = F^*G^* = \{ \langle fg \rangle \mid \exists h (\langle fh \rangle \in F^* \text{ and } \langle hg \rangle \in G^*) \}$$

1.5.4 If  $P$  is a formula and  $F$  is an  $f\ell$  of type  $\alpha$  (an  $of\ell$ ), then  $PF$  or  $FP$  is an  $f\ell$  of type  $\alpha$  (an  $of\ell$ ).  $(PF)^* = (FP)^* =$  the direct product of  $P^*$  and  $F^*$ . Thus  $(PF)^* = (FP)^* = F$  or  $\emptyset$  accordingly to  $P^* = \langle \rangle$  or  $P^* = \emptyset$ .

This shows that in our system  $\langle \rangle$  or  $\emptyset$  plays the same role as the  $\text{refl } I$  or  $\emptyset$  respectively. We shall often use  $I$  or  $\emptyset$  instead of  $\langle \rangle$  or  $\emptyset$  respectively. Especially, if  $F$  is also a formula, so is  $PF$  or  $FP$ . In this case,  $PF$  ( $FP$ ) means ' $P$  and  $F$ ', because  $II = I$ ,  $I\emptyset = \emptyset$ ,  $\emptyset I = \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset\emptyset = \emptyset$ .

1.5.5 If  $P$  and  $Q$  are formulas, so are  $\neg P$ ,  $P \vee Q$ ,  $P \supset Q$  and  $P \equiv Q$ .  
 $\neg I^* = \emptyset$ ,  $\neg \emptyset^* = I$ ,  $(P \vee Q)^* = P^* \cup Q^*$ ,  $(P \supset Q)^* = (\neg P \vee Q)^*$   $(P \equiv Q)^* = (P \supset Q)^* \vee (Q \supset P)^*$ .

1.5.6 Let  $F$  be a formula,  $g$  a free  $f\ell$ -variable of type  $\alpha$  and  $h$  a bound  $f\ell$ -variable of type  $\alpha$  not contained in  $F$ . then  $\exists h F[h/g]$  and  $\forall h F[h/g]$  is a formula, where  $F[h/g]$  denote the result obtained by replacing  $h$  for  $g$ .  $(\exists h F[h/g])^*$  has the value  $\emptyset$  if  $(F[f/g])^* = \emptyset$  for every  $f \in D_\alpha$ , otherwise  $I$ .  $(\forall h F[h/g])^*$  has the value  $I$  if  $(F[f/g])^* = I$  for every  $f \in D_\alpha$ , otherwise  $\emptyset$ .

1.5.7 An index-constant or a free index-variable is an index. If  $f$  is an  $n$ -ary index-function and  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are indices, then  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is an index.  $(f(t_1, \dots, t_n))^* = f^*(t_1^*, \dots, t_n^*)$

1.5.8 Let  $R$  be an  $f\ell$  of type  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$  (or an  $of\ell$ ). Then  $R^t$  is of type  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $\partial R$  of type  $\alpha$  (or an  $of\ell$ ), where  $t$  is an index.  $(R^t)^* = R^* t^*$  and  $(\partial R)^* = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} R^*(\emptyset)^*$

1.5.9 Formulas of the forms  $Q_1 P Q_2$  and  $R_1 \neg P R_2$  are said mutually disjoint. If formulas P and Q are mutually disjoint, then  $f\ell$ 's APB and AQC are mutually disjoint. Two  $f\ell$ 's are said compatible if they are mutually disjoint. And any two of  $F^m(\emptyset)$ ,  $F^n(\emptyset)$  and  $\partial F$  are compatible. Any two of formulas are always compatible. If f and g are compatible, so are AfB and AgB, or F(f) and F(g). Clearly, if two  $f\ell$ 's A and B are mutually disjoint, then one of  $A^*$  and  $B^*$  is  $\emptyset^*$ . And

$$F^{*m}(\emptyset^*) \subset F^{*n}(\emptyset^*) \subset \partial F^* \quad \text{for } m \leq n$$

$$(\forall h p \langle h/g \rangle)^* \subset (p \langle f/g \rangle)^* \subset (\exists h p \langle f/g \rangle)^*$$

And if  $f^* \subset g^*$ , then  $A^* f^* B^* \subset A^* g^* B^*$  and  $F^* C f^* \subset F^*(g^*)$ .

Thus, if A and B are compatible  $f\ell$ 's, then  $A^* \subset B^*$  or  $B^* \subset A^*$ .

1.5.10 If S and T are compatible  $f\ell$ s of type  $\alpha$  (or of  $\ell$ ), then  $S \vee T$  is an  $f\ell$  of type  $\alpha$  (or of  $\ell$ ). Then  $(S \vee T)^* =$  the joint of  $S^*$  and  $T^*$ , so one of  $S^*$  and  $T^*$ .

Let  $F_1, \dots, F_m$  and  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  be sets of  $f\ell$ 's of type  $\alpha$  or of  $\ell$ , in which any two of them are compatible. Then the figure of the following form is called a sequent.

$$F_1, \dots, F_m \text{---} \subset G_1, \dots, G_n,$$

where it may happen that  $m=0$  or  $n=0$ . This is interpreted by

$$F_1^* \cap \dots \cap F_m^* \subset \alpha \quad G_1^* \cup \dots \cup G_n^*$$

## §2. Proof-figure

In what follows, Greek capitale letters,  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Pi$  etc. shall represent finite set of  $f\ell$ 's such as  $F_1, \dots, F_m$ . A proof-figure is a tree constructed by sequents, in which every uppermost sequent is an axiom and by a rule of inference upper sequents and a lower sequent are



connected.

2.1 We can give various assumptions as axioms, but we shall give here only logical axioms as the most basic ones. Logical axioms are sequents of the following form.

1.  $\emptyset \subset A$  , where  $\emptyset$  is the particular constant.
2.  $\Gamma_1, F, \Gamma_2 \subset A_1, F, A_2$
3.  $\Gamma_1, AP_1 \dots P_m B, \Gamma_2 \subset A_1, AP_{i_1} \dots P_{i_k} B, A_2$ , where  $P_{i_j}$  is a formula.

It is clear that the logical axioms are true under any interpretations, because  $\emptyset^*$  is the least element,  $F^* \subset F^*$  and  $A^* P_{i_1}^* \dots P_{i_k}^* B^* \subset A^* P_{i_1}^* \dots P_{i_k}^* B^*$

## 2.2 Rules of inference

### 2.2.1 Rules of Replacement

- (1)  $IF, FI, \emptyset \vee F$  or  $F \vee \emptyset$  can be replaced by  $F$  and conversely.
- (2)  $\emptyset F$  or  $F \emptyset$  can be replaced by  $\emptyset$  and conversely.
- (3)  $\neg \neg I$  or  $\neg \emptyset$  can be replaced by  $\emptyset$  or  $I$  respectively and conversely.
- (4)  $P \equiv Q, P \supset Q, \neg(P \cdot Q), \neg(P \vee Q)$  or  $\neg \neg P$  can be replaced by  $(P \supset Q) \wedge (Q \supset P), \neg P \vee Q, \neg P \vee \neg Q, \neg P, \neg Q$  or  $P$  respectively and conversely.
- (5) If  $\emptyset$  occurs in the left hand-side, then the left is replaced by  $\emptyset$ .  $\emptyset$  in the right is omitted.

In every rule of replacement,  $A$  is replaced by  $B$  such that  $A^* = B^*$ .

### 2.2.2 Rules of inference with respect to logical connectives.

- (1)  $\vee$  left

$\vee$ right

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, AFB, \Gamma_2 \subset A \quad \Gamma_1, AGB, \Gamma_2 \subset A}{\Gamma_1, A \cdot \{FVG\} \cdot B, \Gamma_2 \subset A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \subset A_1, AFB, AGB, A_2}{\Gamma \subset A_1, A \cdot \{FVG\} \cdot B, A_2}$$

These rules of inference shall give the true lower sequent from the true upper sequents under any interpretation, because F and G are compatible.

(2)  $\partial$  left

$\partial$  right

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, A \cdot F^n(\emptyset) \cdot B_1, \Gamma_2 \subset \Delta \quad n=0, 1, 2, \dots}{\Gamma_1, A \cdot \partial F \cdot B, \Gamma_2 \subset \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \subset \Delta_1, A \cdot F^m(\emptyset) \cdot B_1, \Delta_2, A \cdot \partial F \cdot B}{\Gamma \subset \Delta_1, A \cdot \partial F \cdot B_1, \Delta_2}$$

It is easily shown from the compatibility of  $F^n(\emptyset)$  and  $\partial F$  that these rules of inference is reasonable.

(3)  $\forall$  left

$\forall$  right

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, A \cdot P(g/h) \cdot B, \Gamma_2, A \cdot \forall h P \cdot B \subset \Delta}{\Gamma_1, A \cdot \forall h P \cdot B, \Gamma_2 \subset \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \subset \Delta_1, A \cdot P(f/h) \cdot B, \Delta_2}{\Gamma \subset \Delta_1, A \cdot \forall h P \cdot B_1, \Delta_2}$$

where  $g$  is an arbitrary  $f\ell$  of the same type as  $h$ .

where  $f$  is an arbitrary free variable of the same type as  $h$  not contained in the lower sequent.

It is clear that  $\forall$ -left is the reasonable inference. We shall show  $\forall$ -right is so. If  $(\forall h P)^* = I$ ,  $(A \cdot P[f/h] \cdot B)^* \subset (A \cdot h P \cdot B)^*$

Provided  $(\forall h P)^* = \emptyset$ , we have  $g \in D_\alpha$  such that  $P^*[g/h] = \emptyset$ .

Considering a new assignment which assigns  $g$  to  $f$  and the original one to other than  $f$ , we have  $\varphi'(P[f/h]) = \emptyset$  and  $\varphi'(E) = \varphi(E)$  for  $E$  which does not contain  $f$ . Then, if the lower sequent is not true under  $\varphi$ , so is it under  $\varphi'$ . And so the upper sequent is not true under  $\varphi'$ .

This contradicts to the assumption that the upper one is true under any interpretation.

(4)  $\exists$  left

$\exists$  right

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, A \cdot P[f/h] \cdot B, \Gamma_2 \subset \Delta}{\Gamma_1, A \cdot \exists h P \cdot B_1, \Gamma_2 \subset \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \subset \Delta_1, A \cdot P(g/h) \cdot B_1, \Delta_2, A \cdot \exists h P \cdot B}{\Gamma \subset \Delta_1, A \cdot \exists h P \cdot B_1, \Delta_2}$$

where  $f$  satisfies the condition in  $\forall$  right.

where  $g$  satisfies the condition in  $\forall$  left.

It will be shown by the quite similar way to in (3) that these are reasonable.

## 2.2.3 Practical rules of inference

We can add at will some practical reasonable rules, e.g.

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subset \Delta_1, C, \Delta_2 \quad \Gamma_1, C, \Gamma_2 \subset \Delta_1, \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subset \Delta_1, \Delta_2}$$

and

$$\frac{A_1, \dots, A_m \subset B_1, \dots, B_n \quad F \subset G}{A_1 F, \dots, A_m F \subset B_1 G, \dots, B_n G}$$

where  $A_1, \dots, A_m, B_1, \dots, B_n$  are of type  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  and  $F$  and  $G$  of type  $\beta \rightarrow \tau$ .

## §3. Some theorems

From the facts given in § 2, we shall see the following plausibility theorem.

Theorem 1. (Plausibility) Let  $\Gamma \subset \Delta$  be a provable sequent. Then it is true under any interpretations.

The following theorem is important, but we omit the proof here.

Theorem 2. (Completeness and Elimination of redundance) Let a sequent  $\Gamma \subset \Delta$  be true under any interpretation. Then it is provable by applying only rules in 2.2.1 and 2.2.2.