

Almost n -dimensional spaces

M. Levin, Tsukuba University

We consider only separable metric spaces. A space X is said to be almost n -dimensional if it has a basis $\{U_i\}$ such that if $\text{cl}U_i \cap \text{cl}U_j = \emptyset$ then $X = G \cup H$ where G and H are closed sets, $U_i \subset G \setminus H$, $U_j \subset H \setminus G$ and $\dim G \cap H \leq n-1$ and n is the smallest natural number such that such a basis exists for n . It is clear that n -dimensional spaces are at most almost n -dimensional.

Oversteegen and Tymchatyn [9] proved that almost 0-dimensional spaces are at most 1-dimensional. The Erdős space of irrational sequences in Hilbert space is known to be a universal almost 0-dimensional space [5]. Erdős space is 1-dimensional. Homeomorphism groups of positive dimensional Menger compacta are almost 0-dimensional [9] and at least 1-dimensional by classical results of Brechner [2] and Bestvina [1].

Almost 0-dimensional spaces are at most 1-dimensional and the 1-dimensionality cannot be improved. Our first result shows that this interesting behaviour does not occur in higher dimensions and the following one points out an interesting property of almost 0-dimensional spaces.

Theorem 1 (Levin-Tymchatyn [7]) *If X is almost n -dimensional, $n \geq 1$ then X is n -dimensional.*

Theorem 2 (Levin-Tymchatyn [7]) *Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ where X_1 is almost 0-dimensional and X_2 is 0-dimensional. Then $\dim X \leq 1$.*

The proof of these theorems employs so-called L -embeddings. A subset X of a compactum K is L -embedded in K if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of K there is a neighbourhood U of X in K such that the continua in U refine \mathcal{U} . An almost 0-dimensional space is L -embeddable in a compactum [6] and

Theorem 3 (Levin-Pol [6]) *If a space X is L -embeddable in a compactum K then $\dim X \leq 1$.*

As an application of almost 1-dimensional spaces we will consider an old question of R. Duda about the dimension of a hereditarily locally connected,

non-degenerate space X . Nishiura and Tymchatyn [8] showed that each pair of disjoint, closed, connected subsets of X can be separated by a closed countable subset of X . Hence each basis for X of open connected sets witnesses the almost 1-dimensionality of X . Then Theorem 1 implies:

Theorem 4 (Levin-Tymchatyn [7]) *If X is a hereditarily locally connected, non-degenerate space then $\dim X = 1$.*

A partial solution to the question of R. Duda was given in [9] where it was proved that hereditarily locally connected spaces are at most 2-dimensional.

Finally let us note that Theorem 2 does not hold if X_2 is almost 0-dimensional. Indeed, let Y be 1-dimensional and almost 0-dimensional, let M be a 1-dimensional compactum and let $M = M_1 \cup M_2$, $\dim M_1 = \dim M_2 = 0$. Then $X_1 = Y \times M_1$ and $X_2 = Y \times M_2$ are almost 0-dimensional, and by a theorem of Hurewicz [4] (see also [3], p. 78, 1.9.E(b)) $X = X_1 \cup X_2 = Y \times M$ is 2-dimensional.

References

- [1] Mladen Bestvina, Characterizing k -dimensional universal Menger compacta, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, 380(1988).
- [2] Beverly Brechner, On the dimension of certain spaces of homeomorphisms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 121(1966), 516-548.
- [3] R. Engelking, *Theory of dimensions finite and infinite*, Heldermann Verlag, Lemgo, 1995.
- [4] W. Hurewicz, Sur la dimension des produits Cartesiens, *Ann. of Math.*, 36(1935), 194-197.
- [5] K. Kawamura, Lex G. Oversteegen and E. D. Tymchatyn, On homogeneous, totally disconnected, 1-dimensional spaces, *Fund. Math.*, 150(1996), 97-112.
- [6] M. Levin and R. Pol, A metric condition which implies dimension ≤ 1 , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 125(1997), no. 1, 269-273.
- [7] M. Levin and E. D. Tymchatyn, On the dimension of almost n -dimensional spaces *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127 (1999), 2793-2795.

- [8] T. Nishiura and E. D. Tymchatyn, Hereditarily locally connected spaces, *Houston J. Math.*, 2(1976), 581-599.
- [9] Lex G. Oversteegen and E. D. Tymchatyn, On the dimension of certain totally disconnected spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 122(1994), 885-891.