ΝΑΟΤΟ ΚΟΜURO

Mathematics Laboratory, Asahikawa Campus, Hokkaido University of Education

Asahikawa 070

測度の凸関数による汎関数の双対公式と集合値写像の半連続性

§1 DUALITY FORMULAS

Let X be a metric space, and let f be a real valued function defined on $X \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose that for each $x \in X$, $f_x(p) = f(x, p)$ is convex and positively homogeneous in $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By K_x , we denote the subdifferential of f_x at 0;

$$egin{aligned} &K_x = \partial f_x(0) \ &= \{q \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid < q, p > \leq f_x(p), \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^d \} \end{aligned}$$

For every $x \in X$ the set K_x is convex in \mathbb{R}^d , and since $f_x(p)$ is finite for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$, K_x is compact. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ be a \mathbb{R}^d -valued finite Borel regular measure on X. The finite Borel measure $f(x, \mu)$ on X is defined by

$$\int_{A} f(x,\mu) = \int_{A} f(x,\overline{\mu(x)}) d|\mu| \quad \text{for a Borel set } A \subset X$$

where $|\mu|$ is the total variation measure of μ and $\overrightarrow{\mu(x)} = \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(x)$ is the Radon Nikodym derivative of μ with respect to $|\mu|$. The measure $f(x, \mu)$ is independent of the choice of a norm in \mathbb{R}^d .

THEOREM 1. Suppose that f satisfies

- (1) f is lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) on $X \times \mathbb{R}^d$,
- (2) for each $x \in X$, $f_x(p) = f(x, p)$ is convex, positively homogeneous in p,
- (3) $f(x,p) \le c|p|$ $(x \in X, p \in \mathbb{R}^d)$ with some constant c.

Then for every bounded $|\mu|$ -measurable function $\varphi \geq 0$ on X,

(F,1)
$$\int_X f(x,\mu)\varphi = \sup\{\int_X < \overrightarrow{\mu(x)}, v(x) > \varphi(x)d|\mu|(x)$$
$$v \in C(X, \mathbb{R}^d), v(x) \in K_x \quad for \ all \ x \in X \}.$$

Next we consider the case when $f_x(\cdot)$ is only convex in $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and is not necessarily positively homogeneous. For definning the measure $f(x, \mu)$ in this case, we introduce the homogenization $F(x, p_0, p)$ of f(x, p) defined by

where f_{∞} is the recession function of f, i.e.,

$$f_{\infty}(x,p) = \lim_{t\downarrow 0} f(x,\frac{p}{t})t.$$

If f satisfies $f(x,p) \leq c(1+|p|)$ $(x \in X, p \in \mathbb{R}^d)$ with some constant c, F is well-defined real valued function on $X \times C$ with $C = [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $F = \infty$ on $X \times (\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \setminus C)$. Moreover, F is convex and positively homogeneous in $(p_0, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. (See [8,§8])

Let α be a nonnegative finite Borel regular measure on X. We fix this mesure and now define the measure $f(x, \mu)$ by

$$f(x,\mu) = F(x,\alpha,\mu),$$

where F is the homogenization of f. Here (α, μ) is a $C = [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ valued Borel regular measure, and since F is positively homogeneous, $f(x, \mu)$ is a finite Borel regular measure.

$$\begin{split} f(x,\mu) &= F(x,\alpha,\mu) \\ &= F(x,1,\overrightarrow{h(x)})\alpha + F(x,0,\mu^s) \\ &= f(x,\overrightarrow{h(x)})\alpha + f_{\infty}(x,\overrightarrow{\mu^s}(x))|\mu^s| \end{split}$$

where $\overrightarrow{h(x)}\alpha$ is the absolutely continuous part of μ , and μ^s is the singular part with respect to α .

THEOREM 2. Suppose that f satisfies

- (1) for every $x_0 \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x, x_0) < \delta$ implies $f(x_0, p) f(x, p) < \varepsilon(1 + |p|)$,
- (2) for each $x \in X$, $f_x(p)$ is convex in p,
- (3) $f(x,p) \le c(1+|p|)$ $(x \in X, p \in \mathbb{R}^d)$ with some constant c.

Then for every bounded $|\mu|$ -measurable function $\varphi \geq 0$ on X,

$$(\mathbf{F},2) \qquad \int_X f(x,\mu)\varphi = \sup\{\int_X < \overrightarrow{\mu(x)}, v(x) > \varphi(x)d|\mu|(x) - \int_X \varphi(x)f^*(x,v(x))d\alpha$$
$$v \in C(X,\mathbb{R}^d), f^*(x,v(x)) \in L^1(X,d\alpha) \}.$$

Similar results can be seen in [2], [3], [6]. In the proof of Rockafellar [6], it is assumed that K_x has an interior point and the assumption on the regularity of f in x is slightly stronger than ours. In [2], it is assumed that f is continuous on $X \times \mathbb{R}^d$. We have weakened these assumptions by some arguments of the continuous selection.

We consider the set valued mapping K which carries each $x \in X$ to the compact convex set $K_x \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. K is said to be lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) if $x_n \longrightarrow x_0$ in X and $q_0 \in K_{x_0}$ implies the existence of a sequence $\{q_n\}$ such that $q_n \in K_{x_n}$ and $q_n \longrightarrow q_0$. K_x is said to be upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.) if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ tends to x_0 and $\varepsilon > 0, K_{x_n} \subset K_{x_0} + \varepsilon B$ holds for sufficiently large n, where $K_{x_0} + \varepsilon B = \{q + q' \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid q \in$ $K_{x_0}, |q'| \le \varepsilon\}$. Furthermore, when K_x is both l.s.c. and u.s.c., K is said to be continuous. One can find some other definitions of this semicontinuity in [1], [5], and [6] for instance. However, in our case, most of them are all equivalent because K_x is always compact. The importance of the lower semicontinuity is that this allows us to take continuous selection of K_x . For example, In [6], the lower semicontinuity of K_x and the continuous selection theorem ([5]) are applied to prove a type of duality formula. Also in [2], the conditions for the same formula are given in terms of the function f(x, p). However, the relation between the conditions of these two theorems is unclear. In this note, we investigate the conditions of f under which K_x is lower semicontinuous. Moreover, we will consider the upper semicontinuity and derive some duality of these two notions.

§2 Semi continuity of K_x

LEMMA 3. Let f(x, p) be a function on $X \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and suppose that $f_x(p) = f(x, p)$ is convex and positively homogeneous in $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Put $K_x = \partial f_x(0)$, then the following conditions are equivalent.

(l,1) f is l.s.c. on $X \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

$$(l,2)$$
 For every $x_0 \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x_0,x) < \delta$ implies

$$f(x_o, p) - f(x, p) < \varepsilon |p|, \quad for \ all \ p \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

(l,3) $K: x \longrightarrow K_x$ is l.s.c. on X.

REMARK: When f is l.s.c. only in x, these conditions do not hold though f is convex (and hence continuous) in p. This fact is the only thing that the symmetry of Lemma 3 and Proposition 6 fails. The space \mathbb{R}^d in this theorem can be replaced by any closed convex cone in \mathbb{R}^d , but not by any infinite dimensional space. Moreover, positively homogeneity of f is essential in this lemma even if K_x can be defined as the subdifferential of f.

Proof: $(l,1) \Rightarrow (l,2)$

It suffices to show that $\{f(\cdot, p) \mid |p| = 1\}$ is equil.s.c. If not, there exists $x_0 \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and sequences $\{x_n\} \subset X$ and $\{p_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, such that $x_n \longrightarrow x_0$, $|p_n| = 1$, and $f(x_0, p_n) - f(x_n, p_n) \ge \varepsilon$ for every n. Since $\{p \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |p| = 1\}$ is compact, we can assume that $p_n \longrightarrow p_0$ for some $|p_0|$. By the convexity of f in p, it is continuous in particular. Hence it follows by (l, 1) that

$$f(x_0, p_n) - f(x_n, p_n) = f(x_0, p_n) - f(x_0, p_0) + f(x_0, p_0) - f(x_n, p_n)$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon,$$

for sufficiently large n and this contradicts the assumption.

 $(l,2) \Rightarrow (l,3)$

Suppose that K is not l.s.c. at $x_0 \in X$. Then there exist a sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n \longrightarrow x_0, q_0 \in K_{x_0}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$Kx_n \cap \varepsilon B(q_0) = \phi, \tag{1}$$

for every n, where $\varepsilon B(q_0) = \{q \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid d(q, q_0) \leq \varepsilon\}$. By the condition (l, 2), we have for sufficiently large n,

$$f(x_0, p) - f(x_n, p) < \varepsilon \qquad for \ all \ p \in \mathbb{R}^d \ with \ |p| = 1.$$
(2)

We fix such n, and by the separation theorem and (1), there exists $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|p_0| = 1$, such that

$$\sup_{q \in K_{x_n}} \langle q, p_0 \rangle \leq \inf_{q \in \varepsilon B(q_0)} \langle q, p_0 \rangle.$$
(3)

Now we take the supporting point \bar{q} of $\varepsilon B(q_0)$ with respect to p_0 , that is, $\bar{q} \in \varepsilon B(q_0)$ and $\inf_{q \in \varepsilon B(q_0)} < q, p_0 > = < \bar{q}, p_0 >$. Then,

$$\inf_{q \in \varepsilon B(q_0)} \langle q, p_0 \rangle = \langle q_0, p_0 \rangle - \langle q_0 - \bar{q}, p_0 \rangle$$
$$= \langle q_0, p_0 \rangle - \varepsilon$$
$$\leq \sup_{q \in K_{x_0}} \langle q, p_0 \rangle - \varepsilon$$
$$= f(x_0, p_0) - \varepsilon.$$

By (3), we obtain

$$f(x_n, p_0) \leq f(x_0, p_0) - \varepsilon$$

Since p in (2) is arbitrary, this is a contradiction.

 $(l,3) \Rightarrow (l,1)$

Suppose that $x_n \longrightarrow x_0$ in X and $p_n \longrightarrow p_0$ in \mathbb{R}^d . For every $\varepsilon > 0$, we take $q_0 \in K_{x_0}$ such that

$$< q_0, p_0 > \ge \sup_{q \in K_{x_0}} < q, p_0 > -\varepsilon$$

= $f(x_0, p_0) - \varepsilon$.

By (l, 3), there exists a sequence $\{q_n\}$ such that each q_n belongs to K_{x_n} and $q_n \longrightarrow q_0$. Since $\langle q_n, p_n \rangle \leq \sup_{q \in K_{x_n}} \langle q, p_n \rangle = f(x_n, p_n)$, we have

$$egin{aligned} f(x_0,p_0)-f(x_n,p_n) \leq &< q_0, p_0 > +arepsilon - < q_n, p_n > \ &< 2arepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n. This implies that f is l.s.c. on $X \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

COROLLARY 4. Suppose that f satisfies one of three conditions in Theorem 3. Then for every $x_0 \in X$ and $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a continuous function L on $X \times \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying (1) for every $x \in X$, L(x, p) is linear in $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

- (2) $L(x,p) \leq f(x,p)$ for all $x \in X$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$,
- (3) $L(x_0, p_0) = f(x_0, p_0).$

PROOF: First we note that L is continuous on $X \times \mathbb{R}^d$ if it satisfies (1) and is continuous with respect to each variable. By the separation theorem or Hahn Banach theorem, there exists $q_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\langle q_0, p \rangle \leq f(x_0, p)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $\langle q_0, p_0 \rangle = f(x_0, p_0)$. Take a set valued mapping K' defined by

$$K'_{x} = \begin{cases} K_{x} & x \neq x_{0} \\ \\ \{q_{0}\} & x = x_{0}. \end{cases}$$

Since $q_0 \in K_{x_0}$, it is easy to see that K' is l.s.c., and hence we can take a continuous selection q(x) of K'_x . Thus the function L defined by $L(x,p) = \langle q(x), p \rangle$ $(x \in X, p \in \mathbb{R}^d)$ is what we want.

By an analogy, one can also prove the following.

COROLLARY 5. Suppose that f satisfies one of the three conditions in Theorem 3. Let E be a closed subset of X, and let L be a continuous function on $E \times \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying

- (1) for every $x \in E$, L(x, p) is linear in $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$,
- (2) $L(x,p) \leq f(x,p)$ for all $x \in E$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
 - Then L can be continuously extended to $X \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that (1) and (2) hold replacing E by X.

Next we consider the upper semicontinuity of K_x . We note that the following proposition and Lemma 3 have some symmetricity but it is not perfect.

PROPOSITION 6. Under the hypotheses in Lemma 3, the following conditions are equivalent.

(u, 0) For every $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$, f(x, p) is u.s.c. in $x \in X$.

(u, 1) f is u.s.c. on $X \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

(u,2) For every $x_0 \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x_0,x) < \delta$ implies

$$f(x,p) - f(x_0,p) < \varepsilon |p|, \quad for \ all \ p \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

$$(u,3)$$
 $K: x \longrightarrow K_x$ is u.s.c. on X.

REMARK: A set valued mapping K is said to be closed if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n \longrightarrow x_0$, and $\{q_n\}$ with $q_n \in K_{x_n}, q_n \longrightarrow q_0$ for some $q_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ implies $q_0 \in K_{x_0}$. This is also a notion of upper semicontinuity of set valued mappings. Since K_x is compact in our case, the upper semicontinuity of K implies the closedness. However, the converse is not true in general. The equivalence of (u, 0) and (u, 1) is still valid when f is only convex and not positively homogeneous in p.

PROOF: $(u, 0) \Rightarrow (u, 1)$

Suppose that $x_n \longrightarrow x_0$ in X and $p_n \longrightarrow p_0$ in \mathbb{R}^d . Since f is continuous in p, there exists $\bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_{d+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$f(x_0, \bar{p}_i) \le f(x_0, p_0) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$
 $(i = 1, \cdots, d + 1)$

and the convex hull $co\{\bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_{d+1}\}$ forms a neighborhood of p_0 . Moreover by the condition (u, 0),

$$f(x_n, \bar{p}_i) \le f(x_0, \bar{p}_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \qquad (i = 1, \cdots, d+1)$$

holds for sufficiently large n. Since $p_n \in co\{\bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_{d+1}\}$ for sufficiently large n, we obtain by the convexity of $f(x, \cdot)$ that

$$f(x_n, p_n) \le \max_{1 \le i \le d+1} f(x_n, \bar{p}_i)$$

$$\le \max_{1 \le i \le d+1} f(x_0, \bar{p}_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$\le f(x_0, p_0) + \varepsilon.$$

This proves that (u, 1) holds.

 $(u,1) \Rightarrow (u,2)$

we can prove this by the same way as in $(l, 1) \Rightarrow (l, 2)$ in Lemma 3.

 $(u,2) \Rightarrow (u,3)$

Take $x_0 \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily, and Suppose that $x_n \longrightarrow x_0$ in X. By (u, 2),

$$f(x_n, p) - f(x_0, p) \le \varepsilon |p| \qquad (p \in \mathbb{R}^d),$$

for sufficiently large n. Then $q \in K_{x_n}$ implies that

$$f(x_0, p) - \langle q, p \rangle \ge f(x_0, p) - f(x_n, p) \rangle - \varepsilon |p| \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By the separation theorem, there exists $q_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$-\varepsilon |p| \leq q_0, p \geq f(x_0, p) - \langle q, p \rangle \qquad (p \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

This inequality implies that $|q_0| \leq \varepsilon$, and $q + q_0 \in K_{x_0}$. Hence we have $q \in K_{x_0} + \varepsilon B$ and this proves (u, 3).

 $(u,3) \Rightarrow (u,1)$

For the reason stated in the remark of this theorem, we can assume that K is closed. Suppose that (u, 1) does not hold, then there exist sequences $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n \longrightarrow x_0$ for some x_0 in X, and $\{p_n\}$ with $p_n \longrightarrow p_0$ for some p_0 in \mathbb{R}^d , and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f(x_n, p_n) >$ $f(x_0, p_0) + \varepsilon$ for every *n*. Since $f(x_n, p_n) = \sup_{q \in K_{x_n}} \langle q, p_n \rangle$, we can choose a sequence $\{q_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $q_n \in K_{x_n}$ and

$$|f(x_n, p_n) - \langle q_n, p_n \rangle| \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (n \longrightarrow \infty).$$

By the definition of upper semicontinuity, K_{x_n} is uniformly bounded. Therefore the sequence $\{q_n\}$ is bounded, and we can take a convergent subsequence $\{q_m\}$ of $\{q_n\}$ with $q_m \longrightarrow q_0$ for some $q_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Hence it follows that

$$< q_0, p_0 > \ge f(x_0, p_0) + \varepsilon.$$

On the oter hand, by the closedness of K, q_0 has to be an element of K_{x_0} , and this is a contradiction.

Combining Lemma 3 and Proposition 6, we also obtain the following theorem. To see the equivalence between (c, 0) and (c, 1), refer to Theorem 1.1 in [3].

PROPOSITION 7. Under the hypotheses in Lemma 3, the following conditions are equivalent.

(c, 0) For every $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$, f(x, p) is continuous in $x \in X$.

(c,1) f is continuous on $X \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

(c,2) For every $x_0 \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x_0,x) < \delta$ implies

$$|f(x,p) - f(x_0,p)| < \varepsilon |p|, \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(c,3) $K: x \longrightarrow K_x$ is continuous on X.

§3 PROOF OF THE DUALITY FORMULA

For a subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote the inverse image of a set valued mapping K by

$$K^{-1}(U) = \{ x \in X | K_x \cap U \neq \phi \}.$$

K is l.s.c. if and only if $K^{-1}(U)$ is open for every Open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, we say K is $|\mu|$ -measurable if $K^{-1}(U)$ is $|\mu|$ - measurable for every open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. For the detail of the continuous selection theorem and the measurable selection theorem, we refer to [1], [5], and [7].

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: Note that ' \geq ' part of the formulas are almost trivial and it suffices to prove the converse inequality. First we show a weaker version of the formula (F, 1) while the supremum is taken over $|\mu|$ -measurable function $w : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ with $w(x) \in K_x$. For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, and $x \in X$, put

$$\Gamma(x) = \{ p \in \mathbb{R}^d | < \overrightarrow{\mu(x)}, p \ge f_x(\overrightarrow{\mu(x)}) - \varepsilon \},\$$

$$\Gamma_0(x) = \{ p \in K_x | < \overrightarrow{\mu(x)}, p \ge f_x(\overrightarrow{\mu(x)}) - \varepsilon \}.$$

Since $f_x(\overrightarrow{\mu(x)}) = \sup_{p \in K_x} \langle \overrightarrow{\mu(x)}, p \rangle$, $\Gamma(x)$ and $\Gamma_0(x)$ are nonempty closed convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d , and $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma_0(x) \cap K_x$. By the condition (1) and Lemma 3, K is l.s.c. as a set valued mapping, and also measurable in particular. Hence by [7, Theorm 1M], Γ is a $|\mu|$ -measurable set valued mapping provided that so is Γ_0 . Let U be an open set in \mathbb{R}^d . Since $\Gamma_0(x)$ is an affine half space, $\Gamma_0(x) \cap U \neq \phi$ if and only if $\Gamma_0(x) \cap D \neq \phi$ where D is an arbitrary countable dense subset of U. Hence we have

$$\Gamma_0^{-1}(U) = \Gamma_0^{-1}(D)$$
$$= \bigcup_{p \in D} A_p$$

where $A_p = \{x \in X | \langle \mu(x), p \rangle \geq f_x(\mu(x)) - \varepsilon\}$. We note that $f_x(\mu(x))$ is $|\mu|$ -measurable because of the lower semicontinuity of f. Thus $\Gamma_0^{-1}(U)$ is $|\mu|$ -measurable, and by the measurable selection theorem we can take a measurable function w on X such that $w(x) \in$ $\Gamma(x)$. In other words

$$\int_{X} \langle \overrightarrow{\mu(x)}, w(x) \rangle \varphi(x) d|\mu| \geq \int_{X} (f_{x}(\overrightarrow{\mu(x)}) - \varepsilon)\varphi(x) d|\mu|$$
$$= \int_{X} f(x, \mu)\varphi - \varepsilon \int_{X} \varphi d|\mu|$$
(4)

Since $|\mu|$ is finite measura and φ is bounded, this yields the duality formula of weaker version.

We next construct a desired continuous function $v: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ from w which has been obtained above. By Lusin's theorem, for arbitrary $\delta > 0$ there exists a closed set $Y \subset X$ such that $|\mu|(Y^c) < \delta$ and w is continuous on Y. We define a set valued mapping K' by

$$K'_{x} = \begin{cases} \{w(x)\} & x \in Y \\ \\ K_{x} & x \notin Y \end{cases}$$

for $x \in X$. We see by [1, Corollary 9.1.3] (the closedness of K is missing in the condition of this corollary) that K' is also l.s.c. and have a continuous selection. In other words, there exists a continuous function $v: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $v(x) \in K_x$ on X and v(x) = w(x) on Y. Hence we have

$$\begin{split} \int_X < \overrightarrow{\mu(x)}, w(x) > \varphi d|\mu| &= \int_X < \overrightarrow{\mu(x)}, v(x) > \varphi d|\mu| + \int_{Y^c} < \overrightarrow{\mu(x)}, w(x) > \varphi d|\mu| \\ &- \int_{Y^c} < \overrightarrow{\mu(x)}, v(x) > \varphi d|\mu| \\ &\leq \int_X < \overrightarrow{\mu(x)}, w(x) > \varphi d|\mu| + \int_{Y^c} f(x, \overrightarrow{\mu(x)}) \varphi d|\mu| \\ &+ ||v|| \int_{Y^c} \varphi d|\mu|. \end{split}$$

Since $f(x, p) \leq c$ for $x \in X$ and |p| = 1, we thus obtain from (4) that

$$\int_{X} f(x,\mu)\varphi \leq \int_{X} \langle \overrightarrow{\mu(x)}, v(x) \rangle \varphi d|\mu| + (c + ||v||) ||\varphi|| ||\mu|(Y^{c}) + \varepsilon ||\varphi|| ||\mu|(X).$$

We note that $v(x) \in K_x$ implies $||v|| = \sup_{x \in X} |v(x)| \le c$, which is independent of δ and ε . Since ε and δ are arbitrary, this yields the desired formula (F,1).

The formula (F,1) is still valid in the case when the effective domain of $f_x(\cdot)$ is a closed convex cone $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The proof can be done by a similar way except some standard arguments. Moreover, the formula (F,1) of this case is used for the proof of Theorem 2. Indeed, under the conditions in Theorem 2, the homogenization $F(x, p_0, p)$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1 by replacing \mathbb{R}^d by the cone $C = [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and we can apply Theorem 1 for F. To end this note, we show this fact in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 8. If f satisfies (1), (2), (3) in Theorem 2, then the homogenization F satisfies (1), (2), (3) in Theorem 1 by replacing \mathbb{R}^d by $C = [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

PROOF: It is stated in §1 that F satisfies (2). Moreover,

$$F(x, 0, p) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} f(x, \frac{p}{t})t$$

$$\leq \lim_{t \downarrow 0} c(1 + |\frac{p}{t}|)t$$

$$= c|p|,$$

$$F(x, p_0, p) = f(x, \frac{p}{p_0})p_0$$

$$\leq c(1 + |\frac{p}{p_0}|)p_0$$

$$= c(|p_0| + |p|) \quad (p_0 \neq 0),$$

and this proves (3). Hence it remains to prove (1). It is easy to see that F is l.s.c. in $(p_0, p) \in C = [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Hence it follows from (1) in Theorem 2 that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|(p_0, p) - (q_0, q)| < \delta$, $d(x_0, x) < \delta$, $q_0 \neq 0$ implies

$$F(x_0, p_0, p) - F(x, q_0, q) = F(x_0, p_0, p) - F(x_0, q_0, q) + F(x_0, q_0, q) - F(x, q_0, q)$$

$$< \varepsilon + (f(x_0, \frac{q}{q_0}) - f(x, \frac{q}{q_0}))q_0$$

$$< \varepsilon + \varepsilon(1 + |\frac{q}{q_0}|)q_0$$

$$= \varepsilon + \varepsilon(|q_0| + |q|).$$

It is similar in the case of $q_0 = 0$. So F is l.s.c. on $X \times C$ and the proof is complete.

References

- 1. J. P. Aubin, H. Frankowska, "Set-valued analysis," Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1990.
- 2. P. Aviles, Y. Giga, N. Komuro, *Duality formulas and variational integrals*, Advaces in Math. Sci. Appl. 1 (1992), 207-227.

- 3. F. Demengel and R. Temam, Convex functions of a measure and applications, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 33 (1984), 673-709.
- N. Komuro, On basic properties of convex functions and convex integrands, Hokkaido J. of Math. 18 (1989), 1-30.
- 5. E. Michael, Continuous selections I, Ann.of Math. 63 (1956), 361-382.
- 6. R. T. Rockafellar, Integrals which are convex functional II, Pacific. J. Math. 39 (1971), 439-469.
- R. T. Rockafellar, "Integral functionals, normal integrands and measurable selections," Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 543, 1976, pp. 157-207.
- 8. R. T. Rockafellar, "Convex Analysis," Princeton University Press, 1970.