## On algebraic extensions of the nonstandard rational number field

MASAHIRO YASUMOTO (NAGOYA UNIVERSITY)

Let  $^{*}\mathbf{Q}$  and  $^{*}\mathbf{Z}$  denote enlargements of the rational number field  $\mathbf{Q}$  and the integer ring  $\mathbf{Z}$  respectively where by an enlargement, we mean an elementary extension which satisfies  $\omega_1$ -saturation property. Let H be the height function of \*Q; i.e.  $H(\alpha/\beta) = \max(|\alpha|, |\beta|)$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are mutually prime nonstandard integers. A subfield  $Q_1$  of  $*\mathbf{Q}$  is called Hconvex if  $x \in Q_1$  and H(x) > H(y) imply  $y \in Q_1$ . In the rest of this paper,  $Q_1$  always denotes an *H*-convex subfield of \***Q**. Let x be a nonstandard integer not contained in  $Q_1$ . Then x is transcendental over  $Q_1([4, Lemma$ 1]) Let F be a finite algebraic extension of  $Q_1(x)$ . (F is not necessary included in  $^{*}\mathbf{Q}$ .) Since  $^{*}\mathbf{Q}F$  is a finite algebraic extension of  $^{*}\mathbf{Q}$ ,  $^{*}\mathbf{Q}F$  is internal. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of all algebraic integers in \*QF. Let  $K_1$  denote the algebraic closure of  $Q_1$  in \*QF. Then F is an algebraic function field of one variable over  $K_1$ . By a functional prime of F, we mean an equivalence class of nontrivial valuations of F which are trivial on  $K_1$ . Let  $|x|_1, \ldots, |x|_s$ be all internal archimedean absolute values of  ${}^{*}\mathbf{Q}F$  which induce in  ${}^{*}\mathbf{Q}$ the ordinary absolute value. Since  $s \leq [*\mathbf{Q}F : *\mathbf{Q}], s$  is finite.

LEMMA 1. Let  $z \notin K_1$  and  $z\mathcal{O} = J_1/J_2$  where  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are coprime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}$ . If for all  $i \leq s$ , there is  $\gamma \in Z_1$  such that  $|z|_i < \gamma$ , then  $J_2 \cap Z_1 = \{0\}$ .

PROOF: Assume there exists a nonzero  $t \in J_2 \cap Z_1$ . Then  $tz \in \mathcal{O}$ . Since  $|tz|_i < |t|\gamma$  for all  $i \leq s$ , tz is algebraic over  $Z_1$ , so  $tz \in \mathcal{O} \cap K_1$ , hence  $z \in K_1$ , a contradiction.

For each  $i \leq s$ , let  $R_i = \{z \in {}^*\mathbf{Q}F \mid |z|_i < \gamma \text{ for some } \gamma \in Z_1\}$ , then  $R_i$  is a valuation ring whose maximal ideal is  $\{z \in {}^*\mathbf{Q}F \mid |z|_i < 1/|\gamma| \text{ for all } \gamma \in Z_1\}$ . If  $F \cap R_i$  is not trivial, namely  $F \not\subset R_i$ , then  $F \cap R_i$  is a valuation ring. Since  $F \cap R_i \supset K_1$ , this valuation ring yields a functional prime P of F. We say that P is induced by an archimedean absolute value.

Let  $R = \{z \in {}^*\mathbf{Q}F \mid \gamma z \text{ is an algebraic integer for some } \gamma \in Z_1\}$  and I a maximal ideal of R. Let  $R_I$  denote the local ring of R by I. If  $F \cap R_I$  is not trivial, then  $F \cap R_I$  is a valuation ring, hence it also yields a functional prime P of F. We say that P is induced by I.

THEOREM 1. (cf. [4, Lemma 2], [2, Lemma 4.1]) Every functional prime P of F is induced by an archimedean prime or a maximal ideal I of R.

PROOF: By the theorem of Riemann-Roch, there exists  $z \in F$  which admits P as its only pole. If there is  $i \leq s$  such that  $|z|_i > \gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in Z_1$ , then  $z \notin R_i$ . Hence  $z \notin F \cap R_i$ . Then  $F \cap R_i$  yields a functional prime which is a pole of z. Since P is the only functional pole of z, P is induced by an archimedean absolute value. Next assume for all  $i \leq s$  there is  $\gamma \in Z_1$  such that  $|z|_i < \gamma$ . Let  $z\mathcal{O} = J_1/J_2$  where  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are coprime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}$ . By Lemma 1,  $J_2 \cap Z_1 = \{0\}$ . Hence  $J_2R$  is a proper ideal. Let I be a maximal ideal of R which includes  $J_2R$ . Then the local ring of

I does not contain z, so  $z \in R_I - F$ . Hence  $F \cap R_I$  is not trivial. By the same arguments as above P is induced by I.

Theorem 1 is very useful and it has many applications, so in the following we give one of them. For each irreducible polynomial  $f(X,Y) \in R[X,Y]$ , we denote by J(f) the set of all  $r \in R$  that f(r,Y) is reducible in R[Y].. In case of  $R = \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z} - J(f)$  (such a set of integers is called a Hilbert subset) is infinite (Hilbert's irreducibility theorem), moreover it is known([1]) that J(f) is very thin. In section 1, we give a sufficient condition that J(f) is finite and give its bound. Let F be a function field over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of an algebraic curve  $\Gamma$  defined by the equation f(X,Y) = 0, in other words,  $F = \mathbf{Q}(x,y)$ where x is transcendental over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and f(x,y) = 0. By an functional prime divisor of F, we mean an equivalence class of nontrivial valuation of Fwhich is trivial on  $\mathbf{Q}$ . For a functional prime divisor P, we denote by  $v_P$  the normalized valuation(i.e. its valuation group is  $\mathbf{Z}$ ) belonging to P. A functional prime P is called a pole of  $z \in \mathbf{Q}[X,Y]$  if  $v_P(z) < 0$ . For each  $f(X,Y) \in \mathbf{Z}[X,Y]$ , its height denoted by H(f) is defined to be the maximum of absolute values of coefficients of f(X,Y). We prove

THEOREM 2. Let f(X,Y) be an irreducible polynomial with integer coefficients and  $F = \mathbf{Q}(x,y)$  its function field. Assume there are more than  $\deg_Y(f)/2$  poles of x. Then there are only finitely many integers  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that f(n,Y) is reducible. Moreover If f(n,Y) is reducible, then

$$|n| < (H(f)+1)^C$$

where C is a constant determined by the degree of f(X, Y).

74

PROOF: Suppose Theorem 1 is false. Let  $d \in \mathbf{N}$ . For any natural number N, there exist an integer  $\alpha$  and an irreducible polynomial  $f(X,Y) \in \mathbf{Z}[X,Y]$  of degree d which satisfies the assumption of the theorem such that  $f(\alpha, Y)$  is reducible and

$$|\alpha| > (H(f) + 1)^N \tag{1}$$

By nonstandard principle, the above assertion holds for any enlargement. We take  $N \in {}^*\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $f(X, Y) \in {}^*(\mathbb{Z}[X, Y])$ , but since the degree of f(X, Y) is  $d \in \mathbb{N}, f(X, Y) \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ , i.e. f(X, Y) is a polynomial with coefficients in  ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $Q_1$  be the smallest *H*-convex subfield of  ${}^*\mathbb{Q}$ which contains all coefficients of f(X, Y) i.e.

$$Q_1 = \{ z \in {}^*\mathbf{Q} \mid H(z) \le (H(f) + 1)^n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbf{N} \}$$

By (1),  $\alpha \notin Q_1$ . Since  $Q_1$  is algebraically closed in  ${}^*\mathbf{Q}$ ,  $\alpha$  is transcendental over  $Q_1$ . Let  $f(\alpha, Y) = f_1(\alpha, Y)f_2(\alpha, Y)$  where  $f_1(X, Y), f_2(X, Y) \in$  ${}^*\mathbf{Z}[X, Y]$  and  $1 \leq \deg_Y(f_1) \leq \deg_Y(f_2)$ . Let  $F = Q_1(\alpha, \beta)$  where  $\beta$ satisfies  $f_1(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ . Then

$$s \leq [^{*}\mathbf{Q}F : ^{*}\mathbf{Q}] \leq \deg_{Y}(f_{1})$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \deg_{Y}(f)$$
(2)

Since  $\alpha$  is a nonstandard integer, by lemma 2 every functional pole of  $\alpha$  in F is induced by an archimedean absolute value in  $*\mathbf{Q}F$ , so the number of functional pole of  $\alpha$  is not more than s, hence by (2) not more than

 $\deg_Y(f)/2$ . Let x be transcendental over  $*\mathbf{Q}$  and y satisfy f(x,y) = 0. Then the number of functional poles of x in  $*\mathbf{Q}(x,y)$  is, by the assumption of the theorem, larger than  $\deg_Y(f)/2$ . But there is an embedding

$$\pi: F = Q_1(\alpha, \beta) \longrightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Q}(x, y)$$

where  $\pi(\alpha) = x$ ,  $\pi(\beta) = y$  and for all  $z \in Q_1$ ,  $\pi(z) = z$ . Since  $Q_1$  is algebraically closed in \*Q, the number of poles of  $\alpha$  and x must be same, this is a contradiction and it completes the proof of theorem1.

In order to prove Theorem 2, we use the fact that  $^{*}\mathbf{Q}$  has an unique internal archimedean absolute value, so Theorem 1 cannot be generalized for algebraic number fields of finite degree.

Let us give an example. Let

$$f(X,Y) = X^4 - Y^4 + g(X,Y)$$

be an irreducible polynomial where  $\deg(f(X,Y)) \leq 3$ . Let  $F = \mathbf{Q}(x,y)$ be its function field. There are 3 poles of x corresponding to irreducible factors of  $X^4 - Y^4$ . Hence the assumption of Theorem 1 is satisfied. So there are only finitely many integers n such that  $n^4 - Y^4 + g(n,Y)$  is reducible and there is a constant C such that  $n < (H(g) + 1)^C$  for any integer n with  $n^4 - Y^4 + g(n,Y)$  reducible.

Let us end this paper with an open problem.

OPEN PROBLEM. Find a necessary and sufficient condition for an irreducible polynomial  $f(X,Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X,Y]$  that f(n,Y) is reducible for only finitely many integers n and give their bound.

- 1. Cohen, S. D., The distribution of galois groups and Hilbert's irreducibility theorem, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 43 (1981), 227-250.
- 2. Robinson A. and Roquette P., On the finiteness theorem of Siegel and Mahler concerning diophantine equation, J. Number Theory 7 (1975), 121-176.
- 3. Roquette, P., Nonstandard aspects of Hilbert's irreducibility theorem, L.N.M. 498 (1975), 231-275.
- 4. Yasumoto, M., Nonstandard arithmetic of function fields over H-convex subfields of \*Q, J. Reine Angew. Math. 342 (1983), 1-11.
- 5. Yasumoto, M., Algebraic extensions in nonstandard models and Hilbert's irreducibility theorem, J. Symbolic Logic 53 (1988), 470-480.