

On Blow-up Solutions of the Cauchy Problem for  
the Parabolic Equation  $\partial_t \beta(u) = \Delta u + f(u)$

信州大理 望月 清

(Kiyoshi MOCHIZUKI, Shinshu Univ.)

東京航空高工専 鈴木 龍一

(Ryuichi SUZUKI, Coll. of Aero. Eng.)

In this note we shall consider the Cauchy problem

$$(1) \quad \partial_t \beta(u) = \Delta u + f(u) \quad \text{in } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T),$$

$$(2) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{in } x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where  $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$ ,  $\Delta$  is the  $N$ -dimensional Laplacian and  $\beta(v)$ ,  $f(v)$  with  $v \geq 0$  and  $u_0(x)$  are nonnegative functions.

Equation (1) describes the combustion process in a stationary medium, in which the thermal conductivity  $\beta'(u)^{-1}$  and the volume heat source  $f(u)$  are depending in a nonlinear way on the temperature  $\beta(u) = \beta(u(x, t))$  of the medium.

We assume

$$(A1) \quad \beta(v), f(v) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+); \beta(v) > 0, \beta'(v) > 0, \beta''(v) \leq 0 \text{ and } f(v) > 0 \text{ for } v > 0; \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \beta(v) = \infty; f \circ \beta^{-1} \text{ is locally Lipschitz continuous in } [0, \infty).$$

$$(A2) \quad u_0(x) \geq 0, \not\equiv 0 \text{ and } \in B(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ (bounded continuous in } \mathbb{R}^N).$$

With these conditions the above Cauchy problem has a unique local solution  $u(x, t) \geq 0$  (in time) which satisfies (1) in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$  in the following weak sense (see e.g., Oleinik et al [17]), where  $T > 0$  is assumed sufficiently small.

**Definition 1.** Let  $G$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . By a solution of equation (1) in  $G \times (0, T)$  we mean a function  $u(x, t)$  such that

- i)  $u(x, t) \geq 0$  in  $\bar{G} \times [0, T)$ , and  $u \in B(\bar{G} \times [0, \tau])$  for each  $0 < \tau < T$ .
- ii) For any bounded domain  $\Omega \subset G$ ,  $0 < \tau < T$  and  $\varphi(x, t) \in C^2(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \tau])$  which vanishes on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,

$$(3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \beta(u(x, \tau)) \varphi(x, \tau) dx - \int_{\Omega} \beta(u(x, 0)) \varphi(x, 0) dx \\ = \int_0^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} (\beta(u) \partial_t \varphi + u \Delta \varphi + f(u) \varphi) dx dt - \int_0^{\tau} \int_{\partial\Omega} u \partial_n \varphi dS dt,$$

where  $n$  denotes the outer unit normal to the boundary.

If  $u(x, t)$  does not exist globally in time, its existence time  $T$  is defined by

$$(4) \quad T = \sup\{\tau > 0; u(x, t) \text{ is bounded in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, \tau]\}.$$

In this case we say that  $u$  is a *blow-up solution* and  $T$  is the *blow-up time*.

Our main purpose is the study of blow-up solutions near the blow-up time. Especially, we are interested in the shape of the *blow-up set* which lokates the "hot-spots" at the blow-up time. In addition, since equation (1) has a property of finite propagation, there are several interesting subjects such as the regularity of the *interface* and its asymptotic behavior near the blow-up time. These problems have been studied by one of the authors, Suzuki [18], in the case  $N = 1$ . We shall extend some of his results to higher dimensional problems.

To deal with the finite propagation of solutions and the regularity of interfaces, we require the additional conditions

$$(A3) \quad \beta(0) = f(0) = 0; \int_0^1 \frac{dv}{\beta(v)} < \infty; \frac{f(v)}{\beta(v)\beta'(v)} \text{ is bounded near } v = 0.$$

$$(A4) \quad u_0(x) > 0 \text{ in } x \in D \text{ and } = 0 \text{ in } x \notin D, \text{ where } D \subset \mathbb{R}^N \text{ is a}$$

bounded convex set with smooth boundary  $\partial D$ .

We put

$$(5) \quad \Omega(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; u(x,t) > 0\}, \quad \Gamma(t) = \partial\Omega(t)$$

for  $t \in (0, T)$ . Then the interface  $\Gamma$  is given by

$$(6) \quad \Gamma = \bigcup_{0 \leq t < T} \Gamma(t) \times \{t\}.$$

**Theorem 2.** Assume (A1)~(A4). Let  $u$  be any weak solution of problem (1), (2). (I) Then  $\Omega(t)$  forms a bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  which is nondecreasing in  $t$ :

$$(7) \quad \Omega(t_1) \subset \Omega(t_2) \quad \text{if } t_1 < t_2.$$

(II) There exists a continuous function  $\mathcal{F}: \partial D \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$(8) \quad \Gamma(t) = \{x = \mathcal{F}(y, t); y \in \partial D\} \text{ for each } t \in [0, T).$$

(III) For each  $t \in (0, T)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\cdot, t): \partial D \rightarrow \Gamma(t)$  is bicontinuous.

(IV) If  $\mathcal{F}(\bar{y}, \bar{t}) \notin \bar{D}$  for some  $(\bar{y}, \bar{t}) \in \partial D \times (0, T)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(y, \bar{t})$  is Lipschitz continuous in  $y \in \partial D$  in a neighborhood of  $\bar{y}$ .

Note that in the case of the porous medium equation

$$(9) \quad \partial_t (u^{1/m}) = \Delta u \quad (m > 1) \text{ in } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty),$$

there are many works studying the interface. Among them Caffarelli et al [2] proved that  $\mathcal{F}(y, t)$  is Lipschitz continuous in  $(y, t) \in \partial D \times (0, \infty)$  in a neighborhood of  $(\bar{y}, \bar{t})$ . So the above continuity of  $\mathcal{F}(x, t)$  in  $t$  (Theorem 2 (II)) is insufficient. However, to obtain a more regularity in  $t$ , as the Barenblatt solutions of (10) have played an important role in [2], it seems necessary to know suitable exact solutions of (1) whose space-time structure reflects the most important properties of general solutions.

Next, we restrict our concern to blow-up solutions of (1), (2) requiring the following additional condition on  $u_0$ :

(A4)' There exists a convex domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$  such that  $u_0(x) > 0$  in  $x \in D$  and for any  $y \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $u_0(y+sn(y))$  is nonincreasing in  $s > 0$ , where  $n(y)$  denotes the outer unit normal to the boundary.

The determination of blow-up solutions has been discussed in Galaktionov et al [10] for equation (1) with power nonlinearities

$$(10) \quad \partial_t(u^{1/m}) = \Delta u + u^{p/m} \quad \text{in } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T),$$

where  $m > 1$ . It has been shown that for  $1 < p < m + 2/N$  any non-trivial solution of (10), (2) blows-up in finite time, and for  $p > m + 2/N$  we may find global solutions. These correspond to Fujita's classical results [6] concerning the semilinear equation (10) with  $m = 1$  (see also Levine et al [15]). Blow-up conditions have been studied in Itaya [13], [14] and Imai-Mochizuki [11] (cf., also Imai et al [12]) for general nonlinear equation (1) in a bounded domain, and the following condition is given in [11] as a "necessary" condition to raise a blow-up.

$$(A5) \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{\beta'(v)}{f(v)} dv < \infty.$$

In this note we require also (A5) and classify the blow-up solutions by the following three conditions.

(A6) (sublinear case)  $f(v) = o(v)$  as  $v \rightarrow \infty$ .

(A7) (asymptotic linear case) There exist  $\gamma, C > 0$  such that

$$f(v) \leq \gamma v + C \quad \text{for each } v > 0.$$

(A8) (superlinear case) There exists a function  $\Phi(v)$  such that

(i)  $\Phi(v) > 0$ ,  $\Phi'(v) > 0$  and  $\Phi''(v) \geq 0$  for  $v > 0$ ;

$$(ii) \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dv}{\Phi(v)} < \infty;$$

(iii) there is constants  $c > 0$  and  $v_0 > 0$  such that

$$f'(v)\Phi(v) - f(v)\Phi'(v) \geq c\Phi(v)\Phi'(v) \text{ for } v > v_0.$$

**Remark 3.** (10) satisfies (A1), (A3) and (A5) if  $m > 1$  and  $p > 1$ , and satisfies (A6) (or (A7)) if  $1 < p < m$  (or  $1 < p \leq m$ ). (A8) is originally introduced in Friedmann-McLeod [5] to study the shape of blow-up set for semilinear parabolic equations. (10) satisfies (A8) if  $p > m$ . In this case we can choose  $\Phi(v) = v^{\delta p/m}$ , where  $\delta$  is any constant satisfying  $0 < \delta < 1$  and  $\delta p/m > 1$ .

**Definition 4.** The blow-up set of  $u$  is defined as

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; \text{there is a sequence } (x_n, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T) \text{ such that } x_n \rightarrow x, t_n \uparrow T \text{ and } u(x_n, t_n) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\},$$

and each  $x \in S$  is called a blow-up point of  $u$ .

Now, our results are summarized in the following three theorems.

**Theorem 5.** Assume (A1), (A2), (A4)', (A5) and (A6). Let  $u$  be a blow-up solution of (1), (2). (I) Then

$$(11) \quad S = \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and the way of blow-up is uniform in each compact set  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ :

$$(12) \quad \liminf_{t \uparrow T} \liminf_{x \in K} u(x, t) = \infty.$$

(II) Assume further (A3) and (A4). Then the support  $\bar{\Omega}(t)$  of  $u(x, t)$  grows to  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as  $t \uparrow T$ , in other words,

$$(13) \quad \liminf_{t \uparrow T} \liminf_{y \in \partial D} |\mathcal{F}(y, t)| = \infty.$$

**Theorem 6.** Assume (A1), (A2), (A4)', (A5) and (A7). Let  $u$  be a blow-up solution of (1), (2). We choose  $R_\gamma > 0$  so that  $\gamma$  is the

principal eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  in  $B(3R_\gamma) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; |x| < 3R_\gamma\}$  with zero Dirichlet condition. Suppose that  $D$  in (A4) is included in  $B(R_\gamma)$ . Then we have

$$(14) \quad S \supset B(R_\gamma),$$

and  $u$  blows up uniformly in each compact set of  $B(R_\gamma)$ .

Theorem 7. Assume (A1), (A2), (A4)', (A5), (A8) and the following

$$(A9) \quad \Delta u_0(x) + f(u_0(x)) \geq 0 \text{ in the distribution sense in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Let  $u$  be a blow-up solution of (1), (2). (I) Then

$$(15) \quad S \subset \bar{D}.$$

(II) Assume further (A3) and (A4). Then the support  $\bar{\Omega}(t)$  of  $u(x, t)$  remains bounded as  $t \uparrow T$ , in other words,

$$(16) \quad \limsup_{t \uparrow T} \sup_{y \in \partial D} |u(y, t)| < \infty.$$

In the case of (A7) we have no results on the asymptotic behavior of the interface near the blow-up time. A very special equation (10) with  $N = 1$  and  $m = p$  has been studied in Galaktionov [8], and the boundedness of interface is known by use of exact solutions to (10). A corresponding result to Theorem 2 has been proved also by Galaktionov [9] for the case  $N = 1$ , where each blow-up solution is compared with a family of steady-state solutions to (1). Note that in [18] has been also given a sufficient condition under which  $S$  forms a finite set. However, in our higher dimensional problem, it remains unsolved to determine  $S$  more strictly in the superlinear case (A8). The case of radially symmetric solutions is exceptional, and we have the

Corollary 8. Assume (A1), (A2), (A5), (A8), (A9) and the following

$$(A4)'' \quad u_0(x) = u_0(r), \text{ where } r = |x|; u_0(r) > 0 \text{ in } 0 \leq r < R, \text{ and}$$

$= 0$  in  $r \geq R$ ;  $u_0'(r) < 0$  in  $0 < r < R$ .

Let  $u = u(r, t)$  be a blow-up solution of (1), (2). Then

$$(17) \quad S = \{0\}.$$

We are based on three (smoothness, comparison and relection) principles (cf., [2],[5] and Bertsch et al [1]). The main proof is done by reduction to absurdity. To do so, for Theorem 5 and 6, a nonblow-up result for the Diriclet blobem in a bounded domain plays a key role. On the other hand, for Theorem 7 and Corollary 8, we can follow the argument of Friedman-McLeod [5] (cf., also Chen-Matano [3], Fujita-Chen [7] and Chen [4]).

The details of the above results have been summarized in Mochizuki-Suzuki [16].

#### References

- [1] M.A.Bertsch, R.Kersner and L.A.Peletier, Positivity versus localization in degenerate diffusion equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 9 (1985), 987-1008.
- [2] L.A.Caffareli, J.L.Vaquez and N.I.Wolenski, Lipschitz continuity of solutions and interfaces of the  $N$ -dimensional porous medium equation, *Indiana Univ.Math.J.* 36(1987), 370-400.
- [3] X.-Y.Chen and H.Matano, Convergence, asymptotic periodicity and finite-point blow-up in one-dimensional semilinear heat equations, to appear in *J.Differential Equations*.
- [4] Y.-G.Chen, Blow-up solutions of a semilinear parabolic equation with Neumann and Robin boundary conditions, *Hokkaido Univ. Preprint Series in Math.* 65(1989).

- [5] A.Friedmann and B.McLeod, Blow-up of positive solutions of semilinear heat equations, Indiana Univ.Math.J. 34(1985), 425-447.
- [6] H.Fujita, On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for  $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$ , J.Fac.Sci. Univ.Tokyo 13(1966), 109-124.
- [7] H.Fujita and Y.-G.Chen, On the set of blow-up points and Asymptotic behaviours of blow-up solutions to a semilinear parabolic equation, Preprint 87-13, Univ.Tokyo.
- [8] V.A.Galaktionov, On localization conditions for unbounded solutions of quasilinear parabolic equations, Soviet Math. Dokl. 23 (1982), 775-780.
- [9] V.A.Galaktionov, Asymptotic behavior of unbounded solutions of the nonlinear parabolic equation  $u_t = (u^\sigma u_x)_x + u^{\sigma+1}$ , Differents. Urab. 21(1985), 1126-1134.
- [10] V.A.Galaktionov, S.P.Kurdyumov, A.P.Mikhailov and A.A.Samrskii, On unbounded solutions of the Cauchy problem for the parabolic equation  $u_t = \nabla(u^\alpha \nabla u) + u^\beta$ , Dokl.Akad.Nauk SSSR, 252(1980), 1362-1364.
- [11] T.Imai and K.Mochizuki, On blow-up of solutions for quasilinear degenerate parabolic equations, Publ.RIMS, Kyoto Univ., to appear.
- [12] T.Imai, K.Mochizuki and R. Suzuki, Blow-up sets of radially symmetric solutions to a quasilinear degenerate parabolic equation in a ball in  $R^N$ , J.Fac.Sci. Shinshu Univ., to appear.
- [13] N.Itaya, A note on the blowup-nonblowup problems in nonlinear parabolic equations, Proc.Japan Acad. 55(1979), 241-244.
- [14] N.Itaya, On some subjects related to the blowing-up problem in nonlinear parabolic equations, Lecture Notes in Num.Appl.Anal. 2(1980), 27-38, Kinokuniya.



- [15] H.A.Levine, G.M.Lieberman and P.Meier, On critical exponents for some quasilinear parabolic equations, Math.Mech.Anal.Sci., to appear.
- [16] K.Mochizuki and R.Suzuki, Blow-up sets and asymptotic behavior of interfaces for quasilinear degenerate parabolic equations in  $R^N$ , preprint 1990.
- [17] O.A.Oleinik, A.S.Kalashnikov and Chzou Yui-Lin, The Cauchy problem and boundary problems for equations of the type of nonlinear filtration, Izv.Akad.Nauk SSSR Ser.Math. 22(1958), 667-704.
- [14] R.Suzuki, On blow-up sets and asymptotic behavior of interfaces of one dimensional quasilinear degenerate parabolic equations, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., to appear.