Betti numbers of monomial ideals and its application to combinatorics

寺井直樹 (NAOKI TERAI)

Faculty of Education
Saga University

序

これは、日比孝之氏 (大阪大学理学部) との共同研究についての概説です。詳しくは、 $[T-H_2]$ 、 $[T-H_3]$ 、 $[T-H_4]$ を御覧になって下さい。

有限集合 $V = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_v\}$ に対して、頂点集合 V 上の単体的複体 (simplicial complex) Δ を次の条件 (1)、(2) を満たす $\mathbf{2}^V$ の部分集合とする。但し、 $\mathbf{2}^V$ は V の部分集合全体からなる集合とする。

(1)1 $\leq i \leq v$ に対して、 $\{x_i\} \in \Delta$ 。

(2) $\sigma \in \Delta$, $\tau \subset \sigma \Rightarrow \tau \in \Delta$.

 $\sharp(\sigma)$ で 有限集合 σ の濃度を表すことにする。 Δ の元 σ を Δ の面 (face) という。特に、 $\sharp(\sigma)=i+1$ のとき、 i-face という。 $d=\max\{\sharp(\sigma)\mid\sigma\in\Delta\}$ とおき、 Δ の次元 (dimension) を $\dim\Delta=d-1$ で定義する。

 $A=k[x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_v]$ を体 上のv変数多項式環とする。 $V=\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_v\}$ 上の単体的複体 Δ に対して A のイデアル I_Δ を次のように定義する。

$$I_{\Delta} = (x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r} | 1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r \le v, \{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \cdots, x_{i_r}\} \notin \Delta)$$

 $k[\Delta] := A/I_{\Delta}$ を Δ の Stanley-Reisner 環という。

以後、A を $\deg x_i=1$ として 次数付き環 $A=\bigoplus_{n\geq 0}A_n$ とみなす。すると、 $k[\Delta]$ もまた、自然に A 上の次数付き加群 $k[\Delta]=\bigoplus_{n\geq 0}(k[\Delta])_n$ とみなせる。

 $k[\Delta]$ の A 上の次数付き極小自由分解を

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{h_j}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_h} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} A(-j)^{\beta_{1_j}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} A \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} k[\Delta] \longrightarrow 0$$

とする。ここで、h を $k[\Delta]$ の ホモロジー次元 (homological dimension) といい、 $h=\mathrm{hd}_A(k[\Delta])$ とあらわす。このとき $v-d\leq h\leq v$ が 成り立つことが知られている。また、各 β_{i_j} を $k[\Delta]$ のベッチ数 (Betti number) という。

Stanley-Reisner 環の Betti 数は、代数的な手法からだけでなく、トポロ ジーや組合せ論の手法を用いて、研究されてきている。著名な単体的複体 の類に対して、その Stanley–Reisner 環の Betti 数を決定することは、興味 深い問題であると思われる。本稿においては、まず、§1 で cyclic 多面体と stacked 多面体についてその定義と組合せ論的性質について述べる。 それら が、組合せ論で重要なのは、頂点数と次元を固定したとき、それぞれが face の数の最大値と最小値を与えるからである。つづく§2 では、Stanley-Reisner 環の Betti 数を単体的複体のホモロジー群の言葉であらわす Hochster の公 式について説明する。この公式が、Stanley-Reisner 環の Betti 数を組合せ 論的手法を用いて研究できる基礎を与えている。以上の準備のもと、§3 と §4 で cyclic 多面体と stacked 多面体に付随する Stanley-Reisner 環の Betti 数を組合せ論的にあらわす公式を与える。そのことから、とくに、それら が基礎体 に依存しないことがわかる。最後の§5 では、極小自由分解の、 comparability graph の連結度への応用について述べる。整数 i を 1 < i < vで 固定する。1 次元単体的複体 (グラフ)Δ に対して、 Δ から任意に (i-1) 個以下の頂点 (とそれに隣接する辺 (1-face)) を取り除いても、それが連結 であるとき、 Δ は i-連結 (i-connected) であると言う。単体的複体 Δ の 1-骨格 (1-skeleton) とは

$$\Delta^{(1)} = \{ \sigma \in \Delta \; ; \; \sharp(\sigma) \le 2 \}$$

で 定まる 1 次元部分複体である。半順序集合 Pの順序複体の 1-骨格を Pの comparability graph といい、 Com(P) とあらわす。そこで、極小自由分解 の手法を用いて、ランク d-1 の非平面的分配束の comparability graph が d-連結であることを示す。

§1. Cyclic polytopes and stacked polytopes

In this section we briefly summarize the definition and basic facts of cyclic polytopes and stackd polytopes according to [Bil–Lee] and [Brø]. See those references for the detailed information.

Let \mathbf{R} denote the set of real numbers. For any subset M of the d-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^d , there is a smallest convex set containing M. We call this convex set the convex hull of M and denote it by $\operatorname{conv} M$. For $d \geq 2$ the moment curve in \mathbf{R}^d is the curve parametrized by

$$t \longmapsto x(t) := (t, t^2, \cdots, t^d), \qquad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

By a cyclic polytope C(v,d), where $v \geq d+1$ and $d \geq 2$, we mean a polytope \mathcal{P} of the form $\mathcal{P} = \text{conv}\{x(t_1), \cdots, x(t_v)\}$, where t_1, \cdots, t_v are distinct

real numbers. It is well known that C(v,d) is a simplicial d-polytope with the vertex set $\{x(t_1), \dots, x(t_v)\}$, and its face lattice is independent of the particular values of t. Therefore its boundary complex is a simplicial complex and has the same combinatorial structure for any choices of vertices. We denote it by $\Delta(C(v,d))$.

Let $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_v\}$ be the vertex set of C(v, d). Let W be a proper subset of V. A subset X of W of the form $X = \{x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j\}$ is said to be a contiguous subset of W if $i > 1, j < v, x_{i-1} \notin W$, and $x_{j+1} \notin W$. The set X is a left end-set of W if i = 1 and $x_{j+1} \notin W$, and a right end-set of W if j = v and $x_{i-1} \notin W$. We say that X is a component of W if X is a contiguous subset or an end-set of W. A subset X of W is said to be even (resp. odd) if the number of elements in X is even (resp. odd). The set W can be written uniquely in the form $W = Y_1 \cup X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_n \cup Y_2$, where X_i , $1 \le i \le n$, is a contiguous subset of W, and Y_i , i = 1, 2, is an end-set of W or an empty set. We quote two facts which are necessary later. We may abuse notation and call a subset W of V itself a face of C(v, d) if convW is a face of C(v, d).

- (1.1) **LEMMA** ([Brø, Theorem 13.7]). Let W be an m-element subset of V, where $m \leq d$. Then W is an (m-1)-face of C(v,d) if and only if the number of odd contiguous subsets of W is at most d-m.
- (1.2) **LEMMA** ([Brø, Corollary 13.8]). Let m be an integer such that $1 \le m \le \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$. Then all m-element subsets of V are (m-1)-faces of C(v,d).

Now we define a stacked polytope inductively. Starting with a d-simplex, one can add new vertices by building shallow pyramids over facets to obtain a simplicial convex d-polytope with v vertices, called a stacked polytope.

UPPER BOUND AND LOWER BOUND THEOREM. Let \mathcal{P} be a d-dimensional simplicial polytope with v vetices. Let C (resp. S) be a d-dimensional cyclic (resp. stacked) polytope with v vetices. Then we have

$$f_i(S) \le f_i(\mathcal{P}) \le f_i(C),$$

where $f_i(\mathcal{P})$ stands for the number of i-facces of a polytope \mathcal{P} .

§2. Hochster's formula

Given a subset W of V, the restriction of Δ to W is the subcomplex

$$\Delta_W = \{ \sigma \in \Delta \mid \sigma \subset W \}$$

of Δ . In particular, $\Delta_V = \Delta$ and $\Delta_\emptyset = {\emptyset}$.

Let $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta; k)$ denote the *i*-th reduced simplicial homology group of Δ with the coefficient field k. Note that $\tilde{H}_{-1}(\Delta; k) = 0$ if $\Delta \neq \{\emptyset\}$ and

$$\tilde{H}_{i}(\{\emptyset\};k) = \begin{cases} 0 & (i \geq 0) \\ k & (i = -1). \end{cases}$$

Hochster's formula [Hoc, Theorem (5.1)] is that

$$\beta_{i_j} = \sum_{W \subset V, \ \sharp(W) = j} \dim_k \tilde{H}_{j-i-1}(\Delta_W; k).$$

Thus, in particular,

$$\beta_i^A(k[\Delta]) = \sum_{W \subset V} \dim_k \tilde{H}_{\sharp(W)-i-1}(\Delta_W; k).$$

Some combinatorial and algebraic applications of Hochster's formula have been studied. See, e.g., [Bac], [B-H₁], [B-H₂], [Mun], [H₂], [H₃], [H₄], [H₅], and [T-H₁].

§3. Betti numbers of Stanley-Reisner rings associated with cyclic polytopes

In this section we compute the Betti numbers of a minimal free resolution of the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta(C(v,d))]$ of the boundary complex $\Delta(C(v,d))$ of the cyclic polytope C(v,d).

We fix a field k.

If the dimension d is even, a minimal free resolution of $k[\Delta]$ is pure and the Betti numbers can be easily computed from the Hilbert function of $k[\Delta]$.

(3.1) **PROPOSITION** ([Sch]). Let Δ be the boundary complex $\Delta(C(v,d))$ of the cyclic polytope C(v,d), where $d \geq 2$ is even. Then a minimal free resolution of $k[\Delta]$ over A is of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow A(-v) \longrightarrow A(-v + \frac{d}{2} + 1)^{\beta_{v-d-1}} \longrightarrow$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow A(-\frac{d}{2} - 2)^{\beta_2} \longrightarrow A(-\frac{d}{2} - 1)^{\beta_1} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow k[\Delta] \longrightarrow 0,$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq v - d - 1$,

$$\beta_i = \binom{v-\frac{d}{2}-1}{\frac{d}{2}+i}\binom{\frac{d}{2}+i-1}{\frac{d}{2}} + \binom{v-\frac{d}{2}-1}{i-1}\binom{v-\frac{d}{2}-i-1}{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Our formula on β_i in Proposition 3.1 is, in fact, a little bit different from the one in [Sch]. But it is easy to show that they are coincident.

If the dimension d is odd, the minimal free resolution of $k[\Delta]$ is not pure, and the situation is much more complicated.

Now we state the main theorem in this chapter.

(3.2) **THEOREM.** Let Δ be the boundary complex $\Delta(C(v,d))$ of the cyclic polytope C(v,d), where $d \geq 3$ is odd. Then a minimal free resolution of $k[\Delta]$ over A is of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow A(-v) \longrightarrow A\left(-v + \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] + 2\right)^{b_{v-d-1}} \oplus A\left(-v + \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] + 1\right)^{b_1} \longrightarrow$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow A\left(-\left[\frac{d}{2}\right] - 2\right)^{b_2} \oplus A\left(-\left[\frac{d}{2}\right] - 3\right)^{b_{v-d-2}} \longrightarrow A\left(-\left[\frac{d}{2}\right] - 1\right)^{b_1}$$

$$\oplus A\left(-\left[\frac{d}{2}\right] - 2\right)^{b_{v-d-1}} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow k[\Delta] \longrightarrow 0,$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq v - d - 1$,

$$b_i = \begin{pmatrix} v - \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] - 2 \\ \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] + i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] + i - 1 \\ \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] \end{pmatrix}.$$

Even if the geometric realization $|\Delta|$ of a simplicial complex Δ is a sphere, a Betti number of the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta]$ may depend on the base field k in general. See [T-H₁, Example 3.3]. But as for the boundary complexes of cyclic polytopes we have the following result:

(3.3) COROLLARY. Let Δ be the boundary complex $\Delta(C(v,d))$ of the cyclic polytope C(v,d), where $d \geq 2$. Then all the Betti numbers of the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta]$ are independent of the base field k.

We show unimodality of the Betti number sequence $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{v-d})$ of the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta(C(v,d))]$ associated with C(v,d). Since this sequence is symmetric, i.e., $\beta_i = \beta_{v-d-i}$ for every $0 \le i \le v-d$, the unimodality means $\beta_0 \le \beta_1 \le \dots \le \beta_{[(v-d)/2]}$.

(3.4) COROLLARY. Let Δ be the boundary complex $\Delta(C(v,d))$ of the cyclic polytope C(v,d). Then, the Betti number sequence $(\beta_0(k[\Delta]), \beta_1(k[\Delta]), \cdots, \beta_{v-d}(k[\Delta]))$ of the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta]$ over A is unimodal.

We prepare several lemmas to prove the theorem. We put $\Delta = \Delta(C(v, d))$ and $V = \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ for simplicity, and fix an odd integer $d \geq 3$.

(3.5) **LEMMA.** If v is odd and $W = \{1, 3, 5, \dots, v\}$, then

$$\tilde{H}_{[\frac{d}{2}]-1}(\Delta_W;k)=0.$$

Proof. We have $\tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1}(\Delta_W;k) \cong \tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]}(\Delta_{V-W};k)$ by the Alexander duality theorem (see, e.g., [Sta₁, p76]). Since $V-W=\{2,4,\ldots,v-1\}$, if σ is a subset of V-W with $\sharp(\sigma)>\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$, then σ does not belong to Δ by Lemma 1.1. Thus we have $\tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]}(\Delta_{V-W};k)=0$. Q.E.D.

(3.6) **LEMMA.** If v is even and $W = \{1, 3, 5, \dots, v-1\}$, then

$$\tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1}(\Delta_W;k)=0.$$

Proof. All the maximal faces of Δ_W are of the form $\{1\} \cup \sigma$, where $1 \notin \sigma$, $\sigma \subset W$, $\sharp(\sigma) = \left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$. Thus Δ_W is a cone with apex $\{1\}$. Hence we have $\tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1}(\Delta_W;k) = 0$. Q.E.D.

(3.7) **LEMMA.** If v is even and $W = \{2, 4, 6, \dots, v\}$, then

$$\tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1}(\Delta_W;k)=0.$$

(3.8) **LEMMA.** If v is odd and $W = \{2, 4, 6, \dots, v-1\}$, then

$$\dim_k \tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1}(\Delta_W; k) = {\left[\frac{v}{2}\right]-1 \choose \left[\frac{d}{2}\right]}.$$

Proof. Let

$$0 \to C_d \to C_{d-1} \to \cdots \to C_1 \to C_0 \to C_{-1} \to 0$$

be the augmented chain complex of the simplicial complex Δ_W over k. Then we have $C_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]}=0$ and, for $j<\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$, all the (j+1)-subsets of W form a basis of C_j as a vector space by Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2. Thus we have $\tilde{H}_j(\Delta_W;k)=0$ for all $j<\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1$. Hence, the Euler-Poincaré formula (see, e.g.,[Bru-Her, p223]) gives

$$\dim_{k} \tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1}(\Delta_{W}; k)$$

$$= (-1)^{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]} \left({\left[\frac{v}{2}\right] \choose 0} - {\left[\frac{v}{2}\right] \choose 1} + {\left[\frac{v}{2}\right] \choose 2} - \dots + (-1)^{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]} {\left[\frac{d}{2}\right] \choose \left[\frac{d}{2}\right]} \right)$$

$$= {\left[\frac{v}{2}\right]-1 \choose \left[\frac{d}{2}\right]}.$$

Q.E.D.

(3.9) LEMMA. Let W be a non-empty proper subset of V with a unique decomposition

$$W = Y_1 \cup X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \ldots \cup X_n \cup Y_2$$

for some $n \geq 0$, where $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, is a contiguous subset and $Y_i, i = 1, 2$, is an end-set or an empty set. Then

$$\dim_k \tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1}(\Delta_W; k) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \binom{n-1}{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]}, & \textit{if } Y_1 = \emptyset \textit{ and } Y_2 = \emptyset, \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$

where we define $\binom{n-1}{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]} = 0$ if $n-1 < \left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$.

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on the number v of vertices. First let v = d + 1. Then C(v, d) is a d-simplex. Thus $\tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1}(\Delta_W; k) = 0$ for every subset $W \subset V$. Since $n \leq \left[\frac{v-1}{2}\right] = \left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$, the lemma holds.

Next let v > d + 1. Let

$$V - W = X_1' \cup X_2' \cup \cdots \cup X_{n+1}'$$

be a unique decomposition, where $X_i', 1 \leq i \leq n+1$, is a component of V-W. Suppose there exists X_i' $(1 \leq i \leq n+1)$ with $\sharp(X_i') \geq 2$. Let j be an element of X_i' . Put $V' = V - \{j\}$. Note that $W \subset V'$. We consider the simplicial complex $\Delta' = \Delta(C(v-1,d))$ on the vertex set V'. Then we have $\Delta'_W = \Delta_W$ by Lemma 1.1. Thus we have $\tilde{H}_j(\Delta_W; k) = \tilde{H}_j(\Delta'_W; k)$. By the induction hypothesis, we are done in this case.

We put $X_0 := Y_1, X_{n+1} := Y_2$. Next suppose there exists X_i $(0 \le i \le n+1)$ with $\sharp(X_i) \ge 2$. Let j be an element of X_i . Put $V' = V - \{j\}$. We consider the simplicial complex $\Delta' := \Delta(C(v-1,d))$ on the vertex set V'. Then we have $\Delta'_{V-W} = \Delta_{V-W}$ by Lemma 1.1. By Alexander duality, we have

$$\tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1}(\Delta_W;k) \cong \tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]}(\Delta_{V-W};k) = \tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]}(\Delta'_{V-W};k) \cong \tilde{H}_{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1}(\Delta'_W;k).$$

Thus we are done in this case.

In the remaining case we may assume $\sharp(X_i)=1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, \sharp(X_i')=1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ and $\sharp(Y_i) \leq 1$ for i=1,2. But in this case we have the desired result by Lemmas 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, and 3.8. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Since $k[\Delta]$ is Gorenstein (see, e.g., [Bru–Her, Corollary 5.5.6]), we have $\operatorname{hd}_A k[\Delta] = v - d$. Let

$$0 \to F_{v-d} \to \cdots \to F_2 \to F_1 \to A \to k[\Delta] \to 0$$

be a minimal free resolution of $k[\Delta] = A/I_{\Delta}$ over A. By Lemma 1.2, we have $\min\{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}; (I_{\Delta})_{\alpha} \neq 0\} = [\frac{d}{2}] + 1$. Then F_1 has a direct summand of the form $A(-[\frac{d}{2}]-1)^{b_1}$ with $b_1 > 0$ and $F_i, 1 \leq i \leq v-d-1$, may have $A(-[\frac{d}{2}]-i)^{b_i}$ with $b_i \geq 0$ as a direct summand. We have $F_{v-d} = A(-v)$ and $F_i, 1 \leq i \leq v-d-1$, may have $A(-v+[\frac{d}{2}]+(v-d-i))^{b_v-d-i} = A(-[\frac{d}{2}]-i-1)^{b_v-d-i}$ as a direct summand by the self-duality of the minimal free resolution (see, e.g., [Sta₁, p59]). By [B-H₂, Proposition 1.1] we can easily check that other shiftings do not appear, since $k[\Delta]$ is Gorenstein. Thus we obtain the desired form of the minimal free resolution of $k[\Delta]$.

We now determine the graded Betti numbers $b_i, 1 \leq i \leq v - d - 1$. By Hochster's formula we have

$$b_i = \beta_{i_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + i}} = \sum_{W \subset V, \ \sharp(W) = \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil + i} \dim_k \tilde{H}_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor - 1}(\Delta_W; k).$$

Let $c_i(n)$ denote the number of $([\frac{d}{2}] + i)$ -subsets W of V such that W has a unique decomposition $W = X_1 \cup X_2 \cdots \cup X_n$ where $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, is a contiguous subset of W. Then $c_i(n)$ is the number of positive integer solutions of the system of the equations

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] + i \\ y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_{n+1} = v - \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] - i. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$c_i(n) = {\begin{bmatrix} \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] + i - 1 \\ n - 1 \end{bmatrix}} {\begin{bmatrix} v - \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] - i - 1 \\ n \end{bmatrix}}.$$

By Lemma 3.9 and combinatorial identities in [Brø, Appendix 3] we have

$$b_{i} = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_{i}(n) \binom{n-1}{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]}$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 1} \binom{v - \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] - i - 1}{n} \binom{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right] + i - 1}{n - 1} \binom{n-1}{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]}$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 1} \binom{v - \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] - i - 1}{n} \binom{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right] + i - 1}{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]} \binom{i - 1}{n - \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] - 1}$$

$$= \binom{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right] + i - 1}{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]} \binom{v - \left[\frac{d}{2}\right] - 2}{i + \left[\frac{d}{2}\right]}.$$

Q.E.D.

§4. Betti numbers of Stanley-Reisner rings associated with stacked polytopes

Our main result in this chapter is to present a combinatorial formula for the computation of the Betti numbers of the Stanley-Reisner ring associated with the boundary complex of a stacked d-polytope P(v,d) with v vertices.

(4.1) **THEOREM.** Fix $v > d \ge 3$. Let P(v,d) be a stacked d-polytope with v vertices and $\Delta(P(v,d))$ its boundary complex. Then, a minimal free resolution of the Stanley-Reisner ring $k[\Delta(P(v,d))] = A/I_{\Delta(P(v,d))}$ over A is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow A(-v) \longrightarrow A(-v+d)^{b_{v-d-1}} \bigoplus A(-v+2)^{b_1}$$

$$\longrightarrow A(-v+d+1)^{b_{v-d-2}} \bigoplus A(-v+3)^{b_2} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

$$\longrightarrow A(-3)^{b_2} \bigoplus A(-d-1)^{b_{v-d-2}}$$

$$\longrightarrow A(-2)^{b_1} \bigoplus A(-d)^{b_{v-d-1}} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow k[\Delta(P(v,d))] \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$b_i = i \binom{v - d}{i + 1}$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq v - d - 1$.

When d = 2, $\Delta(P(v,2))$ is the cycle C_v with v vertices. A minimal free resolution of $k[C_v] = A/I_{C_v}$ over A is a pure resolution, which is discussed in, e.g., [B-H₁] and [B-H₂].

- (4.2) COROLLARY. Every Betti number of $k[\Delta(P(v,d))] = A/I_{\Delta(P(v,d))}$ over A is independent of the base field k and of the combinatorial type of P(v,d).
- (4.3) COROLLARY. Fix $v > d \ge 3$. Let P = P(v, d) a stacked d-polytope with v vertices and $\Delta = \Delta(P)$ its boundary complex. Then, the Betti number sequence $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{v-d})$ of $k[\Delta] = A/I_{\Delta}$ over A is unimodal.

To prove the theorem we prepare several lemmas. Let P = P(v, d) be a stacked d-polytope with the vertex set V, $\sharp(V) = v$, $\Delta = \Delta(P)$ the boundary complex of P, and $\mathcal F$ a facet of P with the vertex set X. Let P' denote a stacked d-polytope with (v+1)-vertices which is obtained by building a shallow pyramid over $\mathcal F$ with a new vertex α , and Δ' the boundary complex of P'. Let $V' = V \cup \{\alpha\}$ be the vertex set of Δ' and W a subset of V'. We fix a base field k.

(4.4) LEMMA. (a) If $\alpha \notin W$ and $X \not\subset W$, then

$$\Delta_W' = \Delta_W.$$

(b) If $\alpha \notin W$, $W \neq V$ and $X \subset W$, then

$$\dim_k \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_W'; k) = \begin{cases} \dim_k \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_W; k) & (i \neq d - 2) \\ \dim_k \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_W; k) + 1 & (i = d - 2). \end{cases}$$

(c) If $\alpha \in W$ and $X \cap W \neq \emptyset$, then, for each i, we have

$$\tilde{H}_i(\Delta'_W; k) \cong \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_{W-\{\alpha\}}; k).$$

(d) If $\alpha \in W$, $W \neq {\alpha}$ and $X \cap W = \emptyset$, then

$$\dim_k \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_W'; k) = \begin{cases} \dim_k \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_{W - \{\alpha\}}; k) & (i \neq 0) \\ \dim_k \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_{W - \{\alpha\}}; k) + 1 & (i = 0). \end{cases}$$

Proof. (a) In general, $\Delta' = (\Delta - \{X\}) \cup \{\sigma \subset V' \mid \sigma \subset X \cup \{\alpha\}, \sigma \neq X\}$. Hence, we have $\Delta'_W = \Delta_W$ if $\alpha \notin W$ and $X \not\subset W$.

(b) Let Γ denote the set of all subsets of X and set $\partial\Gamma = \Gamma - \{X\}$. Then $\Delta'_W \cup \Gamma = \Delta_W$ and $\Delta'_W \cap \Gamma = \partial\Gamma$. Since Γ is a simplicial (d-1)-ball, $\partial\Gamma$ is a simplicial (d-2)-sphere and $\tilde{H}_{d-1}(\Delta_W; k) = 0$, the required equalities follow from the reduced Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{i}(\partial\Gamma; k) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{i}(\Gamma; k) \bigoplus \tilde{H}_{i}(\Delta'_{W}; k) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{i}(\Delta_{W}; k)$$

$$\longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\partial\Gamma; k) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Gamma; k) \bigoplus \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Delta'_{W}; k) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Delta_{W}; k)$$

$$\longrightarrow \cdots$$

- (c) If $X \subset W$, then the geometric realization of Δ'_W is homeomorphic to that of $\Delta_{W-\{\alpha\}}$. Thus $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta'_W;k) \cong \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_{W-\{\alpha\}};k)$ for each i. On the other hand, if $X \cap W \neq X$, then $\Delta_{W-\{\alpha\}} \cup \Delta'_{W\cap(\{\alpha\}\cup X)} = \Delta'_W$ and $\Delta_{W-\{\alpha\}} \cap \Delta'_{W\cap(\{\alpha\}\cup X)} = \Delta_{W\cap X}$. Since both $\Delta'_{W\cap(\{\alpha\}\cup X)}$ and $\Delta_{W\cap X}$ are contractible, again the reduced Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence guarantees the desired equalities.
- (d) Since Δ'_W is the disjoint union of $\Delta_{W-\{\alpha\}}$ and one point $\{\alpha\}$, we immediately have the required equalities. Q. E. D.
- **(4.5) LEMMA.** Let $\Delta = \Delta(P)$ denote the boundary complex of a stacked d-polytope P = P(v,d) with the vertex set V, $\sharp(V) = v$. Then, for every non-empty subset W of V with $W \neq V$ and for each $i \neq 0, d-2$, we have

$$\tilde{H}_i(\Delta_W;k)=0.$$

Proof. If v=d+1, i.e., P is a d-simplex, then Δ_W is contractible. Hence, $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta_W;k)=0$ for each i. We now work with the same situation as in the above Lemma 4.4 and suppose that $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta_W;k)=0$ for every nonempty subset W of V with $W\neq V$ and for each $i\neq 0, d-2$. Let W be a non-empty subset of V' with $W\neq V'$. If $W=V'-\{\alpha\}$, then Δ_W' is a simplicial (d-1)-ball. Hence, $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta_W;k)=0$ for each i. Moreover, if $W=\{\alpha\}$, then $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta_W;k)=0$ for each i. On the other hand, if W is a non-empty subset of V' with $W\neq V'$ such that $W\neq V$ and $W\neq \{\alpha\}$, and

if $i \neq 0, d-2$, then $\dim_k \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_W'; k) = \dim_k \tilde{H}_i(\Delta_{W-\{\alpha\}}; k)$ by Lemma 4.4. Hence, $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta_W; k) = 0$ as desired. Q. E. D.

Fix $d \geq 3$, and keep the notation P, P', Δ and Δ' as above. Let β_{i_j} be the i_j -th Betti number of $k[\Delta]$ and β'_{i_j} the i_j -th Betti number of $k[\Delta']$.

(4.6) LEMMA. For each $i \geq 1$ we have

$$\beta'_{i_{i+1}} = \beta_{i_{i+1}} + \beta_{i-1_i} + \binom{v-d}{i}.$$

Proof. By virtue of Hochster's formula as well as Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{split} \beta'_{i_{i+1}} &= \sum_{W \subset V', \ \sharp(W) = i+1} \dim_k \tilde{H}_0(\Delta'_W; k) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \notin W \subset V', \ \sharp(W) = i+1} \dim_k \tilde{H}_0(\Delta'_W; k) + \sum_{\alpha \in W \subset V', \ \sharp(W) = i+1} \dim_k \tilde{H}_0(\Delta'_W; k) \\ &= \sum_{W \subset V, \ \sharp(W) = i+1} \dim_k \tilde{H}_0(\Delta_W; k) + \sum_{W \subset V, \ \sharp(W) = i} \dim_k \tilde{H}_0(\Delta_W; k) + \binom{v-d}{i} \\ &= \beta_{i_{i+1}} + \beta_{i-1_i} + \binom{v-d}{i} \end{split}$$

as desired. Q. E. D.

(4.7) **LEMMA.** Let $\Delta = \Delta(P)$ denote the boundary complex of a stacked d-polytope $P = P(v,d), d \geq 3$, with v vertices. Then, for each $1 \leq i \leq v - d - 1$, the i_{i+1} -th Betti number of $k[\Delta] = A/I_{\Delta}$ over A is

$$\beta_{i_{i+1}}^A(k[\Delta]) = i \binom{v-d}{i+1}.$$

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \beta_{i_{i+1}}^A(k[\Delta]) & = & i\binom{v-d-1}{i+1} + (i-1)\binom{v-d-1}{i} + \binom{v-d-1}{i} \\ & = & i\binom{v-d-1}{i+1} + \binom{v-d-1}{i} \\ & = & i\binom{v-d-1}{i+1} + \binom{v-d-1}{i} \end{array}$$

as required. Q. E. D.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We are now in the position to give a proof of Theorem 4.1. Since $\Delta = \Delta(P(v,d))$ is a simplicial (d-1)-sphere with v vertices, we know that the homological dimension of $k[\Delta] = A/I_{\Delta}$ over A is $\mathrm{hd}_A(k[\Delta]) = v - d$ and that $\beta_{ij}^A(k[\Delta]) = \beta_{v-d-i_{v-j}}^A(k[\Delta])$ for every i and j. By Lemma 4.5, we have $\beta_{ij}^A(k[\Delta]) = 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq v - d - 1$ and for each $j \neq i+1, i+d-1$. On the other hand, Lemma 4.7 enables us to compute $b_i = \beta_{i+1}^A(k[\Delta]) = \beta_{v-d-i_{v-i-1}}^A(k[\Delta])$ for each $1 \leq i \leq v - d - 1$. Hence, we obtain a desired minimal free resolution of $k[\Delta]$ over A.

§5. Connectivity of comparability graghs of distributive lattices

We now study the comparability graphs of finite distributive lattices. Every partially ordered set ("poset" for short) is finite. A poset ideal in a poset P is a subset $I \subset P$ such that $\alpha \in I$, $\beta \in P$ and $\beta \leq \alpha$ together imply $\beta \in I$. A clutter is a poset in which no two elements are comparable. A chain of a poset P is a totally ordered subset of P. The length of a chain C is $\ell(C) := \sharp(C) - 1$. The rank of a poset P is defined to be rank P is P is a chain of P. Given a poset P, we write P is a simplicial complex on the vertex set P, which is called the order complex of P. The comparability graph P com P is a poset P is the 1-skeleton P in the order complex P in a poset P is the subposet P in a poset P, we define the closed interval P in the subposet P is the subposet P in a poset P.

A lattice is a poset L such that any two elements α and β of L have a greatest lower bound $\alpha \wedge \beta$ and a least upper bound $\alpha \vee \beta$. Let $\hat{0}$ (resp. $\hat{1}$) denote the unique minimal (resp. maximal) element of a lattice L. A lattice L is called distributive if the equalities $\alpha \wedge (\beta \vee \gamma) = (\alpha \wedge \beta) \vee (\alpha \wedge \gamma)$ and $\alpha \vee (\beta \wedge \gamma) = (\alpha \vee \beta) \wedge (\alpha \vee \gamma)$ hold for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in L$. Every closed interval of a distributive lattice is again a distributive lattice. A fundamental structure theorem for (finite) distributive lattices (see, e.g., [Sta₂, p. 106]) guarantees that, for every finite distributive lattice L, there exists a unique poset P such that L = J(P), where J(P) is the poset which consists of all poset ideals of P, ordered by inclusion. We say that a distributive lattice L = J(P) is planar if P contains no three-element clutter. A boolean lattice is a distributive lattice L = J(P) such that P is a clutter.

A chain $C: \hat{0} = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_{s-1} < \alpha_s = \hat{1}$ of a distributive lattice L is called *essential* if each closed interval $[\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}]$ is a boolean lattice. In

particular, all maximal chains of L is essential. Moreover, the chain $\hat{0} < \hat{1}$ of L is essential if and only if L is a boolean lattice. An essential chain $C: \hat{0} = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{s-1} < \alpha_s = \hat{1}$ is called fundamental if, for each $1 \leq i < s$, the subchain $C - \{\alpha_i\}$ is not essential.

(5.1) **LEMMA.** Let Δ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set V with $\sharp(V) = v$ and i an integer with $1 \leq i < v$. Then the 1-skeleton $\Delta^{(1)}$ of Δ is i-connected if and only if $\beta_{v-i,v-i+1}(k[\Delta]) = 0$.

Proof. The 1-skeleton $\Delta^{(1)}$ is *i*-connected if and only if, for every subset W of V with $\sharp(W)=i-1$, we have $\tilde{H}_0(\Delta_{V-W}^{(1)};k)$ (= $\tilde{H}_0(\Delta_{V-W};k)$) = 0. Moreover, by virtue of Eq. (2), $\tilde{H}_0(\Delta_{V-W};k)=0$ for every subset W of V with $\sharp(W)=i-1$ if and only if $\beta_{v-i,v-i+1}(k[\Delta])=0$ as desired. Q. E. D.

The following Lemma 5.2 is discussed in [H₂].

(5.2) **LEMMA** ([H₂]). Let L be a distributive lattice of rank d-1 with $\sharp(L) = v$ and $\Delta = \Delta(L)$ its order complex. Then the (v-d, v-d+i)-th Betti number $\beta_{v-d,v-d+i}(k[\Delta])$ is equal to the number of fundamental chains of L of length d-i-1.

We are now in the position to give the main result of the this chapter.

(3.3) **THEOREM.** Suppose that a finite distributive lattice L of rank d-1 is non-planar. Then the comparability graph Com(L) of L is d-connected.

Proof. Let $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{d-1}\}$ denote a poset with L = J(P) and $\mathcal{M}: \hat{0} = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{d-2} < \alpha_{d-1} = \hat{1}$ an arbitrary maximal chain of L. We may assume that each α_i is the poset ideal $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i\}$ of P. Since L is non-planar, there exists a three-element clutter, say, $\{p_l, p_m, p_n\}$ with $1 \leq l < m < n \leq d-1$. Hence, for some $l \leq i < m$, p_i and p_{i+1} are incomparable in P, and for some $m \leq j < n$, p_j and p_{j+1} are incomparable in P. Let $l \leq i < m$ (resp. $m \leq j < n$) denote the least (resp. greatest) integer i (resp. j) with the above property. Then $\beta = \{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}\}$ and $\gamma = \{p_1, \dots, p_{j-1}, p_{j+1}\}$ both are poset ideals of P. Moreover, $\alpha_{i-1} < \beta < \alpha_{i+1}$ in L with $\beta \neq \alpha_i$ and $\alpha_{j-1} < \gamma < \alpha_{j+1}$ in L with $\gamma \neq \alpha_j$. Thus the closed intervals $[\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1}]$ and $[\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_{j+1}]$ both are boolean. Hence, if $i+1 \leq j-1$, then the chain $\mathcal{M} - \{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\}$ is essential. On the other hand, if i+1 > j-1, i.e., i=m-1 and j=m, then $p_l < p_{l+1} < \dots < p_{m-1}$

and $p_{m+1} < p_{m+2} < \cdots < p_n$ in P; thus $\{p_{m-1}, p_m, p_{m+1}\}$ is a clutter of P. Hence the closed interval $[\alpha_{m-2}, \alpha_{m+1}]$ of L is boolean, and the chain $\mathcal{M} - \{\alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m\}$ is essential. Consequently, there exists no fundamental chain of L of length d-2. Thus, by Lemma 5.2, $\beta_{v-d,v-d+1}(k[\Delta(L)]) = 0$. Hence, by Lemma 5.1 again, the comparability graph $\operatorname{Com}(L) = \Delta^{(1)}(L)$ of L is d-connected as desired. Q. E. D.

References

- [Bac] K. Baclawski, Cohen-Macaulay connectivity and geometric lattices, European J. Combin. 3 (1982), 293 - 305.
- [Bay-Lee] M. Bayer and C. Lee, Combinatorial aspects of convex polytopes, in "Handbook of Convex Geometry" (P. Gruber and J. Wills, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam / New York / Tokyo, 1993, pp. 485 -534.
- [Bil-Lee] L.J. Billera and C.W. Lee, A proof of the sufficiency of McMullen's conditions for f-vectors of simplicial convex polytopes, J. Combinatorial theory, Series A 31 (1981), 237 255.
- [Brø] A. Brøndsted, "An introduction to convex polytopes," Springer-Verlag, New York / Heidelberg / Berlin, 1982
- [Bru-Her] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, "Cohen-Macaulay Rings," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge / New York / Sydney, 1993.
- [B-H₁] W. Bruns and T. Hibi, Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets with pure resolutions, preprint (October, 1993).
- [B-H₂] W. Bruns and T. Hibi, Stanley-Reisner rings with pure resolutions, Comm. in Algebra 23 (4)(1995) 1201-1217.
- [H₁] T. Hibi, "Algebraic Combinatorics on Convex Polytopes," Carslaw Publications, Glebe, N.S.W., Australia, 1992.
- [H₂] T. Hibi, Face number inequalities for matroid complexes and Cohen-Macaulay types of Stanley-Reisner rings of distributive lattices, Pacific J. Math. 154 (1992), 253-264.
- [H₃] T. Hibi, Cohen-Macaulay types of Cohen-Macaulay complexes, J. Algebra **168** (1994), 780-797.

- [H₄] T. Hibi, Canonical modules and Cohen-Macaulay types of partially ordered sets, Advances in Math. 106 (1994), 118-121.
- [H₅] T. Hibi, Buchsbaum complexes with linear resolutions, to appear in J. Algebra.
- [Hoc] M. Hochster, Cohen-Macaulay rings, combinatorics, and simplicial complexes, in "Ring Theory II" (B. R. McDonald and R. Morris, eds.), Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., No. 26, Dekker, New York, 1977, pp.171 – 223.
- [Mun] J. Munkres, Topological results in combinatorics, Michigan Math. J. **31** (1984), 113 128.
- [Sch] P. Schenzel, Über die freien Auflösungen extremler Cohen-Macaulay-Ringe, J. Algebra 64 (1980), 93 – 101.
- [Sta₁] R. P. Stanley, "Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra," Birkhäuser, Boston / Basel / Stuttgart, 1983.
- [Sta₂] R. P. Stanley, "Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume I," Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Monterey, Calif., 1986.
- [T-H₁] N. Terai and T. Hibi, Stanley-Reisner rings whose Betti numbers are independent of the base field, to appear in Discrete Math.
- [T-H₂] N. Terai and T. Hibi, Computation of Betti numbers of monomial ideals associated with cyclic polytopes, Discrete Comput. Geom., to appear.
- [T-H₃] N. Terai and T. Hibi, Computation of Betti numbers of monomial ideals associated with stacked polytopes, Preprint.
- [T-H₄] N. Terai and T. Hibi, Finite free resolutions and 1-skeletons of simplicial complexes, Preprint.