数理解析研究所講究録
第 415 巻 1981 年 1-18

DUALITY OF CUSP SINGULARITIES

By Iku NAKAMURA

INTRODUCTION

Arnold introduced the notion of modality of an isolated singularity (roughly the number of moduli) and classified isolated singularities of small modality. Zero-modal hypersurface isolated singularities are Kleinian aingularities A_n, D_n, E_6, E_7 and E_8. One-modal (unimodular) hypersurface isolated singularities are simple elliptic singularities $\tilde{E}_6$, $\tilde{E}_7$, $\tilde{E}_8$, 14 exceptional singularities and cusp singularities $T_{p,q,r}$ with $(1/p)+(1/q)+(1/r)<1$. Moreover he reported that there is a strange duality of the 14 exceptional singularities, which was made clearer later by Pinkham [10]. The purpose of this note is to show that there are similar phenomena for the remaining unimodular singularities. See [5], [6] and [7].

§1 THE STRANGE DUALITY OF ARNOLD

We consider the following germs S and S' of isolated singularities at the origins;

$$S : x^2z + y^3 + z^4 = 0 , \quad S' : x^3 + y^8 + z^2 = 0.$$

S and S' are among the 14 exceptional unimodular singularities. Let $f = x^2z + y^3 + z^4$, $g = x^3 + y^8 + z^2$.

Let $S_t = f^{-1}(t)$, $S'_t = g^{-1}(t)$ $(t \neq 0)$. Then $b_2(S_t) = 10$, $b_2(S'_t) = 14$ and there are bases $e_1,\cdots,e_{10}$ and $f_1,\cdots,f_{14}$ of $H_2(S_t,\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_2(S'_t,\mathbb{Z})$ such that their intersection diagrams are $T_{3,3,4} + H$, $T_{2,3,9} + H$ where

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$T_{3,3,4}$: 

$T_{2,3,9}$:  .

We call therefore (3,3,4) and (2,3,9) the Gabrielov numbers of S and S' and write Gab(S) = (3,3,4) etc. On the other hand we have resolutions of S and S' with exceptional sets consisting of 4 nonsingular rational curves as below;





where each line denotes a nonsingular rational curve, a negative integer beside it denotes the self intersection number of the curve. We call therefore (2,3,9) and (3,3,4) the Dolgatchev numbers of S and S' respectively and we write Dolg(S) = (2,3,9) etc. So we have

$$\mathrm{Gab}(S) = \mathrm{Dolg}(S'), \quad \mathrm{Dolg}(S) = \mathrm{Gab}(S').$$

For a Dolgatchev triple (p,q,r) of an exceptional singularity U we define $\Delta(U) = pqr-pq-qr-rp$. Then we have

$$\Delta(S) = \Delta(S').$$

This is part of the strange duality of Arnold.

§2 $T_{3,4,4}$ AND $T_{2,5,6}$

We denote by $T_{p,q,r}$ a germ of an isolated singularity

$$x^p + y^q + z^r - xyz = 0$$

at the origin. Here $1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1$. We define $\deg(T_{p,q,r}) = p+q+r$, $\mathrm{index}(T_{p,q,r}) = (p-1,q-1,r-1)$, $\Delta(T_{p,q,r}) = pqr-pq-qr-rp$. Let $T = T_{3,4,4}$, $T^* = T_{2,5,6}$. First we resolve the singularities. Their exceptional sets in their minimal resolutions are cycles $C = C_1+C_2$, $C^* = C_1^*+C_2^*+C_3^*$ of nonsingular rational curves with self-intersection numbers described below,



By blowing up the former once we obtain a cycle $C' = C_1'+C_2'+C_3'$ of nonsingular rational curves with $C_1'^2 = -1$, $C_2'^2 = -4$, $C_3'^2 = -5$ where C_2' and C_3' are proper transforms of C_1 and C_2. Now we define cycle(T) = (1,4,5) and cycle(T*) = (2,3,3). Then the first duality of T and T* is

$$\mathrm{index}(T) = \mathrm{cycle}(T^*),\ \mathrm{cycle}(T) = \mathrm{index}(T^*).$$

The second is

$$\deg(T) + \deg(T^*) = 24$$

although it is still unclear why this is part of the duality. The third is

$$\Delta(T) = \Delta(T^*).$$

The intersection matrices of C and C* are

$$(C_iC_j) = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 \end{pmatrix},\quad (C_i^*C_j^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose determinants are equal to $\Delta(T)$ or $\Delta(T^*)$ up to sign. Next we consider continued fraction expansions. Let $\omega = [[\overline{3,4}]]$. By definition

$$\omega = 3 - \cfrac{1}{4 - \cfrac{1}{3 - \cfrac{1}{4 - \ddots}}} = 3 - \cfrac{1}{4 - \frac{1}{\omega}} = (3+\sqrt{6})/2.$$

Then $1/\omega = [[1,2,\overline{3,2,3}]]$. Since (2,3,3) and (3,2,3) are identified by the cyclic permutation of the irreducible components C_j^*, we may identify (2,3,3) and (3,2,3). Conversely if we start with $\omega^* = [[\overline{3,2,3}]]$ for instance, then we obtain $1/\omega^* = [[1,2,\overline{4,3}]]$. This is the fourth duality of T and T*. Finally we reconsider the exceptional sets in the minimal resolutions. The cycles C and C* are so-called fundamental divisors of the singularities T and T*. So we define $\mathrm{Deg}(T) = -C^2$, $\mathrm{Deg}(T^*) = -(C^*)^2$. Then $\mathrm{Deg}(T) = 3$ and $\mathrm{Deg}(T^*) = 2$. The fifth duality is

Deg(T) = the number of irreducible components of C*,

Deg(T*) = the number of irreducible components of C.

The duality shown above looks like the strange duality of Arnold very much. In fact (3,4,4) and (2,5,6) are Gabrielov and Dolgatchev numbers of one of the 14 exceptional singularities. By interpreting the above duality suitably we can see a similar kind of duality for $T_{2,3,6}, T_{2,4,4}, T_{3,3,3}$ and $\Pi_{2,2,2,2}$ (in other words $\tilde{E}_8$, $\tilde{E}_7$, $\tilde{E}_6$, $\tilde{D}_5$).

§3 DUALITY THEOREM

Let $\Pi_{p,q,r,s}$ be a germ of an isolated singularity

$$x^p + w^r = yz,\quad y^q + z^s = xw$$

at the origin where p,q,r,s are integers ≥ 2, at least one ≥ 3. Let $T = \Pi_{p,q,r,s}$. We define $\deg(T) = p+q+r+s$, $\mathrm{index}(T) = (p,q,r,s)$, $\Delta(T) = pqrs - (p+r)(q+s)$. Let C be the exceptional set (the fundamental divisor) of T in the minimal resolution of T. C is a cycle of rational curves. We define $\mathrm{Deg}(T) = -C^2$, length(T) = the number of irreducible components of C. We define $\mathrm{length}(T_{p,q,r})$ in the same way.

<u>THEOREM 1</u>. Let S be the set of all $T_{p,q,r}$ and $\Pi_{p,q,r,s}$ with length less than 5. Then there is a bijection i of S onto itself such that for any T of S

0) $i(i(T)) = T$,

1) $\mathrm{index}(T) = \mathrm{cycle}(i(T))$, $\mathrm{cycle}(T) = \mathrm{index}(i(T))$,

2) $\deg(T) + \deg(i(T)) = 24$,

3) $\Delta(T) = \Delta(i(T))$,

4) an assertion about continued fraction expansions,

5) $\mathrm{Deg}(T) = \mathrm{length}(i(T))$, $\mathrm{length}(T) = \mathrm{Deg}(i(T))$.

By suitable extensions of the above definitions we obtain Duality Theorem of cusp singularities in the general case. We notice that $\#(S) = 38$ and $i(T_{p,q,r}) = T_{s,t,u}$ iff (p,q,r) and (s,t,u) are Gabrielov and Dolgatchev numbers of one of the exceptional singularities.

§4 INOUE-HIRZEBRUCH SURFACES

Let K be a real quadratic field with ( )' the conjugation, M a complete module in K, i.e. a free module in K of rank two. Let $U^+(M) = \{\alpha \in K;\ \alpha M = M,\ \alpha > 0,\ \alpha' > 0\}$, V be a subgroup of $U^+(M)$ of finite index. It is known that $U^+(M)$ is infinite cyclic. Let H be the upper half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C};\ \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$. Define the actions of M and $U^+(M)$ on $\mathbb{C} \times H$ by

$$m : (z_1, z_2) \to (z_1 + m, z_2 + m')$$
$$\alpha : (z_1, z_2) \to (\alpha z_1, \alpha' z_2) .$$

Let $G(M,V)$ be the group generated by the actions of M and V on $\mathbb{C} \times H$ as above. The action of $G(M,V)$ on $\mathbb{C} \times H$ is free and properly discontinuous so that we have a quotient complex space $X'(M,V) := \mathbb{C}\times H/G(M,V)$. By adding to $X'(M,V)$ an ideal point ∞ called a cusp and endowing the union of ∞ and $X'(M,V)$ with a suitable topology and a suitable structure as a ringed space, we obtain a normal complex space $X(M,V)$. Let ω be a real quadratic irrationality with $\omega > 1 > \omega' > 0$. Let $1/\omega = [[f_1,\cdots,f_h,\overline{e_1,\cdots,e_k}]]$, and set $\omega^* = [[\overline{e_1,\cdots,e_k}]]$.

<u>LEMMA 1</u>. There exists β in K such that

$\beta\beta' = -1$, $\beta(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega) = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega^*$.

Let $M = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega$, $N = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega^*$. Then $U^+(M) = U^+(N)$. Let V be a subgroup of $U^+(M)$ of finite index. Let (z_1,z_2) and (w_1,w_2) be the coordinates of $X(M,V)$ and $X(N,V)$ with cusps deleted respectively. Then by identifying them by the relation $w_1 = \beta z_1$, $w_2 = \beta' z_2$, we can form a compact complex space $Y = Y(M,V)$ with cusp singularities.

<u>THEOREM 2</u> (Inoue [2]). The minimal model $S(M,V)$ of $Y(M,V)$ has $b_1 = 1$, $b_2 > 0$ and no meromorphic functions except constants.

We call $S(M,V)$ an Inoue-Hirzebruch surface (associated with (M,V)) and $Y(M,V)$ a singular Inoue-Hirzebruch surface (with two cusps). Let p and q be the cusps of

X(M,V) and X(N,V) and we denote by the same p and q the cusps of Y = Y(M,V).

We notice that any of $T_{p,q,r}$ and $\Pi_{p,q,r,s}$ is isomorphic to (Y,p) for some M and V. If T($\in \mathcal{S}$) is isomorphic to the germ of Y at p (Y,p), then i(T) is isomorphic to (Y,q). And then Δ(T) = #(the torsion part of $H_1(\mathbb{R} \times H/G(M,V), \mathbb{Z}))$ where $\mathbb{R} \times H/G(M,V)$ is a subset of X(M,V) by the natural inclusion of $\mathbb{R} \times H$ into $\mathbb{C} \times H$. Since it is a subset of X(N,V) too, this explains THEOREM 1 3). The relation between M and N is well described by the following

LEMMA 2 (Kenji Ueno) There exists a totally positive γ such that $N = \gamma(M^*)'$ where $M^* = \{x \in K;\ tr(xy) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any y in M}, $(M^*)' = \{x';\ x \in M^*\}$. In particular X(N,V) is isomorphic to X((M*)',V).

THEOREM 3. Assume that (Y,p) and (Y,q) belong to $\mathcal{S}$. Then Def(Y) (:= the deformation functor of Y) is non-obstructed and Def(Y) = Def(Y,p) × Def(Y,q), Y is smoothable by flat deformation. Any smooth deformation of Y is a minimal K3 surface.

THEOREM 4. Assume that (Y,p) and (Y,q) belong to $\mathcal{S}$. Let Z be Y with q resolved (i.e. with q replaced by a cycle C* of rational curves). Then Z is smoothable by flat deformation with C* preserved. Any smooth deformation Z_t of Z with C* preserved is the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up along finitely many points lying on a rational cubic curve with a node and K_{Z_t} (:= the canonical line bundle of Z_t) = $-C^*$. Moreover $H(Y,p) :=$ $\{a \in H_2(Z_t, \mathbb{Z}); aC_j^* = 0$ for any irreducible component C_j^* of $C^*\}$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-base in $R(Y,p) := \{a \in H(Y,p): a^2 = -2\}$ whose intersection diagram (Dynkin diagram) is $T_{p,q,r}$ or $\Pi_{p,q,r,s}$ corresponding to the type of the singularity (Y,p).

The above two theorems were proved earlier and in more generality by J. Wahl and E. Looijenga [5].

By <u>an elliptic deformation</u> Z_t (or $\mathcal{U}_t$) of Z (or (Y, p)) we mean a fibre of $\pi : \mathcal{Z} \to D$ (or $f: \mathcal{U} \to D$) such that $Z_0 = Z$ (or $\mathcal{U}_0 = (Y,p)$) and $h^1(\tilde{Z}_t, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_t}) = 1$ (or $h^1(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_t, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_t}) = 1$) where $\tilde{Z}_t$ (or $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_t$) is the nonsingular model of Z_t (or $\mathcal{U}_t$).

By [5] we have

<u>THEOREM 5</u> Let Z be an arbitrary singular Inoue-Hirzebruch surface with one cusp p and a cycle C^* of rational curves. Then there exists a proper flat family $f : \mathcal{X} \to B$ such that $\mathcal{X}_0 = Z$ and f is versal both for elliptic deformations of Z with C^* preserved and for elliptic deformations of (Z,p).

We define the "Dynkin diagram" of Z or (Z,p) as follows;

$T_{p,q,r}$ if index(Z,p) = (p-1,q-1,r-1), Degree $\leq$ 3,

$\Pi_{p,q,r,s}$ if index(Z,p) = (p,q,r,s), Degree = 4

$W_{p,q,r,s,t}$ if index(Z,p) = (p,q,r,s,t), Degree = 5.

where index(Z,p) is by definition the sequence of (-1) times selfintersection numbers of C* if Deg(Z,p) $\geq$ 3.

We call a proper subdiagram Γ of the "Dynkin diagram" elliptic if Γ contains one of $T_{2,3,6}$, $T_{2,4,4}$, $T_{3,3,3}$, $\Pi_{2,2,2,2}$ and $W_{1,1,1,1,1}$ (in other words $\tilde{E}_8$, $\tilde{E}_7$, $\tilde{E}_6$, $\tilde{D}_5$ and $\tilde{A}_4$).



$T_{p,q,r}$ $\Pi_{p,q,r,s}$ $W_{p,q,r,s,t}$

Here we cite from [8] a theorem in the classification of surfaces with b_1 = 1.

<u>THEOREM 6</u> Let S be a minimal compact complex surface with b_1 = 1. Assume that there are two cycles C and C* of rational curves on S and b_2 = the number of irreducible components of C+C*. Then S is isomorphic

to an Inoue-Hirzebruch surface. Here b_i denotes the i-th Betti number of S.

We conjecture the following stronger

CONJECTURE Let S be a minimal compact complex surface with $b_1 = 1$. Assume that there are two cycles of rational curves. Then S is isomorphic to an Inoue-Hirzebruch surface.

Assuming the above conjecture we infer

THEOREM 5 (CONTINUED) With the same notations as in THEOREM 5, we assume $\mathrm{Deg}(Z,p) \leq 5$. Nonsingular models of X_t are (not necessarily minimal) Inoue-Hirzebruch surfaces or Inoue surfaces $S_1^{[n]}$. The singularities of X_t correspond to elliptic proper subdiagrams of the "Dynkin diagram" of Z. (The correspondence is bijective if $\mathrm{Deg}(Z,p) \leq 4$. It is still unknown in case $\mathrm{Deg}(Z,p) = 5$ whether any elliptic proper subdiagram appears in correspondence with singularities of some X_t.) In particular the singularities of X_t are simple elliptic singularities, cusp singularities or rational double singularities A_k.

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 4 There exists a proper flat family $f : \mathcal{Y} \to D$ such that $\mathcal{Y}_0 = Z$ (a singular Inoue-Hirzebruch surface with one cusp) and $\mathcal{Y}_t$ $(t \neq 0)$ is a nonsingular rational surface.

We notice that Z is by no means an algebraic surface. And it is interesting to compare the above with the following

THEOREM 7 (T. Oda [9]) There exists a proper flat family $f : X \to D$ such that X_0 = a rational surface with a double curve and X_t $(t \neq 0)$ is a nonsingular Inoue-Hirzebruch surface.

§5 COHN'S SUPPORT POLYGONS

Let M be a complete module in a real quadratic field K. We embed M into $\mathbb{R}^2$ by the mapping $x \to (x,x')$. By this mapping we identify M as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$. We define $M^+ := \{x \in M;\ x > 0,\ x' > 0\}$, $M^- := \{x \in M;\ x > 0,\ x' < 0\}$ which we view as subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$. We let $\Sigma^+(M)$ and $\Sigma^-(M)$ be the convex hulls of M^+ and M^- respectively. Then $\Sigma^{\pm}(M)$ is a convex set bounded by infinitely many line segments connecting two points of $M^{\pm}$. Let $\partial\Sigma^{\pm}(M)$ be the boundary of $\Sigma^{\pm}(M)$. We number $\partial\Sigma^{\pm}(M) \cap M$ consecutively. If $M = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega$ and ω is a totally positive quadratic irrationality with $\omega > 1 > \omega' > 0$ (i.e. reduced), then we may assume $\partial\Sigma^+(M) \cap M = \{n_j;\ j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\partial\Sigma^-(M) \cap M = \{n_j^*;\ j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $n_0 = 1$, $n_1 = \omega$, $n_0^* = (\omega-1)/\omega^*$, $n_{-1}^* = \omega-1$. $U^+(M)$ acts on $M^{\pm}$ therefore on $\partial\Sigma^{\pm}(M) \cap M$. $\#(\partial\Sigma^{\pm}(M) \cap M \bmod U^+(M))$ is finite. There exist positive integers a_j and a_j^* $(\geqq 2)$ such that

$$n_{j-1} + n_{j+1} = a_j n_j,\ n^*_{j-1} + n^*_{j+1} = a^*_j n^*_j \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Let $\mathrm{Dec}^+ = \{\{0\},\ \mathbb{R}_+ n_j,\ \mathbb{R}_+ n_{j-1} + \mathbb{R}_+ n_j \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z})\}$

$\mathrm{Dec}^- = \{\{0\},\ \mathbb{R}_+ n^*_j,\ \mathbb{R}_+ n^*_{j-1} + \mathbb{R}_+ n^*_j \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z})\}.$

Then evidently Dec^+ and Dec^- are cone decompositions of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_-$ respectively. By the general theory of torus embeddings we can construct complex algebraic varieties locally of finite type $\mathrm{Temb}(\mathrm{Dec}^+)$ and $\mathrm{Temb}(\mathrm{Dec}^-)$. The groups $U^+(M)$ and V act upon both of them freely and properly discontinuously. The quotient surfaces $\mathrm{Temb}(\mathrm{Dec}^\pm)/V$ are naturally minimal resolutions of (Y,p) and (Y,q) where $Y = Y(M,V)$ ([9]). By THEOREM 1 (or by definition in the general case) $\mathrm{index}(Y,p) = (a^*_j ;\ j=1,\cdots,s)$ (= the representatives of a^*_j mod V) and $\mathrm{index}(Y,q) = (a_j:\ j=1,\cdots,t)$ (= the representatives of a_j mod V) if $s \geqq 3$ or $t \geqq 3$ respectively.

§6 FOURIER-JACOBI SERIES

Let $X'(M,V)$ be the natural image of $H \times H$ in $X(M,V)$, $X^0(M,V)$ the union of $X'(M,V)$ and the unique cusp of $X(M,V)$. Clearly $X^0(M,V)$ is an open neighborhood of the cusp ∞. For a totally positive m in M^* we can define a convergent power series $F_m(z_1,z_2)$ on $X^0(M,V)$ by

$$F_m(z_1,z_2) = \sum_{v \in V} \exp(2\pi i(vmz_1 + v'm'z_2)).$$

Let n_j^* $(j=1,\cdots,s)$ be the representatives of $\partial\Sigma^-(M)\cap M$ mod V. We notice that $m \equiv m^*$ mod V implies $F_m = F_{m^*}$. On the other hand THEOREM 1 says $s = \mathrm{Deg}((X(M,V),\infty))$. Let ω be a totally positive reduced quadratic irrationality (i.e. $\omega > 1 > \omega' > 0$), $M = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega$. We define a $\mathbb{Z}$ homomorphism f of K onto K by $f(x) = (x/(\omega-\omega'))'$. This f induces a bijection of M^- with $(M^*)^+$ since $M^* = M'/(\omega - \omega')$.

THEOREM 8-1 Assume $s \geqq 3$. Then $(X(M,V),\infty)$ is embedded into $\mathbb{C}^s$ by $F_{f(n_j^*)}$ $(j=1,\cdots,s)$.

THEOREM 8-2 Assume $s = 2$. Then $(X(M,V),\infty)$ is embedded into $\mathbb{C}^3$ by $F_{f(n_j^*)}$ $(j=-1/2,0,1)$ where $n_{-1/2}^* = n_{-1}^* + n_0^*$.

THEOREM 8-3 Assume $s = 1$. Then $(X(M,V),\infty)$ is embedded into $\mathbb{C}^3$ by $F_{f(n_j^*)}$ $(j=-1/4,-1/2,-1)$ where $n_{-1/2}^* = n_{-1}^* + n_0^*$, $n_{-1/4}^* = n_{-1/2}^* + n_0^*$.

THEOREM 8 was proved also by Ueno.

The above choices of n_j^* in the cases s = 1 and 2 match the definitions of cycle(T) which seem to be rather artificial. Let us check this by the example in §2.

Let $\omega = [[\overline{3,4}]]$, $\omega^* = [[\overline{3,2,3}]]$, $M = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega$, $N = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega^*$, $V = U^+(M)$. Then $(X(M,V),\infty) \cong T_{3,4,4}$ and $(X(N,V),\infty) \cong T_{2,5,6}$. Temb($\mathrm{Dec}^+$) and Temb($\mathrm{Dec}^-$) are minimal resolutions of $(X(M,V),\infty)$ and $(X(n,V),\infty)$ respectively. Then the support polygon is as follows.



	representatives
$n_{-1} + n_1 = 3n_0$	
$n_0 + n_2 = 4n_1$	n_0, n_1
$n_1 + n_3 = 3n_2$	
.....	
$n^*_{-1} + n^*_1 = 3n^*_0$	
$n^*_0 + n^*_2 = 2n^*_1$	n^*_0, n^*_1, n^*_2
$n^*_1 + n^*_3 = 3n^*_2$	
$n^*_2 + n^*_4 = 3n^*_3$	
.....	

Let $n_{2k-(1/2)} = n_{2k-1} + n_{2k}$. Then we have

$$n_{-1} + n_0 = n_{-1/2},\ n_{-1/2} + n_1 = 4n_0,\ n_0 + n_{3/2} = 5n_1.$$

Recall $\mathrm{cycle}(T_{3,4,4}) = (1,4,5)$ and this was defined by blowing up once. By the general theory of torus embedeings any equivariant blowing-up of $\mathrm{Temb}(\mathrm{Dec}^+)$ corresponds to a subdivision of Dec^+.

We define a subdivision Dec of Dec^+ by

$$\mathrm{Dec} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{0\},\ \mathbb{R}_+ n_{2k-(1/2)},\ \mathbb{R}_+ n_j,\ \mathbb{R}_+ n_{2k-1} + \mathbb{R}_+ n_{2k-(1/2)}, \\ \mathbb{R}_+ n_{2k-(1/2)} + \mathbb{R}_+ n_{2k},\ \mathbb{R}_+ n_{2k} + \mathbb{R}_+ n_{2k+1} \quad (j,k \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

This Dec corresponds to the blowing up of the minimal resolution of T $(=T_{3,4,4})$ that give rise to C'_j (j =

1,2,3) in §2.

Let $f_j = F_{f(n_j^*)}$ $(j=0,1,2)$, $g_j = F_{((\omega^*-1)n_j/(\omega^*-\omega^{*\prime}))}$, $(j=-1/2,0,1)$.

Then we can show that

$$f_0^4+f_1^3+f_2^4-f_0f_1f_2 = \text{formal power series of } f_0,f_1,f_2$$

(terms of <u>higher</u> degree in some sense)

$$g_{-1/2}^2+g_0^5+g_1^6-g_{-1/2}g_0g_1 = \text{formal power series of } g_{-1/2},g_0,g_1$$

(terms of <u>higher</u> degree in some sense).

We notice that $(a_0^*,a_1^*,a_2^*) = (3,2,3)$, $(a_0,a_1) = (3,4)$ so the triple defined anew is (1,4,5). Moreover we can show

<u>THEOREM 9</u> **Let $(X(M,V),\infty)$ be a cusp singularity with Degree 3 and let $(p-1,q-1,r-1)$ be the representatives of a_j^* mod V $(j\in\mathbb{Z})$ where a_j^* are integers such that $a_j^*n_j^* = n_{j-1}^* + n_{j+1}^*$ for $\partial\Sigma^-(M)\cap M = \{n_j^*\ ;\ j\in\mathbb{Z}\}$. Let m be the maximal ideal at ∞. Then there exist formal Fourier-Jacobi series F_0,F_1 and F_2 such that $F_j \equiv F_{f(n_j^*)}$ mod m^2,**

$$F_0^p + F_1^q + F_2^r - F_0F_1F_2 = 0.$$

THEOREM 9 implies that $(X(M,V),\infty)$ is formally isomorphic to $T_{p,q,r}$. By the theroem that the formal isomorphism of two isolated singularities implies the actual isomorphism, $(X(M,V),\infty)$ <u>is isomorphic to</u> $T_{p,q,r}$ ([3]). The same will hold true for Degree 1 and 2. In the Degree 4 case $(X(M,V),\infty)$ will be shown in the same way to be isomorphic to $\Pi_{p,q,r,s}$. For the detail see [7].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] Arnold, V.I. : Critical points of smooth functions, Proc. of Intern. Cong. Math., Vancouver (1974) 19-39.

[2] Inoue, M. : New surfaces with no meromorphic functions II, Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry, Iwanami Shoten Publ. and Cambridge Univ. (1977) 91-106.

[3] Karras, U. : Deformations of cusp singularities, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math. vol.30 (1977) 37-44.

[4] Laufer, H. : Versal deformations for two dimensional pseudo-convex manifolds, (preprint),

[5] Looijenga, E. : Rational surfaces with an anti-canonical cycle, (preprint).

[6] Nakamura, I. : Inoue-Hirzebruch surfaces and a duality of hyperbolic unimodular singularities I. (to appear in Math. Ann.).

[7] Nakamura, I. : II. (in preparation)

[8] Nakamura, I. : On surfaces of class VII_0 with positive b_2, I. (submitted to Comp. Math.) II. (in preparation)

[9] Miyake, K. & Oda, T. : Torus embeddings and applications, Tata Inst. Lecture Notes, Bombay (1978).

[10] Pinkham, H. : Singularités exceptionelles, la dualité étrange d'Arnold et les surfaces K-3, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 284 (1977) Série A, 615-618.

[11] Wahl, J. : Smoothing of normal surface singularities, (preprint).

Iku NAKAMURA
Hokkaido University
Sapporo 060 Japan