ON WELL-BEHAVED C*-ALGEBRAS RELATED TO ORDERS

Kazuyuki SAITÔ

One of the outstanding problems in the theory of AW*-algebras is the monotone completeness of any AW*-algebras. For AW*-algebras of Type I, the answer is known to be yes (see Kaplansky [3]) but, for general AW*-algebras, this question is still open, although an impressive attack on this problem was made by Christensen and Pedersen [1].

In this note, we should like to make a survey of the development of the problem of monotonicity of AW*-algebras, with an outline of their proofs. This is a joint work with John D.M. Wright [6].

Let us recall that a C*-algebra A is an AW*-algebra if (1) each maximal abelian *-subalgebra of A is generated by its projections and (2) each orthogonal family of projections { e_{α} } in A has a supremum $\Sigma_{A}e_{\alpha}$ in Proj(A) (the complete lattice of all projections in A).

A natural line of attack on this problem would be to use the second dual A" or the weak closures of representatives of A on some Hilbert spaces.

Unfortunately, this is too naive. In general, the structure of the complete lattice Proj(A) is not consistent with that of Proj(A'') or that of the weak closures, because of the lack of the weak or strong topologies. In fact, if so, A would be a von Neumann algebra.

Let B be an AW*-algebra and let C be a unital C*-subalgebra of B. We say that C is <u>normal in</u> B if for every <u>orthogonal</u> family { e_{α} } in Proj(C) with the supremum $\Sigma_{C}e_{\alpha}$ in Proj(C), $\Sigma_{C}e_{\alpha} = \Sigma_{B}e_{\alpha}$.

Let A be an AW*-algebra. Then A sits inside its regular completion \hat{A} [2]. \hat{A} is a monotone complete C*-algebra (and so an AW*-algebra) which is, in general, not a von Neumann algebra. We say that A is <u>normal</u> if A is normal in \hat{A} . So our first question is this:

Are all AW*-algebras normal ?

It has been known for ten years that finite AW*-algebras are normal [7], [2] and [4]. So, when establishing normality, we may confine our attention to properly infinite AW*-algebras.

Quite recently, we showed that mild restrictions on the centre of an AW*-algebra are sufficint to force it to be normal. In particular, all AW*-factors are normal.

Let A be an AW*-algebra whose centre is locally countably decomposable. Then A must be normal.

Detailed proof will appear in the Journal of the London Mathematical Society (see [6]).

It is a pleasure to thank Professor John D.M. Wright and the staff of the Mathematics Department of the University

of Reading for their warm hospitality during the author's visit. The author also would like to thank the SERC, who financed his extended visit to the University of Reading.

Let B be a C*-algebra. A net of increasing projections in Proj(B), { e_j $_{j \in J}$ with the supremum $LUB_{Proj(B)}e_j$ in Proj(B) is said to be well-behaved if $LUB_{Proj(B)}e_j$ is the supremum of { e_j $_{j \in J}$ in the partially ordered set B_h . B is said to be well-behaved if, every such net { e_j $_{j \in J}$ is well-behaved.

Let us begin with the following lemma which plays an important role in proving the theorem.

Lemma 1. ([4]) <u>Let A be an AW*-algebra</u>. <u>Then the following three conditions are equivalent</u>.

- (1) A is normal;
- (2) A is well-behaved;
- (3) for every increasing net $\{e_j\}_{j \in J}$ in Proj(A)

 with the supremum e in Proj(A), whenever x, in A_h ,

 satisfies $e_j x e_j \ge 0$ for all j, then $exe \ge 0$.

Remark. (2) and (3) are equivalent even when A is a general unital C*-algebra.

Outline of the proof (see [4]).

(1) \neq (2). Suppose that A is normal, then, by a result of Pedersen and Saitô, for every increasing net $\{e_j\}_{j\in J}$ in Proj(A),

Since \hat{A} is monotone complete and $\{e_j\}_{j \in J}$ is well-behaved in \hat{A} , and so it is well-behaved in A as well.

Conversely, suppose that A is well-behaved. Then, for every orthogonal family { e $_j$ $_{j \in J}$ in Proj(A), the net { $\Sigma_{j \in F} e_j$ | F a non-empty finite subset of J } is well-behaved. So it follows that

$$\Sigma_{A} e_{j} = LUB_{Proj(A)} \{ \Sigma_{j \in F} e_{j} \mid F \}$$

$$= LUB_{Proj(\hat{A})} \{ \Sigma_{j \in F} e_{j} \mid F \}$$

$$= \Sigma_{\hat{A}} e_{j}.$$

(2) $_{\neq}$ (3). It is given that { e_{j} $_{j \in J}$ is an increasing net in Proj(A) with the supremum $LUB_{Proj(A)}e_{j}$ (= e say). Suppose that { e_{j} $_{j \in J}$ satisfies (3).

The claim is that $LUB_{Proj(A)}e_j = LUB_{A_h}e_j$. We have only to check that $e_j \le a$ for all j for some a in A_h implies

e \leq a. Suppose that such an a is given as above, then, because a \geq 0, it follows that

$$(a + 1/n)^{-1/2}e_{j}(a + 1/n)^{-1/2} \le 1$$

for each j and n. Thus we get that

$$\|(a + 1/n)^{-1/2}e_j)\| \le 1$$

for each j and n. This implies that

$$e_{j}(e - e(a + 1/n)^{-1}e)e_{j} \ge 0$$

for all j and n. Since { e_{j} $_{j \, \epsilon J}$ satisfies (3), it follows that

$$e(e - e(a + 1/n)^{-1}e)e \ge 0$$

and $\|e(a + 1/n)^{-1}e\| \le 1$ for all n. Thus we conclude that

$$(a + 1/n)^{-1/2}e(a + 1/n)^{-1/2} \le 1$$

for all n. This implies that $e \le a + 1/n$ for all n and so $e \le a$ follows.

Conversely suppose that $\{e_j\}_{j\in J}$ is well-behaved. It is given $x\in A_h$ such that $e_jxe_j\geq 0$ for all j. To prove the claim, we may assume that e=1 (consider it in eAe) and $\|x\|\leq 1$. Since

$$(1 + x)(1 - e_j)(1 + x) - (1 - x)(1 - e_j)(1 - x)$$

= $2x(1 - e_j) + 2(1 - e_j)x$,

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{j}xe_{j} - x &= (1 - e_{j})x(1 - e_{j}) - (1 - e_{j})x - x(1 - e_{j}) \\ &= (1/2)((1 - x)(1 - e_{j})(1 - x) \\ &- (1 + x)(1 - e_{j})(1 + x)) + (1 - e_{j})x(1 - e_{j}) \\ &\leq (1/2)(1 - x)(1 - e_{j})(1 - x) + 1 - e_{j} \end{aligned}$$

because $\|x\| \le 1$ and $x = x^*$. Take y = (1/2)(|x| + x) and z = (1/2)(|x| - x). We see that x = y-z, y,z in A_h , yz = 0 and z and y are non-negative. Moreover, y and z commute with x. Hence, it follows that

 $ze_jxe_jz - zxz \leq (1/2)z(1-x)(1-e_j)(1-x)z + z(1-e_j)z.$ Since ze_jxe_jz is non-negative for all j and $zxz = -z^3$, we see that

$$z^3 \le (1/2)z(1-x)(1-e_j)(1-x)z + z(1-e_j)z$$

for all j. Since { e_{j} $_{j\,\epsilon J}$ is well-behaved, this implies that

$$(1/2)z(1-x)(1-e_j)(1-x)z+z(1-e_j)z \neq 0 \text{ in } A_h,$$
 and so $z^3=0$, that is, $z=0$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 1. Finite AW*-algebras are normal.

Let $\{e_j\}_{j\in J}$ be any increasing net in $\operatorname{Proj}(A)$ with the supremum e in $\operatorname{Proj}(A)$. We shall show that $\{e_j\}_{j\in J}$ satisfies (3). To do this, we may assume that e=1. Suppose that x in A_h satisfies that $e_jxe_j\geq 0$ for all j. If $x=x^+-x^-$ ($x^+x^-=0$, $x^+\geq 0$ and $x^-\geq 0$) and $x^-\neq 0$, then there is a non-zero projection q in A and a positive number ϵ such that $x^-\geq \epsilon q$ and $(1-q)x^+=x^+$. Set $f_j=e_j \cdot q$ and we have

$$0 \le f_j e_j x e_j f_j = f_j x f_j = f_j q x q f_j = -f_j x^- q f_j$$
$$\le -\varepsilon f_j q f_j \le -\varepsilon f_j$$

for all j and so $f_j = 0$ for all j, that is, $e_j q = 0$ for all j. Note that

$$q = q - e_{j} \cdot q \cdot e_{j} \cdot q - e_{j} \le 1 - e_{j}$$

for all j and A <u>is finite</u>, this implies that q = 0, because $1 - e_j + 0$ in Proj(A). This is a contradiction. Thus $x^- = 0$, that is $x \ge 0$. This completes the proof.

Now we are in the position to discuss about the properly infinite case. Since, as you see, above proof depends on the finiteness assumption on A, we need to seek another way to establish the normality for properly infinite case.

Before going into the discussions, we need some definitions.

For a given index set $\beta,$ a family { $x_j \epsilon A_h$ | $j \epsilon \beta$ } is said to be order summable if

{ $\Sigma_{j\epsilon F} x_j | F$ a non-empty finite subset of β }

is bounded above in $\,^{\rm A}_h.\,^{\rm A}$ When such a family is order summable its $\,^{\rm order}$ sum is defined to be the supremum of the set

{ $\|\Sigma_{j\epsilon F}x_j\|$ | F a non-empty finite subset of β }.

For a given indexed set $\beta,$ a family $\{\ x_{j}\epsilon A_{h}\ |\ j\epsilon\beta\ \}$ is said to be well-behaved if the set

{ $\Sigma_{j \in F} x_{j} | F$ a non-empty finite subset of β }

has a supremum in A_h . When $\{x_j \mid j\epsilon\beta\}$ is a family of orthogonal projections, this definition of well-behaved is consistent with our earlier one.

Let β be a given index set. The algebra A is said to be β -complete if each order summable, β -indexed family of positive elements in A is well-behaved.

It is clear that if A is β -complete and if γ is a set where $\#\gamma \leq \#\beta$, then A is γ -complete. We note further that A is α -complete for a sufficiently large ordinal α , then A is monotone complete, but we omit the details.

The rest of the discussions, we shall suppose that A is a properly infinite AW^* -algebra.

We suppose for the moment that, for <u>some infinite ordinal</u> Ω , A has a system of matrix units $\{e_{ij}\}_{0\leq i,j<\Omega}$ where

 $e_{00} \sim 1$ in A.

A transfinite sequence $\{a_j\}_{j<\alpha}$ in $e_{00}Ae_{00}$ is said to be <u>dilatable</u> in A if there exists an orthogonal family of projections $\{p_j\}_{j<\alpha}$ in $(\Sigma_{j<\alpha}e_{jj})A(\Sigma_{j<\alpha}e_{jj})$ such that $e_{00}p_je_{00}=x_j$ for each $j<\alpha$. Let α be an ordinal number. We call $e_{00}Ae_{00}$ α -dilatable if, whenever $\{x_j\}_{j<\alpha}$ is an order summable transfinite sequence of positive elements of $e_{00}Ae_{00}$, with order sum <u>less</u> than 1, then the transfinite sequence is dilatable in A.

The following lemma is a modification of an ingenious argument by Christensen and Pedersen [1].

Lemma 3. Let α be an ordinal with $\alpha \leq \Omega$. Let $e_{00}^{Ae} e_{00}$ be α -dilatable in A. Then A is α -complete.

Since $e_{00}^{Ae}e_{00}$ is *-isomorphic to A, it suffices to show that $e_{00}^{Ae}e_{00}$ is α -complete. The proof is rather long. We shall omit the details. See [6].

We shall need the following lemma which is proved in [1, Lemma 3].

Lemma 4. Let e and p be projections in a unital C*-algebra B such that $\|epe\| < 1$ and let x be a positive element of B such that $x + epe \le e$. Let $\{f_{ij}\}_{1 \le i,j \le 2}$ be matrix units

for M₂(C). Then there exists a projection q in B@M₂(C) such that q is orthogonal to p@f₁₁ and

$$(e\otimes f_{11})q(e\otimes f_{11}) = x\otimes f_{11}.$$

Let $z = (1 - p)(1 - epe)^{-1}x(1 - epe)^{-1}(1 - p)$. Then $z \in A_h$ such that (1 - p)z(1 - p) = z, eze = x and $0 \le z \le 1$. Let

$$q = \begin{cases} z & (z-z^2)^{1/2} \\ (z-z^2)^{1/2} & 1-z \end{cases}$$

via { f_{ij} } $_{1 \le i, j \le 2}$. Then q satisfies all the requirements.

Lemma 5. Let $\alpha < \Omega$ and let $\alpha + 1$ be the successor ordinal of α . Let $e_{00}^{Ae}e_{00}$ be α -dilatable in A. Then $e_{00}^{Ae}e_{00}$ is also $(\alpha + 1)$ -dilatable.

In fact, let $\{x_{\xi}\}_{\xi<\alpha+1}$ be an order summable transfinite sequence of positive elements of $e_{00}Ae_{00}$. Let its order sum be c, where c < 1. By hypothesis, there exists a family of orthogonal projections $\{p_{\xi}\}_{\xi<\alpha}$ in $(\Sigma_{i<\alpha}e_{ii})A(\Sigma_{i<\alpha}e_{ii})$ such that $e_{00}p_{\xi}e_{00}=x_{\xi}$ for each $\xi<\alpha$. By Lemma 3, A is α -complete and so

 $\Sigma_{i<\alpha}p_i = LUB_{A_h} \{ \Sigma_{i\in F}p_i \mid F \text{ a non-empty finite subset of } \alpha \}$ Thus
$$\begin{split} \mathrm{e}_{00}(& \ \Sigma_{\mathbf{i} < \alpha} \mathrm{p}_{\mathbf{i}} \) \mathrm{e}_{00} = & \ \mathrm{LUB}_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{h}}} \{ \ \Sigma_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathrm{F}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \mid \mathrm{F} \ \mathrm{a} \ \mathrm{finite} \ \mathrm{subset} \ \mathrm{of} \ \alpha \} \\ \\ \mathrm{and} \ \mathrm{so} & \| \mathrm{e}_{00}(& \ \Sigma_{\mathbf{i} < \alpha} \mathrm{p}_{\mathbf{i}}) \mathrm{e}_{00} \| \leq \mathrm{c} < \mathrm{l}. \quad \mathrm{Also} \\ \\ \mathrm{e}_{00}(& \ \Sigma_{\mathbf{i} < \alpha} \mathrm{p}_{\mathbf{i}}) \mathrm{e}_{00} + \mathrm{x}_{\alpha} \leq \mathrm{ce}_{00} < \mathrm{e}_{00}. \end{split}$$

We observe that $\Sigma_{\mathbf{i}<\alpha}e_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}} \sim e_{\alpha\alpha} \sim \Sigma_{\mathbf{i}\leq\alpha}e_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}}$. Let $f_{11}=\Sigma_{\mathbf{i}<\alpha}e_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}}$, let $f_{22}=e_{\alpha\alpha}$ and let f_{12} be any partial isometry in $(\Sigma_{\mathbf{i}\leq\alpha}e_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}})A(\Sigma_{\mathbf{i}\leq\alpha}e_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}})$ such that $f_{12}f_{12}^*=f_{11}$ and $f_{12}^*f_{12}=f_{22}$. Let $f_{21}=f_{12}^*$. Then, by the above lemma, there is a projecton p_{α} in $(\Sigma_{\mathbf{i}\leq\alpha}e_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}})A(\Sigma_{\mathbf{i}\leq\alpha}e_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}})$, such that p_{α} is orthogonal to $\Sigma_{\mathbf{i}<\alpha}p_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}=e_{00}p_{\alpha}e_{00}$. Hence $\{\mathbf{x}_{\xi}\mid\xi\leq\alpha\}=\{\mathbf{x}_{\xi}\mid\xi<\alpha+1\}$ is dilatable.

Lemma 6. Let α be an infinite ordinal such that $\alpha \leq \Omega$. Let A be ξ -complete for each $\xi < \alpha$. Then $e_{00}Ae_{00}$ is α -dilatable in A.

In fact, let $\{x_i\}_{i<\alpha}$ be an order summable transfinite sequence of positive elements of $e_{00}Ae_{00}$ with order sum c, where c < 1. To obtain a contradiction, let us assume that this transfinite sequence is not dilatable. Then, there exists a smallest ordinal β , such that $\{x_i\}_{i<\beta}$ is not dilatable, and $\beta \leq \alpha$. (Note that $\omega < \beta$ by the results of Christensen and Pedersen [1].)

Let γ be any non-zero ordinal strictly less than β . From the definition of β , { x_i } is dilatable. So, there

is a family { p_i } $_{i < \gamma}$ of orthogonal projections in ($\Sigma_{i < \gamma} e_{ii}$)A($\Sigma_{i < \gamma} e_{ii}$) such that $x_i = e_{00} p_i e_{00}$ for each $i < \gamma$. Let M_{γ} be the set of all such families of orthogonal projections and let $M = \cup \{ M_{\gamma} \mid 0 < \gamma < \beta \}$. Clearly $M \neq \emptyset$. For $\Gamma = \{ p_i \}_{i < \gamma_1} \in M$ and $E = \{ q_j \}_{j < \gamma_2} \in M$, we define $\Gamma \subseteq E$ to mean that $\gamma_1 \subseteq \gamma_2$ and, for all $i < \gamma_1$, $p_i = q_i$. This partially orders M inductively. So, by Zorn's lemma, M has a maximal element $\{ p_i \}_{i < \zeta}$. Then, by applying the argument of Lemma 5 to $\{ x_{\xi} \}_{\xi < \zeta + 1}$, we find a projection p_{ζ} in $(\Sigma_{i \le \zeta} e_{ii}) A(\Sigma_{i \le \zeta} e_{ii})$ such that p_{ζ} is orthogonal to $\Sigma_{i < \zeta} p_i$ and $e_{00} p_{\zeta} e_{00} = x_{\zeta}$. Thus $\{ p_i \}_{i < \zeta + 1}$ is in M. This contradicts maximality. Hence the assumption that $\{ x_i \}_{i < \alpha}$ was not dilatable must be false. Hence $e_{00} A e_{00}$ is α -dilatable in A.

By using these lemmas, we have the following:

Theorem 2. Let A be a properly infinite AW*-algebra. Let Ω be an infinite ordinal such that there exists an Ω -indexed system of matrix units in A, { e_{ij} } $0 \le i,j < \Omega$. Then A is Ω -complete.

In fact, assume that A is not Ω -complete. Then there is a first ordinal β , $\beta \leq \Omega$, such that A is not β -complete. So, for $\alpha < \beta$, A is α -complete. So, by the above lemma,

 $e_{00}^{}Ae_{00}^{}$ is $\beta\text{-dilatable}$ in A. Then, by Lemma 3, A is $\beta\text{-complete}.$ This is a contradiction. So A must be $\Omega\text{-}$ complete.

Corollary l([1]). Let A be a properly infinite AW*-algebra. Then A is monotone σ -complete.

Since A has a countable system of matrix units, A is $\omega\text{-complete}$. So, A is monotone $\sigma\text{-complete}$.

Now we are in the position to discuss about normality in properly infinite AW^* -algebras.

Theorem 3. Let A be a properly infinite AW*-algebra whose centre, Z, is locally countably decomposable. Then A is normal.

Outline of the proof. (See [6].)

Let A be an infinite AW*-factor. Let Π be an infinite set of orthogonal projections in A. Let \mathcal{K} be the cardinality of Π . Then A is \mathcal{K} -complete. Since A is monotone σ -complete, there is nothing further to prove if Π is countable. So let us suppose Π to be uncountably infinite.

We may decompose Π into a family of disjoint set $\{\ \Pi_{\lambda}\ \big|\ \lambda\ \epsilon\ \Lambda\ \},\ \text{where each}\ \Pi_{\lambda}\ \text{is of the same cardinality as}\ \Pi,$

and where $\#\Pi = \#\Lambda$. Let $p_{\lambda} = \Sigma\Pi_{\lambda}$. Then $\{p_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda \}$ is an orthogonal family of non-zero projections. We shall show that each p_i is infinite. Suppose that p_i is finite for some i. Then p_iAp_i is a finite AW*-factor and so it is σ -finite. II_{i} is uncountable. This is a contradiction. So all p, are infinite. Since A is infinite, there exists a minimal infinite projection e_0 in A such that $e_0 \lesssim p_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. So there is a set II' of mutually orthogonal family of projections $\{e_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ such that $e_{\lambda} \sim e_{0}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. By Zorn's lemma, Π' can be extended to a maximal collection Γ mutually orthogonal infinite projections, each of which is AW^* -algebras, one can find a # Γ -homogeneous partition of 1 in A. Hence we can construct $\#\Gamma$ -system of matrix units { $e_{i,i}$ | ij ϵ Γ } in A such that $e_{ii} \sim 1$ for all i. Since $\#\Lambda \leq \#\Gamma$, A must be $\#\Lambda$ -complete, and so Π is well-behaved. For the general case, see [6].

References

[1] E. Christensen and G.K. Pedersen, Properly infinite AW*-algebras are monotone sequentially complete, Bull. London Math. Soc., 16(1984), 407-410.

- [2] M. Hamana, Regular embeddings of C*-algebras in monotone complete C*-algebras, J. Math. Soc. of Japan, 33(1981) 159-183.
- [3] I. Kaplansky, Algebras of Type I, Ann. of Math., 56(1952) 460-472.
- [4] K. Saitô, On normal AW*-algebras, Tôhoku Math. J., 33(1981) 567-572.
- [5] and J.D.M. Wright, Normal AW*-algebras, Procedings of the Japan-U.S. Joint Seminar: Mappings of Operator Algebras, University of Pennsylvania, 1988, Progress in Mathematics, 84(1990) 305-307.
- [6] and _____, All AW*-factors are normal, To appear in J. London Math. Soc..
- [7] J. D.M. Wright, Normal AW*-algebras, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, 85A(1980) 137-141.
- [8] _____, Regular σ -completions of C*-algebras, J. London Math. Soc., 12(1976) 299-309.

Mathematical Institute Tôhoku University, Sendai 980, Japan