

On Balanced Complementation  
for Regular  $t$ -wise Balanced Designs

R. Fuji-Hara, S. Kuriki and M. Jimbo  
(藤原 良) (栗木進二) (神保雅一)

Abstract

Vanstone has shown a procedure, called  $r$ -complementation, to construct a regular pairwise balanced design from an existing regular pairwise balanced design. In this paper, we give a generalization of  $r$ -complementation, called balanced complementation. Necessary and sufficient conditions for balanced complementation which gives a regular  $t$ -wise balanced design from an existing regular  $t$ -wise balanced design are shown. Properties on designs for applying balanced complementation are discussed in detail. Results obtained here will be applied to construct regular  $t$ -wise balanced designs which are useful in Statistics.

1. Introduction.

A  $t$ -wise balanced design (denoted by  $t$ -BD) is a pair  $(V, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $V$  is a  $v$ -set (called points) and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of

subsets of  $V$  (called blocks), satisfying the following condition:

For any  $t$ -subset  $T$  of  $V$ , the number of blocks containing  $T$  is  $\lambda_t$  which is independent of the  $t$ -subset  $T$  chosen.

If, for any  $s$ -subset  $S$  ( $s \leq t$ ), the number of blocks containing  $S$  is  $\lambda_s$  which is independent of the  $s$ -subset  $S$  chosen, then the design is called a regular  $t$ -wise balanced design. When  $t=2$ , the design is called a regular pairwise balanced design (regular PBD) or an  $(r, \lambda)$ -design ( $r = \lambda_1, \lambda = \lambda_2$ ).

Vanstone[3] has shown a procedure, called  $r$ -complementation, to construct a regular PBD from an existing regular PBD. The  $r$ -complementation is the procedure defined as follows:

Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be a regular PBD. For any point  $x \in V$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_x$  be a collection of blocks containing  $x$ . Consider

$$V^* = V - \{x\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}^* = \{V - B : B \in \mathcal{B}_x\} \cup (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}_x).$$

Then the pair  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  is also a regular PBD with new parameters  $v^* = v - 1$ ,  $r^* = 2(r - \lambda)$  and  $\lambda^* = r - \lambda$ .

The  $r$ -complementation is useful to construct new  $(r, \lambda)$ -designs (see, for example, Stinson and van Rees[2]).

In this paper, we give a generalization of  $r$ -complementation in Sections 2 and 3, called balanced complementation. Its definition is seen in Section 2 for regular PBD's and in Section 3 for regular  $t$ -BD's ( $t \geq 3$ ), respectively. Necessary and sufficient conditions for balanced complementation which gives a

regular  $t$ -BD from an existing regular  $t$ -BD are shown in Section 2 for  $t=2$  and in Section 3 for  $t \geq 3$ , respectively. Properties on designs for applying balanced complementation are discussed in detail in Section 3. Results obtained here will be applied to construct regular  $t$ -BD's which are useful in Statistics (see, for example, Raktoe, Hedayat and Federer[1]).

## 2. Balanced complementation for a regular PBD.

We generalize  $r$ -complementation by the following theorem:

### Theorem 2.1.

Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be a regular PBD. Consider

$$V^* = V$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}^* = \{V - B : B \in \mathcal{B}'\} \cup (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}'),$$

where  $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$ . Then the pair  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  is also a regular PBD if and only if each point of  $V$  is contained in exactly the same number of blocks in  $\mathcal{B}'$ .

### Proof.

Now assume that each point of  $V$  is contained in exactly  $r'$  blocks in  $\mathcal{B}'$ . Let  $|\mathcal{B}'| = b'$ . It is easy to see that each point of  $V^*$  is contained in exactly  $r + b' - 2r'$  blocks in  $\mathcal{B}^*$ . For any pair  $\{x, y\}$  of  $V$ , let  $b_1$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathcal{B}'$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  and let  $b_2$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathcal{B}'$  containing neither  $x$  nor  $y$ , and let  $b_3$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}'$  containing  $x$

and  $y$ . Then we have

$$b_1 + b_3 = \lambda$$

and

$$b_2 - b_1 = b' - 2r'.$$

From these equations, we can show that each pair of  $V^*$  is contained in exactly  $\lambda + b' - 2r'$  blocks in  $\mathcal{B}^*$ . Therefore, the above pair  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  is a regular PBD.

Let  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  be a regular PBD. For some  $x \in V$ , let  $c_x$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathcal{B}'$  containing  $x$  and let  $d_x$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}'$  containing  $x$ . Since  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  is a regular PBD,  $c_x + d_x$  is independent of the chosen  $x$ . The number of blocks in  $\mathcal{B}^*$  containing  $x$  is  $b' - c_x + d_x$ , which is also independent of the chosen  $x$ , since  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  is a regular PBD. Hence, each point of  $V$  is contained in exactly the same number of blocks in  $\mathcal{B}'$ .  $\square$

We, in this paper, call this procedure balanced complementation. A spread (or resolution class) of a PBD is a set of blocks, in which each point appears in exactly one block of the set. If the blocks of the design are partitioned into spreads, then the partition is called a resolution and the design is said to be resolvable. There are many examples of resolvable designs. We can apply Theorem 2.1 to the designs with spreads.

#### Corollary 2.2.

Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be a regular PBD with  $m$  disjoint spreads. Then there exists a regular PBD  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  with parameters  $v^* = v$ ,  $r^* = r + b' - 2m$  and  $\lambda^* = \lambda + b' - 2m$ , where  $b'$  is the total number of blocks

in the  $m$  spreads. (If block size of the design is constant  $k$ , then  $b' = mv/k$ .)

In a regular PBD  $(V, \mathcal{B})$ ,  $r - \lambda$  is called order and denoted by  $n$ . From the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.3.

The order  $n = r - \lambda$  is invariant under any balanced complementation.

3. Balanced complementation for a regular  $t$ -BD.

Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be a pair, where  $V$  is a finite set (called points) and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of subsets of  $V$  (called blocks). For subsets  $T$  and  $S$  of  $V$  such that  $S \subset T$ , let  $\lambda(T, S)$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathcal{B}$  which contain  $S$  but not contain any point of  $T - S$ . The following lemma is used through this section.

Lemma 3.1 (Basic Lemma).

Let  $T$  and  $S$  be subsets of  $V$  such that  $S \subset T$ . Then, for a point  $e$  of  $V - T$ ,

$$\lambda(T, S) = \lambda(T \cup \{e\}, S \cup \{e\}) + \lambda(T \cup \{e\}, S)$$

holds.

Proof.

Let  $\mathcal{B}'$  be a collection of blocks which contain  $S$  but not contain any point of  $T - S$ .  $\mathcal{B}'$  will be partitioned into  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$ , where each block of  $\mathcal{B}_1$  contains  $e$  and each of  $\mathcal{B}_2$  does not

contain  $e$ . The number of blocks of  $\mathcal{B}'$  is  $\lambda(T,S)$ , the number of blocks of  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is  $\lambda(TU\{e\},SU\{e\})$  and the number of blocks of  $\mathcal{B}_2$  is  $\lambda(TU\{e\},S)$ .  $\square$

We consider two propositions on designs for applying balanced complementation.

Proposition L(t,s).

Let  $T$  and  $S$  be a  $t$ -subset and an  $s$ -subset of  $V$ , respectively, such that SCT.  $\lambda(T,S)$  is  $\lambda_{t,s}$  which is independent of the  $t$ -subset  $T$  and the  $s$ -subset  $S$  chosen.

If a pair  $(V,\mathcal{B})$  satisfies the propositions  $L(i,i)$  for  $i \leq t$ , then it is a regular  $t$ -BD.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of Basic Lemma.

Lemma 3.2.

If two of the propositions  $L(t,s)$ ,  $L(t+1,s+1)$  and  $L(t+1,s)$  are true, then the rest of the propositions is also true.

Note that, from Lemma 3.2, if the propositions  $L(i,i)$  are true for every  $i \leq t$ , then the propositions  $L(i,j)$  are also true for every  $j \leq i \leq t$ .

Proposition M(t,s).

Let  $T$  and  $S$  be a  $t$ -subset and an  $s$ -subset of  $V$ , respectively, such that SCT.  $\lambda(T,S) - \lambda(T,T-S)$  is  $\delta_{t,s}$  which is independent of the  $t$ -subset  $T$  and the  $s$ -subset  $S$  chosen.

If a pair  $(V, \mathfrak{B})$  is a regular  $t$ -BD, then it satisfies the propositions  $M(i, j)$  for  $j \leq i \leq t$ .

On the proposition  $M(t, s)$ , we will show some results.

Lemma 3.3.

If two of the propositions  $M(t, s)$ ,  $M(t+1, s+1)$  and  $M(t+1, s)$  are true, then the rest of the propositions is also true.

Proof.

This is clear from Basic Lemma.  $\square$

Note that  $\delta_{t,s} = \delta_{t+1,s+1} + \delta_{t+1,s}$ , when two of the propositions  $M(t, s)$ ,  $M(t+1, s+1)$  and  $M(t+1, s)$  are true.

Lemma 3.4.

If the proposition  $M(t, s)$  is true, then the proposition  $M(t, t-s)$  is also true.

Proof.

This is also clear from the definition of the proposition  $M(t, s)$ .  $\square$

Note that  $\delta_{t,s} + \delta_{t,t-s} = 0$ , when the proposition  $M(t, s)$  is true.

Lemma 3.5.

If the propositions  $M(i, i)$  are true for every  $i \leq t$ , then

$$\delta_{2d,d} = 0,$$

for  $d=0, 1, \dots, [t/2]$ , where  $[a]$  denotes the largest integer  $\leq a$ .

Proof.

Since the propositions  $M(i,i)$  are true for every  $i \leq t$ , the propositions  $M(i,j)$  are also true for every  $j \leq i \leq t$ , from Lemma 3.3. Then, from the note of Lemma 3.4, we have  $\delta_{2d,d} = 0$  for  $d \leq \lfloor t/2 \rfloor$ .  $\square$

Theorem 3.6.

If the propositions  $M(t-1,j)$  are true for every  $j \leq t-1$  and  $t$  is even, then the propositions  $M(t,s)$  are also true for every  $s \leq t$ .

Proof.

Let  $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_t$  be subsets of  $V$  such that  $S_0 (= \emptyset) \subset S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_t$  with  $|S_j| = j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, t$ , respectively. Define variables  $d_j$  as

$$d_j = \lambda(S_t, S_j) - \lambda(S_t, S_t - S_j).$$

Since the propositions  $M(t-1,j)$  are true for every  $j \leq t-1$ , we have, from Basic Lemma,

$$d_j + d_{j+1} = \delta_{t-1,j},$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$ . Since  $t$  is even, from these equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (-1)^j \delta_{t-1,j} &= d_0 - d_t \\ &= 2\{\lambda(S_t, \emptyset) - \lambda(S_t, S_t)\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the proposition  $M(t,0)$  is true and

$$\delta_{t,0} = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (-1)^j \delta_{t-1,j} \right\} / 2. \quad \text{Thus, from Lemma 3.3, the}$$

propositions  $M(t,s)$  are true for every  $s \leq t$ .  $\square$



When block size is constant, it is well known that, if the proposition  $L(t,t)$  is true, then the propositions  $L(i,j)$  are also true for every  $j \leq i \leq t$ . But it is not generally true for the proposition  $M(i,j)$ . We can say that in the following special case.

Lemma 3.7.

If the proposition  $M(t,s)$  is true and block size is  $k=v/2$  ( $\geq s$ ), then the proposition  $M(t-1,s-1)$  is also true.

Proof.

Let  $T$  and  $S$  be a  $(t-1)$ -subset and an  $(s-1)$ -subset of  $V$ , respectively, such that  $S \subset T$ . Since  $M(t,s)$  is true, we have

$$\lambda(T \cup \{e\}, S \cup \{e\}) - \lambda(T \cup \{e\}, T - S) = \delta_{t,s},$$

for any point  $e$  of  $V - T$ . Let  $B_e$  and  $C_e$  be a collection of blocks counted in the first term and in the second term of the above equation, respectively. Since block size is constant  $k$ , we have  $|B - T| = k - (s - 1)$  for a block  $B$  which contains  $S$  but not contain any point of  $T - S$ . Such a block appears in exactly  $k - (s - 1)$  collections of  $B_{e_1}, B_{e_2}, \dots, B_{e_{v-(t-1)}}$ , where  $V - T = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{v-(t-1)}\}$ . Similarly, if a block  $B$  appears in one of the collections  $C_{e_1}, C_{e_2}, \dots, C_{e_{v-(t-1)}}$ , then  $B$  is contained in exactly  $v - k - (s - 1)$  collections of  $C_{e_1}, C_{e_2}, \dots, C_{e_{v-(t-1)}}$ . Then we have

$$\{k - (s - 1)\} \lambda(T, S) - \{v - k - (s - 1)\} \lambda(T, T - S) = \{v - (t - 1)\} \delta_{t,s}.$$

Substituting the equation into  $\lambda(T, S) - \lambda(T, T - S)$ , we have

$$\lambda(T, S) - \lambda(T, T - S) = \{v - t + 1\} \delta_{t,s} + (v - 2k) \lambda(T, T - S) / (k - s + 1).$$

So, if  $v=2k$ , then  $\lambda(T,S)-\lambda(T,T-S)$  is independent of the  $(t-1)$ -subset  $T$  and the  $(s-1)$ -subset  $S$  chosen. This implies that the proposition  $M(t-1,s-1)$  is true.  $\square$

From Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and 3.7, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.8.

If the proposition  $M(t,s)$  is true and block size is  $k=v/2$  ( $\geq s$ ), then the propositions  $M(i,j)$  are also true for every  $j \leq i \leq t$ .

Now we consider balanced complementation for a regular  $t$ -BD.

Theorem 3.9.

Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be a regular  $t$ -BD. Consider

$$V^* = V$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}^* = \{V-B : B \in \mathcal{B}'\} \cup (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}'),$$

where  $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$ . Then the pair  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  is also a regular  $t$ -BD if and only if the pair  $(V, \mathcal{B}')$  satisfies the propositions  $M(t,s)$  for  $s \leq t$ .

Proof.

Let  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{V-B : B \in \mathcal{B}'\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}'$ . For subsets  $T$  and  $S$  of  $V$  such that  $S \subset T$ , let  $\lambda^{(1)}(T,S)$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathcal{B}_1$  which contain  $S$  but not contain any point of  $T-S$ . Since  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  is a regular  $t$ -BD, it satisfies the propositions  $L(t,s)$ , that is,

$$\lambda^{(1)}(T, T-S) + \lambda^{(2)}(T, S) = \lambda_{t,s},$$

for  $s \leq t$ , where  $t = |T|$  and  $s = |S|$ .

If  $(V^*, \mathfrak{B}^*)$  is a regular  $t$ -BD, then it satisfies the propositions  $L(t, s)$ , that is,

$$\lambda^{(1)}(T, S) + \lambda^{(2)}(T, S) = \lambda_{t, s}^*, \text{ say,}$$

for  $s \leq t$ . Therefore, we have

$$\lambda^{(1)}(T, T-S) - \lambda^{(1)}(T, S) = \lambda_{t, s} - \lambda_{t, s}^*,$$

for  $s \leq t$ . This implies that the pair  $(V, \mathfrak{B}')$  satisfies the propositions  $M(t, s)$  for  $s \leq t$ .

If  $(V, \mathfrak{B}')$  satisfies the propositions  $M(t, s)$  for  $s \leq t$ , then we have

$$\lambda^{(1)}(T, T-S) - \lambda^{(1)}(T, S) = \delta_{t, s}^{(1)}, \text{ say,}$$

for  $s \leq t$ . Therefore, we have

$$\lambda^{(1)}(T, S) + \lambda^{(2)}(T, S) = \lambda_{t, s} - \delta_{t, s}^{(1)},$$

for  $s \leq t$ . This implies that the pair  $(V^*, \mathfrak{B}^*)$  satisfies the propositions  $L(t, s)$  for  $s \leq t$  and it is a regular  $t$ -BD.  $\square$

It is easily seen, from the above proof, that

$\lambda_{i, j}^* = \lambda_{i, j} - \delta_{i, j}^{(1)}$  for  $j \leq i \leq t$ , when  $(V^*, \mathfrak{B}^*)$  is a regular  $t$ -BD. Especially, from Lemma 3.5, we have  $\lambda_{2d, d}^* = \lambda_{2d, d}$  for  $d \leq [t/2]$ .

From Theorems 3.6 and 3.9, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.10.

If  $(V, \mathfrak{B})$  is a regular  $t$ -BD with a sub regular  $(t-1)$ -BD  $(V, \mathfrak{B}')$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}' \subset \mathfrak{B}$ , and  $t$  is even, then  $(V^*, \mathfrak{B}^*)$  is also a regular  $t$ -BD, where  $(V^*, \mathfrak{B}^*)$  is defined in Theorem 3.9.

## References

- [1] B.L. Raktue, A. Hedayat and W.T. Federer, Factorial Designs, John Wiley & Sons (1981).
- [2] D.R. Stinson and G.H.J. van Rees, The equivalence of certain equidistant binary codes and symmetric BIBDs, *Combinatorica*, 4(4) (1984), 357-362.
- [3] S.A. Vanstone, A bound for  $v_0(r, \lambda)$ , Proc. Fifth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing, (1974), 661-673.

R. Fuji-Hara

Institute of Socio Economic Planning

University of Tsukuba

Sakura, Ibaraki, Japan 305

S. Kuriki

Department of Applied Mathematics

Science University of Tokyo

Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan 162

M. Jimbo

Department of Information Sciences

Science University of Tokyo

Noda City, Chiba, Japan 278