

ON THE LOGARITHMIC POLE DIFFERENTIALS

by

Hiroaki Terao

(Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo)

§0. Introduction

In this note we treat a hypersurface which may have singularities and the complement of it in complex projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . It is well known that the cohomology over  $\mathbb{C}$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n - D$ , where  $D$  is a reduced divisor, can be represented by closed rational form on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with finite order pole along  $D$ . This is the algebraic de Rham theorem by Grothendieck [4].

On the other hand K. Saito defined the sheaf of logarithmic pole differentials along  $D$ , and he conjectured it will be related to the local topological property of the complement of  $D$ . But now our problem here is global one and is the following:

Problem: Can the cohomology of  $\mathbb{P}^n - D$  be obtained by the complex of logarithmic pole differentials?

This problem is not solved yet, but is partially solved affirmatively. When  $D$  is a non-singular hypersurface, it was obtained with stronger results than us by Griffiths [3] and Deligne [2]. Here we treat  $D$  with singularities, and we know that the complex of logarithmic pole differentials have relation

to the singularities of  $D$ .

§1. Definition of logarithmic differentials and the results of Griffiths

Definition 1: Let  $X$  be a complex manifold and  $D$  a reduced divisor (i.e. all multiplicities of components are one). We define;

$$\Omega^q(kD)_x := \{ \omega \in \text{germs of rational } q\text{-form; } \omega^k \text{ is holomorphic} \}$$

$$\Omega^q(\log kD)_x := \{ \omega \in \text{germs of rational } q\text{-forms; } \omega^k \text{ \& } d\omega^k \text{ are holomorphic} \}$$

, where  $Q$  is a local equation of  $D$  at  $x$  in  $X$ .

And we can define two sheaves on  $X$ ;

$$\Omega^q(kD) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \Omega^q(kD)_x$$

$$\Omega^q(\log kD) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \Omega^q(\log kD)_x$$

which are called the sheaf of  $q$ -forms with  $k$ -th pole along  $D$  and the sheaf of  $q$ -forms with logarithmic  $k$ -th pole along  $D$  respectively.

K. Saito conjectured the following;

Saito's conjecture: If  $\Omega^1(\log D)$  is locally free at  $x$ , then  $X-D$  is locally  $K(\pi, 1)$  at  $x$ .

(In general a topological space  $X$  is called  $K(\pi, 1)$  if  $\pi_i(X)$  vanish for all  $i \geq 2$ .)

And he researched his conjecture in case of a discriminant with respect to a coxeter group. It is related to the lecture of

Yano-Sekiguchi in this symposium.

But now we treat only global problems, so we use the notations;

$$A_k^q = \Gamma(X, \Omega^q(kD))$$

$$B_k^q = \Gamma(X, \Omega^q(\log kD)).$$

For example, when  $X$  is  $\mathbb{C}^n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $D$  is hyperplane defined

by  $x_1 = 0$ ,  $\frac{dx_2}{x_1}$  is not an element of  $B_2^2$  but that of  $A_2^2$ . In general

it is clear that  $A_k^q$  includes  $B_k^q$  for every  $q, k$ . We call the element of  $B_k^q$  logarithmic pole differentials.

Griffiths proved the following theorem [3];

Theorem 2: If  $V$  is non-singular hypersurface in complex projective space of dimension  $n$ ,

$$A_k^n / dA_{k-1}^{n-1} \longrightarrow H^n(\mathbb{P}^n - V; \mathbb{C})$$

is injective for any  $k$ .

And we have the algebraic de Rham theorem by Grothendieck [4];

Theorem 3: Let  $X$  be a compact smooth complex manifold and  $D$  an ample reduced divisor in  $X$ .

Then

$$H^p \Gamma(X, \Omega^*(*) ) \cong H^p(X-D; \mathbb{C})$$

, where  $\Omega^p(\star) := \bigcup_k \Omega^p(k)$  and

$$\Gamma(X, \Omega^p(\star)) := \{ \dots \xrightarrow{d} \Gamma(X, \Omega^{p-1}(\star)) \xrightarrow{d} \Gamma(X, \Omega^p(\star)) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \}$$

In case  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ , this theorem asserts that the cohomology class of  $H^p(X-D; \mathbb{C})$  can be represented by a rational differential  $p$ -form with finite order pole along  $D$ .

In the light of Theorem 3, Theorem 2 asserts that if  $\mathcal{G}$  is a closed  $n$ -form with  $k$ -th order pole along  $D$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  represents zero class in  $H^n(\mathbb{P}^n-D; \mathbb{C})$  if and only if  $\mathcal{G} = d\psi$  for some  $(n-1)$ -form  $\psi$  with  $(k-1)$ -th order pole along  $D$ , under the assumption of smoothness of  $D$ .

## §2. Formulation of problem by using spectral sequence

Let  $X$  and  $D$  satisfy the assumption of Theorem 3 throughout this paragraph.

From now on we use the terms of spectral sequence which will clarify the significance of logarithmic pole differentials.

Definition:  $K^\bullet := (\Gamma(X, \Omega^\bullet(\star)), d)$

$$K_{q-k}^\bullet := (\dots \xrightarrow{d} A_{k-1}^{q-1} \xrightarrow{d} A_k^q \xrightarrow{d} A_{k+1}^{q+1} \xrightarrow{d} \dots)$$

Thus we have a filtered complex

$$K^\bullet \supset \dots \supset K_i^\bullet \supset K_{i+1}^\bullet \supset \dots \supset K_{n+1}^\bullet = 0$$

We are going to research the spectral sequence of this filtered complex.

Then we can state Theorem 2 by using this spectral sequence;

Theorem 2': Under the assumption of Theorem 2, we have

$$E_1^{p,q} = E_\infty^{p,q} \quad (p+q=n).$$

Explanation: Theorem 2 asserts that

$$A_k^n / dA_{k-1}^{n-1} = H^n(K_{n-k}^\bullet) \longrightarrow H^n(K^\bullet)$$

is injective for any  $k$ . This means the natural map induced by the inclusion

$$H^n(K_{q+1}^\bullet) \longrightarrow H^n(K_q^\bullet)$$

is injective for any  $q$ , and we have

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^n(\text{Grp}K^\bullet) \cong \text{Grp}H^n(K^\bullet) = E_\infty^{p,q}$$

for any  $p, q$  satisfying  $p+q=n$ .

This result is going to be generalized later.

Now the  $E_2$ -terms of this spectral sequence correspond to the logarithmic pole differentials!;

Theorem 4:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow E_2^{q-k-1,k} &\rightarrow H^q \Gamma(X, \Omega(\log(k-1)D)) \rightarrow H^q \Gamma(X, \Omega(\log kD)) \\ &\rightarrow E_2^{q-k,k} \rightarrow H^{q+1} \Gamma(X, \Omega(\log(k-1)D)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

is an exact sequence.

proof: Notice  $Z_1^{q-k,k} = \Gamma(X, \Omega^q(\log kD))$ .

Thus we can state our fundamental conjecture about logarithmic pole differentials;

Conjecture: Our spectral sequence degenerate at  $E_2$ -terms for any  $D$ .

This conjecture means that the complex of logarithmic pole differentials determine the cohomology of  $X-D$ . In fact if this conjecture is true, we have;

$$H^q \Gamma(X, \Omega(\log D)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k E_2^{q-i, i} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k E_{\infty}^{q-i, i} \subset H^q(X-D; \mathbb{C}).$$

### §3. $E_1$ -degeneracy and the codimension of singular locus of $D$ .

From now to the end we consider only complex projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$  as  $X$ .

The degeneracy of our spectral sequence is related to the codimension of singular locus of  $D$ , that is;

Theorem 5:  $E_1^{p, q} = 0$  for  $1 < p+q < \text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\Sigma D) - 1$ , where  $\Sigma D$  is singular locus of  $D$ .

Corollary 6: If  $D$  is non-singular, we have

$$E_1^{p, q} = E_{\infty}^{p, q} \quad (p+q=n)$$

and  $E_1^{p, q} = 0 \quad (1 < p+q < n)$ .

proof:  $H(E_1^{p-1, n-p} \rightarrow E_1^{p, n-p} \rightarrow 0) \cong E_2^{p, n-p}$  and we know from Theorem 5 that  $E_1^{p, q} = 0$  for  $p+q = n-1$ .

So we get  $E_1^{p, q} = E_\infty^{p, q}$  ( $p+q=n$ ) if  $n > 2$ .

In case  $n$  is two, we can prove without using the result of Theorem 5.

This is a generalization of Theorem 2'.

the idea of proof of Theorem 5:

We define a filtered complex as follows;

$$L_{i-k}^i = (\dots \rightarrow H_k^i \xrightarrow{d'} H_{k+1}^{i+1} \xrightarrow{d'} H_{k+2}^{i+2} \rightarrow \dots)$$

where  $H_k^i = \{ \text{rational } i\text{-forms on } \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \text{ with at most } k\text{-th order pole along } D \text{ with total degree zero (invariant under } \mathbb{C}^*\text{-action)} \}$

and  $d': H_k^i \rightarrow H_{k+1}^{i+1}$  is defined by

$$d'\omega = \frac{dQ}{Q} \wedge \omega \text{ for } \omega \text{ belonging to } H_k^i.$$

( $Q$  is a defining equation of  $D$ .)

By definition we have a filtered complex;

$$\dots \subset L_k^i \subset L_{k-1}^i \subset \dots$$

We can reduce Theorem 5 to the following two lemmas;

Lemma 7: (K. Saito [61])

$H_k^i(L_k^i) = 0$  for any  $k$  and  $i < \text{height } \mathcal{O} = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\Sigma D)$ ,

where  $\mathcal{O}$  is an ideal generated by  $\left\{ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \xi_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \xi_n} \right\}$ , and  $(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n)$  is homogeneous coordinate of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

Remark: This lemma implies that if  $\varphi \in H_k^i$  satisfying  $\frac{dQ}{Q} \wedge \varphi = 0$  then there exists  $\eta \in H_{k-1}^{i-1}$  such that  $\frac{dQ}{Q} \wedge \eta = \varphi$  if  $i < \text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\Sigma D)$ .

So this is a generalization of de Rham's lemma in our case.

Lemma 8:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H^i(\text{Gr}^k L^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{d} & H^{i+1}(\text{Gr}^{k+1} L^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{f} & H^i(\text{Gr}^k K^\bullet) = E_1^{k, i-k} \\
 \searrow \mathcal{Q} & & \nearrow & & \\
 & & H^{i+1}(L_{k+1}^\bullet) & & 
 \end{array}$$

In the diagram above  $f \circ d$  is surjective.

(The constructions of morphisms are omitted.)

Combining Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, we have Theorem 5.

We have the following corollaries easily;

Corollary 9:  $H^q(\mathbb{P}^n - D; \mathbb{C}) = 0$  for  $l < q < \text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\Sigma D) - 1$ .

This is known results in topology ([5]). It is an easy application of partial Poincaré duality.

Corollary 10:  $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega^i(\log D)) = B_1^i = 0$  for  $l < i < \text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\Sigma D) - 1$ .



Corollary 11: If  $\varphi$  is a closed  $i$ -form with  $k$ -th order pole along  $D$ , then  $\varphi$  represents zero class in  $H^n(\mathbb{P}^n - D; \mathbb{C})$  if and only if  $\varphi = d\psi$  for some  $(i-1)$ -form  $\psi$  with  $(k-1)$ -th order pole along  $D$ , under the assumption of  $1 < i < \text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\Sigma D) - 1$ .

Remark: This result is in [2] when  $D$  is smooth divisor in any compact smooth complex manifold  $X$  instead of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

About the first cohomology, we have;

Theorem 12:  $E_2^{0,1} = E_{\infty}^1$ , that is,

$$H^1 \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega^1(\log D)) \cong H^1(\mathbb{P}^n - D; \mathbb{C})$$

proof: Take Poincaré residue of a closed 1-form with single pole along  $D$ , and we have constant function on each component of  $D$ . So we can choose basis of left handside

$\{\omega_2, \dots, \omega_k\}$ , where

$$\omega_j = d_j \frac{dQ_1}{Q_1} - d_1 \frac{dQ_j}{Q_j}$$

$Q = Q_1 \cdots Q_k$ ; factorization into irreducible polynomials

$$d_i = \deg Q_i \quad (i=1, \dots, k)$$

They are also the basis of  $H^1(\mathbb{P}^n - D; \mathbb{C})$ .

Thus we have known our spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_1$ -terms for lower cohomology than  $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\Sigma D) - 1$ . So the easiest conjecture is that our spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_1$ -terms for every dimensional cohomology, but it is false;

Example: Let  $D$  be three projective lines in two dimensional complex projective space, and  $D$  is defined by the equation  $\{Q = xyz = 0\}$ . If our spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_1$ -term, then  $H^2(K_0^*) \rightarrow H^2(K_{-1}^*)$  is injective (see the explanation of Theorem 2').

This means;

$$A_2^2/dA_1^1 \rightarrow A_3^2/dA_2^1$$

is injective and thus  $A_2^2 \wedge dA_2^1 = dA_1^1$ .

We have  $A_2^2 \wedge dA_2^1 = dB_2^1$  by the very definition of logarithmic pole.

On the other hand

$$\varphi = \frac{x^4(ydz - zdy)}{Q^2}$$

is an element of  $B_2^1$  and

$$d\varphi = \frac{-2x^3(xdy \wedge dz + ydz \wedge dx + zdx \wedge dy)}{Q^2}$$

But we can show that if

$$\psi = \frac{P}{Q^2}(xdy \wedge dz + ydz \wedge dx + zdx \wedge dy)$$

an element of  $dA_1^1$ , then  $P$  must belong to an ideal generated by

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z} \right\} = \{yz, zx, xy\}.$$

It is contradiction because  $x^3 \notin \{yz, zx, xy\}$ , so we know  $E_1$ -degeneracy is false in this case.

Then is  $E_2$ -degeneracy true in this case?

The answer is yes.

§4.  $E_2$ -degeneracy of restricted polynomials

Definition 13: If  $Q$  is a homogeneous polynomial, then  $Q$  is called separated type if  $Q$  is a sum of monomials without common variables.

Example:  $Q = xyz$ ,  $Q = x^3 + y^2z$ , and  $Q = x^3 + y^3 + z^3$  are polynomials of separated type and  $Q = x^3 + y^3 + xyz$  is not.

Theorem 14: If  $Q$  is a polynomial of separated type, we have;

$$E_2^{p,q} = E_\infty^{p,q} \text{ for any } p, q \text{ satisfying } p+q=n.$$

the idea of proof: We defined a filtered complex  $L^\bullet$  in the idea of proof of Theorem 5.

One can define  $\tilde{Z}_k^i$  and  $\tilde{B}_k^i$  as usual;

$$\tilde{Z}_k^i = \{\omega \in H_k^i : d'\omega = 0\}$$

$$\tilde{B}_k^i = d'H_{k-1}^{i-1} \subset H_k^i$$

Using these, the  $E_2$ -degeneracy at top term ( $n$ -th cohomology) reduces to the following lemma;

Lemma 15: If  $d\tilde{Z}_k^n \cap H_{k-1}^{n+1} = d\tilde{Z}_{k-1}^n$  for any  $k$ , then we have

$$E_2^{p,q} = E_\infty^{p,q}$$

for any  $p, q$  satisfying  $p+q=n$ .

Remark: In Lemma 7, we stated  $\tilde{Z}_k^i = \tilde{B}_k^i$  for any  $k$  and  $i < \text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\Sigma D)$ .

K. Saito proved in [6] that there exists positive integer  $l$  such that  $\tilde{Z}_k^i$  is included in  $\tilde{B}_{k+1}^i$  for any  $i$  and  $k$ .

But in fact one can prove that  $\tilde{Z}_k^i$  is included in  $\tilde{B}_{k+1}^i$  for any  $i$  and  $k$  in this case. Moreover we know

$$d\tilde{Z}_{k-1}^n = d\tilde{B}_k^n \wedge H_{k-1}^{n+1}.$$

So the assumption of Lemma 15 is equivalent to

$$d\tilde{Z}_k^n \wedge H_{k-1}^{n+1} \subset d\tilde{B}_k^n \text{ when } i=n.$$

Lemma 16: If  $Q$  is a polynomial of separated type, then there exists the complementary space  $\tilde{D}_k^n$  satisfying the following three conditions;

$$(i) \quad \tilde{Z}_k^n = \tilde{B}_k^n + \tilde{D}_k^n$$

$$(ii) \quad d\tilde{Z}_k^n \wedge H_{k-1}^{n+1} = d\tilde{B}_k^n \wedge H_{k-1}^{n+1} + d\tilde{D}_k^n \wedge H_{k-1}^{n+1}$$

$$(iii) \quad d\tilde{D}_k^n \wedge H_{k-1}^{n+1} = d\tilde{D}_{k-1}^n$$

The proof of this lemma is complicated, so it is omitted in this note.

By the remark above and Lemma 16, we can show;

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{Z}_k^n \wedge H_{k-1}^{n+1} &= d\tilde{B}_k^n \wedge H_{k-1}^{n+1} + d\tilde{D}_k^n \wedge H_{k-1}^{n+1} \\ &= d\tilde{Z}_{k-1}^n + d\tilde{D}_{k-1}^n \\ &= d\tilde{Z}_{k-1}^n \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved Theorem 14.

Corollary 17: If  $Q$  is a polynomial of separated type and  $D = \{Q = 0\}$  is a hypersurface with isolated singularities in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , then  $E_2^{p,q} = E_\infty^{p,q}$  for any  $p, q$ .

proof: By using Theorem 5, one knows  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  if  $p+q \leq n-2$ . Combining Theorem 14, we have the result above.

Remark: The following two conditions are equivalent;

- (i)  $E_2^{p,q} = E_\infty^{p,q}$  for  $p, q$  satisfying  $p+q=n$
- (ii) Let  $\tilde{D}$  be a cone in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  defined by  $Q = 0$  and  $X$  be a homogeneous algebraic vector field which is tangential to the each level surfaces of  $\tilde{D}$ . Assume that  $\text{div}X$  vanishes on  $\tilde{D}$ . There exists a homogeneous algebraic vector field  $Y$  which tangents to the each level surfaces of  $\tilde{D}$  satisfying  $\text{div}X = Q\text{div}Y$ .

So this is also a problem of differential equations.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Deligne: Théorie de Hodge II, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 40 (1971), 5-58.
- [2] P. Deligne: Théorie de Hodge III, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 44 (1974), 5-77.
- [3] P. Griffiths: On the periods of certain rational integrals I, Annals of Math., 90 (1969), 460-495.
- [4] A. Grothendieck: On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 29 (1966), 96-103.
- [5] M. Kato: Topology of  $k$ -regular spaces and algebraic sets, Manifolds-Tokyo 1973, Univ. of Tokyo Press, (1975), 153-159.
- [6] K. Saito: On a generalization of de-Rham lemma, (to appear).
- [7] P. Deligne: Equations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers, Lecture Notes in Math. 163, Springer, Berlin, (1970).
- [8] S. Iitaka: On logarithmic Kodaira dimension of algebraic varieties, (to appear).