

A Note On Subcontinua of  $\beta[0, \infty) - [0, \infty)$

Jian-Ping Zhu (朱建平)

Abstract. Let  $M = \sum_{n \in \omega} I_n$  be the topological sum of countably many copies of the unit interval  $I$ . For any ultrafilter  $u \in \omega^*$ , we let  $M^u = \bigcap \{cl_{\beta M}(\bigcup \{I_n : n \in A\}) : A \in u\}$ . It is well-known that  $M^u$  is a decomposable continuum with a very nice internal structure (See Mioduszewski[7], Smith[10] and Zhu[11]). In this paper, we show

- (1) *Every nondegenerate subcontinuum of  $\beta[0, \infty) - [0, \infty)$  contains a copy of  $M^u$  for some  $u \in \omega^*$ ;*
- (2) *There is no non-trivial simple point in Laver's model for Borel conjecture.*

The second answers a question posed by Baldwin and Smith[1] negatively.

1980 Math. Subj. Class(1985 Revision): 54D40, 54F20, 03E35.

Key Words: Stone-Cech remainder, Laver real, continuum.

§0. Introduction. In this paper, we study subcontinua of the Stone-Cech compactification of the reals. We refer to [7] and [11] for background on this topics. Let  $M = \sum_{n \in \omega} I_n$  be the topological sum of countably many copies of the unit interval. For any ultrafilter  $u \in \omega^*$ , we let  $M^u = \bigcap \{cl_{\beta M}(\bigcup \{I_n : n \in A\}) : A \in u\}$ . It is not difficult to prove that  $M^u$  is a continuum (See, for example, [4]). If we let  $i: M \rightarrow \omega$  be the map defined by  $i(r) = n$  for any  $r \in I_n$  and  $\beta i: \beta M \rightarrow \beta \omega$  be the extension of  $i$ , it is easy to see that  $M^u = \beta i^{-1}(u)$ . So every subcontinuum of  $\beta M - M$ , therefore, every proper subcontinuum of  $\beta[0, \infty) - [0, \infty)$ , can be embedded into  $M^u$  for some  $u \in \omega^*$ . Moreover, we have

*Theorem 1. Every nondegenerate subcontinua of  $\beta[0, \infty) - [0, \infty)$  contains a copy of  $M^u$  for some  $u \in \omega^*$ .*

For any map  $f \in {}^\omega I$  and  $u \in \omega^*$ , let  $f^u = \{F \subset M : F \text{ is closed and } \{n : f(n) \in F \cap I_n\} \in u\}$  and  $P^u = \{f^u : f \in {}^\omega I\}$ . It is well known that  $f^u$  is a cut point of  $M^u$  if  $\{n \in \omega : f(n) \neq 0, 1\} \in u$  ((1) in [7]). It is also well known that there are many indecomposable subcontinua with cardinalities  $2^c$  in  $M^u$  for any  $u \in \omega^*$  ((19) in [7]). Therefore, by our Theorem 1, we have

*Corollary. (a) Every subcontinuum of  $\beta[0, \infty) - [0, \infty)$  contains an indecomposable subcontinuum;*

*(b)  $\beta[0, \infty)$  does not contain non-degenerate hereditarily indecomposable subcontinuum.*

(a) is due to D. P. Bellamy [2]. (b) was proved by M. Smith in [9] (van Douwen also announced it in [3]). The following problem was first posed by van Douwen (See the remarks at the end of [10]).

Question 1. (van Douwen) *Is there any cut point of  $M^u$  which is not in  $P^u$ ?*

Definition 1. A point  $x \in \beta M$  is said to be (non-trivial) simple if for any  $F \ni x$  there is  $U \ni x$  such that  $U \subset F$  and  $U \cap I_n = \emptyset$  or  $U \cap I_n$  is a (non-degenerate) interval.

Fact 1. (a) (Corollary in §1 of [11]) *If  $x$  is a cut point of  $M^u$  and  $x \in P^u$ , then  $x$  is a far point of  $\beta M$ ;*

(b) (Theorem 1.1 in [11])  *$x \in M^u$  is a non-trivial simple if and only if  $x$  is a cut point of  $M^u$  and remote point of  $\beta M$ .*

The author [11] proved under CH that there is  $u \in \omega^*$  such that there is a cut point of  $M^u$  which is not simple. Baldwin and Smith [1] proved that  $MA_{\text{countable}}$  implies that there is a non-trivial simple point. They asked

Question 2. (Baldwin and Smith [1]) *Is there any non-trivial simple point in ZFC?*

Theorem 2. *There is no non-trivial simple point in Laver's model*

for Borel conjecture.

Question 1 remains open !

§1. Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $X=[0, \infty)$  and  $K \subset \beta X - X$  be a non-degenerate subcontinuum. The following lemma was proved by M. Smith in [9] for locally compact, locally connected metric spaces. We give a direct proof here.

Lemma 1.1. Let  $\{U_0, U_1, \dots, U_m\}$  be a finite open cover of  $K$  in  $\beta X$  such that  $U_i \cap K \neq \emptyset$  for any  $i \leq m$ . Then there is a closed interval  $H \subset X$  such that  $H \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$  for  $i \leq m$  and  $H \subset \cup \{U_i : i \leq m\}$ .

Proof. Let  $V = \cup \{U_0, U_1, \dots, U_m\}$  and  $V' = V \cap X$ . Then there are disjoint open intervals  $\{J_n : n \in \omega\}$  so that  $V' = \cup \{J_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Let  $A_0 = \{n \in \omega : J_n \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset\}$ ,  $V_0 = \cup \{J_n : n \in A_0\}$  and  $W_0 = \cup \{J_n : n \in A_0\}$ . We have  $K \subset W \subset (\text{cl}_{\beta X} V_0) \cup (\text{cl}_{\beta X} W_0)$  and  $(\text{cl}_{\beta X} V_0) \cap (\text{cl}_{\beta X} W_0) \subset (\text{cl}_{\beta X} \bar{V}_0) \cap (\text{cl}_{\beta X} \bar{W}_0) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} (\bar{V}_0 \cap \bar{W}_0)$ , where  $\bar{V}_0$  and  $\bar{W}_0$  are the closures of  $V_0$  and  $W_0$  in  $X$  respectively. Since  $V$  is an open neighbourhood of  $K$ , we have  $K \cap (\text{cl}_{\beta X} (\bar{V}_0 \cap \bar{W}_0)) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $K \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X} V_0$  since  $K$  is connected and  $K \cap (\text{cl}_{\beta X} V_0) \supset K \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$ .

If we let  $A_i = \{n \in \omega : J_n \cap U_j \neq \emptyset \text{ for } j \leq i\}$  and  $V_i = \cup \{J_n : n \in A_i\}$  for  $i \leq m$ , we can easily show by induction that  $K \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X} V_i$  for  $i \leq m$ . So  $A_m \neq \emptyset$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 1.1.

We take  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  be disjoint open sets of  $\beta X$  so that  $(\text{cl}_{\beta X} U_0) \cap (\text{cl}_{\beta X} U_1) = \emptyset$  and  $U_i \cap K \neq \emptyset (i=0,1)$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the

collection of closed intervals so that an interval  $[a, b]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$  if and only if the following conditions hold:

$$(1) [a, b] \cap (U_0 \cup U_1) = \emptyset \text{ and } a \neq b;$$

(2)  $\{a, b\} \subset \text{Br}(U_0 \cap X) \cup \text{Br}(U_1 \cap X)$  and  $a \in \text{Br}(U_0 \cap X)$  if and only if  $b \in \text{Br}(U_1 \cap X)$ ,

where  $\text{Br}$  denotes the boundary operation in  $X$ . Since  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} U_0$  and  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} U_1$  are disjoint,  $\mathcal{K}$  is discrete. We enumerate  $\mathcal{K}$  as  $\{J_n : n \in \omega\}$ . We need only to show that there is  $u \in \omega^*$  such that  $\bigcap \{\text{cl}_{\beta X}(\cup \{J_n : n \in A\}) : A \in u\} \subset K$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open neighbourhood base of  $K$  in  $\beta X$ . For  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , we let

$$A_U = \{n \in \omega : J_n \subset U\}.$$

By Lemma 1.1, we have  $A_U \neq \emptyset$  for  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $A_U \subset A_V$  for  $U \subset V$  and  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\{A_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  has finite intersection property. Let

$$M_{\mathcal{U}} = \bigcap \{\text{cl}_{\beta X}(\cup \{J_n : n \in A_U\}) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Then  $M_{\mathcal{U}} \subset K$ . For, if  $x \in M_{\mathcal{U}} \setminus K$ , there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $x \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} U$ . But  $x \in M_{\mathcal{U}} \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X} U$ . Note that  $\text{cl}_{\beta X}(\cup \{J_i : i \leq n\}) \cap K = \emptyset$  for  $n \in \omega$ . So if  $u$  is an ultrafilter on  $\omega$  and  $\{A_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \in u$ , then  $u \in \omega^*$  and

$$\bigcap \{\text{cl}_{\beta X}(\cup \{J_n : n \in A\}) : A \in u\} \subset K.$$

This completes the proof of our Theorem 1.

§2. Proof of Theorem 2. Recall that there is a natural partial order  $<_u$  on  $M^u$  for  $u \in \omega^*$  defined as follows:  $x <_u y$  if and only if there are  $F \in x$  and  $H \in y$  such that  $\{n \in \omega : F \cap I_n < H \cap I_n\} \in u$ , where  $F \cap I_n < H \cap I_n$  means that  $r < s$  for any  $r \in F \cap I_n$  and  $s \in H \cap I_n$ . It is easily seen that  $(P^u, <_u)$  is isomorphic to the ultrapower  $({}^\omega I / u, <_u)$ . We consider the relation  $\sim$  on  $M^u$  defined by  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $x = y$  or  $x \not<_u y$  and  $y \not<_u x$ . It is very easy to

verify that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. A  $\sim$  equivalence class i.e., a maximal pairwise incomparable subset of  $(M^u, <_u)$ , is called a layer (this definition of layers is equivalent to Mioduszewski's original one in [7], see Lemma 1.2 in [11]). It can be proved easily from Mioduszewski's [7] that if  $x$  is a cut point of  $M^u$ ,  $\{x\}$  is a layer (Lemma 1.3 in [11]). For any  $A \subset {}^\omega I$  and  $u \in \omega^*$ , we let  $A^u = \{f^u \in P^u : f \in A\}$ . We say a pair  $\mathcal{E} = (A, B)$  of subsets of  ${}^\omega I$  determines a layer  $L$  in  $M^u$  for some  $u \in \omega^*$  if the following two conditions hold:

- (1)  $A^u <_u B^u$ , i.e.,  $f^u <_u g^u$  for any  $f \in A$  and  $g \in B$ ;
- (2) for any  $x \in M^u$ ,  $x \in L$  if and only if  $f^u <_u x <_u g^u$  for any  $f \in A$  and  $g \in B$ .

If  $L = \{x\}$  is a one point layer, we also say that  $x$  is determined by  $\mathcal{E}$ . Note that every layer is determined by a pair of subsets of  ${}^\omega I$  (See [11], where we say layers are determined by gaps in  $({}^\omega I \setminus u, <_u)$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{P}_n$  be a collection of closed rational sub-intervals of the unit interval  $I$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is finite, pairwise disjoint and for any interval  $J \subset I$ , if the length of  $J$  is larger than  $1/n$ , then  $|\{H \in \mathcal{P}_n : H \subset J\}| > n$ . The following lemma is essentially Proposition 3.1 in [11].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{E} = (A, B)$  be a pair of subsets of  ${}^\omega I$  and  $A^u <_u B^u$  for some  $u \in \omega^*$ .  $\mathcal{E}$  determines a one point layer in  $M^u$  if and only if for any  $h \in {}^\omega \omega$ , there are  $f \in A$  and  $g \in B$  such that*

$$\{n \in \omega : \text{there is at most one } J \in \mathcal{P}_{h(n)} \text{ with } J \subset [f(n), g(n)]\} \in u.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we easily get

Lemma 2.2. *Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$  be models of ZFC such that there is  $r \in {}^\omega \omega \cap \mathcal{N}$  dominating every  $h \in {}^\omega \omega \cap \mathcal{M}$  i.e.,  $h(n) < r(n)$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \omega$ . Then no one point layer in  $\mathcal{N}$  is determined by a pair of subsets of  ${}^\omega I$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

Let  $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$  be the  $\omega_2$  iteration of Laver forcing with countable support and  $\mathbb{G}_{\omega_2} \mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}$ -generic over  $V$ . We assume that the continuum hypothesis holds in  $V$ . It is well-known that Laver real dominates every real in the ground model. Therefore, by Lemma 5.10 in [8] and Lemma 11 in [5], we have

Corollary 2.1. *There is no cut point in  $M^u$  determined by a pair of subsets of  ${}^\omega I$  with cardinalities  $\omega_1$  in  $V[\mathbb{G}_{\omega_2}]$  for any  $u \in \omega^*$ .*

The following lemma can be proved by modifying Miller's argument for Mathias forcing in §6 [6].

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that  $p \parallel_{\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}} "f: \omega \rightarrow I"$ . There are an extension  $q$  of  $p$  and a sequence  $\{c_n : n \in \omega\}$  of codes for closed nowhere dense set in  $V$  such that  $q \parallel_{\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2}} "f(n) \text{ belongs to the set coded by } c_n \text{ for } n \in \omega"$ .*

Since every non-trivial simple point is a remote point of  $\beta M$ , we can easily see

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $x \in M^u$  be a non-trivial simple point and  $\mathcal{E} = (A, B)$  a pair subsets of  ${}^\omega I$  determining  $x$ . Then in  $V[G_{\omega_2}]$ , for any  $u' \in \omega^*$  and  $u \subset u'$ , there is no  $f \in {}^\omega I$  such that  $[A]_{u'} < [f] < [B]_{u'}$  in  $({}^\omega I / u', <_{u'})$ .*

Now we are in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that there is a non-trivial point  $x \in M^u$  in  $V[G_{\omega_2}]$ . Then there is a pair  $\mathcal{E} = (A, B)$  of subsets of  ${}^\omega I$  determining  $x$ . By Lemma 5.10 in [8], there is  $\alpha < \omega_2$  such that in  $V[G_\alpha]$ ,  $x'$  is a non-trivial point of  $M^{u'}$  and  $\mathcal{E}' = (A', B')$  determines  $x'$ , where  $x' = x \cap V[G_\alpha]$ ,  $u' = u \cap V[G_\alpha]$ ,  $A' = A \cap V[G_\alpha]$  and  $B' = B \cap V[G_\alpha]$ . By Lemma 11 in [5] and Corollary 2.2,  $\mathcal{E}'$  determines  $x$  in  $V[G_{\omega_2}]$ . This is impossible by Lemma 2.2.



## References

- [1] S. Baldwin and M. Smith, On a possible property of far point of  $\beta[0, \infty)$ , *Topology Proceedings* 11(1986), 239-245.
- [2] D. P. Bellamy, A non-metric indecomposable continuum, *Duke Math. J.* 38(1971), 15-20.
- [3] E. K. van Douwen, Subcontinua and nonhomogeneity of  $\beta\mathbb{R}^+ - \mathbb{R}^+$ , *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* 24(1977), A-559.
- [4] ———, The number of subcontinua of the remainder of the plane, *Pacific J. Math.* 97(1981), no.2, 349-355.
- [5] R. Laver, On the consistency of Borel's conjecture, *Acta. Math.*, 137(1976), 151-169.
- [6] A. W. Miller, Some properties of measure and category, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 266(1981), 93-114.
- [7] J. Mioduszewski, On composants of  $\beta\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{R}$ , *Proc. Conf. Topology and Measure I* (Zinnowitz, 1974), ed. J. Flachsmeier, Z. Fralik, and F. Terpe, Ernst-Meritz-Arndt-Universität zu Grifwald (1978), 257-283.
- [8] S. Shelah, Proper forcing, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 940, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [9] M. Smith,  $\beta([0, \infty))$  does not contain non-degenerate hereditarily indecomposable continua, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 101(1987), 377-384.
- [10] ———, The subcontinua of  $\beta[0, \infty) - [0, \infty)$ , *Topology Proceedings*, 11(1986), 385-413.
- [11] J. P. Zhu, On indecomposable subcontinua of  $\beta[0, \infty) - [0, \infty)$ ,

Proceeding of General Topology and Geometric Topology Symposium  
(Tsukuba,1990),to appear.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Tsukuba, 305 Japan