

Operator theoretical approach for transport equations

Kiyoshi Asano

Institute of Mathematics  
Yoshida College  
Kyoto University  
Kyoto 606, Japan

§1. Introduction

The problem of neutron transport in an infinite slab leads, after an appropriate simplification, to the evolution equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x, \mu) = -\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u + \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{-1}^1 u(t, x, \mu') d\mu' , \quad t > 0 ,$$

where  $u(t, x, \mu)$  is the density of neutrons at  $x$  (going in the direction  $\mu$  at time  $t$ ), and  $\kappa$  is a positive parameter. If the slab is extended between the planes  $x = -a$ ,  $x = a$  and the outside of the slab is a vacuum, we have the boundary conditions

$$(2) \quad u(t, \mp a, \mu) = 0 , \quad \mu \gtrless 0 , \quad t > 0 .$$

Of course we have to add the initial condition

$$(3) \quad u(0, x, \mu) = u_0(x, \mu) , \quad -a \leq x \leq a , \quad -1 \leq \mu \leq 1 .$$

This equation was deeply studied by J. Lehner and G. M. Wing ([2] - [4]). In this lecture, a slight improvement will be done.

First we set the problem in an operator-theoretical framework. Put  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(-a, a)$ ,  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $M = (-1, 1)$ ,  $H = L^2(M; \mathcal{H})$  and  $H_0 = L^2(M; \mathcal{H}_0)$ . Define closed linear operators  $L$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $A$  in  $H$  (similarly  $L_0$  in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  and  $A_0$  in  $H_0$  with  $(-a, a)$  replaced by  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ) as follows:

$$D(L) = \{v(x) \in \mathcal{H} ; \frac{d}{dx}v(x) \in \mathcal{H}, v(-a) = 0\},$$

$$(Lv)(x) = -\frac{d}{dx}v(x)$$

$$D(A) = \{u(x, \mu) \in H ; u(\cdot, \mu) \in D(L) \text{ for a.e. } \mu > 0,$$

$$u(\cdot, \mu) \in D(L^*) \text{ for a.e. } \mu < 0, Au \in H\},$$

$$(Au)(\cdot, \mu) = \begin{cases} \mu Lu(\cdot, \mu), & \mu > 0, \\ -\mu L^* u(\cdot, \mu), & \mu < 0. \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $J$  (resp.  $\tilde{J}$ ) the projection from  $\mathcal{H}_0$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  (resp. from  $H_0$  to  $H$ ), and by  $K$  the "integral operator":

$$H \ni u(x, \mu) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-1}^1 u(x, \mu) d\mu \in \mathcal{H}.$$

If we put

$$(4) \quad B = A + \kappa K^* K, \quad D(B) = D(A),$$

$$(5) \quad B_0 = A_0 + \kappa \tilde{J}^* K^* K \tilde{J}, \quad D(B_0) = D(A_0),$$

then the problem (1)-(3) can be written in an evolution equation in  $H$  :

$$\frac{d}{dt}u = Bu, \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

Simultaneously we consider the corresponding evolution equation in  $H_0$  :

$$\frac{d}{dt}v = B_0v, \quad v(0) = v_0.$$

It is easy to see that  $L$  (and hence  $L^*$ ) generates a contraction semi-group  $e^{tL}$  (resp.  $e^{tL^*}$ ) in  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $L_0$  generates an unitary group  $e^{tL_0}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Hence  $A$  generates a contraction group  $e^{tA}$  in  $H$ , and  $A_0$  generates an unitary group  $e^{tA_0}$  in  $H_0$ . In addition, we obtain that

$$(6) \quad e^{tL} = J e^{tL_0} J^*, \quad e^{tL^*} = J e^{-tL_0} J^* \quad (t \geq 0),$$

$$(7) \quad e^{tA} = \tilde{J} e^{tA_0} \tilde{J}^*, \quad e^{tA^*} = \tilde{J} e^{-tA_0} \tilde{J}^* \quad (t \geq 0).$$

Since  $C = K^* K$  (resp.  $C_0 \equiv \tilde{J}^* K^* K \tilde{J}$ ) is a bounded linear operator in  $H$  (resp.  $H_0$ ),  $B$  (resp.  $B_0$ ) generates a semi-group  $e^{tB}$  in  $H$  (resp. a group  $e^{tB_0}$  in  $H_0$ ). Furthermore we have

$$(8) \quad e^{tB} = \tilde{J} e^{tB_0} \tilde{J}^*, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Following Lehner and Wing, we are concerned with spectral

properties of  $B$  and  $B_0$ , and asymptotic properties of  $e^{tB}$  and  $e^{tB_0}$ . However the relation (8) implies that there are no essential differences between  $e^{tB}$  and  $e^{tB_0}$  in the physical meaning. Thus we treat only  $B_0$  and  $e^{tB_0}$  in this lecture.

Our main result is as follows:

The continuous spectrum of  $B_0$ , which is the whole imaginary axis, is similar to the spectrum of  $A_0$  except for the discrete values of  $\kappa$ .

§2. The spectrum of  $B_0$

Put  $\tilde{K} = K\tilde{J}$ . Then the second resolvent equation for  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  :

$$(9) \quad (\lambda - B_0)^{-1} = (\lambda - A_0)^{-1} + \kappa(\lambda - A_0)^{-1} \tilde{K}^* \tilde{K} (\lambda - B_0)^{-1}$$

gives the following

$$(10) \quad (\lambda - B_0)^{-1} = (\lambda - A_0)^{-1} + \kappa(\lambda - A_0)^{-1} \tilde{K}^* (1 - \kappa G(\lambda))^{-1} \tilde{K} (\lambda - A_0)^{-1},$$

where

$$G(\lambda) = \tilde{K} (\lambda - A_0)^{-1} \tilde{K}^* = K \tilde{J} (\lambda - A_0)^{-1} \tilde{J}^* K^* .$$

Thus the study of  $G(\lambda)$  is essential for our purpose. Denoting by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ ) the set of all bounded (resp. compact) linear operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ , and by  $\|T\|$  the operator norm of  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , we summarize some properties of  $G(\lambda)$ .

Lemma 2.1. (i)  $G(\lambda)$  is a  $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ -valued analytic function in  $\mathcal{C}_\pm = \{\lambda ; \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0\}$  and satisfies

$$G(\bar{\lambda}) = G(\lambda)^* , \quad G(-\bar{\lambda}) = -G(\lambda)^* .$$

(ii) Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_\pm$ .  $\lambda$  belongs to the resolvent set  $\rho(B_0)$  of  $B_0$  (i.e., there exists  $(\lambda - B_0)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(H_0)$ ) if and only if there exists  $(1 - \kappa G(\lambda))^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

(iii) For  $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_+$ ,  $G(\lambda)$  satisfies

$$0 < \operatorname{Re}G(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\{G(\lambda) + G(\lambda)^*\} \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}\lambda} ,$$

$$\operatorname{Im}G(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2i}\{G(\lambda) - G(\lambda)^*\} \leq 0 \quad (\operatorname{Im}\lambda \geq 0) .$$

(iv) For  $0 < \beta < \beta'$  ,  $G(\beta) > G(\beta') > G(+\infty) = 0$  .

(v)  $G(\lambda)$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{C}_+ - \{0\} = \{\lambda ; \operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0 , \lambda \neq 0\}$  with respect to the norm of  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and satisfies

$$0 < \operatorname{Re}G(\beta+i\gamma) \leq \frac{1}{|\gamma|}(1+\pi) ,$$

$$\operatorname{Im}G(\beta+i\gamma) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } \gamma \geq 0 \text{ and } \beta \geq 0 .$$

(vi) For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ - [0, \infty)$  , there exists  $(1-\kappa G(\lambda))^{-1} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  . For any  $\delta > 0$  , there exists a constant  $c_{\kappa, \delta} > 0$  such that

$$\|(1-\kappa G(\lambda))^{-1}\| \leq c_{\kappa, \delta} \quad (\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0 , |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \geq \delta) .$$

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_- - \{0\}$  , there holds

$$\|(1-\kappa G(\lambda))^{-1}\| \leq 1 .$$

For  $\beta > 0$  , there exists  $(1-\kappa G(\beta))^{-1} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  except for the finite set of  $\beta$  which depends on  $\kappa$  .

Carrying out simple calculations we obtain

$$G(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2}(e^{tL} + e^{tL^*})dt \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\frac{\lambda t}{\mu}} d\mu .$$

Using the equality

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\frac{z}{\mu}} d\mu = \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\mu z} d\mu$$

$$= -\log z - b + E_0(z) ,$$

where  $b$  is Euler number and  $E_0(z)$  is an entire analytic function of  $z$  which satisfies  $|E_0(z)| \leq |z|$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ , we have

$$(11) \quad G(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} e^{tL} \{-\log \lambda t - b - E_0(\lambda t)\} dt .$$

We put

$$K(\lambda) = -\int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} e^{tL} dt (\log \lambda + b) + \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} e^{tL} (-\log t) dt ,$$

$$G_0(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} e^{tL} E_0(\lambda t) dt .$$

Since  $\int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} e^{tL} dt = \operatorname{Re} L^{-1}$  reduces to the 1-dimensional operator:

$$\mathcal{H} \ni u(x) \longmapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_{-a}^a u(x) dx = a \frac{1}{2a} (u, 1) 1 \in \mathcal{H} ,$$

we have

$$(12) \quad K(\lambda) = -aN \log \lambda - baN + K_0$$

where  $N$  is the orthogonal projection  $\frac{1}{2a}(\cdot, 1)1$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and

$$K_0 = \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} e^{tL} (-\log t) dt \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathcal{H}) .$$

The inequality  $|E_0(z)| \leq |z|$  ( $z \in \mathbb{T}_+$ ) implies that

$$\|G_0(\lambda)\| \leq \int_0^a |\lambda t| dt = \frac{a^2}{2} |\lambda| .$$

This implies that the spectrum  $\sigma(G(\beta))$  of  $G(\beta)$  converges to the spectrum  $\sigma(K(\beta))$  of  $K(\beta)$  as  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ . Thus we have the following

Lemma 2.2. Let  $\{\rho_n(\beta)\}$  be the set of (positive) eigen values of  $G(\beta)$  (counted as many times as multiplicities). We can arrange  $\{\rho_n(\beta)\}$  in the following way;

$\rho_n(\beta)$  is monotone decreasing in  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$\rho_n(\beta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$\rho_n(\beta) \rightarrow \rho_n^*$  as  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ ,

$\rho_n(\beta)$  is real analytic in  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ .

Here  $\rho_1^* = \infty$  and  $\rho_2^* \geq \rho_3^* \geq \dots$  are the eigen values of  $N'K_0N'$  arranged in the decreasing order. (In above we have put  $N' = 1 - N$ . Note that  $N'K_0N' > 0$  on the range  $R(N')$  of  $N'$ .)

For  $\kappa > 0$ , denote by  $N(\kappa)$  the number of  $\rho_n^*$  such that  $\kappa \rho_n^* > 1$ . Let  $\beta_n = \beta_n(\kappa)$  be the root of  $\kappa \rho_n(\beta) = 1$  for  $n = 1, \dots, N(\kappa)$ . Then  $(1 - \kappa G(\lambda))^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  exists for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}_- \cup \mathbb{T}_+ - \{0, \beta_1(\kappa), \dots, \beta_{N(\kappa)}(\kappa)\}$ . The  $\beta_n(\kappa)$ 's are simple roots of  $(1 - \kappa G(\lambda))^{-1}$ . Hence  $(\lambda - B_0)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  exists for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_- \cup \mathbb{C}_+ - \{\beta_1(\kappa), \dots, \beta_{N(\kappa)}(\kappa)\}$  and has simple poles at  $\{\beta_1(\kappa), \dots, \beta_{N(\kappa)}(\kappa)\}$ . A simple argument connected with Lemma 2.1 shows

that there is not the point spectrum  $\sigma_p(B_0)$  of  $B_0$  on the imaginary axis  $i\mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $\sigma_p(B_0)$  coincides with the discrete spectrum  $\sigma_d(B_0)$  of  $B_0$ , i.e.  $\sigma_p(B_0) = \sigma_d(B_0) = \{\beta_n(\kappa)\}$ . Similarly  $\sigma_p(B_0^*) = \sigma_d(B_0^*) = \{\beta_n(\kappa)\}$ . Furthermore the inequality (proved by Ukai)

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{K}^* u, (\lambda - A_0)^{-1} \tilde{K}^* u) &\geq \operatorname{Re}((\lambda - A_0)(\lambda - A_0)^{-1} \tilde{K}^* u, (\lambda - A_0)^{-1} \tilde{K}^* u) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \lambda \|\lambda - A_0\|^{-1} \tilde{K}^* u\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

shows that for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda - A_0\|^{-1} \tilde{K}^* u\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda} \operatorname{Re}(u, G(\lambda)u) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda} \|u\| \|G(\lambda)u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the compactness of  $G(\lambda)$  implies that of  $(\lambda - A_0)^{-1} \tilde{K}^*$ . This implies that the essential spectrum of  $B_0$  coincides with that of  $A_0$ , which is the whole imaginary axis. All these arguments show that the continuous spectrum  $\sigma_0(B_0)$  of  $B_0$  is the imaginary axis  $i\mathbb{R}$ , and the residual spectrum  $\sigma_r(B_0)$  of  $B_0$  is empty. Thus we have the following theorem due to Lehner.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\kappa > 0$  and  $B_0$  be defined by (5). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(B_0) &= \mathbb{C}_- \cup \mathbb{C}_+ - \{\beta_1(\kappa), \dots, \beta_{N(\kappa)}(\kappa)\} \\ \sigma_p(B_0) &= \sigma_d(B_0) = \{\beta_1(\kappa), \dots, \beta_{N(\kappa)}(\kappa)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_c(B_0) = i\mathbb{R} , \quad \sigma_r(B_0) = \phi$$

$(\lambda - B_0)^{-1}$  has simple poles at  $\{\beta_1(\kappa), \dots, \beta_{N(\kappa)}(\kappa)\}$  .

§3. The similarity of the continuous spectra of  $A_0$  and  $B_0$

Denote by  $P_j = P_j(\kappa)$  the residue of  $(\lambda - B_0)^{-1}$  at  $\lambda = \beta_j(\kappa)$ , that is the eigen projection of  $B_0$  belonging to  $\beta_j(\kappa)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N(\kappa)$ . Put  $Q_1 = \sum P_j$ ,  $Q_2 = 1 - Q_1$ ,  $B_1 = B_0 Q_1$  and  $B_2 = B_0 Q_2$ . Then  $(\lambda - B_0)^{-1} Q_2 = (\lambda - B_2)^{-1} Q_2$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}_+$  and there hold

$$(\lambda - B_0)^{-1} = (\lambda - B_0)^{-1} Q_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N(\kappa)} \frac{1}{\lambda - \beta_j} P_j,$$

$$e^{tB_0} = e^{tB_0} Q_2 + \sum e^{t\beta_j} P_j.$$

In order to study the spectral property of  $B_2$ , we use the method of  $A_0$ -smooth perturbation developed by Kato [1]. In what follows, we put for a fixed  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

$$\alpha_1(s) = \begin{cases} 2^\alpha - \log|s|, & |s| \leq 1, \\ (1+|s|)^\alpha, & |s| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_2(s) = (1+|s|)^\alpha,$$

and for later conveniens  $N_1 = N$  and  $N_2 = N'$ . From Lemma 2.1, (11) and (12), we obtain for some constant  $a_0$

$$\| \operatorname{Re} N_j G(\pm\sigma + i\gamma) N_j \| \leq \frac{1}{2} a_0 \alpha_j(\gamma)^{-1}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Let  $\{E_0(s)\}$  be the spectral resolution of  $-iA_0$  and put  $R(\lambda)$

$= (\lambda - A_0)^{-1} = \int (\lambda - is)^{-1} dE_0(s)$  . Following Kato [1] , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| N_j \tilde{K}(\lambda - A_0)^{-1} u - N_j \tilde{K}(-\bar{\lambda} - A_0)^{-1} u \|^2 \\ & \leq 2 \| \operatorname{Re} N_j G(\lambda) N_j \| \{ (\lambda - A_0)^{-1} - (-\bar{\lambda} - A_0)^{-1} \} u, u \\ & \leq a_0 \alpha_j(\gamma)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2\sigma}{\sigma^2 + (\gamma - s)^2} d \| E_0(s) \|^2 , \quad \lambda = \sigma + i\gamma . \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_j(\gamma) \| N_j \tilde{K}R(\sigma + i\gamma) u - N_j \tilde{K}R(-\sigma + i\gamma) u \|^2 d\gamma \\ & \leq 2\pi a_0 \| u \|^2 , \quad j = 1, 2 . \end{aligned}$$

Using estimates for Hilbert transforms with weighted norms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_j(\gamma) \| N_j \tilde{K}R(\sigma + i\gamma) u \|^2 d\gamma \\ & \leq C_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_j(\gamma) \| N_j \tilde{K}R(\sigma + i\gamma) u - N_j \tilde{K}R(-\sigma + i\gamma) u \|^2 d\gamma \\ & \leq 2\pi a_0 C_0 \| u \|^2 , \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $N_j \tilde{K}R(\sigma + i\gamma) u$  is an element of a  $\mathcal{H}$ -valued Hardy class with a weighted norm, and is a continuous function of  $\sigma \geq 0$  and  $\sigma \leq 0$  with values in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \alpha_j(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\gamma ; \mathcal{H})$  .

Putting  $R_1(\lambda) = (\lambda - B_0)^{-1}$  and recalling that

$$\tilde{K}(\lambda - B_0)^{-1} = (1 - \kappa G(\lambda))^{-1} \tilde{K}(\lambda - A_0)^{-1} ,$$

we define so called wave operators  $W_{\pm}$  and  $Z_{\pm}$  as follows:

$$(W_{\pm}u, v) = (u, v) \pm \frac{\kappa}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\tilde{K}R(\pm 0 + i\gamma)u, \tilde{K}R_1(\mp i 0 + i\gamma)^* v) d\gamma,$$

$$(Z_{\pm}u, v) = (Q_2 u, v) \mp \frac{\kappa}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\tilde{K}R_1(\pm 0 + i\gamma)Q_2 u, \tilde{K}R(\mp 0 + i\gamma)^* v) d\gamma.$$

To see the convergence of these integrals, we have to investigate the behavior of  $(1 - \kappa G(\lambda))^{-1}$  near  $\lambda = \pm 0 \in \mathbb{C}_{\pm}$ .

We put  $N_i G_{ij}(\lambda) N_j = G_{ij}(\lambda)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $G_{ij}(\lambda)$ 's have the following forms:

$$G_{11}(\lambda) = \{-a \log \lambda - ab - g_1(\lambda)\} N_1,$$

$$G_{12}(\lambda) = G_{21}(\bar{\lambda})^* = N_1 K_0 N_2 + N_1 G_0(\lambda) N_2,$$

$$G_{22}(\lambda) = N_2 K_0 N_2 + N_2 G_0(\lambda) N_2,$$

$$|g_1(\lambda)| \leq \frac{1}{2} a^2 |\lambda|, \quad \|N_i G_0(\lambda) N_j\| \leq \frac{1}{2} a^2 |\lambda|.$$

Let us assume that  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\kappa^{-1} \notin \sigma(N_2 K_0 N_2)$ . Then for sufficiently small  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$ , there exists  $(1 - \kappa G_{22}(\lambda))^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with uniformly bounded norm. Hence we have

$$\|(1 - \kappa G(\lambda))^{-1} u\| \leq \frac{c_1}{2 - \log |\lambda|} \|N_1 u\| + c_2 \|N_2 u\|$$

for sufficiently small  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$  (and hence for small  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_-$ ).

This implies

$$\|\tilde{K}R_1(\lambda)u\| \leq \frac{c_1}{2 - \log |\lambda|} \|N_1 \tilde{K}R(\lambda)u\| + c_2 \|N_2 \tilde{K}R(\lambda)u\|$$

for sufficiently small  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_\pm$ . Thus the above integrals converge absolutely, and  $W_\pm, Z_\pm \in B(H_0)$ . Following Kato's argument, we can easily see that

$$(13) \quad Z_\pm W_\pm = 1, \quad W_\pm Z_\pm = Q_2$$

$$(\lambda - B_2)W_\pm = W_\pm(\lambda - A_0)^{-1} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad B_2 = W_\pm A_0 Z_\pm.$$

$$(14) \quad e^{tB_2} = W_\pm e^{tA_0} Z_\pm.$$

Thus we have

Theorem 2. Let  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\kappa^{-1} \notin \sigma(N_2 K_0 N_2)$ . Then  $A_0$  and  $B_2 = B_0 Q_2$  are similar to each other. That is,  $W_\pm$  and  $Z_\pm \in B(H_0)$  exist and satisfy (13) and (14). Furthermore we have

$$W_\pm = s - \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} Q_2 e^{tB_0} e^{-tA_0},$$

$$Z_\pm = s - \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{tA_0} e^{-tB_0} Q_2.$$

If we put  $F(\Delta) = W_\pm(\Delta)E_0(\Delta)Z_\pm(\Delta)$ ,  $\Delta = (a, b)$ , then  $F(\Delta)$  is the "spectral resolution" of  $B_2$ , i.e.,

$$B_0 = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dF(\lambda) + \sum_j \beta_j P_j.$$

## References

- [1] Kato, T., Wave operators and similarity for some non-self-adjoint operators, *Math. Ann.*, 162(1966), 258-279.
- [2] Lehner, J. and Wing, G. M., On the spectrum of an unsymmetric operator arising in the transport theory of neutrons, *C.P.A.M.*, 8(1955), 217-234.
- [3] ———, Solution of the linearized Boltzmann transport equation for the slab geometry, *Duke Math. J.*, 23(1956), 125-142.
- [4] Lehner, J., The spectrum of the neutron transport operator for the infinite slab, *J. Math. Mech.* 11(1962), 173-181.
- [5] Asano, K., Sizuta, Y. and Ukai, S., Operator theoretical approach for transport equations, *RIMS-Kokyūroku*.164, 56-106.