

ON HOLOMORPHIC EQUIVALENCE OF BOUNDED DOMAINS
IN COMPLETE KÄHLER MANIFOLDS OF NEGATIVE CURVATURE

Seiki NISHIKAWA*

1. Introduction

Suppose D_1 and D_2 are two bounded domains in the complex n -space \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$, with C^∞ boundaries ∂D_1 and ∂D_2 , respectively. One of the fundamental problems in several complex variables is to determine geometric conditions which imply that D_1 and D_2 are biholomorphically equivalent. It has been known from Bochner[1]-Hartogs' theorem that if ∂D_1 and ∂D_2 are CR-diffeomorphic, then D_1 and D_2 are biholomorphic. The same is true even for those domains in a Stein manifold (Shiga[5]).

In this note we are concerned with the problem for domains in complete Kähler manifolds of negative curvature. Our result is stated as follows.

THEOREM. Let M and N be complete Kähler manifolds of complex dimension $n \geq 2$. Let $D_1 \subset M$ and $D_2 \subset N$ be relatively compact domains in M and N with C^∞ boundaries ∂D_1 and ∂D_2 , respectively. Suppose that (i) there exists a CR-diffeomorphism $f : \partial D_1 \rightarrow \partial D_2$ which extends to a homotopy equivalence of D_1 to D_2 , (ii) N has adequately negative curvature in the sense of Siu[6], and (iii) the boundary ∂D_2 is convex. Then D_1 and D_2 are biholomorphically equivalent.

* Partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 574018.

It should be noted that the curvature hypothesis (ii) is assumed only on the target manifold N . According to Siu[7], the classical bounded symmetric domains with their invariant Kähler metrics, hence their quotient Kähler manifolds also, have adequately negative curvature. The convexity hypothesis (iii), assumed on the boundary ∂D_2 of $D_2 \subset N$, requires that the second fundamental form of ∂D_2 in N with respect to the inward unit normal vector is positive semidefinite everywhere. Hopefully this hypothesis may be weakened.

Some part of our theorem can be seen in Wood[7]. I wish to thank him for making his manuscript available during the preparation of this note.

2. Preliminaries

First we fix some concepts in the theorem. Let $D_1 \subset M$ and $D_2 \subset N$ be as in the theorem. Let J denote the complex structure of M . A C^∞ mapping $f : \partial D_1 \rightarrow \partial D_2$ is said to be a CR-mapping if the differential df of f restricted to the complex subspace $T_p(\partial D_1) \cap JT_p(\partial D_1)$ of the real tangent space $T_p(\partial D_1)$ is complex linear at each point $p \in \partial D_1$. Note that $f : \partial D_1 \rightarrow \partial D_2$ is a CR-mapping if and only if it satisfies the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equation $\bar{\partial}_b f = 0$, where $\bar{\partial}_b f = \bar{\partial} f \circ \pi$, π being the orthogonal projection $\pi : T_p(D_1) \rightarrow T_p(\partial D_1) \cap JT_p(\partial D_1)$ for each $p \in \partial D_1$ (cf. [2]). A CR-diffeomorphism is one for which f and f^{-1} are CR-mappings.

We need the notion of adequate negativity, defined by Siu[6], of the curvature of a Kähler manifold. The curvature

tensor of a Kähler n -manifold N is said to be adequately negative at $q \in N$ if the following hold: Let $h : U \rightarrow N$ be a C^∞ mapping of an open neighborhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ to N with $h(0) = q$. Let (z^i) denote a local complex coordinates of \mathbb{C}^n around 0 and (w^α) that of N around q . Then the curvature tensor $(R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}})$ of N enjoys the

properties that (a) $\sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \xi_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \overline{\xi_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\delta\bar{\gamma}}} \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, where $\xi_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = (\partial_{\bar{i}} h^\alpha)(0) \overline{(\partial_{\bar{j}} h^\beta)(0)} - (\partial_{\bar{j}} h^\alpha)(0) \overline{(\partial_{\bar{i}} h^\beta)(0)}$, $\partial_{\bar{i}} h^\alpha = \partial h^\alpha / \partial \bar{z}^i$ etc., and (b) if h is a local diffeomorphism

around 0 and $\sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \xi_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \overline{\xi_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\delta\bar{\gamma}}} = 0$ at q , then either $\partial h = 0$ or $\bar{\partial} h = 0$ at 0 . If the curvature tensor of N is adequately negative everywhere, we simply say that N has adequately negative curvature. The adequate negativity of curvature is stronger than requiring nonpositive sectional curvature. For examples of Kähler manifolds having adequately negative curvature, see Siu[6].

3. Proof of the theorem

Let $D_1 \subset M$ and $D_2 \subset N$ be as in the theorem. By hypothesis (i), we have a CR-diffeomorphism $f : \partial D_1 \rightarrow \partial D_2$ which extends to a homotopy equivalence $\tilde{f} : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$, which may be assumed to be C^∞ . Since the sectional curvature of N is nonpositive everywhere by hypothesis (ii) and the boundary ∂D_2 of D_2 is assumed to be convex by hypothesis (iii), it then follows from a theorem of Hamilton[3] that there exists a harmonic mapping $h : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ which is homotopic to \tilde{f}

relative to ∂D_1 . We refer to Eells-Lemaire[2] for the definition and the fundamental properties of harmonic mappings. Note that h is C^∞ up to the boundary.

In consequence, we may assume that there exists a harmonic homotopy equivalence $h : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ such that $h|_{\partial D_1} : \partial D_1 \rightarrow \partial D_2$ is a CR-diffeomorphism. We are going to prove that h is a desired biholomorphic equivalence of D_1 to D_2 .

Assertion 1. h is holomorphic on D_1 .

Let g and ω denote the Kähler metric and the Kähler form of N , respectively. Let (z^i) and (w^α) denote respectively the local complex coordinates of M and N , and let $(R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}})$ denote the curvature tensor of N . Denote by \langle , \rangle contraction of tensors and consider the (1,1)-form $\langle g, \bar{\partial}h \wedge \partial\bar{h} \rangle$ on D_1 defined in terms of local coordinates by

$$\langle g, \bar{\partial}h \wedge \partial\bar{h} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \bar{\partial}h^\alpha \wedge \partial\bar{h}^{\bar{\beta}}.$$

It is then known in Siu[6] that by harmonicity of h , at all points $p \in D_1$ we have

$$(1) \quad d\{\bar{\partial}\langle g, \bar{\partial}h \wedge \partial\bar{h} \rangle \wedge \omega^{n-2}\} = \partial\bar{\partial}\langle g, \bar{\partial}h \wedge \partial\bar{h} \rangle \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \sigma\omega^n - \chi\omega^n,$$

where, with respect to a local complex coordinates orthonormal at p ,

$$(2) \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq n}} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \xi_{i\bar{j}}^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \overline{\xi_{i\bar{j}}^{\delta\bar{\gamma}}},$$

$\xi_{i\bar{j}}^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \partial_{\bar{i}} h^\alpha \cdot \overline{\partial_{\bar{j}} h^\beta} - \partial_{\bar{j}} h^\alpha \cdot \overline{\partial_{\bar{i}} h^\beta}$, and χ is some nonpositive function on D_1 . Note that the adequate negativity of the curvature of N implies that $\sigma \geq 0$.

On the other hand, at each point $p \in \partial D_1$ we have

$$(3) \quad \bar{\partial} \langle g, \bar{\partial} h \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{h} \rangle \wedge \omega^{n-2} = - \langle g, \bar{\partial} h \wedge \bar{D} \bar{\partial}_b \bar{h} \rangle \wedge \omega^{n-2} .$$

Here \bar{D} denotes covariant $\bar{\partial}$ exterior differentiation of $h^* \text{TN} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ -valued forms on M , which in terms of local coordinates is defined to be $\bar{D} \bar{\partial} h^\beta = \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} h^\beta - \sum_{\alpha, \gamma} \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\beta \bar{\partial} h^\alpha \wedge \bar{\partial} h^\gamma$, $\Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\beta$ being the Christoffel symbols of N . $\bar{\partial}_b$ denotes the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator and $\bar{\partial}_b \bar{h} = \bar{\partial}_b h$. The proof of (3) is done by a straightforward calculation (cf. [7]). Note that $\bar{\partial}_b \bar{h} = 0$, because $h|_{\partial D_1}$ is a CR-mapping. Hence we have

$$(4) \quad \bar{\partial} \langle g, \bar{\partial} h \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{h} \rangle \wedge \omega^{n-2} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad D_1 .$$

Now we integrate (1) over D_1 . Then it follows from Stokes' theorem and (4) that

$$\int_{D_1} (\sigma \omega^n - \chi \omega^n) = 0 ,$$

from which we obtain $\sigma \equiv 0$ and $\chi \equiv 0$, for $\sigma \geq 0$ and $\chi \leq 0$ on D_1 . As a result, we get from (2) that for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \xi_{i\bar{j}}^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \overline{\xi_{i\bar{j}}^{\delta\bar{\gamma}}} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad D_1 .$$

Recall that h is a local diffeomorphism near ∂D_1 . Then the adequate negativity of the curvature of N implies that $\bar{\partial} h = 0$ or $\bar{\partial} \bar{h} = 0$ at each point near ∂D_1 . Since h is a harmonic mapping, it then follows as in Siu[6] from the unique continuation property that $\bar{\partial} h \equiv 0$ on D_1 or $\bar{\partial} \bar{h} \equiv 0$ on D_1 . But $\bar{\partial}_b h = 0$ on ∂D_1 and the rank of $dh|_{\partial D_1}$ is $2n-1$, so

$\partial h \equiv 0$ is impossible. Hence we conclude that $\bar{\partial} h \equiv 0$ on D_1 , that is, h is holomorphic on D_1 .

Assertion 2. h is a biholomorphic mapping of D_1 to D_2 .

Let V be the set of points of D_1 where h is not locally diffeomorphic. V is a compact complex-analytic subvariety in D_1 of pure complex codimension 1, for locally V is defined by $\det(\partial w^\alpha / \partial z^i)$ and h is locally diffeomorphic near ∂D_1 . Note that h is of degree 1 and hence maps $D_1 - h^{-1}(h(V))$ bijectively onto $h(D_1) - h(V)$. Thus it suffices to prove that V is empty. Assume the contrary, namely assume that $V \neq \emptyset$. Then V defines a nonzero homology class $[V]$ in $H_{2n-2}(D_1; \mathbb{R})$. Since h is a proper mapping, it follows from a theorem of Remmert[4] that $h(V)$ is a compact complex-analytic subvariety of complex codimension at least 2. Hence $[V]$ in $H_{2n-2}(D_1; \mathbb{R})$ is mapped by h to the zero element in $H_{2n-2}(D_2; \mathbb{R})$, that is, $h_*([V]) = 0$ in $H_{2n-2}(D_2; \mathbb{R})$, contradicting the fact that h is a homotopy equivalence of D_1 to D_2 .

The proof of the theorem is now complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Bochner, Analytic and meromorphic continuation by means of Green's formula, *Ann. of Math.*, 44(1943), 652-653.
- [2] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, A report on harmonic maps, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 10(1978), 1-68.
- [3] R.S. Hamilton, Harmonic maps of manifolds with boundary, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics No.471*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.

- [4] R. Remmert, Holomorphe und meromorphe Abbildungen komplexer Räume, Math. Ann., 133(1957), 328-370.
- [5] K. Shiga, On holomorphic extension from the boundary, Nagoya Math. J., 42(1971), 57-66.
- [6] Y.-T. Siu, The complex-analyticity of harmonic maps and the strong rigidity of compact Kähler manifolds, to appear. (For research announcement, see Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 76(1979), 2107-2108.)
- [7] J.C. Wood, An extension theorem for holomorphic mappings, to appear.

Seiki NISHIKAWA
Department of Mathematics
College of General Education
Nagoya University
Nagoya, 464
Japan