

EXTENDED FORMAL POWER SERIES AND G-FUNCTIONS

T.Harase (原瀬 魏,  
Faculty of Education, Tottori University 鳥取大 教育)

At first, let us consider a formal power series ring  $R = k[[x]]$  where  $k$  is a field. The fraction field of  $R$  is  $\mathbb{Q}(R) = k((x))$ . Every element of  $k((x))$  is expressed as a power series with finite negative exponents. But when we consider a power series ring of several indeterminates  $R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ , some elements of  $\mathbb{Q}(R)$  can not be expressed as a power series. For example, consider

$$\frac{1}{x+y} \in \mathbb{Q}(k[[x, y]]).$$

Sometimes, we want to express every element of  $\mathbb{Q}(R)$  as a formal power with possibly negative exponents. So I introduced extended formal power series rings. [5]

Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$  be a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and let  $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_m)$  be an integer vector in  $\mathbb{Z}^m$ . Fixing  $\underline{\alpha}$ ,  $L = L(\underline{n})$  denotes the linear form

$$\underline{\alpha} \cdot \underline{n} = \alpha_1 n_1 + \dots + \alpha_m n_m.$$

We abbreviate  $\sum a(\underline{i})\underline{x}^{\underline{i}}$  for

$$\sum_{i_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{i_m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m}.$$

The following definitions are essential.

Definition 1. A subset  $I \subset \mathbb{Z}^m$  is L-finite iff  $\forall N \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\#(I \cap \{\underline{n} | L(\underline{n}) < N\}) < \infty$$

Definition 1'.  $f = \sum a(\underline{i})\underline{x}^{\underline{i}}$  is L-finite iff  $I = \{\underline{i} | a(\underline{i}) \neq 0\}$  is L-finite.

Definition 2.  $K_L = k((\underline{x}))_L = k((x_1, \dots, x_m)) = \{L\text{-finite series}\}.$

Under these definitions, we have the following:

Theorem 0. (1)  $k((\underline{x}))_L$  is a  $k[\underline{x}]$ -algebra. (2) If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  then  $K = K_L$  is a field containing  $k(\underline{x})$ .

Remark. When  $char(k) > 0$  many results are obtained. In this note we restrict ourselves to relation to G-functions.

From now on let  $k$  be a number field and  $\Sigma$  be the set of all places of  $k$ , and  $|\cdot|_v$  be the normalized absolute value corresponding to  $v \in \Sigma$ . Let  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \in k[[x]]$ . The definition of the G-function is the following.

$f$  is an G-function iff

- (1)  $\sigma(f) < \infty$
- (2)  $f$  is D - finite.

Here  $\sigma(f) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_v \text{Max}_{m \leq n} (\log^+ |a_m|_v)$ , and D-finite means that  $f$  satisfies a linear differential equation over  $k(x)$ . It is well known that this definition is equivalent to the Siegel's original definition. Further we may take  $f$  from  $k((x))$ .

By using our "extended power series" we can define G-functions of several variables naturally. That is :  $f$  is an "extended" G-function iff

- (1)  $f \in K_L$ ,  $\sigma(f) = \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_v \text{Max}_{L(\underline{n}) < N} (\log^+ (|a_n|_v)) < \infty$ .

(2)  $f \in K_L$  is D-finite (  $f$  is contained in a  $\frac{d}{dx_i}$ -stable  $k(\underline{x})$ -vector subspace  $V \subset K_L$  ).

Next we consider the diagonal maps. For

$$f = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_m=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1, \dots, n_m} x_1^{n_1} \dots x_m^{n_m} \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_m]],$$

diagonal map  $I$  is defined as

$$I(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n, \dots, n} t^n \in k[[t]].$$

It is easy to see that the diagonal map  $I$  is defined for "extended formal power series rings"  $K_L$ .

It can be proved that if  $f \in K_L$  is D-finite then  $I(f) \in K((t))$  is also D-finite . So we have that

$$f \in K_L : \text{"extended" } G - \text{function} \Rightarrow I(f) : G - \text{function}.$$

Recall the following conjecture of Christol:

Every globally bounded G-function is a diagonal of some rational function. Here "globally bounded" for series  $f = \sum a_n x^n$  means that coefficients  $a_n \in \mathbb{O}[\frac{1}{N}]$  for every  $n$ , where  $\mathbb{O}$  is the ring of integers in the number field  $k$  and  $N$  is a natural integer. In this conjecture, the rational function means an elements in  $K[\underline{x}]_{(x)}$ . But in our situation we can take elements from  $k(\underline{x})$ .

It is sometimes possible to prove an "extended" G-function to be a rational function. The method of Gelgond, Chudnovskys are available for elements in  $k((x_1, \dots, x_\nu))_L$ . The following is the analogy for the Chudnovskys criterion for rationality for elements in  $k[[x_1, \dots, x_\nu]]$ .

Proposition. Let  $Y = (y_0, \dots, y_{\mu-1}) \subset K((x_1, \dots, x_\nu))_L$ , let  $\tau > 0$ , and let  $V \subset \Sigma$  be some subset of places of  $k$ . Assume that for each  $v \in V$  the  $y_i$ 's converge on a polydisk  $|x_i|_v < \kappa_{i,v}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, \nu$ ). If the following inequality holds

$$(*) \sigma_{\text{not } V}(Y) + \tau \sigma(Y) < \sum_{v \in V} [1 - (\frac{1}{\mu}(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}))^{\frac{1}{\nu}}] \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \log \kappa_{i,v}),$$

then  $y_i$ 's are linearly dependent over  $k(\underline{\xi})$  where  $\underline{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\nu)$ ,  $\xi_i = x_i^{\frac{1}{n}}$  for some  $n > 0$ . It is a question to prove that  $y_i$ 's are linearly dependent over  $k(x)$ .

#### References

1. Y .André, G-functions and Geometry, Aspect of Math 13, Viewweg, Bonn, 1989.
2. T. Harase, Algebraic elements in formal power series rings, Isr. J. Math., 63, 1988,281-288.
3. -, Algebraic elements in formal power series rings II, Isr. J. Math., 67, 1989, 62-66.
4. -, Algebraic dependence of formal power series, Proceedings, Analytic Number Theory(ed. Nagasaka and Fouvry), Tokyo 1988, Springer L.N.M. 1434, 1990.
- 5.-, Extended Formal Power Series Rings. Analytic Number Theory & Related Topics, World Scientific, 1991, 29-35.