

## Galois representations attached to Drinfeld modules

都立大理 田口 雄一郎 ( Yuichiro Taguchi )

In the talk, I announced some results on Galois representations attached to Drinfeld modules ( see §1 below ) and sketched the proof of the finiteness theorem (1.2). In this note, I will show how a theorem of Fontaine (Théorème 1 of [4] ) can be modified ( §3 ) so as to work in the course of the proof of Theorem (1.3).

### 1. Results and proofs

In this section, let  $K$  be an algebraic function field in one variable over a finite field. Fix once for all a place  $\infty$  of  $K$ , and let  $A$  be the ring of elements of  $K$  which are regular outside  $\infty$ .

Let  $F$  be a field of finite type over  $A$ , i.e., a field  $F$  which is endowed with a ring homomorphism  $\gamma : A \rightarrow F$  and is finitely generated over  $\text{Im}(\gamma)$  as a field. We say that the “characteristic” of  $F$  is *infinite* if  $\gamma$  is injective and *finite* if  $\text{Ker}(\gamma)$  is a non-zero prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$ , and write “char”(  $F$  ) =  $\infty$  or  $\mathfrak{p}$  accordingly.

Given a Drinfeld module  $\phi$  over  $F$  of rank  $r$ , one can attach the  $v$ -adic Tate module  $T_v(\phi)$  for any non-zero prime ideal  $v \neq \text{“char”}(F)$ . This is a free  $A_v$ -module (  $A_v$  is the  $v$ -adic completion of  $A$  ) of rank  $r$  on which the absolute Galois group  $\text{Gal}(F^{sep}/F)$  acts continuously. For fundamentals of Drinfeld modules, see [1] and [2]. ( See also [5] in this volume. )

Denote by  $K_v$  the fraction field of  $A_v$ . Our main result is:

**THEOREM (1.1)** ( [6], [7] ). Assume  $F$  is a finite extension of  $K$  or “char”(  $F$  ) is finite. Let  $\phi$  be a Drinfeld module over  $F$ . Then for any non-zero prime ideal  $v$  of  $A$  different from “char”(  $F$  ),  $T_v(\phi) \otimes_{A_v} K_v$  is a semi-simple  $K_v[\text{Gal}(F^{sep}/F)]$ -module.

This follows ( [6], Appendix ) from

**THEOREM (1.2)** ( [6], [7] ). Let  $F$ ,  $\phi$  and  $v$  be as in (1.1). For any  $\text{Gal}(F^{sep}/F)$ -stable  $A_v$ -direct summand of  $T_v(\phi)$ , to which corresponds a sequence  $\phi \rightarrow \phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2 \rightarrow \dots$  of isogenies of Drinfeld modules over  $F$ , there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of Drinfeld modules in  $\{ \phi_n ; n \geq 1 \}$ .

*Remark.* The assumption that the extension  $F/K$  is finite ( when “char”(  $F$  ) =  $\infty$  ) should be removed, but I have not yet checked it.

The proof of (1.2) goes in a similar way as in Zarhin [8] and Faltings [3], and uses the theory of *modular heights*. In the infinite “characteristic” case, the Arakelov theoretic arguments and the study of  $\pi$ -divisible groups are needed. For details, see [6] and [7].

Now we restrict ourselves to the case where  $F$  is a finite extension of  $K$ . Then for a Drinfeld module  $\phi$  over  $F$ , we can define the “discriminant”  $\Delta(\phi)$  of  $\phi$  ([7], §6), which is an ideal of the integral closure  $R$  of  $A$  in  $F$ .

**THEOREM (1.3)** ([7], §6). *Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be a non-zero ideal of  $R$  and  $\mathfrak{v}$  a non-zero prime ideal of  $A$ . Then there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of Galois representations  $T_{\mathfrak{v}}(\phi) \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{v}}} K_{\mathfrak{v}}$  arising from Drinfeld modules  $\phi$  over  $F$  with  $\Delta(\phi) | \mathfrak{n}$ .*

In the case of abelian varieties, the corresponding theorem ([3], Satz 5) holds under a weaker restriction (i.e. “ $\text{Supp}(\Delta(\phi)) \subset \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{n})$ ” replacing “ $\Delta(\phi) | \mathfrak{n}$ ”). But it is unlikely that we can weaken the restriction in our case because of the lack of the Hermite-Minkovski theorem for function fields. So the proof of our theorem requires an estimate of the differentials of field extensions arising from division points of Drinfeld modules:

**PROPOSITION (1.4)** ([7], §6). *Let  $\phi$  be a Drinfeld module over  $F$  of rank  $r$ , and let  $a \in A - 0$ . Then we have the following inequality of divisors (denoted additively) of  $F$ :*

$$\mathfrak{D}(F(\phi; a)/F) \leq r \left[ (a) + \delta(r, a) q^{r \deg(a) - 2} \Delta(\phi) + (q^r - 2) \cdot \infty \right],$$

where  $F(\phi; a)$  is the field of  $a$ -division points of  $\phi/F$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}(/)$  the different,  $q$  the cardinality of the constant field of  $K$ ,  $\deg(a) := \log_q \#(A/aA)$ , and  $\delta(r, a) := (q^{r \deg(a)} - 1)/(q - 1)$ .

The estimate of the different is performed separately at each infinite or finite place of  $F$ . In the case of infinite places, a “successive minimum base” of an  $A$ -lattice is used ([7], (6.6)). The case of finite places is easy ([7], (6.4) and (6.5)), but it would be interesting to give a general statement (Theorem (3.4) below), which can be regarded as a higher dimensional generalization of (6.4) of [7].

## 2. The Taylor expansion

This section is a preliminary for §3.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $R[[X]] = R[[X_1, \dots, X_h]]$  the ring of formal power series over  $R$  in  $h$  variables. For a multi-index  $n = (n_1, \dots, n_h) \in \mathbb{N}^h$  ( $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of natural numbers including 0), we define a “differential operator”  $\frac{\delta^n}{\delta X^n}$  as follows:

If  $f(X) = \sum a_m X^m = \sum a_{m_1, \dots, m_h} X_1^{m_1} \dots X_h^{m_h} \in R[[X]]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta^n}{\delta X^n} f(X) &:= \sum a_m \binom{m}{n} X^{m-n} \\ &= \sum a_{m_1, \dots, m_h} \binom{m_1}{n_1} \dots \binom{m_h}{n_h} X_1^{m_1-n_1} \dots X_h^{m_h-n_h}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\binom{m}{n} = \binom{m_1}{n_1} \dots \binom{m_h}{n_h}$  is the “multi-binomial coefficient” with  $\binom{m_i}{n_i} := 0$  if  $n_i > m_i$ .

*Remarks* (2.1). (1)  $\frac{\delta^n}{\delta X^n}$  is  $R$ -linear.

(2)  $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial X^n} = n! \frac{\delta^n}{\delta X^n}$  (where  $n! := n_1! \dots n_h!$ ) is the usual differential operator, and  $\frac{\delta^n}{\delta X^n} = \frac{1}{n!} \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta X} \right)^n$  if  $n!$  is invertible in  $R$ . In particular, we have  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X} = \frac{\delta}{\delta X}$ .

(3) For  $f(X) \in R[[X]]$ , put  $f_Y(X) := f(X + Y) \in R[[X, Y]] = R[[X]][[Y]]$ . We have

$$\frac{\delta^n}{\delta X^n} f_Y(X) = \left( \frac{\delta^n}{\delta X^n} f \right)(X + Y) \quad \text{in } R[[X, Y]].$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{\delta^n}{\delta X^n} (fg) = \sum_{k+l=n} \left( \frac{\delta^k}{\delta X^k} f \right) \left( \frac{\delta^l}{\delta X^l} g \right) \quad \text{for } f, g \in R[[X]].$$

(5) Let  $S$  be an  $R$ -algebra and  $I$  an ideal of  $S$ . Assume  $S$  is complete with respect to the  $I$ -adic topology. If  $f(X) \in R[[X]]$  has the value  $f(x) \in S$  at a point  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_h) \in S^h$ , then  $\frac{\delta^n}{\delta X^n} f(X)$  also has the value  $\frac{\delta^n}{\delta X^n} f(x)$  at  $x$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^h$ .

**PROPOSITION** (2.2). For  $f(X) \in R[[X]]$ , we have the formal Taylor expansion (or rather, the binomial expansion)

$$(2.2.1) \quad f(X + Y) = \sum_{|n| \geq 0} \frac{\delta^n}{\delta X^n} f(X) \cdot Y^n \quad \text{in } R[[X, Y]].$$

If  $f(X)$  has the value  $f(x) \in S$  at  $x \in S^h$  and  $y$  is an element of  $I^h$ , then  $f(x+y) \in S$  also exists and we have

$$(2.2.2) \quad f(x+y) = \sum_{|n| \geq 0} \frac{\delta^n}{\delta X^n} f(x) \cdot y^n \quad \text{in } S.$$

*Proof.* Write  $f(X+Y) = \sum a_n(X)Y^n$  with  $a_n(X) \in R[[X]]$ . Applying  $\frac{\delta^n}{\delta X^n}$  to both sides and reducing modulo  $Y$ , we obtain ( cf. Remark (2.1), (3) )

$$\frac{\delta^n}{\delta Y^n} f(X) = a_n(X)$$

and hence (2.2.1).

The latter half of the Proposition is obvious.

### 3. Estimate of differentials

First we recall Fontaine's numbering of the ramification groups of a local field and some of his results ( [4], §1 ). Throughout this section, if  $L$  is a discrete valuation field,  $\mathfrak{O}_L$  ( resp.  $\mathfrak{m}_L$ , resp.  $k_L$  ) denotes the integer ring of  $L$  ( resp. the maximal ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_L$ , resp. the residue field  $\mathfrak{O}_L/\mathfrak{m}_L$  ).

In the following,  $K$  is a complete discrete valuation field with perfect residue field  $k$  of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . Let  $v_K$  denote the valuation on  $K$  normalized by  $v_K(K^\times) = \mathbb{Z}$ , and also its unique extension to any algebraic extension of  $K$ . If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a subset of an algebraic extension of  $K$ , we put  $v_K(\mathfrak{a}) := \inf\{v_K(x); x \in \mathfrak{a}\}$ .

For a finite Galois extension  $L/K$ , Fontaine defines a lower ( resp. upper ) filtration  $G_{(i)}$  ( resp.  $G^{(u)}$  ) (  $i, u \in \mathbb{R}$  ) on the Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , which is connected with the usual filtration  $G_i$  ( resp.  $G^u$  ) defined in Chapitre IV of [Corps locaux] by

$$G_i = G_{((i+1)/e)}, \quad \text{resp.} \quad G^u = G^{(u+1)},$$

where  $e = e_{L/K}$  is the ramification index of  $L/K$ .

He also defines a real number  $i_{L/K}$  ( resp.  $u_{L/K}$  ), which is characterized as the largest real number  $i$  ( resp.  $u$  ) such that  $G_{(i)} \neq 1$  ( resp.  $G^{(u)} \neq 1$  ).  $i_{L/K}$  and  $u_{L/K}$  are connected by

$$u_{L/K} = \int_0^{i_{L/K}} (G_{(x)} : 1) dx.$$

Then he proves the following

PROPOSITION (3.1). Let  $L$  be a finite Galois extension of  $K$ .

(1) ([4], 1.3) Let  $\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}$  be the different of the extension  $L/K$ . We have

$$v_K(\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}) = u_{L/K} - i_{L/K}.$$

(2) ([4], 1.5) For a real number  $m \geq 0$ , consider the following property  $(P_m)$  on the extension  $L/K$ :

$$(P_m) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For any algebraic extension } E \text{ of } K, \text{ if there exists} \\ \text{an } \mathfrak{D}_K\text{-algebra homomorphism : } \mathfrak{D}_L \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_E/\mathfrak{a}_{E/K}^m \\ \text{( where } \mathfrak{a}_{E/K}^m := \{x \in \mathfrak{D}_E; v_K(x) \geq m\} \text{ ),} \\ \text{then there exists a } K\text{-embedding : } L \hookrightarrow E. \end{array} \right.$$

Then

- (i) if  $m > u_{L/K}$ ,  $L/K$  has the property  $(P_m)$ ;
- (ii) if  $L/K$  has the property  $(P_m)$ , we have  $m > u_{L/K} - e_{L/K}^{-1}$ .

Now we shall refine Fontaine's Proposition 1.7 of [4] as follows. The main point is that it works, *mutatis mutandis*, even in positive characteristics.

PROPOSITION (3.2). Let  $B$  be a finite flat  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ -algebra which is locally of complete intersection over  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ . Suppose that there exists an element  $a \in \mathfrak{D}_K$  such that  $\Omega_{B/\mathfrak{D}_K}^1$  is a flat  $(B/aB)$ -module.

(i) Let  $S$  be a finite flat  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ -algebra and  $I$  an ideal of  $S$ . Suppose either the  $S$ -submodule  $a^{-1}I^{p-1}$  of  $K \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}_K} S$  is topologically nilpotent ( i.e.  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} (a^{-1}I^{p-1})^n = 0$  ), or  $I$  has a PD-structure such that  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} I^{[n]} = 0$ .

(a) For any  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ -algebra homomorphism  $u : B \rightarrow S/aI$ , there exists an  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ -algebra homomorphism  $\hat{u} : B \rightarrow S$  which is uniquely determined by  $u(\text{mod.}I)$  and makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{u} & S/aI \\ \hat{u} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \longrightarrow & S/I. \end{array}$$

(b) The canonical map of sets

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}_K\text{-alg}}(B, S) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}_K\text{-alg}}(B, S/I)$$

is injective.

(ii) The  $K$ -algebra  $B_K := K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} B$  is étale. Let  $L$  be the smallest subfield of a separable closure  $K^{sep}$  of  $K$  which contains the images  $u(B)$  for all  $u \in \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(B_K, K^{sep})$ . Then  $L/K$  is a finite Galois extension and  $u_{L/K} \leq v_K(a) + \frac{1}{p-1} \cdot \min\{v_K(a), v_K(p)\}$ .

The proof is essentially the same as the original one due to Fontaine, but we reproduce his proof here for the convenience of the reader.

*Proof.* (i),(a): We may and do suppose  $B$  is a local ring, because  $B$  is the product of a finite number of local rings. Let  $\mathfrak{m}_B$  be the maximal ideal of  $B$ . Replacing  $K$  by an unramified extension if necessary, we may also suppose  $B/\mathfrak{m}_B = k$ , the residue field of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

Then  $\Omega_{B/\mathcal{O}_K}^1$  is a free  $(B/aB)$ -module. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_h$  be elements of  $\mathfrak{m}_B$  the images of which form a  $k$ -base of  $\mathfrak{m}_B/(\mathfrak{m}_B^2 + \mathfrak{m}_K B)$ . We see from the definition of differential modules that  $dx_1, \dots, dx_h$  generate  $\Omega_{B/\mathcal{O}_K}^1$ , and further, they form a  $(B/aB)$ -base of  $\Omega_{B/\mathcal{O}_K}^1$  because of the canonical isomorphisms

$$\Omega_{B/\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \otimes_B B_o \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{B_o/k}^1 \quad (B_o := B/\mathfrak{m}_K B),$$

$$\mathfrak{m}_B/(\mathfrak{m}_B^2 + \mathfrak{m}_K B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{m}_{B_o}/\mathfrak{m}_{B_o}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{B_o/k}^1 \otimes_{B_o} k,$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_{B_o} = \mathfrak{m}_B/\mathfrak{m}_K B$  is the maximal ideal of  $B_o$ .

Now let

$$\alpha : \mathcal{O}_K[[X_1, \dots, X_h]] \longrightarrow B$$

be the unique continuous  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra homomorphism such that  $\alpha(X_j) = x_j$ , and let  $J := \text{Ker}(\alpha)$ . Since  $B$  is finite of complete intersection over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $J$  is generated by  $h$  elements, say  $P_1, \dots, P_h \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X_1, \dots, X_h]]$ .

For each  $i$ , we have  $\sum_j \frac{\delta P_i}{\delta X_j}(x_1, \dots, x_h) dx_j = 0$  (note  $\frac{\delta}{\delta X_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_j}$ ), which implies  $\frac{\delta P_i}{\delta X_j}(x_1, \dots, x_h) \in aB$ . Hence there are  $p_{ij} \in B$  such that  $\frac{\delta P_i}{\delta X_j}(x_1, \dots, x_h) = ap_{ij}$ . The fact that  $\Omega_{B/\mathcal{O}_K}^1$  is a free  $(B/aB)$ -module means that the free  $B$ -submodule of  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^h B dX_j$  generated by  $\sum_j \frac{\delta P_i}{\delta X_j}(x_1, \dots, x_h) dX_j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq h$ , coincides with the one generated by  $adX_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq h$ . We can therefore find  $q_{li} \in B$  such that

$$adX_l = \sum_i q_{li} \left( \sum_j \frac{\delta P_i}{\delta X_j}(x_1, \dots, x_h) dX_j \right), \quad 1 \leq l \leq h,$$

i.e.,  $a1_h = (q_{li})(ap_{ij})$ . ( $1_h$  is the unit matrix of degree  $h$ .) Since  $B$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module, we can divide both sides by  $a$ . Thus the matrix  $(p_{ij})$  is invertible in  $M_h(B)$  and  $(q_{li}) = (p_{ij})^{-1}$ .

The case of PD-ideals is proved in [4], so we suppose  $a^{-1}I^{p-1}$  is topologically nilpotent. Then the ideal  $a^{-1}I^{p-1} + I$  is also topologically nilpotent. Set  $I_n := (a^{-1}I^{p-1} + I)^{n-1}I$ ,  $n \geq 1$  (so that  $a^{-1}I_n^{p-1}$  is again topologically nilpotent, and  $S$  is canonically isomorphic to the projective limit of the system  $(S/I_n)_{n \geq 1}$ ). It is easily seen that  $I_n^p \subset aI_{2n}$  and  $I_n^2 \subset I_{2n}$ . To show the assertion, it is enough to verify:

For any integer  $n \geq 1$  and an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra homomorphism  $u : B \rightarrow S/aI_n$ , there exists an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra homomorphism  $u' : B \rightarrow S/aI_{2n}$  such that  $u'(\text{mod.}I_{2n})$  is uniquely determined by  $u(\text{mod.}I_n)$  and  $u'$  makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{u} & S/aI_n \\ u' \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S/aI_{2n} & \longrightarrow & S/I_n. \end{array}$$

In other words, writing  $I$  for  $I_n$  and  $I_2$  for  $I_{2n}$  :

For any elements  $u_1, \dots, u_h$  of  $S$  such that

$$P_i(u_1, \dots, u_h) = a\lambda_i \quad \text{with some } \lambda_i \in I \quad (1 \leq i \leq h),$$

there exist  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_h \in I$  such that  $\mu_j(\text{mod.}I_2)$  are uniquely determined by  $u_j(\text{mod.}I)$  and

$$(3.2.1) \quad P_i(u_1 + \mu_1, \dots, u_h + \mu_h) \in aI_2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq h).$$

If  $\mu_j \in I$ , we have the Taylor expansion (2.2.2)

$$(3.2.2) \quad P_i(u_1 + \mu_1, \dots, u_h + \mu_h) = a\lambda_i + \sum_j \frac{\delta P_i}{\delta X_j}(u_1, \dots, u_h)\mu_j + R_i$$

with  $R_i := \sum_{|\tau| \geq 2} \frac{\delta^\tau P_i}{\delta X^\tau}(u_1, \dots, u_h)$ .

For any element  $P \in J$ , we have  $\frac{\delta P}{\delta X_j}(x_1, \dots, x_h) \in aB$ , i.e.

$$\frac{\delta P}{\delta X_j}(X_1, \dots, X_h) \in a\mathcal{O}_K[[X_1, \dots, X_h]] + J.$$

If  $|\tau| \geq 1$  and  $\tau!$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , we see inductively (cf. Remark (2.1), (2))

$$\frac{\delta^\tau P}{\delta X^\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_h) \in a\mathcal{O}_K[[X_1, \dots, X_h]] + J,$$

so

$$\frac{\delta^r P}{\delta X^r}(u_1, \dots, u_h) \in aS + aI = aS.$$

Since  $I^2 \subset I_2$ , we have

$$\frac{\delta^r P}{\delta X^r}(u_1, \dots, u_h) \cdot \mu^r \in aI_2,$$

if  $|r| \geq 2$  and  $r!$  is invertible in  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ .

On the other hand, we have  $\mu^r \in I^{|r|} \subset I^p \subset aI_2$  if  $p$  divides  $r!$ , and  $\frac{\delta^r P}{\delta X^r}(u_1, \dots, u_h)$  are always in  $S$  ( Remark (2.1), (5) ). Thus we have

$$(3.2.3) \quad R_i \in aI_2.$$

Take an element  $P_{ij} \in \mathfrak{O}_K[[X_1, \dots, X_h]]$  such that  $\alpha(P_{ij}) = p_{ij} \in B$  for each  $(i, j)$ . We have

$$\frac{\delta P_i}{\delta X_j}(x_1, \dots, x_h) = ap_{ij},$$

i.e.  $\frac{\delta P_i}{\delta X_j} = aP_{ij} + R_{ij}$  with some  $R_{ij} \in J$ , from which follows the congruence

$$\frac{\delta P_i}{\delta X_j}(u_1, \dots, u_h) \equiv aP_{ij}(u_1, \dots, u_h) \pmod{aI},$$

and

$$(3.2.4) \quad \frac{\delta P_i}{\delta X_j}(u_1, \dots, u_h) \cdot \mu_j \equiv aP_{ij}(u_1, \dots, u_h) \cdot \mu_j \pmod{aI_2}.$$

Putting (3.2.3) and (3.2.4) into (3.2.2), we have

$$P_i(u_1 + \mu_1, \dots, u_h + \mu_h) \equiv a(\lambda_i + \sum_j P_{ij}(u_1, \dots, u_h) \cdot \mu_j) \pmod{aI_2}.$$

Since  $S$  is flat over  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ , the condition (3.2.1) for  $\mu_j$  is now equivalent to

$$\lambda_i + \sum_j P_{ij}(u_1, \dots, u_h) \cdot \mu_j \equiv 0 \pmod{I_2}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq h.$$

Since the matrix  $(p_{ij}) = (P_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_h))$  is invertible, the matrix  $(P_{ij}(u_1, \dots, u_h))$  is invertible modulo  $aI$ . Now the existence of  $\mu_j \in I$  satisfying (3.2.1) is clear. Moreover  $u_j \pmod{I}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq h$ , determine



$\mu_j(\text{mod. } I_2)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq h$ , uniquely, because they determine  $\lambda_i \equiv 0 \pmod{I}$  and  $P_{ij}(u_1, \dots, u_h) \pmod{I}$  uniquely and  $I^2 \subset I_2$ .

Part (b) of (i) follows immediately from Part (a).

*Proof of (ii):* Since  $B_K$  is finite over  $K$  and  $\Omega_{B_K/K}^1 = K \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}_K} \Omega_{B/\mathfrak{D}_K}^1 = 0$ ,  $B_K$  is étale over  $K$ . So we can write  $B_K = \prod_{s=1}^t L_s$ , where  $L_s$  are finite separable extensions of  $K$  assumed to be contained in  $K^{\text{sep}}$ , a fixed separable closure of  $K$ . Then  $L$  is the composition of the Galois closures in  $K^{\text{sep}}$  of  $L_s/K$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, t$ . Hence  $L/K$  is a Galois extension.

If  $a$  is a unit, then  $\Omega_{B/\mathfrak{D}_K}^1 = 0$ ,  $B$  is étale over  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ ,  $L/K$  is unramified, and  $u_{L/K} = 0$ .

Suppose  $a \in \mathfrak{m}_K$ . We will show that  $L/K$  has the property  $(P_m)$  for any  $m > v_K(a) + \varepsilon$  with  $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{p-1} \cdot \min\{v_K(a), v_K(p)\}$ .

Writing  $J(E) := \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}_K\text{-alg}}(B, \mathfrak{D}_E)$  for a finite extension  $E$  of  $K$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} J(E) &= \text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(B_K, E) \\ &= \prod_{s=1}^t \{K\text{-embeddings } : L_s \hookrightarrow E\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have  $\#\{K\text{-embeddings } : L_s \hookrightarrow E\} \leq [L_s : K]$  and the equality holds if and only if  $E$  contains a subfield which is  $K$ -isomorphic to the Galois closure of  $L_s/K$  in  $K^{\text{sep}}$ . Hence we have

$$\#J(E) \leq \#J(L)$$

and the equality holds if and only if there exists a  $K$ -embedding  $: L \hookrightarrow E$ . So it suffices to show :

If there exists an  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\eta : \mathfrak{D}_L \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}_E / \mathfrak{a}_{E/K}^m \quad \text{with } m > v_K(a) + \varepsilon,$$

then we have  $\#J(E) \leq \#J(L)$ .

Noticing that  $\mathfrak{a}_{E/K}^m$  is of the form  $aI$  with an ideal  $I$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_E$  which satisfies the assumption of Part (i), we can define, by (a) of (i), a map

$$J(L) \longrightarrow J(E) \quad ; \quad u \longmapsto u^\eta,$$

where  $u^\eta$  is the unique element of  $J(E)$  which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\eta \circ u} & \mathfrak{D}_E / aI \\ u^\eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{D}_E & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{D}_E / I. \end{array}$$

It suffices now to show that this map is injective.

To see what the kernel  $I'$  of the composition

$$\mathfrak{O}_L \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathfrak{O}_E/aI \xrightarrow{\text{canon.}} \mathfrak{O}_E/I$$

is, let  $K'$  be the maximum unramified extension of  $K$  contained in  $L$ . Then there exists a unique  $K$ -embedding  $: K' \hookrightarrow E$  for which  $\eta$  is an  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ -algebra homomorphism, because  $\mathfrak{O}_{K'}$  is formally étale over  $\mathfrak{O}_K$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a prime element of  $\mathfrak{O}_L$  and let  $P$  be the monic minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathfrak{O}_{K'}$ . Since  $L/K'$  is totally ramified,  $P$  is an Eisenstein polynomial;

$$P(X) = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + X^n,$$

with  $a_i \in \mathfrak{O}_{K'}$ ,  $v_K(a_i) \geq 1$ ,  $v_K(a_0) = 1$ , and  $n = e_{L/K} = [L : K']$ . If  $\beta$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{O}_E$  with  $\beta(\text{mod. } aI) = \eta(\alpha)$ , we must have  $P(\beta) \in aI$ . Comparing the valuations of  $P(\beta)$  and its terms, we see  $v_K(\beta) = v_K(\alpha) = 1/n$ . Thus the kernel  $I'$  is  $\{x \in \mathfrak{O}_L; v_K(x) \geq m - v_K(a)\}$ , which satisfies the assumption of Part (i).

If  $u, v \in J(L)$  and  $u^n = v^n$ , we have  $\eta \circ u \equiv \eta \circ v \pmod{I}$  and  $u \equiv v \pmod{I'}$ , from which we obtain  $u = v$  by Part (b) of (i). Thus  $L/K$  has the property  $(P_m)$ .

By Proposition (3.1),(2),(ii), we have  $m > u_{L/K} - e_{L/K}^{-1}$  if  $m > v_K(a) + \varepsilon$ . Hence  $u_{L/K} \leq v_K(a) + \varepsilon + e_{L/K}^{-1}$ .

If  $e_{L/K}$  is prime to  $p$ ,  $L/K$  is tamely ramified and

$$u_{L/K} = 1 \leq v_K(a) + \varepsilon.$$

Suppose  $p$  divides  $e_{L/K}$ , and let  $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . Then  $e_{L/K}u_{L/K}$  is an integer divisible by  $p$ , because  $u_{L/K} = \int_0^{i_{L/K}} (G_{(x)} : 1) dx$ ,  $p | (G_{(x)} : 1)$  if  $x \leq i_{L/K}$ , and  $G_{(x)}$  may "jump" only at points  $x \in e_{L/K}^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence the inequality

$$(p-1)e_{L/K}u_{L/K} \leq (p-1)e_{L/K}v_K(a) + e_{L/K}(p-1)\varepsilon + (p-1),$$

where the terms except  $(p-1)$  are integers divisible by  $p$ , implies  $u_{L/K} \leq v_K(a) + \varepsilon$ .

**COROLLARY (3.3).** *Let the notation and hypothesis be as in Proposition (3.2), and let  $\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}$  be the different of the extension  $L/K$ . Then we have  $v_K(\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}) < v_K(a) + \frac{1}{p-1} \min\{v_K(a), v_K(p)\}$  unless  $v_K(\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $L/K$  is unramified, then  $v_K(\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}) = 0$ . If not, we have  $i_{L/K} > 0$  and ( Proposition (3.1),(1) )

$$v_K(\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}) = u_{L/K} - i_{L/K} < u_{L/K} \leq v_K(a) + \frac{1}{p-1} \min\{v_K(a), v_K(p)\}.$$

**THEOREM (3.4).** *Let  $A$  be a complete discrete valuation ring with finite residue field, and fix a prime element  $\pi$  of  $A$ . Let  $K$  be a local field of "mixed characteristic" over  $A$ , i.e., a complete discrete valuation field  $K$  with perfect residue field which is endowed with an injective ring homomorphism  $A \rightarrow K$  inducing a local homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$ . Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and  $J$  a finite flat  $\pi$ -module scheme over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  ([7], §1) such that the invariant differential module  $\omega_J$  of  $J$  is a free  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^n \mathcal{O}_K)$ -module. (A typical example of such a  $\pi$ -module is the kernel of  $\pi^n$  on a  $\pi$ -divisible group (loc. cit.)). Let  $u_o := nv_K(\pi) + \frac{1}{p-1} \min\{nv_K(\pi), v_K(p)\}$ ,  $H$  the kernel of the action of  $G = \text{Gal}(K^{sep}/K)$  on  $J(K^{sep})$ ,  $L := (K^{sep})^H$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_{L/K}$  the different of the extension  $L/K$ . Then we have  $G^{(u)} \subset H$  for all  $u > u_o$ , and  $v_K(\mathcal{D}_{L/K}) < u_o$ .*

*Proof.* Replacing  $K$  by its maximum unramified extension, we may suppose the residue field  $k$  of  $K$  is algebraically closed. Then the general theory of group schemes says that the affine ring  $B$  of  $J$  is locally of complete intersection. Since  $\Omega_{B/\mathcal{O}_K}^1 = B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \omega_J$  is a free  $(B/\pi^n B)$ -module, we can apply Proposition (3.2) and Corollary (3.3) with  $a = \pi^n$  and obtain the theorem.

*Remark (3.5).* In some simple cases, direct calculations yield sharper results. For example, let  $A$  and  $\pi$  be as above,  $F$  the fraction field of  $A$ , and  $F_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , the field of  $\pi^n$ -division points of a Lubin-Tate group over  $A$  associated with  $\pi$ . If  $L/K = F_m/F_n$  with  $m > n$ , we have

$$u_{L/K} = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ q^n + (m - n - 1)q^{n-1}(q - 1), & \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$v_K(\mathcal{D}_{L/K}) = [L : K] [\min\{m, v_F(q) + q^{1-m}\} - q^{n-m+1}/(q - 1)].$$

### References

- [1] V.G. Drinfeld : Elliptic modules, Math. USSR. Sb. 23(1974), 561 - 592
- [2] V.G. Drinfeld : Elliptic modules II, Math. USSR. Sb. 31(1977), 159 - 170
- [3] G. Faltings : Entlichkeitssätze für Abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern, Inv. Math. 73(1983), 349 - 366
- [4] J.-M. Fontaine : Il n'y a pas de variété abélienne sur  $\mathbb{Z}$ , Inv. Math. 81(1985), 515 - 538
- [5] 浜畑 芳紀 : Drinfeld 加群 に同伴する Tate 加群 について, (this volume)

- [6] Y. Taguchi : Semi-simplicity of the Galois representations attached to Drinfeld modules over fields of "finite characteristics",  
( to appear in Duke Math. J. )
- [7] Y. Taguchi : Semi-simplicity of the Galois representations attached to Drinfeld modules over fields of "infinite characteristics",  
( preprint )
- [8] Yu. Zarhin : Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over fields of finite characteristics, Math. USSR. Izv. 9(1975), 255 - 260

Tokyo Metropolitan University, Hachioji, Tokyo, 192-03 JAPAN