## Palais-Smale Condition for Some Semilinear Parabolic Equations

池畠 良

Ryo IKEHATA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of School Education Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8524, Japan

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the following mixed problem to semilinear parabolic equation:

$$u_t(t,x) - \Delta u(t,x) = |u(t,x)|^{p-1} u(t,x), \ (t,x) \in (0,T) \times \Omega,$$
(1)

$$u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{2}$$

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \ t \in (0,T).$$
(3)

Here,  $1 , <math>\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 3)$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . In the case when 1 , of course, we can treat the low dimensional case <math>N = 1, 2, but for simplicity we restrict our attention to the above mentioned case. For large initial data  $u_0$  in some sense, it is well-known that the solution u(t, x) to the problem (1)-(3) blows up in a finite time (see Ikehata-Suzuki[7], Ishii[9], Levine[10], Ôtani[11], Tsutsumi[16], and Payne-Sattinger[12]), meanwhile for small initial data, exponentially decaying solutions are obtained (see [7] and the references therein). In this paper, we have much interest in solutions to (1)-(3) which neither blowup nor decay. In that occasion, we proceed our argument based on the following local well-posedness theorem due to [7] (see also, Hoshino-Yamada[5]). In the following,  $\|\cdot\|_q (1 \leq q \leq \infty)$  means the usual (real)  $L^q(\Omega)$ norm.

**Proposition 1.1** For each  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , there exists a number  $T_m > 0$  such that the problem (1.1)-(1.3) has a unique solution  $u \in C([0, T_m); H_0^1(\Omega))$  which becomes classical on  $(0, T_m)$ . Furthermore, if  $T_m < +\infty$ , then

$$\lim_{t \upharpoonright T_m} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{\infty} = +\infty,$$

and in particular, in the case when 1 one also has

$$\lim_{t\uparrow T_m} \|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|_2 = +\infty.$$

Set

$$X = H^1_0(\Omega), \ I(u) = rac{1}{2} \|
abla u\|_2^2 - rac{1}{p+1} \|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1},$$

$$I(u) = \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} - \|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1},$$
  
$$\mathcal{N} = \{v \in X \setminus \{0\} | I(v) = 0\},$$
  
$$d_{p} = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}} J(v) = \inf\{\sup_{\lambda \ge 0} J(\lambda v) | v \in X \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

It is easy to show that the potential depth  $d_p$  (see Sattinger[13]) satisfies  $d_p > 0$  because of the Sobolev continuous embedding  $X \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\Omega)$  (1 . The stable andunstable sets are defined as usual:

$$W = \{ u \in X | J(u) < d_p, I(u) > 0 \} \cup \{ 0 \},$$
$$V = \{ u \in X | J(u) < d_p, I(u) < 0 \}.$$

Furthermore, for later use we define the following notations.

$$E = \{ u \in X | -\Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u \text{ in } \Omega, u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \},$$
  

$$E^* = \{ u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) | -\Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \},$$
  

$$E^*_+ = \{ u \in E^* | \ u \ge 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \},$$
  

$$J_*(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p+1} dx$$

Here  $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  denotes the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with respect to the norm  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ . In particular, in the case when  $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ , because of the Sobolev embedding  $S\|u\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$  for  $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , one also has

$$d^* = \inf\{\sup_{\lambda \ge 0} J_*(\lambda v) | v \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}\} = \frac{1}{N}S^N > 0.$$

Note that  $d^* = d_p$  with  $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ .

**Remark 1.1** In the case when  $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ , it is well-known (Struwe[14]) that the family  $\{u_{\varepsilon}^{*}(x)\}$  such as

$$u_{\varepsilon}^{*}(x) = \frac{[N(N-2)\varepsilon^{2}]^{\frac{N-2}{4}}}{[\varepsilon^{2}+|x|^{2}]^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

satisfies

$$-\Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

so that  $E_+^* \setminus \{0\} \neq \emptyset$ .

By the way, quite recently, in [7] the following result has been shown with regard to the singularity of a global solution to the problem (1)-(3) under the assumptions below: let u(t, x) be a solution to (1.1)-(1.3) as in Proposition 1.1. Furthermore, one assumes that

(A.1) 
$$u_0 \ge 0.$$
  
(A.2)  $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}.$   
(A.3)  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N | |x| < 1\}.$ 

(A.4)  $u(t,x) = u(t,|x|), u_r(t,r) < 0 \text{ on } 0 < r \le 1 \text{ with } r = |x|.$ 

Finally, assume  $T_m = +\infty$ . For 1 set

$$C_0 = \frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} \lim_{t \to +\infty} J(u(t, \cdot)).$$
(4)

Note that  $C_0 \ge 0$  if  $T_m = +\infty$  (see [10]). Then, their results read as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** ([7]) Assume (A.1)-(A.4). Let u(t,x) be a solution to (1)-(3) on  $[0,T_m)$ as in Proposition 1.1. Suppose  $T_m = +\infty$  and  $C_0 > 0$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}$ with  $t_n \to +\infty$  as  $n \to +\infty$  such that

(1)  $|\nabla u(t_n, x)|^2 \to C_0 \delta_0$  (weakly-\*) in  $C_0(\Omega)^*$ , (2)  $u(t_n, x)^{p+1} \to C_0 \delta_0$  (weakly-\*) in  $C_0(\Omega)^*$ ,

as  $n \to +\infty$ . Here,  $\delta_0$  means the usual Dirac measure having a unit mass at the origin.

Since  $C_0 > 0$  if and only if  $u(t, \cdot) \notin (W \cup V)$  for all  $t \ge 0$ , their theorem states that a global orbit  $u(t, \cdot)$  which neither decay nor blowup (if this kind of solution can be constructed!) have a strong singularity at the origin. In connection with this result, we have just noticed that for such a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  constructed in Theorem 1.1 above,  $\{u(t_n, \cdot)\}$  becomes a Palais-Smale sequence so that the global compactness result due to Struwe[15] can be applied to this functional sequence. Our first result reads as follows:

**Theorem 1.2** Let  $\{u(t_n, \cdot)\}$  be a sequence as in Theorem 1.1. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.1, there exist an integer  $k \in N$ , a sequence of radii  $\{R_n^i\}$  with  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} R_n^i = +\infty$ , a sequence  $\{x_n^i\} \in \Omega$ , and  $u^i \in E_+^* \setminus \{0\} (1 \le i \le k)$  such that (taking a subsequence)

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|\nabla(u(t_n, \cdot) - \sum_{i=1}^k u_n^i)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0,$$
$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} J(u(t, \cdot)) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} J(u(t_n, \cdot)) = kd^*,$$
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|\nabla u(t_n, \cdot)\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \|\nabla u^i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = kS^N,$$

where

$$u_n^i(x) = (R_n^i)^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u^i (R_n^i(x - x_n^i)) \ (1 \le i \le k), \ n = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

**Remark 1.2** By considering scaling and translation, one finds that the compactness of  $\{u(t_n, \cdot)\}$  destroyed in Theorem 1.1 is restored once more. On the other hand, for the proof of this Theorem, we have to notice the following fact (see [14]) that each  $u^i$  is of the form  $u^i(x) = u^*_{\varepsilon}(x)$  (see Remark 1.1) with some  $\varepsilon$  and satisfies  $J_*(u^i) = d^*$  (least energy level).

**Remark 1.3** Under the assumptions  $\Omega = \text{star-shaped}$  and  $u_0(x) \ge 0$ , one can get the quite same results as in the radial case above. In the case when  $u_0$  changes sign, however, even if  $\Omega$  is star-shaped, one needs a few modifications of the results above (see [14]).

The next result is concerned with the case when 1 . It seems not to be known that any global solutions to (1)-(3) naturally contain a subsequence which is relatively compact in X in the subcritical case. Our second result reads as follows:

**Theorem 1.3** Let 1 and <math>u(t,x) be a solution on  $[0,T_m)$  as in Proposition 1.1. If  $T_m = +\infty$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  with  $t_n \to +\infty$  as  $n \to +\infty$  such that  $\{u(t_n,\cdot)\}$  becomes relatively compact in X so that there exists an element  $u_{\infty} \in E$  such that  $u(t_n,\cdot) \to u_{\infty}$  in X as  $n \to +\infty$  along a subsequence.

**Remark 1.4** In Theorem 1.3, if, in particular,  $C_0 > 0$ , then one has  $u_{\infty} \in E \setminus \{0\}$ . Furthermore, the construction of such a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  is in the same way as in Theorem 1.2.

## 2 Palais-Smale sequence

In this section, reviewing some results concerning Theorem 1.1 due to [7] we shall construct some Palais-Smale sequences of a global solution to the problem (1)-(3).

First, suppose  $1 and <math>T_m = +\infty$  in Proposition 1.1. Since its solution satisfies the energy identity:

$$J(u(t,\cdot)) + \int_0^t \|u_t(s,\cdot)\|_2^2 ds = J(u_0)$$
(5)

for all  $t \ge 0$ , this implies that the function  $t \mapsto J(u(t, \cdot))$  is monotone decreasing so that  $C_0 \ge 0$  (see (4)) is meaningfull. Letting  $t \to +\infty$  in (5), the improper integral  $\int_0^\infty ||u_t(s, \cdot)||_2^2 ds$  is finite determined. Therefore, there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  with  $t_n \to +\infty$  as  $n \to +\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|u_t(t_n, \cdot)\|_2^2 = 0.$$

Note that this sequence  $\{t_n\}$  coincides with the one in Theorem 1.1.

Next, multiplying the both sides of (1) by u(t, x) and integrating it over  $\Omega$ , we have

$$(u_t(t,\cdot),u(t,\cdot)) = -I(u(t,\cdot)), \tag{6}$$

where  $(f,g) = \int_{\Omega} f(x)g(x)dx$ . Because of [2], it holds true that  $||u(t,\cdot)||_2 \leq C$  for all  $t \geq 0$  with some constant C > 0. Therefore, one has

$$|I(u(t_n, \cdot))| \le C ||u_t(t_n, \cdot)||_2$$

for all  $n \in N$ . Letting  $n \to +\infty$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} I(u(t_n, \cdot)) = 0.$$
(7)

On the other hand, the identity holds good:

$$J(u) = \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} I(u).$$
(8)

So, from (8) with  $u = u(t_n, \cdot)$  and (6)-(7) we find that

80

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $u(t, \cdot)$  be as in Proposition 1.1. If  $T_m = +\infty$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  with  $t_n \to +\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|u_t(t_n, \cdot)\|_2 = 0,$$
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|\nabla u(t_n, \cdot)\|_2^2 = C_0,$$
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|u(t_n, \cdot)\|_{p+1}^{p+1} = C_0.$$

From this lemma, one obtains the next ones:

**Lemma 2.2** Let u(t,x) be a local solution constructed in Proposition 1.1. If  $T_m = +\infty$ , then there exists a Palais-Smale sequence to the problem (1)-(3).

*Proof.* Let  $\{t_n\}$  be as in Lemma 2.1. Then, it follows that

$$J(u_0) \ge J(u(t_n, \cdot)) \longrightarrow \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} C_0 \ge 0 \quad as \quad n \to +\infty.$$

$$\tag{9}$$

Furthermore, for such sequence, since  $J \in C^1(X, R)$ , by equation (1) we have

$$J'(u(t_n,\cdot))[v] = -(u_t(t_n,\cdot),v)$$

for each  $v \in X$ , where  $J'(u) \in X^*$  means the usual Fréchet-derivative of J at  $u \in X$ . By this equality and the Schwarz inequality together with the Poincaré inequality one gets:

$$|J'(u(t_n, \cdot))[v]| \le C_1 ||u_t(t_n, \cdot)||_2 ||\nabla v||_2$$

which implies

$$\|J'(u(t_n,\cdot))\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \to 0 (n \to +\infty), \tag{10}$$

where  $C_1 > 0$  is a Poincaré constant. We find that  $\{u(t_n, \cdot)\}$  becomes a Palais-Smale sequence because of (9) and (10).

In particular, in the case when  $p \in (1, \frac{N+2}{N-2})$  one gets the following compactness result. For the detailed proof, see the forthcoming paper [8].

**Lemma 2.3** Suppose  $p \in (1, \frac{N+2}{N-2})$ . Let u(t, x) be a global (i.e.,  $T_m = +\infty$ ) solution to (1)-(3) as in Proposition 1.1. Then, the sequence  $\{u(t_n, \cdot)\}$  constructed in Lemma 2.1 becomes relatively compact in X.

Now, we are in a position to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. This result is a direct consequence of [14] (Theorem 3.1, p.184) and Lemma 2.2 and so, we shall omitt the details. But, since  $\Omega = ball$ , note that  $E = \{0\}$  holds true in the present case.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The first half is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.3. In order to prove  $u_{\infty} \in E$ , note that the following estimates are proven:

$$\|f(u) - f(v)\|_{1+\frac{1}{n}} \le p(\|u\|_{p+1} + \|v\|_{p+1})^{p-1} \|u - v\|_{p+1}$$

for all  $u, v \in L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ , and

$$|(f(u(t_n, \cdot)) - f(u_{\infty}), \phi)| \le ||f(u(t_n, \cdot)) - f(u_{\infty})||_{1+\frac{1}{n}} ||\phi||_{p+1}$$

for each  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , where  $\{u(t_n, \cdot)\}$  is a sequence constructed in the first half. By combining these estimates with Lemma 2.1 and the Sobolev embedding  $X \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ , one obtains the desired statement.

From Lemma 2.1 one has a result reviewed from the view point of the Palais-Smale condition.

**Corollary 2.1** Let 1 and <math>u(t,x) be a global solution constructed in Proposition 1.1, i.e.,  $T_m = +\infty$ . If  $C_0 = 0$ , then the sequence  $\{u(t_n, \cdot)\}$  stated in Lemma 2.1 becomes relatively compact, and in fact,  $u(t, \cdot) \to 0$  in X as  $t \to +\infty$ .

From Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 2.1 with  $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ , one can say that it depends on the least energy level  $\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}C_0$  whether the Palais-Smale condition holds good or not to the sequence  $\{u(t_n, \cdot)\}$  as in Lemma 2.1.

Finally in this section, we shall apply Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 2.2 for the finite time blowup problem concerning (1)-(3). First, as a consequence of [14] one obtains the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** 4 Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded smooth domain and  $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ . Then, for all  $v \in E$ , one has  $J(v) \in \{0\} \cup (d^*, +\infty)$ , and also, for each  $w \in E^* \setminus \{0\}$ , one has  $J_*(w) \in \{d^*\} \cup (2d^*, +\infty)$ .

The following result gives a kind of alternative proof of [11] concerning blowup problem. **Proposition 2.1** Let 1 and <math>u(t,x) be a local solution of (1)-(3) on  $[0,T_m)$ constructed in Proposition 1.1. If  $u(t_0, \cdot) \in V$  for some  $t_0 \in [0, T_m)$ , then  $T_m < +\infty$ .

Proof. First, we shall deal with the case when  $1 . Suppose <math>T_m = +\infty$ . Then, it follows from Theorem 1.3 that there exist a Palais-Smale sequence  $\{u(t_n, \cdot)\}$  to the problem (1)-(3) and  $u_{\infty} \in E$  such that  $u(t_n, \cdot) \to u_{\infty}$  in X along a subsequence. On the other hand, it is well-known (see [6]) that  $u(t, \cdot) \in V$  for all  $t \in [t_0, \infty)$ . Since W is a neighbourhood of 0 in X, if  $u_{\infty} = 0$ , then  $u(t_m, \cdot) \in W$  holds with some  $t_m$  and this contradicts the fact that  $W \cap V = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $u_{\infty} \in E \setminus \{0\}$ . Because of the monotone decreasingness of a function  $t \mapsto J(u(t, \cdot))$ , one obtains  $J(u(t_n, \cdot)) \geq J(u_{\infty}) \geq d_p$  which contradicts  $u(t_n, \cdot) \in V$  with large  $t_n$ .

Next, we are concerned with the critical case  $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ . Suppose  $T_m = +\infty$ . Obviously,  $C_0 > 0$  holds true. Then, from Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.1 of [14], p.184 that there exist a Palais-Smale sequence  $\{u(t_n, \cdot)\}, k \in N, u^0 \in E$ , and  $u^i \in E^* \setminus \{0\}$   $(1 \le i \le k)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} J(u(t_n, \cdot)) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} J(u(t, \cdot)) = J(u^0) + \sum_{i=1}^k J_*(u^i).$$

By Lemma 2.4 and the monotone decreasingness of a function  $t \mapsto J(u(t, \cdot))$ , one finds that

$$J(u(t,\cdot)) \ge d^*$$

for all  $t \ge 0$ . This contradicts also  $u(t, \cdot) \in V$  for all  $t \ge t_0$ .

## References

- [1] H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg. Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36**(1983), 437–477.
- [2] T. Cazenave and P. L. Lions. Solutions globales d'equations de la chaleur semi lineaires. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 9(1984), 955–978.
- [3] Y. Giga. A bound for global solutions of semilinear heat equations. Comm. Math. Phys. 103(1986),415-421.
- [4] D. Henry. Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations. Lecture Notes in Math. 840, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [5] H. Hoshino and Y. Yamada. Solvability and smoothing effect for semilinear parabolic equations. Funk. Ekva. **34**(1991), 475–494.
- [6] R. Ikehata and T. Suzuki. Stable and unstable sets for evolution equations of parabolic and hyperbolic type. Hiroshima Math. J. **26**(1996), 475–491.
- [7] R. Ikehata and T. Suzuki. Semilinear parabolic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent: local and asymptotic behavior of solutions. to appear in Diff. Int. Equations.
- [8] R. Ikehata. Remarks on the Palais-Smale condition for some semilinear parabolic equations. preprint(1998).
- [9] H. Ishii. Asymptotic stability and blowing-up of solutions of some nonlinear equations. J. Diff. Eq. 26(1977), 291-319.
- [10] H. A. Levine. Some nonexistence and instability theorems of solutions of formally parabolic equations of the form  $Pu_t = -Au + F(u)$ . Arch. Rat. Mech. Math. **51**(1973), 371–386.
- [11] M. Otani. Existence and asymptotic stability of strong solutions of nonlinear evolution equations with a difference term of subdifferentials. Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations 30, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [12] L. E. Payne and D. H. Sattinger. Saddle points and unstability of nonlinear hyperbolic equations. Israel J. Math. 22(1975), 273–303.
- [13] D. H. Sattinger. On global solution of nonlinear hyperbolic equations. Arch. Rat. Mech. Math. 30(1968), 148-172.
- [14] M. Struwe. Variational Methods A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics 34, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [15] M. Struwe. A global compactness result for elliptic boundary value problems involving limiting nonlinearities. Math. Z. 187(1984), 511–517.
- [16] M. Tsutsumi. On solutions of semilinear differential equations in a Hilbert space. Math. Japon. 17(1972), 173–193.