On the Norm of Block Products of Matrices

北大応電研 中村美浩 (Yoshihiro Nakamura)

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $M_{m,n}$ be the space of all $m \times n$ complex matrices, and set $M_n = M_{n,n}$. For each $A \in M_{m,n}$ the vector of singular values of A (i.e. eigenvalues of $|A| = (A^*A)^{1/2} \in M_n$) arranged in decreasing order is denoted by

$$\sigma(A) = (\sigma_1(A), \sigma_2(A), \cdots, \sigma_n(A)).$$

For $1 \le p < \infty$, we denote the *p*-norm of A by $||A||_p$, i.e.

$$||A||_p = [\operatorname{tr}(|A|^p)]^{1/p} = [\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(A)^p]^{1/p},$$

and the spectral norm (or operator norm) by $||A||_{\infty} = \sigma_1(A)$.

It is well-known that for $A, B \in M_n$ the following Hölder-type norm inequality holds:

$$||AB||_r \le ||A||_p ||B||_q$$
 whenever $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$. (1)

This can be implied from the inequalities

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i(AB) \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i(A)\sigma_i(B) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
 (2)

Furthermore, stronger inequalities hold:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i(AB) \le \prod_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i(A)\sigma_i(B) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
 (3)

For $A = [a_{ij}], B = [b_{ij}] \in M_n$, their Schur product (or Hadamard product) $A \circ B$ is defined by the entrywise multiplication

$$A \circ B = [a_{ij} b_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n.$$

Recently it has shown that the following similar inequalities hold ([3], [5]):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i(A \circ B) \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i(A)\sigma_i(B) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
 (4)

These imply the Hölder-type norm inequality

$$||A \circ B||_r \le ||A||_p ||B||_q \quad \text{whenever} \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}.$$
 (5)

(See [1], [2] and [6] for related results.)

120

In the present article, we are interested in the problem to find a product (of two matrices) which unifies the ordinary matrix product and the Schur product and satisfies the Hölder-type norm inequalities. There are two quite natural candidates called box products: let $A, B \in M_n$ be partitioned into N^2 blocks; $A = [A_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N$, $B = [B_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N$ with $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in M_p$ (n = Np). We define block products $A \cap B$ and $A \cap B$ by

$$A \circ B = [A_{ij} B_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{N}$$
 and $A \bullet B = [\sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{ik} \circ B_{kj}]_{i,j=1}^{N}$.

If we consider the trivial partition N=n, p=1, then $A \square B=A \circ B$ and $A \blacksquare B=AB$, while if N=1, p=n, then $A \square B=AB$ and $A \blacksquare B=A \circ B$. We investigate these products in the next section.

For later use, we explain a notion and elementary facts of majorization. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$ and $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . We denote the decreasing rearrangements of the components of ξ by $\xi_{[1]} \geq \xi_{[2]} \geq \dots \geq \xi_{[n]}$. ξ is said to be submajorized by η (in symbols $\xi \prec_w \eta$) if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{[i]} \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_{[i]} \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

If in addition $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i$ holds, then ξ is said to be majorized by η (in symbols $\xi \prec \eta$). Inequalities (2) and (4) can be expressed by submajorization

$$\sigma(AB) \prec_w \sigma(A) \cdot \sigma(B)$$
 and $\sigma(A \circ B) \prec_w \sigma(A) \cdot \sigma(B)$,

where we denotes the coordinatewise product of vectors $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma(B)$ by $\sigma(A) \cdot \sigma(B)$. Submajorization for the sum of matrices is also known:

$$\sigma(A+B) \prec_w \sigma(A) + \sigma(B).$$
 (6)

It is a basic fact that submajorization is preserved by the increasing convex functions: if $\xi \prec_w \eta$, then $f(\xi) \prec_w f(\eta)$ for all increasing convex function f, where $f(\xi)$ denotes the vector $(f(\xi_1), f(\xi_2), \dots, f(\xi_n))$. In particular, if $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{[i]} \le \prod_{i=1}^{k} \eta_{[i]} \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then $\xi \prec_w \eta$. See [4] for further details.

2. Results

First we consider the box product A = B.

Lemma 1. For any $A, B \in M_n$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{E}(B^*B) & (A \cap B)^* \\ A \cap B & \mathcal{E}(AA^*) \end{bmatrix} \ge 0, \tag{7}$$

where $\mathcal{E}: M_n \to M_n$ denotes the pinching, i.e.

$$\mathcal{E}(X) = [\delta_{ij} X_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N$$
 for $X = [X_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N \in M_n$.

Proof. Take any vectors $\xi = [\xi_j]_{j=1}^N$, $\eta = [\eta_j]_{j=1}^N \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\xi_j, \eta_j \in \mathbb{C}^p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle (A \cap B)\xi | \eta \rangle \right|^2 &= \Big| \sum_{i,j=1}^N \langle A_{ij} B_{ij} \xi_j | \eta_i \rangle \Big|^2 \\ &= \Big| \sum_{i,j=1}^N \langle B_{ij} \xi_j | A_{ij}^* \eta_i \rangle \Big|^2 \\ &\leq \Big\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N ||B_{ij} \xi_j || \cdot ||A_{ij}^* \eta_i || \Big\}^2 \\ &\leq \Big\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N ||B_{ij} \xi_j ||^2 \Big\} \cdot \Big\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N ||A_{ij}^* \eta_i ||^2 \Big\} \\ &= \Big\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \langle (\sum_{i=1}^N B_{ij}^* B_{ij}) \xi_j |\xi_j \rangle \Big\} \cdot \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \langle (\sum_{j=1}^N A_{ij} A_{ij}^*) \eta_i | \eta_i \rangle \Big\} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{E}(B^* B) \xi | \xi \rangle \cdot \langle \mathcal{E}(AA^*) \eta | \eta \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that (7) holds.

Using this lemma we have the following.

Theorem 2. For any $A, B \in M_n$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{j} (A \cap B)^{2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{j} (A)^{2} \sigma_{j} (B)^{2} \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
 (8)

Proof. By (7) there is $C \in M_n$ such that $||C||_{\infty} \leq 1$ and

$$A \circ B = \mathcal{E}(AA^*)^{1/2} \cdot C \cdot \mathcal{E}(B^*B)^{1/2}.$$

By (3) this implies

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \sigma_j (A \square B)^2 \le \prod_{j=1}^k \sigma_j (\mathcal{E}(AA^*)) \sigma_j (\mathcal{E}(B^*B)) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(A \cap B)^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(\mathcal{E}(AA^*))\sigma_j(\mathcal{E}(B^*B)) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let ω be a primitive N th root of 1, and define the unitary matrix $U = [\delta_{ij} \omega^j I_p]_{i,j=1}^N \in M_n$. Since the pinching \mathcal{E} can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{E}(X) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} U^{*k} X U^{k} \quad \text{for} \quad X \in M_{n},$$
(9)

we get by (6)

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(\mathcal{E}(AA^*)) \le \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(AA^*) = \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(A)^2$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(\mathcal{E}(B^*B)) \le \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(B^*B) = \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(B)^2.$$

Hence, by elementary calculation, we have (8).

As the consequence of the last theorem we have the norm inequalities.

Corollary 3. Whenever $p, q, r \ge 2$ satisfy 1/r = 1/p + 1/q,

$$||A \cap B||_r \le ||A||_p ||B||_q. \tag{10}$$

In particular

$$||A \circ B||_{\infty} \le ||A||_{\infty} ||B||_{\infty}. \tag{11}$$

Note that Lemma 1 and norm inequality (11) remain valid in the C^* -algebra setting. In fact, we can obtain

$$||[A_{ij}B_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N|| \le ||[A_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N|| \cdot ||[B_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N||,$$
 (12)

where $A = [A_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N$, $B = [B_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ with a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .

Next we consider the box product A = B. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the cannonical basis of \mathbb{C}^n , and define the unitary matrix $V \in M_n$ by

$$Ve_{N(k-1)+j} = e_{p(j-1)+k}$$
 for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N, k = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

For $A, B \in M_n$, let $C = V^*AV$, $D = V^*BV$. Then we have

$$A \bullet B = V(C \circ D)V^*, \tag{13}$$

where the block product \square in the right hand side is the one with respect to the partition into p^2 blocks; $C = [C_{k\ell}]_{k,\ell=1}^p$, $D = [D_{k\ell}]_{k,\ell=1}^p$ with $C_{k\ell}$, $D_{k\ell} \in M_N$.

The next theorem follows from (13) and Theorem 2.

Theorem 4. For any $A, B \in M_n$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_j (A \bullet B)^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_j (A)^2 \sigma_j (B)^2 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
 (14)

The following is a consequence of this theorem.

Corollary 5. Whenever $p, q, r \ge 2$ satisfy 1/r = 1/p + 1/q,

$$||A - B||_r \le ||A||_p ||B||_q.$$
 (15)

In particular

$$||A \bullet B||_{\infty} \le ||A||_{\infty} ||B||_{\infty}. \tag{16}$$

Finally we remark that there is another approach to the norm inequalities of the box products. The idea is the following: let $\Phi(\cdot,\cdot)$ be a bilinear map from $M_n \times M_n$ to M_n . If there are linear maps Φ_{ℓ} from M_n to $M_{n,m}$ and Φ_r from M_n to $M_{m,n}$ (for some m) satisfying

$$\Phi(A, B) = \Phi_{\ell}(A)\Phi_{r}(B),$$

$$||\Phi_{\ell}(A)||_{\infty} \leq ||A||_{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad ||\Phi_{r}(B)||_{\infty} \leq ||B||_{\infty},$$
(16)

for any $A, B \in M_n$, then

$$||\Phi(A,B)||_{\infty} \le ||A||_{\infty}||B||_{\infty}.$$

When we consider the bilinear map $\Phi(A, B) = A \square B$, we can find nice maps Φ_{ℓ} and Φ_{r} : for $A = [A_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{N}$ and $B = [B_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{N}$ define

$$\Phi_{\ell}(A) = \left[\widetilde{A}_1, \widetilde{A}_2, \cdots, \widetilde{A}_n\right], \qquad \Phi_{r}(B) = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{B}_1 \\ \widehat{B}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{B}_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\widetilde{A}_k = \left[\delta_{ij} A_{ik}\right]_{i,j=1}^N, \quad \widehat{B}_k = \left[\delta_{kj} B_{ij}\right]_{i,j=1}^N \in M_n \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then we can check that Φ_{ℓ} and Φ_{r} satisfy (16). This nice idea was discovered by P. Nylen.

3. Counterexample

For the box products, desired inequalities are the following:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_j(A \cap B) \le \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_j(A)\sigma_j(B) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
 (17)

Though inequalities (8) hold, (17) or even the weaker inequalities

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_j(A \square B) \le \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_j(A) \right\} \cdot \sigma_1(B) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
 (18)

do not hold. A counterexample is the following: taking the 4 × 4 matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{21} \\ E_{12} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

where E_{ij} is 2×2 matrix whose (i, j)-entry is equal to 1 and all other entries are 0, we can easily compute the block product

$$A \circ B = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{11} \\ E_{22} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence we have

$$\sigma(A) = \{2, 0, 0, 0\},$$

$$\sigma(B) = \{1, 1, 1, 1\},$$

$$\sigma(A \circ B) = \{\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}, 0, 0\},$$

which do not satisfy (18). In view of (13) the inequalities

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_j(A \bullet B) \le \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_j(A)\sigma_j(B) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
 (19)

or even the weaker inequalities

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_j(A \bullet B) \le \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_j(A) \right\} \cdot \sigma_1(B) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
 (20)

do not hold.

Finally the box products do not meet our request. Our purpose does not have been attained. But we do not have another candidate.

References

- [1] T. Ando, R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, The singular values of a Hadamard product: a basic inequality, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 21 (1987), 345-365.
- [2] R. Bapat and V. Sunder, On majorization and Schur products, Linear Algebra Appl. 72 (1985), 107–117.
- [3] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, Hadamard and conventional submultiplicativity for unitarily invariant norms on matrices, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 20 (1987), 91–106.
- [4] A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications, Academic, 1979.
- [5] K. Okubo, Hölder-type norm inequalities for Schur products of matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 91 (1987), 13–28.
- [6] M. Walter, On the norm of a Schur product, Linear Algebra Appl. 79 (1986), 209–213.