The initial value problem for the equations of motion of general fluids with general slip boundary condition

## Atusi TANI (谷 温之)

Department of Mathematics, Keio University

#### § 1. Introduction and Main Theorem

In this communication we are concerned with the initial-boundary value problem for compressible viscous isotropic Newtonian fluids (say, general fluids) which happen to slip on the solid boundary.

The motion of general fluids filled in a bounded domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  is governed by the so-called compressible Navier-Stokes equations:

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{D\rho}{Dt} = -\rho \quad \nabla \cdot v, \\ \rho \quad \frac{Dv}{Dt} = \nabla \cdot P + \rho f, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ \rho \quad \theta \quad \frac{DS}{Dt} = \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla \theta) + \mu' (\nabla \cdot v)^2 + 2\mu D(v) : D(v). \end{cases}$$

Here  $\rho = \rho(x,t)$  is the density,  $v = v(x,t) = (v_1,v_2,v_3)$  is the velocity vector field,  $\theta = \theta(x,t)$  is the absolute temperature, f = f(x,t) is a vector field of external forces,  $P = (-p + \mu' \nabla \cdot v)I_3 + 2\mu D(v)$  is the stress tensor, D(v) is the velocity deformation tensor with the elements

$$D_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad D(v): D(v) = D_{jk} D_{jk},$$

 $p=p\left(\rho\,,\theta\right)$  is a pressure,  $S=S\left(\rho\,,\theta\right)$  is an entropy,  $\mu\,,\mu^{'}\,,\kappa$  are, respectively, coefficient of viscosity, second coefficient of viscosity, coefficient of heat conductivity, which are all assumed to be constants satisfying  $\mu>0$ ,  $2\mu+3\mu^{'}\geq0$ ,  $\kappa>0$ , D/D  $t=\partial/\partial t+v\cdot\nabla$  and  $I_3$  is an identity matrix of degree 3.

Here and in what follows we use the well-known notation of vector analysis and the summation convention. And we should refer to [7,8] for the notation not stated here explicitly.

We have already studied the initial-boundary value problem for (1) in the perfect slip case K=0 and  $\kappa_e=1$  or  $\kappa_e<1$  in [10]. Here we consider the general slip boundary condition, which is formulated as follows:

$$v \cdot n = 0$$
,  $v \cdot \tau = KPn \cdot \tau$ ,

or equivalently,

(2) 
$$v \cdot n = 0, \quad v = K \lceil P n - (P n \cdot n) n \rceil,$$

where n and  $\tau$  are a unit inward normal and a unit tangential vector, respectively, such that  $n \times \tau = 1$  and K is assumed to be a positive function defined on  $\Gamma_T = \Gamma \times [0,T]$  ( $\Gamma$  is a boundary of  $\Omega$ , T is any, but fixed, positive number). Dividing both sides of (2) by  $1+\mu K$  and using the same letter K in place of  $1/(1+\mu K)$ , we deduce from (2)

$$v \cdot n = 0$$
,  $\frac{1}{\mu} (1-K) [P n - (P n \cdot n) n] - K v = 0$ ,  $1 > K \ge 0$ .

Similarly, the boundary condition for  $\theta$ 

$$-\kappa \nabla \theta \cdot n = \kappa_{\theta} (\theta_{\theta} - \theta) + q$$
.  $\kappa_{\theta} \ge 0$ 

implies that, using the same letters  $\kappa_e$  and g in place of  $\kappa_e/(\kappa+\kappa_e)$  and  $g/(\kappa+\kappa_e)$ , respectively,

$$(1-\kappa_e) \nabla \theta \cdot n - \kappa_e (\theta - \theta_e) = g, \quad 1 > \kappa_e \ge 0.$$

The aim of this paper is to establish the unique solvability, local in time, of the initial-boundary value problem (1) with the initial condition

(3) 
$$(\rho, v, \theta)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, v_0, \theta_0)(x),$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases}
v \cdot n = 0, & \frac{1}{\mu} (1 - K) [P n - (P n \cdot n) n] - K v = 0, \\
(1 - \kappa_e) \nabla \theta \cdot n - \kappa_e (\theta - \theta_e) = g,
\end{cases}$$

where  $(K, \kappa_e) = (K, \kappa_e)(x, t), 1 \ge K, \kappa_e \ge 0.$ 

The following is our main theorem:

Theorem. Let T be an arbitrary positive number and  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with boundary  $\Gamma$  of class  $C^{2+\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ . Furthermore, we assume that

- (i)  $(\rho_0, v_0, \theta_0) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}), \quad \rho_0' \leq \rho_0(x) \leq \rho_0'',$   $\theta_0' \leq \theta_0(x) \leq \theta_0'' \quad (\rho_0', \rho_0'', \theta_0' \text{ and } \theta_0'' \text{ are positive constants});$
- (ii)  $(K, \kappa_e) = (K, \kappa_e)(x, t) \in C_{x,t}^{1+\alpha, (1+\alpha)/2}(\Gamma_T), \quad 0 \le K, \kappa_e \le 1;$
- $$\begin{split} (\|\|) & (\theta_e, g) = (\theta_e, g)(x, t) \in C_{x, t}^{1+\alpha, (1+\alpha)/2}(\Gamma_T), & \text{moreover,} \\ & (\theta_e, g) \in C_{x, t}^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(\Gamma_T') & \Gamma_T' = \cup \{x \in \Gamma \mid \kappa_e(x, t) = 1; 0 \le t \le T\}; \end{split}$$
- (iv)  $f = f(x, t) \in C_{x,t}^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T \equiv \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]);$
- (v)  $\mu$ ,  $\mu'$  and  $\kappa$  are constants satisfying the relations  $2\mu+3\mu' \ge 0$ ,  $\mu>0$ ,  $\kappa>0$  and  $(p,S)=(p,S)(\rho,\theta)\in C^{2+\alpha}([\beta\rho_0',\beta^{-1}\rho_0'']X[\beta\theta_0',\beta^{-1}\theta_0''])$  for some positive

constant  $\beta < 1$  such that  $S_{\theta} (\exists \partial S / \partial \theta) > 0$ ;

( $\psi$ ) the compatibility conditions between the system (1) and the initial and the boundary conditions (3),(4) are valid.

Then there exists a unique solution  $(\rho, v, \theta)$  of (1), (3), (4), which belongs to  $[B^{1+\alpha}(\bar{Q}_{T'})\cap\{\beta\,\rho_0'\le\rho\,(x,\,t)\le\beta^{-1}\,\rho_0''\}]$   $\times C^{2+\alpha,\,1+\alpha/2}_{x,\,t}(\bar{Q}_{T'})\times[C^{2+\alpha,\,1+\alpha/2}_{x,\,t}(\bar{Q}_{T'})\cap\{\beta\,\theta_0'\le\theta\,(x,\,t)\le\beta^{-1}\,\theta_0''\}]$  for some  $T'\in(0,T]$ .

## §2. Outline of the Proof of Theorem

First of all, we introduce the characteristic transformation  $\mathcal{J}_{\xi}^{x}$ :  $x \to \xi = X(0; x, t)$ , where  $X(\tau; x, t)$   $(0 \le \tau \le t, x \in \overline{\Omega})$  is the solution of the system of equations

(5) 
$$\frac{d}{d\tau} X(\tau;x,t) = v(X(\tau;x,t),\tau), \quad X(t;x,t) = x.$$

If v is suitably smooth, then (5) has a unique solution curve by virtue of the basic theorem of ordinary differential equations. It gives us the relation between x and  $\xi$ :

(6) 
$$x = X(t; \xi, 0) = \xi + \int_0^t u(\xi, \tau) d\tau = X_u(\xi, t),$$

where  $u(\xi, t) = v(X(t; \xi, 0), t)$ .

According to the boundary condition  $v \cdot n = 0$  on  $\Gamma_T$ , it is clear that  $\Pi_\xi^z$  is an one-to-one mapping from  $\bar{Q}_T$  onto  $\bar{Q}_T$ .

In a similar way to that in [10], we use this transformation only for the first equation in (1), whence the unique solution of (1)<sup>1</sup> is given by

(7) 
$$\rho(x, t) = \prod_{x}^{\xi} \rho_{0}(\xi) \exp\left[-\int_{0}^{t} \nabla_{u} \cdot u(\xi, \tau) d\tau\right]$$

provided that  $u \in C_{x,t}^{2+\alpha-1+\alpha/2}(\bar{Q}_T)$  is given.

provided that  $u \in C_{x,t}^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(Q_T)$  is given. Here  $\Pi_x^{\varepsilon}$  is the inverse mapping of  $\Pi_{\varepsilon}^{x}$ ,  $\nabla_u = G \nabla_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $G = (g_{jk}) = G \nabla_{\varepsilon}$ 

$$= {}^{t} (\partial X_{u} / \partial \xi)^{-1}, \quad \nabla_{\xi} = (\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{1}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{3}}).$$

Hence the problem (1), (3), (4) can be reduced to the following initialboundary value problems with respect to  $w = v - v_0$  and with respect to  $\sigma = \theta - \theta_{c}$ :

(8) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = A(x, t, w; \nabla) w + \Phi(x, t, w, \sigma) & \text{in } Q_T, \\ w|_{t=0} = 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \\ B(x, t; \nabla) w = -B(x, t; \nabla) v_0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \end{cases}$$

(9) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t} = A'(x, t, w, \sigma) \Delta \sigma + \Psi(x, t, w, \sigma) & \text{in } Q_T, \\ \sigma|_{t=0} = 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \\ (1-\kappa_e) \nabla \sigma \cdot n - \kappa_e \sigma = g - \kappa_e \theta_e - (1-\kappa_e) \nabla \theta_0 \cdot n + \kappa_e \theta_0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \end{cases}$$

where the principal parts A and A', the lower order terms  $\Phi$  $\Psi$ , the boundary operator  $B=(B_{jk})_{j,k=1,2,3}$  are given by the formulae:

$$A = \left(\frac{\mu + \mu'}{\rho} \nabla_{j} \nabla_{k} + \frac{\mu}{\rho} \nabla_{l}^{2}\right)_{j \cdot k = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}, \quad A' = \frac{\kappa}{\rho \theta S_{\theta}},$$

$$\Phi = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \rho + f - (v \cdot \nabla) v - A v_{0},$$

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{\rho \theta S_{\theta}} \left[ \mu' (\nabla \cdot v)^2 + 2\mu D(v) : D(v) + \rho^2 \theta S_{\rho} \nabla \cdot v \right] - (v \cdot \nabla) \theta - \frac{\kappa}{\rho \theta S_{\theta}} \Delta \theta_0 \quad \text{with} \quad \rho \quad \text{and} \quad (v, \theta) \quad \text{replaced by (7) and}$$

$$(w + v_0, \sigma + \theta_0), \quad \text{respectively,}$$

$$B_{jk} = \begin{cases} n_k & (j=1; k=1, 2, 3), \\ (1-K)(n_k \delta_{j-1} l + n_l \delta_{j-1} k - 2 n_{j-1} n_k n_l) \nabla_l - \\ - K \delta_{j-1} k & (j=2, 3; k=1, 2, 3), \end{cases}$$

 $(\delta_{jk}$  is Kronecker's delta).

# 2.1 Linearized problem of (8) and (9)

First of all, we consider the following linearized problem of (8):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = A(x, t, w'; \nabla) w + \Phi(x, t, w', \sigma') & \text{in } Q_T, \\ w|_{t=0} = 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \\ B(x, t; \nabla) w = -B(x, t; \nabla) v_0 & \text{on } \Gamma_T. \end{cases}$$

Here  $(w', \sigma')$  is a given function belonging to the class

$$\mathcal{G}_{T} = \{(w, \sigma) \in C_{x, t}^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(\bar{Q}_{T}) | (w, \sigma)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \|(w, \sigma)\|_{\bar{Q}_{T}}^{(2)} < M_{1},$$

$$\sum_{|s|=2} |D_{x}^{s}(w, \sigma)|_{x, \bar{Q}_{T}}^{(\alpha)} < M_{2}\},$$

where  $M_1$  is an arbitrary positive number,  $M_2$  is a positive number determined later,  $\|u\|_{\overline{Q}_T}^{(m)} = \sum_{\substack{2r+|s|=0}}^m \|D_t^r D_x^s u\|_{\overline{Q}_T}^{(0)}$ ,  $\|u\|_{\overline{Q}_T}^{(0)} =$ 

$$\equiv \sup\{|u(x,t)|; (x,t) \in \overline{Q}_T\} \text{ and } |u|_{x,\overline{Q}_T}^{(\alpha)} \equiv \sup\{|u(x,t) - u(x',t)| |x-x'|^{-\alpha}; (x,t), (x',t) \in \overline{Q}_T, x \neq x'\}.$$

Then the following fact holds.

Lemma 1. The system of differential equations (10) is uniformly parabolic in the sense of Petrowsky with modulo of parabolicity  $\delta$  if we take T in such a way that

$$M_1 T < \theta_0'$$
,  $0 < M_3 \equiv (M_1 + \| v_0 \| \frac{(1)}{\Omega}) T / [1 - (M_1 + \| v_0 \| \frac{(1)}{\Omega}) T] < M_0$ ,  $M_3 T < 1$ ,

where  $M_0$  is a positive root of the equation  $1-3 x-6 x^2-6 x^3=0$ .

Proof. Since

$$\det[A(x, t, w'; i \xi) - \lambda I_3] = (\lambda + \frac{|\xi|^2}{a_1})^2 (\lambda + \frac{|\xi|^2}{a_3}) \quad (a_1 = a_2 = \rho/\mu,$$

 $a_3 = \rho/(2\mu + \mu')$ ), and the estimates

$$(11) \begin{cases} \|u'\|_{\overline{Q}_{T}}^{(0)} \leq M_{1}, \quad \sum_{|s|=1}^{|s|=1} \|D_{x}^{s} u'\|_{\overline{Q}_{T}}^{(0)} \leq M_{3}, \\ \\ \sum_{|s|=2}^{|s|=2} \|D_{x}^{s} u'\|_{\overline{Q}_{T}}^{(0)} \leq M_{3} (1+M_{3})^{2}, \\ \|g_{jk} - \delta_{jk}\| \leq \frac{M_{3} + 4M_{3}^{2} + 6M_{3}^{3}}{1 - 3M_{3} - 6M_{3}^{2} - 6M_{3}^{3}} \equiv C_{1}(M_{1}, T) \quad (j, k=1, 2, 3) \end{cases}$$

follow from (6) for  $u' = \prod_{\varepsilon} w'$ ,  $(w', \sigma') \in \mathcal{S}_T$ , it is sufficient to take  $\delta$  in such a way that

$$\delta = \mu \rho_0'^{-1} \exp[-3(1-3C_1)TM_3]$$

by virtue of (7).

The following complementing condition in the case of  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3_+$ ,  $\Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_3 = 0\}$  is essential throughout our investigation.

Lemma 2. There exists a positive constant  $\delta$ ' smaller than  $\delta$  such that for any  $\xi' = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^1$  satisfying

(12) Re 
$$\nu \ge -\delta' \xi'^2$$
,  $\xi'^4 + |\nu|^2 > 0$ ,

the row vectors of the matrix  $B(x, t; i \xi) \hat{A}(x, t, w'; i \xi, \nu)$   $((x, t) \in \Gamma_T, \text{ fixed})$  are linearly independent modulo  $M = \prod_{r=1}^3 (\xi_3 - \xi_3^{+(r)}(\xi', \nu)), \text{ where } \hat{A}(x, t, w'; i \xi, \nu) \text{ is an adjugate matrix of } A(x, t, w'; i \xi) - \nu I_3 \text{ and } \xi_3^{+(r)} \text{'s are the roots in } \xi_3 \text{ of } \det[A(x, t, w'; i \xi) - \nu I_3] = 0 \text{ with positive imaginary parts.}$ 

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $\sum_{s=1}^{3} \alpha^{(s)} \xi_{3}^{s-1}$  be the remainder term when we divide  $B(x, t; i \xi) \hat{A}(x, t, w'; i \xi, \nu)$  by M. Then after some lengthy calculations we have

(13) det 
$$\alpha^{(3)} = -a_1^{-3} a_3^{-3} J_{11}^2 J_{31} (\xi_3^{+(1)} + \xi_3^{+(3)}) (a \xi_3^{+(1)} + \xi_3^{+(3)}) \chi$$

$$X[(1-K) \ i \ \xi_3^{+(1)} - K][(1-K) \ i \ \xi_3^{+(3)} (\xi_3^{+(1)} + \xi_3^{+(3)}) - K(a \ \xi_3^{+(1)} + \xi_3^{+(3)})],$$

where  $a = a_3/a_1 = \mu/(2\mu + \mu')$ ,  $J_{pq} = \xi_3^{+(p)} - \xi_3^{-(q)}$ .

Since Im  $\xi_3^{+(r)}>0$  (r=1,2,3) follows from the assumption (12), it is obvious that  $|\det \alpha^{(3)}|>0$ .  $\square$ 

Moreover, we can extend the domain of definition (12) of det  $\alpha^{(3)}$  to  $\{(\zeta' = \xi' + i \eta', q) \in C^2 \times C^1 \mid \xi'^4 + |q|^2 > 0, \text{ Re } q \ge -\beta' \mid \text{Im } q \mid, |\eta'| \le \beta''(\xi'^4 + |q|^2)^{1/2}\}$  for some positive constants  $\beta'$  and  $\beta''$ ,

so that there det  $\alpha^{(3)}$  is estimated from below

(14) 
$$|\det \alpha^{(3)}|(\zeta', q-\delta'\zeta'^2)| \ge C_2(\xi'^4+|q|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}[K+(1-K)(\xi'^4+|q|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^2,$$

hence we have the estimates of the inverse matrix  $(\alpha_3^{(j,k)})_{j,k=1,2,3}$  of  $\alpha^{(3)}$ :

$$(15) |\alpha_3^{(j,k)}| (\zeta', q - \delta' \zeta'^2) \le$$

$$\leq C_{3}(\xi^{4}+|q|^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{cases} 1 & (j=1,2,3; k=1), \\ [K+(1-K)(\xi^{4}+|q|^{2})^{\frac{1}{4}}]^{-1} & (j=1,2,3; k=2,3). \end{cases}$$

From these estimates, we can construct the Poisson kernel  $H_1$  and the Green matrix  $H_0$  in the half space  $R_+^3$ :

$$\hat{H}_{1}(y,\nu) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\gamma_{+}} \hat{A}(x,t,w';iy',i\xi_{3},\nu) \alpha_{3}(y',\xi_{3},\nu) \chi$$

$$\times e^{iy_3\xi_3}/M(y',\xi_3,\nu)d\xi_3$$

$$H_{1}(y,\tau)=-i(2\pi)^{-3}\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}}e^{i(y',\varepsilon')}d\xi'\int_{\varepsilon-i\infty}^{\varepsilon+i\infty}e^{\tau\nu}\hat{H_{1}}(\xi',y_{3},\nu)d\nu$$

$$(\varepsilon>-\delta'\xi'^{2}),$$

$$\begin{split} H_{0}(y,\tau;\xi,\tau_{0}) = & Z_{0}(y-\xi,\tau-\tau_{0};x,t;w',\sigma') - \\ - & \int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau} d\tau' \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} & H_{1}(y-\eta',\tau-\tau') B(x,t;\nabla_{\eta}) \cdot \\ \cdot & Z_{0}(\eta-\xi,\tau'-\tau_{0};x,t;w',\sigma')|_{\eta_{0}=0} d\eta'. \end{split}$$

where  $\gamma_+$  is a contour enclosing all  $\xi_3^{+(r)}$  (r=1,2,3) and  $Z_0$  is the fundamental solution of the system of equations

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = A(x, t, w'; \nabla_y)W.$$

Then, tracing the proof of Lemma 3.14 in [7], we obtain

### Lemma 3

$$|D_{\tau}^{r}D_{y}^{s}(H_{1})_{jk}| \leq C_{4} \tau^{-(2r+|s|+4)/2} \exp\left[-d\frac{|y|^{2}}{\tau}\right] \begin{cases} \left[K+(1-K)\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{-1} \\ (j=1,2,3;k=1), \\ 1 \quad (j=1,2,3;k=2,3), \end{cases}$$

$$|D_{\tau}^{r}D_{y}^{s}H_{0}| \leq C_{4}(\tau-\tau_{0})^{-(2r+|s|+3)/2} \exp[-d\frac{|y-\xi|^{2}}{\tau-\tau_{0}}].$$

In the present problem just unlike the previous one [10], it is necessary to introduce two systems of covering  $\{\omega_k(t)\}$  and

 $\{\Omega_k(t)\}$  of  $\overline{\Omega}$  depending on the time variable t.

Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary small positive number. We construct  $\{\omega_k(t)\}$  and  $\{\Omega_k(t)\}$  as follows (cf. [7]):

- (i)  $\omega_k(t) \in \Omega_k(t) \in \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $\cup_k \omega_k(t) = \bigcup_k \Omega_k(t) = \overline{\Omega}$ ;
- (ii) for any  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ , there exists  $\omega_k(t)$  such that  $x \in \omega_k(t)$  and  $\operatorname{dist}(x, \overline{\Omega} \omega_k(t)) \ge \beta_1 \lambda$  for some  $\beta_1 > 0$ ;
- (iii) for any  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a number  $\mathcal{N}_0$  independent of  $\lambda$  such that

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{N_0+1} \Omega_k(t) = \phi;$$

(w-1) if  $\Omega_k(t) \cap \Gamma = \phi$  (in this case, we shall denote k = k'), then  $\omega_{k'}(t)$  and  $\Omega_{k'}(t)$  are the cubes with the same center and with the length of their edges, in a parallel direction with axes, equal to  $\lambda/2$  and  $\lambda$ , respectively (indeed,  $\Omega_{k'}(t)$  and

 $\omega_k \cdot (t)$  do not depend on t)

(N-2) if  $\omega_k(t) \cap \Gamma \neq \phi$ , then we construct  $\omega_k(t)$  and  $\Omega_k(t)$  by means of the local rectangular coordinate system  $\{y\}$  with the origin at some point  $\xi_k \in \Gamma$ , i.e., we take the inner normal to  $\Gamma$  at  $\xi_k \in \Gamma$  as the  $y_3$ -axis and place the  $y_1$ -,  $y_2$ -axis in the tangential plane at  $\xi_k$ . Let  $\gamma(t) = \{x \in \Gamma \mid K(x,t) = 1\}$ .

For  $\xi_k \in \Gamma - \gamma$  (t) (in this case, let us denote k = k'), we define by the local rectangular coordinate system  $\{y\}$ 

$$(16) \begin{cases} \omega_{k} (t) = \Pi_{x}^{y} \{ | y_{j} | \leq \frac{1}{2} \beta_{2} \lambda (j=1,2), & 0 \leq y_{3} - F(y'; \xi_{k}) \leq \beta_{2} \lambda \}, \\ \\ \Omega_{k} (t) = \Pi_{x}^{y} \{ | y_{j} | \leq \beta_{2} \lambda (j=1,2), & 0 \leq y_{3} - F(y'; \xi_{k}) \leq 2\beta_{2} \lambda \}, \end{cases}$$

where the equation  $y_3 = F(y'; \xi_k")$   $(y' = (y_1, y_2))$  represent the boundary  $\Gamma$  in the neighborhood of the point  $\xi_k"$  and  $\beta_2$  is a positive constant independent of  $\lambda$ . If  $\gamma(t)$  is covered by  $\bigcup_{k''} (\omega_{k''}(t) \cap \Gamma)$ , then it is clear that  $\overline{\Omega}$  is covered by  $\{\omega_k(t)\}$  and  $\{\Omega_k(t)\}$  constructed above.

Otherwise (in this case, we shall denote k=k"), we define  $\omega_k$ "(t) and  $\Omega_k$ "(t) by the same way as (16) with anoter positive constant  $\beta_3$  ( $\leq \beta_2$ ) also independent of  $\lambda$  so that  $\gamma$  (t)- $\bigcup_k$ "( $\omega_k$ "(t)  $\cap \Gamma$ )  $\subset \bigcup_k$ "( $\Omega_k$ "(t)  $\cap \Gamma$ )  $\subset \gamma$  (t).

Now we introduce two families of smooth functions  $\{\zeta_k(x)\}$  and  $\{\eta_k(x)\}$  associated with the coverings  $\{\omega_k(t)\}$ ,  $\{\Omega_k(t)\}$ :

$$\zeta_{k}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \omega_{k}(t), \\ & 0 \leq \zeta_{k}(x) \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$0 \leq \zeta_{k}(x) \leq 1,$$

$$|D_x^s \zeta_k(x)| \leq C_5 \lambda^{-|s|}, \qquad \eta_k(x) = \zeta_k(x) / \sum_k \zeta_k(x)^2.$$

Then similarly to [7,8], the regularizer R of the problem

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = A(x, t, w'; \nabla) w + \Phi & \text{in } Q_{\tau, \tau+h} = \Omega \times (\tau, \tau+h), \\
w|_{t=\tau} = 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \\
B(x, t; \nabla) w = \Phi & \text{on } \Gamma_{\tau, \tau+h} = \Gamma \times (\tau, \tau+h)
\end{cases}$$

( $\forall \tau \ge 0$ ,  $0 \le T - \tau$ ) can be constructed and has the following properties.

Lemma 4. Assume that  $\Gamma \in C^{2+\alpha}$  and  $h = \chi \lambda^2$  ( $\chi$ (>0) and  $\lambda$  are sufficiently small). Then  $R_k \Phi \in \mathring{C}_{x,t}^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(Q_{\tau,\tau+h}^{(k)} \equiv \Omega_k \times [\tau,\tau+h])$  provided  $\Phi \in \mathring{C}^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_{\tau,\tau+h} \equiv \overline{\Omega} \times [\tau,\tau+h])$ . Furthermore the following estimates hold:

$$\begin{split} &|D_{t}^{r}D_{x}^{s}R_{k}\Phi|\leq C_{6}(t-\tau)^{\frac{(2-2r-|s|+\alpha)}{2}}\|\Phi\|_{Q_{\tau,\tau+h}}^{(\alpha)} \quad (2r+|s|\leq 2), \\ &|\Delta_{x}^{s'}D_{t}^{r}D_{x}^{s}R_{k}\Phi|\leq C_{6}|x-x'|^{\alpha}\|\Phi\|_{Q_{\tau,\tau+h}}^{(\alpha)} \quad (2r+|s|=2), \\ &|\Delta_{t}^{t'}D_{t}^{r}D_{x}^{s}R_{k}\Phi|\leq C_{6}|t-t'|^{\frac{(2-2r-|s|+\alpha)}{2}}\|\Phi\|_{Q_{\tau,\tau+h}}^{(\alpha)} \quad (0<2r+|s|\leq 2), \end{split}$$

where

$$R_{k'} \Phi = \int_{\tau}^{t} d\tau' \int_{\Omega_{k'}} Z_{0}(x - \bar{x}, t - \tau; \xi_{k'}, \tau; w', \sigma') \times \times \zeta_{k'}(\bar{x}, \tau) \Phi(\bar{x}, \tau') d\bar{x},$$

Lemma 5. Under the same assumptions as those in Lemma 4,  $R_{k}^{\prime} \varphi \in \mathring{C}_{x,\tau}^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(Q_{\tau,\tau+h}^{(k^{\prime\prime})})$  if  $\varphi \in \mathring{C}_{x,\tau}^{1+\alpha,(1+\alpha)/2}(\Gamma_{\tau,\tau+h}^{(k^{\prime\prime})} = (\Gamma \cap \Omega_{k}^{\prime}) \times [\tau,\tau+h])$  and satisfies the estimates

$$|D_{t}^{r} D_{x}^{s} R_{k''}^{r} \varphi| \leq C_{\eta} (t-\tau)^{\frac{(2-2r-|s|+\alpha)/2}{2}} \|\varphi\|_{(k'')\tau, \tau+h}^{\frac{(1+\alpha)}{2}} (2r+|s|\leq 2),$$

$$|\Delta_{x}^{r'} D_{t}^{r} D_{x}^{s} R_{k''}^{r} \varphi| \leq C_{\eta} |x-x'|^{\alpha} \|\varphi\|_{(k'')\tau, \tau+h}^{\frac{(1+\alpha)}{2}} (2r+|s|=2),$$

$$|\Delta_{t}^{t'} D_{t}^{r} D_{x}^{s} R_{k''}^{r} \varphi| \leq C_{\eta} |t-t'|^{\frac{(2-2r-|s|+\alpha)/2}{2}} \|\varphi\|_{(k'')\tau, \tau+h}^{\frac{(1+\alpha)}{2}} (0\leq r+|s|\leq 2),$$

where

$$\begin{split} R_{k}^{\prime} &= \Pi_{z}^{z} \, \bar{R}_{k}^{\prime} \cdot \varphi, \qquad K_{1}^{\prime} = \Pi_{z}^{z} (\Omega_{k}^{-} \cap \Gamma), \\ \\ \bar{R}_{k}^{\prime} &= \int_{\tau}^{t} d \, \tau \, \int_{K_{1}} H_{1}^{(k'')} (z - \bar{z}^{\prime}, \, t - \tau^{\prime}) \, \bar{\zeta}_{k} \cdot (\bar{z}^{\prime}) \, \bar{\varphi} (\bar{z}^{\prime}, \, \tau^{\prime}) \, d \, \bar{z}^{\prime}, \end{split}$$

 $\| \cdot \|_{(k'')\tau,\tau+h}^{(n+\alpha)} \quad \text{means the norm of the space} \quad C_{x,t}^{n+\alpha,(n+\alpha)/2}(\Gamma_{\tau,\tau+h}^{(k'')})$  and  $H_1^{(k'')} \quad \text{is the Poisson kernel for} \quad A=\Pi_y^x A(\xi_{k''},\tau,w';\nabla_x) \quad \text{and}$   $B=\Pi_y^x B(\xi_{k''},\tau;\nabla_x) \quad \text{with} \quad \nabla_y \quad \text{replaced by} \quad \nabla_z \quad \text{in } (10)_{\tau,\tau+h}.$ 

The similar assertions to those in Lemma 5 are true in the case  $k = k^{m}$ .

Lemma 6. Under the same assumptions as those in Lemma 4,  $R'_{k} = \varphi \in \mathring{C}^{2+\alpha, \ 1+\alpha/2}_{x, \ t}(Q^{(k'')}_{\tau, \ \tau+h})$  if  $\varphi \in \mathring{C}^{2+\alpha, \ (2+\alpha)/2}_{x, \ t}(\Gamma^{(k''')}_{\tau, \ \tau+h} = (\Gamma \cap \Omega_{k}) \times [\tau, \tau+h])$  and satisfies the estimates

$$\begin{split} & \|D_t^r D_x^s R_{k'''}' \varphi\| \leq C_{\eta} (|t-\tau|)^{(2-2r-|s|+\alpha)/2} \|\varphi\|_{(k'')\tau, \tau+h}^{(2+\alpha)} (2|r+|s| \leq 2), \\ & \|\Delta_x^{s'} D_t^r D_x^s R_{k'''}' \varphi\| \leq C_{\eta} \|x-x'\|^{\alpha} \|\varphi\|_{(k''')\tau, \tau+h}^{(2+\alpha)} (2|r+|s| = 2), \\ & \|\Delta_t^{t'} D_t^r D_x^s R_{k'''}' \varphi\| \leq C_{\eta} \|t-t'\|^{(2-2r-|s|+\alpha)/2} \|\varphi\|_{(k''')\tau, \tau+h}^{(2+\alpha)} (0 \leq r+|s| \leq 2), \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} R_k' - \varphi &= \Pi_x^z \, \bar{R}_k' - \varphi, \qquad K_2' = \Pi_x^z \big( \Omega_k - \Pi \Gamma \big), \\ \\ \bar{R}_k' - \varphi &= \int_{\tau}^t d \, \tau' \int_{K_2'} H_1^{(k''')} \big( z - \bar{z}', \ t - \tau' \big) \, \bar{\zeta}_k - (\bar{z}') \, \bar{\varphi} \, (\bar{z}', \tau') \, d \, \bar{z}', \end{split}$$

$$\| \cdot \|_{(k^{m})}^{(n+a)} \text{ means the norm of the space } C_{x,t}^{n+a,(n+a)/2} (\Gamma_{\tau,\tau+h}^{(k^{m})})$$
 and  $H_{1}^{(k^{m})}$  is the Poisson kernel for  $A = H_{y}^{x} A(\xi_{k^{m}}, \tau, w'; \nabla_{x})$  and  $B = H_{y}^{x} B(\xi_{k^{m}}, \tau; \nabla_{x})$  with  $\nabla_{y}$  replaced by  $\nabla_{z}$  in  $(10)_{\tau,\tau+h}$ .

These lemmas and the same arguments as those in [7,8] yield the following theorem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\text{Theorem 7}}. & \text{Suppose that } \Gamma \in C^{2+\alpha}, \ \Phi \in C_{x,t}^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T), \\ \varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3), \quad \varphi_1 \in C_{x,t}^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(\Gamma_T), \quad \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in C_{x,t}^{1+\alpha,(1+\alpha)/2}(\Gamma_T), \\ \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in C_{x,t}^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(\gamma_T), \quad \gamma_T = \emptyset_{0 \leq |t| \leq T} \{x \in \Gamma \mid K(x,|t|) = 1\}. \end{array}$$

Then there exists a unique solution  $w \in C_{x,t}^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(\bar{Q}_T)$  of  $(10)_0$ , T which satisfies

$$\begin{split} |D_{t}^{r}D_{x}^{s}w| &\leq (C_{9} + C_{10}M_{2})^{N_{1}} t^{\frac{(2-2r-|s|+\alpha)/2}{2}} \{ \|\Phi\|_{Q_{T}^{-}}^{(\alpha)} + \|\varphi_{1}\|_{\Gamma_{T}}^{(2+\alpha)} + \\ &+ \|(\varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3})\|_{\Gamma_{T}}^{(1+\alpha)} + \|(\varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3})\|_{r_{T}}^{(2+\alpha)} \}_{A} \quad (2r+|s| \leq 2), \\ |\Delta_{x}^{s'}D_{t}^{r}D_{x}^{s}w| &\leq (C_{9} + C_{10}M_{2})^{N_{1}} |x-x'|^{\alpha} \{ \cdot \cdot \cdot \}_{A} \quad (2r+|s| = 2), \\ |\Delta_{t}^{t'}D_{t}^{r}D_{x}^{s}w| &\leq (C_{9} + C_{10}M_{2})^{N_{1}} |t-t'|^{(2-2r-|s|+\alpha)/2} \{ \cdot \cdot \cdot \}_{A} \\ &\qquad \qquad (0 \leq 2r+|s| \leq 2), \end{split}$$

where C = C (T, M) ( $\geq 1$ ) and C = C (T, M) increase monotonically in T and  $M_1$ ,  $C_{10} \rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow 0$  and  $N_1 = N_1 (T, M_1, M_2)$  increases monotonically in T,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ .

Returning to the problem (10), it is clear that  $\varphi = B(x, t; \nabla) v_0$  implies that  $\varphi_1 = 0$ ,

$$\|(\varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3})\|_{\Gamma_{T}}^{(1+\alpha)}, \quad \|(\varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3})\|_{\gamma_{T}}^{(2+\alpha)} \leq C_{11}.$$

From (6), (7) and (11) it follows that

$$\|\rho\|_{Q_T}^{\frac{(1+\alpha)}{2}} \le C_{12}(T, M_1) + C_{13}(T, M_1)M_2,$$

hence

$$\|\Phi\|_{Q_T}^{(\alpha)} \leq C_{12}(T, M_1) + C_{13}(T, M_1)M_2,$$

where  $C_{12}(\ge 1)$  and  $C_{13}$  have the same properties as  $C_{9}$  and  $C_{10}$  respectively.

Therefore we obtain

$$\left\{ \| w \|_{Q_{T}}^{\frac{(2)}{2}} \leq \left[ C_{9}(T, M_{1}) + C_{10}(T, M_{1}) M_{2} \right]^{N_{1}} {}^{(T, M_{1}, M_{2})} (T^{\alpha} + T^{1 + \alpha/2}) \times \right.$$

$$\times \left[ C_{11} + C_{12}(T, M_{1}) + C_{13}(T, M_{1}) M_{2} \right],$$

$$\left\{ \Sigma_{+s+=2} \| D_{x}^{2} w \|_{x, Q_{T}}^{(\alpha)} \leq \left[ C_{9}(T, M_{1}) + C_{10}(T, M_{1}) M_{2} \right]^{N_{1}} {}^{(T, M_{1}, M_{2})} \times \right.$$

$$\times \left[ C_{11} + C_{12}(T, M_{1}) + C_{13}(T, M_{1}) M_{2} \right].$$

Next let us consider the following linearized problem of (9):

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t} = A'(x, t, w', \sigma') \Delta \sigma + \Psi(x, t, w', \sigma') & \text{in } Q_T, \\
\sigma|_{t=0} = 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \\
(1-\kappa_e) \nabla \sigma \cdot n - \kappa_e \sigma = \psi(x, t) & \text{on } \Gamma_T.
\end{cases}$$

Here  $(w', \sigma') \in \mathcal{S}_T$ .

The similar, but easier, arguments to those for (10) yield

Theorem 8. Suppose that  $\Gamma \in C^{2+\alpha}$ ,  $\Psi \in C^{\alpha, \alpha/2}_{x,t}(\bar{Q}_T)$ ,  $\psi \in C^{1+\alpha, (1+\alpha)/2}_{x,t}(\Gamma_T)$  and moreover  $\psi \in C^{2+\alpha, (1+\alpha)/2}_{x,t}(\Gamma_T')$  (For  $\Gamma_T'$ , see Theorem in §1).

Then there exists a unique solution  $\sigma \in C_{x,t}^{2+\alpha-1+\alpha/2}(\bar{Q}_T)$  of (18) which satisfies

$$|D_{t}^{r}D_{x}^{s}\sigma| \leq (C_{14} + C_{15}M_{2})^{N_{2}} t^{\frac{(2-2r-|s|+\alpha)/2}{Q_{T}}} \{ \|\Psi\|_{\frac{\alpha}{Q_{T}}}^{(\alpha)} + \|\Psi\|_{\Gamma_{T}}^{(1+\alpha)} + \|\Psi\|_{\Gamma_{T}}^{(2+\alpha)} \}_{B} (2r+|s|\leq 2),$$

$$|\Delta_{x}^{s'}D_{t}^{r}D_{x}^{s}\sigma| \leq (C_{14} + C_{15}M_{2})^{N_{2}} |x-x'|^{\alpha} \{ \cdots \}_{B} (2r+|s|=2),$$

$$|\Delta_{t}^{t'}D_{t}^{r}D_{x}^{s}\sigma| \leq (C_{14} + C_{15}M_{2})^{N_{2}} |t-t'|^{\frac{(2-2r-|s|+\alpha)/2}{2}} \{ \cdots \}_{B} (0 \leq r+|s|\leq 2),$$

where  $C_{14} = C_{14} (T, M_1) (\geq 1)$ ,  $C_{15} = C_{15} (T, M_1)$  and  $N_2 = N_2 (T, M_1, M_2)$ have the same properties of  $C_9$ ,  $C_{10}$  and  $N_1$ , respectively.

Therefore we obtain

$$\left\{ \| \sigma \| \frac{(2)}{Q_T} \le \left[ C_{14}(T, M_1) + C_{15}(T, M_1) M_2 \right]^{N_2(T, M_1, M_2)} (T^{\alpha} + T^{1 + \alpha/2}) \times \right.$$

$$\times \left[ C_{16} + C_{17}(T, M_1) + C_{18}(T, M_1) M_2 \right],$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{+s+=2} \left| D_{x}^{2} \sigma \right|_{x,Q_{T}}^{(\alpha)} \leq \left[ C_{14}(T,M_{1}) + C_{15}(T,M_{1}) M_{2} \right]^{N_{2}(T,M_{1},M_{2})} \chi \right.$$

$$\times \left[ C_{16} + C_{17}(T,M_{1}) + C_{18}(T,M_{1}) M_{2} \right],$$

where  $C_{17}$  and  $C_{18}$  have the same properties as  $C_{9}$  and  $C_{10}$  respectively.

From the estimates (17) and (19) we conclude that the solutions w and  $\sigma$  of (10) and of (18) belong to  $\mathcal{S}_{T_o}$  for some  $T_o \in (0,T]$ .

Indeed, it is sufficient to choose a constant  $M_2$  so as to be larger than

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{9}(T, M_{1}) + M \end{bmatrix}^{N_{1}(T, M_{1}, M)} \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} + C_{12}(T, M_{1}) + M \end{bmatrix} + \\ + \begin{bmatrix} C_{14}(T, M_{1}) + M \end{bmatrix}^{N_{2}(T, M_{1}, M)} \begin{bmatrix} C_{16} + C_{17}(T, M_{1}) + M \end{bmatrix}$$

for any positive number M, and then  $T_0 \in (0, T]$  such that

$$\begin{split} & \{ [C_{8}(T_{0}, M_{1}) + M]^{N_{1}(T_{0} + M_{1} + M)} [C_{11} + C_{12}(T_{0}, M_{1}) + M] + \\ & + [C_{14}(T_{0}, M_{1}) + M]^{N_{2}(T_{0} + M_{1} + M)} [C_{16} + C_{17}(T_{0}, M_{1}) + M] \} (T_{0}^{\alpha} + T_{0}^{1 + \alpha/2}) \leq \\ & \leq M_{1}, \end{split}$$

$$C_{10}(T_0, M_1)M_2, C_{13}(T_0, M_1)M_2, C_{15}(T_0, M_1)M_2,$$

$$C_{18}(T_0, M_1)M_2 \leq M.$$

For simplicity, we take  $T=T_0$  from the beginning.

# 2.2 Nonlinear problem (8) and (9)

We construct the sequence  $\{(w_n, \sigma_n)(x, t)\}$  of the successive approximate solutions as follows

$$\begin{cases} (w_0, \sigma_0) = 0 \in \mathscr{S}_T, \\ w_n \text{ and } \sigma_n \text{ are defined as the solutions } w \text{ and } \sigma \text{ of (10)} \\ \text{of (18) assuming } (w', \sigma') = (w_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}) \in \mathscr{S}_T, \text{ respectively.} \end{cases}$$

Then the results in §2.1 imply that  $(w_n, \sigma_n)(x, t)$  uniquely exists and belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_T$   $(n=0,1,2,\cdots)$ .

Applying the estimates in §2.1 to the equations concerning  $w_{n}-w_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{n}-\sigma_{n-1} \quad \text{we obtain}$ 

$$\begin{split} &\|(w_{n}, \sigma_{n}) - (w_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1})\| \frac{(2+\alpha)}{Q_{T}} \leq \\ &\leq C_{19}(T, M_{1}, M_{2})\|(w_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}) - (w_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-2})\| \frac{(2+\alpha)}{Q_{T}}, \end{split}$$

where  $C_{19} \rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow 0$ .

Therefore the sequence  $\{(w_n, \sigma_n)\}$  converges to some function  $(w, \sigma)$  uniformly if we take  $T' \in (0, T]$  so as to satisfy  $C_{19}(T', M_1, M_2) < 1$ .

The uniqueness of the solution to the problem (8) and (9) is proved by the fact that the difference of two solutions supposed to exist satisfy the inequality analogous to (20).

The positivity and the boundedness of  $\,
ho\,$  and  $\, heta\,$  are obvious from our method for constructing the solution.

Thererefore our main theorem has been proved.

#### References

- [1] Ito, S., Fundamental solutions of parabolic equations and boundary value problems, Japan J. Math., 27(1957), 55-102.
- [2] Ладыженская, О. А. и Осмоловский, В. Г., О свободной поверхности слоя жидкости над твердой сферой, Вест. ЛГУ, 13(1976), 25-30.
- [3] Осмоловский, В. Г., О свободной поверхности капли в симметричном силовом поле, Зап. науч. семин. ЛОМИ, 52(1975), 160-174.
- [4] Serrin, J., Mathematical principles of classical fluid mechanics, Handbuch der Physik, Bd.8, Springer-Verlag, 1959.
- [5] Солонников, В. А., О краевых задачах для линейных параболических систем диффенциальных уравнений общего вида, Труды МИАН, 83(1965), 3-162.
- [6] Солонников, В. А. и Щадилов, В. В. Об одной краевой задачае для стационарной системы Навье-Стокса, Труды МИАН, 125(1973), 196-210.
- [7] Tani, A., On the first initial-boundary value problem of compressible viscous fluid motion, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 13(1977), 193-253.
- [8] Tani, A., On the free boundary value problem for compressible viscous fluid motion, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 21(1981), 839-859.
- [9] Tani, A., Two-phase free boundary problem for compressible viscous fluid motion, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 24(1984), 243-267.
- [10] Tani, A., The initial value problem for the equations of the motion of compressible viscous fluid with some slip boundary condition, in Patterns and Waves-Qualitative analysis of nonlinear differential equations-, Studies in Mathematics and its applications 18, Ed. by H. Fujii, M. Mimura and T. Nishida, North-Holland/Kinokuniya, 1986, 675-684.