数理解析研究所講究録
1137 巻 2000 年 86-98

BOUNDED HARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON UNLIMITED COVERING SURFACES

大同工大　瀬川重男 (Shigeo Segawa)
京都産大・理　正岡弘照 (Hiroaki Masaoka)

1. Introduction

Let W be an open Riemann surface possessing a Green's function. Consider a *p-sheeted unlimited* covering surface $\widetilde{W}$ of W with projection map π. It is easily seen that $\widetilde{W}$ also possesses a Geen's function (cf. e.g. [A-S]). We denote by $HP(R)$ ($HB(R)$, resp.) the class of positive (bounded, resp.) harmonic functions on an open Riemann surface R. It is obvious that the inclusion relation

$$HX(W)\circ\pi := \{h\circ\pi : h\in HX(W)\}\subset HX(\widetilde{W})$$

holds for $X = P, B$. The main purpose of this paper is to give a necessary and sufficient condition, in terms of Martin boundary, in order that the relation $HX(W)\circ\pi = HX(\widetilde{W})$ holds for $X = P, B$.

For an open Riemann surface R, we denote by R^*, Δ^R and Δ_1^R the Martin compactification, the Martin boundary and the minimal Martin boundary of R, respectively. It is known that the projection map π of $\widetilde{W}$ to W is extended to $\widetilde{W}^*$ continuously and $\pi(\Delta^{\widetilde{W}}) = \Delta^W$ (cf. [M-S2]). For each $\zeta\in\Delta^W$, put

$$\Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta) = \Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}}\cap\pi^{-1}(\zeta) = \{\tilde{\zeta}\in\Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}} : \pi(\tilde{\zeta}) = \zeta\},$$

which is the set of minimal boundary points of $\widetilde{W}$ lying over $\zeta\in\Delta^W$. Our main results are the followings.

Theorem 1. *In order that the relation $HP(W)\circ\pi = HP(\widetilde{W})$ holds, it is necessary and sufficient that $\Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta)$ consists of a single point for every $\zeta\in\Delta_1^W$.*

Theorem 2. *In order that the relation $HB(W)\circ\pi = HB(\widetilde{W})$ holds, it is necessary and sufficient that $\Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta)$ consists of a single point for ω_z^W almost all $\zeta\in\Delta_1^W$, where ω_z^W is a harmonic measure on Δ^W with respect to W and $z\in W$.*

Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 will be given in §3 and §4, respectively.

Let D be the unit disc $\{|z|<1\}$. In §5, we will be concerned with p-sheeted unlimited covering surfaces of D which illustrate Theorems 1 and 2. We will prove the following.

Proposition. *Set* $A = \{(1-2^{-n-1})e^{i2\pi k/2^{n+2}} : n = 1, 2, ..., \ k = 1, ..., 2^{n+2}\}$. *If* $\widetilde{D}$ *is a* p*-sheeted unlimited covering surface of* D *with projection map* π *such that there is a branch point of* $\widetilde{D}$ *of order* $p-1$ *(or multiplicity* p*) over every* $z \in A$ *and there are no branch points of* $\widetilde{D}$ *over* $D \setminus A$*, then* $HP(D) \circ \pi = HP(\widetilde{D})$.

We will show a bit more (cf. Theorem 5.1). Modifying the above $\widetilde{D}$, we will also give a p-sheeted unlimited covering surface $\widetilde{D}_1$ of D with projection map π such that $HP(D) \circ \pi \neq HP(\widetilde{D}_1)$ and $HB(D) \circ \pi = HB(\widetilde{D}_1)$.

2. Martin boundary of p-sheeted unlimited covering surfaces

Let W be an open Riemann surface possessing a Green's function and $\widetilde{W}$ a p-sheeted unlimited covering surface of W with projection map π. Since the pullback of a Green's function on W by π is a nonconstant positive superharmonic function on $\widetilde{W}$, we see that $\widetilde{W}$ possesses a Green's function (cf. e.g. [A-S], [S-N]). For Martin compactification, Martin boundary and minimal Martin boundary, we follow the notation in Introduction. We first note the following (cf. [M-S2]).

Proposition 2.1. *The projection map* π *of* $\widetilde{W}$ *onto* W *is extended to the Martin compactification* $\widetilde{W}^*$ *of* $\widetilde{W}$ *continuously and* $\pi(\Delta^{\widetilde{W}}) = \Delta^W$.

We recall the definition of $\Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta)$ ($\zeta \in \Delta^W$) in Introduction:

$$\Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta) = \Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}} \cap \pi^{-1}(\zeta) = \{\tilde{\zeta} \in \Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}} : \pi(\tilde{\zeta}) = \zeta\}.$$

We denote by $\nu_{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta)$ the (cardinal) number of $\Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta)$. We next fix a point $a \in W$ and a point $\tilde{a} \in \widetilde{W}$ with

$$\pi(\tilde{a}) = a. \tag{2.1}$$

We consider the Martin kernel $k_\zeta^W(\cdot)$ ($k_{\tilde{\zeta}}^{\widetilde{W}}(\cdot)$, resp.) on W ($\widetilde{W}$, resp.) with pole at ζ ($\tilde{\zeta}$, resp.) and with reference point a ($\tilde{a}$, resp.), that is,

$$k_\zeta^W(z) = \frac{g^W(z,\zeta)}{g^W(a,\zeta)} \quad \left(k_{\tilde{\zeta}}^{\widetilde{W}}(\tilde{z}) = \frac{g^{\widetilde{W}}(\tilde{z},\tilde{\zeta})}{g^{\widetilde{W}}(\tilde{a},\tilde{\zeta})}, \text{ resp.}\right)$$

for $\zeta \in W$ ($\tilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{W}$, resp.), where $g^W(\cdot,\zeta)$ ($g^{\widetilde{W}}(\cdot,\tilde{\zeta})$, resp.) is a Green's function on W ($\widetilde{W}$, resp.) with pole at ζ ($\tilde{\zeta}$, resp.). Note that

$$k_\zeta^W(a) = k_{\tilde{\zeta}}^{\widetilde{W}}(\tilde{a}) = 1. \tag{2.2}$$

In our previous paper [M-S2], we proved the following.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose* $\zeta \in \Delta^W$. *Then*
(i) *If* $\zeta \in \Delta^W \setminus \Delta_1^W$, *then* $\nu_{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta) = 0$;
(ii) *If* $\zeta \in \Delta_1^W$, *then* $1 \le \nu_{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta) \le p$;
(iii) *If* $\zeta \in \Delta_1^W$ *and* $\Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta) = \{\tilde{\zeta}_1, ..., \tilde{\zeta}_n\}$, *then there exist positive numbers* $c_1, ..., c_n$ *such that*

$$k_\zeta^W \circ \pi = c_1 k_{\tilde{\zeta}_1}^{\widetilde{W}} + \cdots + c_n k_{\tilde{\zeta}_n}^{\widetilde{W}}. \tag{2.3}$$

In the relation (2.3) above, by (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_n = 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Let s be a positive superharmonic function on W and E is a subset of W. We denote by ${}^W\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_s^E$ the *balayage* of s with respect to E on W. We here give the definitions of *minimal thinness* and *minimal fine neighborhood* (cf. [B]).

DEFINITION 2.1. Let ζ be a point of Δ_1^W and E a subset of W. We say that E is *minimally thin* at ζ if ${}^W\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{k_\zeta^W}^E \neq k_\zeta^W$.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let ζ be a point of Δ_1^W and U a subset of W. We say that $U \cup \{\zeta\}$ is a *minimal fine neighborhood* of ζ if $W \setminus U$ is minimally thin at ζ.

The following is easily verified from Proposition 3.1 of our previous paper [M-S2] (see also [M]).

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $\tilde{\zeta}$ *be* $\in \Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}}$ *and* $\widetilde{U}$ *a subset of* $\widetilde{W}$. *Then* $\widetilde{U} \cup \{\tilde{\zeta}\}$ *is a minimal fine neighborhood of* $\tilde{\zeta}$ *if and only if* $\pi(\widetilde{U}) \cup \{\pi(\tilde{\zeta})\}$ *is a minimal fine neighborhood of* $\pi(\tilde{\zeta})$.

For $\zeta \in \Delta_1^W$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_W(\zeta)$ the class of connected open sets M such that $W \setminus M$ is minimally thin at ζ. Moreover, for $M \in \mathcal{M}_W(\zeta)$ and a p-sheeted unlimited covering surface $\widetilde{W}$ of W with projection map π, we denote by $n_{\widetilde{W}}(M)$ the number of connected components of $\pi^{-1}(M)$. Then $\nu_{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta)$ is characterized by $n_{\widetilde{W}}(M)$ as follows, which is a main result of our previous paper [M-S2].

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose* $\zeta \in \Delta_1^W$. *Then* $\nu_{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta) = \max_{M \in \mathcal{M}_W(\zeta)} n_{\widetilde{W}}(M)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1. For the sake of simplicity, we introduce the following notation:

$$\Delta = \Delta^W,\ \Delta_1 = \Delta_1^W,\ \tilde{\Delta} = \Delta^{\widetilde{W}},\ \tilde{\Delta}_1 = \Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}},\ \tilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta) = \Delta_1^{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta)$$

and

$$k_\zeta = k_\zeta^W,\ \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}} = k_{\tilde{\zeta}}^{\widetilde{W}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume that $HP(W) \circ \pi = HP(\widetilde{W})$. Let ζ be an arbitrary point in Δ_1. We need to show that $\tilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta)$ consists of a single point. Take a point $\tilde{\zeta} \in \tilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta)$. By Proposition 2.2 (iii), there exists a positive constant c such that

$$c\tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}} \le k_\zeta \circ \pi \tag{3.1}$$

on $\widetilde{W}$. By assumption, there exists an $h \in HP(W)$ such that

$$\tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}} = h \circ \pi \tag{3.2}$$

on $\widetilde{W}$. Hence, by (3.1), we see that $ch \le k_\zeta$ on W. This with minimality of k_ζ implies that there exists a positive constant c_1 such that

$$h = c_1 k_\zeta \tag{3.3}$$

on W. Hence, by (3.2), we see that $\tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}} = c_1 k_\zeta \circ \pi$ on $\widetilde{W}$. From this with (2.1) and (2.2), it follows that $c_1 = 1$. Therefore we obtain

$$\tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}} = k_\zeta \circ \pi \tag{3.4}$$

on $\widetilde{W}$. This yields that $\tilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta) = \{\tilde{\zeta}\}$.

Conversely, assume that $\nu_{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta) = 1$ for every $\zeta \in \Delta_1$. We only need to show $HP(\widetilde{W}) \subset HP(W) \circ \pi$, since the reversed inclusion is trivial. By assumption, we set $\tilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta) = \{\tilde{\zeta}\}$ for each $\zeta \in \Delta_1$. By Proposition 2.2 (iii) and (2.4), we have

$$\tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}} = k_\zeta \circ \pi \tag{3.5}$$

for every $\zeta \in \Delta_1$. Take an arbitrary $\tilde{h}$ in $HP(\widetilde{W})$. By the Martin representation theorem (cf. e.g. [ ], [ ] and [ ]), there exists a Radon measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on $\tilde{\Delta}$ with $\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\Delta} \setminus \tilde{\Delta}_1) = 0$ such that

$$\tilde{h} = \int \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}} d\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\zeta}). \tag{3.6}$$

Choose arbitrary two points $\tilde{z}_1$ and $\tilde{z}_2$ in $\widetilde{W}$ with $\pi(\tilde{z}_1) = \pi(\tilde{z}_2)$. In view of (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\tilde{h}(\tilde{z}_1) = \int \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\tilde{z}_1) d\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\zeta}) = \int \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\tilde{z}_2) d\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\zeta}) = \tilde{h}(\tilde{z}_2).$$

Therefore we deduce that $\tilde{h} \in HP(W) \circ \pi$ for every $\tilde{h} \in HP(\widetilde{W})$, and hence $HP(\widetilde{W}) \subset HP(W) \circ \pi$.

The proof is herewith complete. $\square$

4. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 2. Let $\omega_z(\cdot)$ ($\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\cdot)$, resp.) be the harmonic measure on Δ ($\tilde{\Delta}$, resp.) with respect to W ($\widetilde{W}$, resp.) and $z \in W$ ($\tilde{z} \in \widetilde{W}$, resp.). It is well-known that harmonic measure is a Radon measure (cf. e.g. [C-C]). It is also well-known that $\omega_z(\cdot)$ ($\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\cdot)$, resp.) can be extended to the outer measure on Δ ($\tilde{\Delta}$, resp.) by

$$\omega_z(E) = \inf\{\omega_z(B) : B \text{ is a Borel set with } E \subset B\}$$

$$(\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{E}) = \inf\{\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{B}) : \widetilde{B} \text{ is a Borel set with } E \subset B\}, \text{ resp.})$$

for a subset E ($\widetilde{E}$, resp.) of Δ ($\tilde{\Delta}$, resp.). It is known that $h(z) = \omega_z(E)$ is a nonnegative harmonic function on W for every $E \subset \Delta$. By minimum principle, it is obvious that, for an arbitrary $E(\subset \Delta)$ ($\widetilde{E} \subset \tilde{\Delta}$, resp.), $\omega_z(E) = 0$ ($\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{E}) = 0$, resp.) for a $z \in W$ ($\tilde{z} \in \tilde{W}$, resp.) if and only if $\omega_z(E) = 0$ ($\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{E}) = 0$, resp.) for all $z \in W$ ($\tilde{z} \in \tilde{W}$, resp.). Let f be a real-valued function on the Martin boundary Δ^R of an open Riemann surface R. We denote by H_f^R ($\overline{H}_f^R$, resp.) the solution (uppper solution, resp.) of Dirichlet problem on $R(= W$ or $\widetilde{W})$ with boundary values f in the sense of Perron-Wiener-Brelot. We first prove the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\widetilde{E}$ be a subset of $\tilde{\Delta}$. Then $\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{E}) = 0$ if and only if $\omega_z(\pi(\widetilde{E})) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{E}) = 0$. By definition, there exists a Borel set $\widetilde{B} \subset \tilde{\Delta}$ with $\widetilde{E} \subset \widetilde{B}$ such that

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{B}) = H^{\widetilde{W}}_{1_{\widetilde{B}}}(\tilde{z}) = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

where $1_{\widetilde{B}}$ is the characteristic function of $\widetilde{B}$ on $\tilde{\Delta}$. Let $\tilde{s}$ be an arbitrary positive superharmonic funtion on $\widetilde{W}$ such that $\liminf_{\tilde{z} \to \tilde{\zeta}} \tilde{s}(\tilde{z}) \geq 1$ for every $\tilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{B}$. Set

$$s(z) := \sum_{\tilde{z} \in \pi^{-1}(z)} m(\tilde{z})\tilde{s}(\tilde{z}),$$

where $m(\tilde{z})$ is multiplicity of π at $\tilde{z}$. Then $s(z)$ is a positive superharmonic function on W and $\liminf_{z \to \zeta} s(z) \geq 1$ for every $\zeta \in \pi(\widetilde{B})$. Hence $s(z) \geq \overline{H}^W_{1_{\pi(\widetilde{B})}}(z)$. From this and the fact $\overline{H}^W_{1_{\pi(\widetilde{B})}}(z) \geq \omega_z(\pi(\widetilde{B}))$ (cf. e.g. [C-C]), it follows that

$$s(z) \geq \omega_z(\pi(\widetilde{B})) \geq \omega_z(\pi(\widetilde{E})).$$

Therefore, by letting $s(z)$ arbitrarily small in view of (4.1), we obtain $\omega_z(\pi(\widetilde{E})) = 0$.

Suppose $\omega_z(\pi(\widetilde{E})) = 0$. By definition, there exists a Borel set $B \subset \Delta$ with $B \supset \pi(\widetilde{E})$ such that

$$\omega_z(B) = H^W_{1_B}(z) = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Let s be an arbitrary positive superharmonic funtion on W such that $\liminf_{z\to\zeta} s(z) \geq 1$ for every $\zeta \in B$. Then $s \circ \pi(\tilde{z})$ is a positive superharmonic function on $\widetilde{W}$ and $\liminf_{\tilde{z}\to\tilde{\zeta}} s \circ \pi(\tilde{z}) \geq 1$ for every $\tilde{\zeta} \in \pi^{-1}(B)$. Hence $s \circ \pi(\tilde{z}) \geq \overline{H}^{\widetilde{W}}_{1_{\pi^{-1}(B)}}(\tilde{z})$. From this and the fact $\overline{H}^{\widetilde{W}}_{1_{\pi^{-1}(B)}}(\tilde{z}) \geq \tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\pi^{-1}(B))$, it follows that

$$s \circ \pi(\tilde{z}) \geq \tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\pi^{-1}(B)) \geq \tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\pi^{-1}(\pi(\widetilde{E}))) \geq \tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{E}).$$

Therefore, letting $s \circ \pi(\tilde{z})$ arbitrarily small in view of (4.2), we obtain $\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{E}) = 0$.

The proof is herewith complete. □

We next consider the sets

$$N_1 := \{\zeta \in \Delta_1 : \nu_{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta) = 1\}$$

and

$$N_2 := \Delta_1 \setminus N_1 = \{\zeta \in \Delta_1 : \nu_{\widetilde{W}}(\zeta) \geq 2\}.$$

Put $\widetilde{N}_1 = \pi^{-1}(N_1) \cap \tilde{\Delta}_1$ and $\widetilde{N}_2 = \pi^{-1}(N_2) \cap \tilde{\Delta}_1$. By means of Proposition 2.2, it is easily seen that $\widetilde{N}_1 \cup \widetilde{N}_2 = \tilde{\Delta}_1$ and $\pi(\widetilde{N}_i) = N_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$. We denote by $\tilde{d}(\cdot,\cdot)$ the metric on $\widetilde{W}^*$ defined by

$$d(z, \zeta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \left| \frac{k_z(z_n)}{1 + k_z(z_n)} - \frac{k_\zeta(z_n)}{1 + k_\zeta(z_n)} \right|,$$

where $\{z_n : n = 1, 2, ...\}$ is a dense subset of $\widetilde{W}$. Set $\tilde{U}_r(\tilde{z}_0) = \{\tilde{z} \in \widetilde{W}^* : \tilde{d}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}_0) < r\}$ for $\tilde{z}_0 \in \widetilde{W}^*$ and $r > 0$.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $\omega_z(N_2) > 0$. Then there exists a $\tilde{\zeta}_0 \in \widetilde{N}_2$ such that $\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{N}_2 \cap \tilde{U}_r(\tilde{\zeta}_0)) > 0$ for every $r > 0$.*

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 4.1, we have $\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{N}_2) > 0$, since $\pi(\widetilde{N}_2) = N_2$. Contrary to the assertion, assume that, for every $\tilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{N}_2$, there exists an $r_{\tilde{\zeta}} > 0$ such that $\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{N}_2 \cap \tilde{U}_{r_{\tilde{\zeta}}}(\tilde{\zeta})) = 0$. Then, by the Lindelöf covering theorem, there exists a sequence $\{\tilde{\zeta}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in $\widetilde{N}_2$ such that $\widetilde{N}_2 \subset \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{U}_{r_{\tilde{\zeta}_j}}(\tilde{\zeta}_j)$. Hence we have

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{N}_2) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{N}_2 \cap \tilde{U}_{r_{\tilde{\zeta}_j}}(\tilde{\zeta}_j)) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. □

Here, we again recall the definition of $\widetilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta)$:

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta) = \widetilde{\Delta}_1 \cap \pi^{-1}(\zeta) = \{\tilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{\Delta}_1 : \pi(\tilde{\zeta}) = \zeta\}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\tilde{\xi}$ be a point in $\widetilde{N}_2$. Then there exists a $\rho > 0$ such that $\widetilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta) \setminus \widetilde{U}_\rho(\tilde{\xi})$ is not empty for every $\zeta \in N_2 \cap \pi(\widetilde{U}_\rho(\tilde{\xi}))$.*

Proof. Set $\pi(\tilde{\xi}) = \xi$. Then, by definition, $\xi \in N_2$. Assume that the assertion is false. Then there exists a sequence $\{\zeta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in $N_2 \setminus \{\pi(\tilde{\xi})\}$ such that

$$\tilde{d}(\widetilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta_j), \tilde{\xi}) < 1/j. \tag{4.3}$$

From this it follows that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} k_{\zeta_j} = k_\xi. \tag{4.4}$$

By Proposition 2.2 and (2.4), for each j, there exist positive constants $c_{j1}, ..., c_{jn_j}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} c_{ji} = 1$ such that

$$k_{\zeta_j} \circ \pi = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} c_{ji} \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}_{ji}}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $\widetilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta_j) = \{\tilde{\zeta}_{j1}, ..., \tilde{\zeta}_{jn_j}\}$. Then, in view of (4.3), we see that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}_{ji_j}} = \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\xi}}$$

independently of choice of i_j in $\{1, ..., n_j\}$. This with (4.4) and (4.5) implies that

$$k_\xi \circ \pi = \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\xi}}.$$

Therefore, by means of Proposition 2.2, we obtain $\widetilde{\Delta}_1(\xi) = \{\tilde{\xi}\}$, which contradicts $\xi \in N_2$. This completes the proof. □

We can restate Theorem 2, in terms of the set N_2, as follows: *The relation $HB(W) \circ \pi = HB(\widetilde{W})$ holds if and only if $\omega_z(N_2) = 0$.*

Proof of Theroem 2. We first prove 'if' part. Suppose $\omega_z(N_2) = 0$. Then, by Lemma 4.1,

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{N}_2) = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Take an arbitrary $\tilde{h} \in HB(\widetilde{W})$. We only need to show $\tilde{h} \in HB(W) \circ \pi$. Adding a constant to $\tilde{h}$, we may assume that $\tilde{h} > 0$ on $\widetilde{W}$. Let $c(> 0)$ be the supremum of $\tilde{h}$ on

$\widetilde{W}$. By the Martin representation theorem, there exist Radon measures $\tilde{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ on $\tilde{\Delta}$ with $\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\Delta} \setminus \tilde{\Delta}_1) = 0$ and $\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\Delta} \setminus \tilde{\Delta}_1) = 0$ such that

$$\tilde{h}(\tilde{z}) = \int \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\zeta}) \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$1 = \int \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\zeta}). \tag{4.8}$$

Then

$$c \int \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\zeta}) = c \geq \tilde{h}(\tilde{z}) = \int \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\zeta}).$$

Hence, by uniqeness of representing measure, we have

$$c\tilde{\chi} \geq \tilde{\mu}. \tag{4.9}$$

Note that $\tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\zeta}) = d\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\tilde{\zeta})$ (cf. [C-C, p.140]). From this and (4.9) it follows that

$$\int_{\widetilde{N}_2} \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\zeta}) \leq c \int_{\widetilde{N}_2} \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{\zeta}) = c \int_{\widetilde{N}_2} d\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\tilde{\zeta}) = c\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{N}_2).$$

This with (4.6) yields that

$$\int_{\widetilde{N}_2} \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\zeta}) = 0.$$

Therefore, by (4.7) and the fact $\widetilde{N}_1 \cup \widetilde{N}_2 = \tilde{\Delta}_1$, we have

$$\tilde{h}(\tilde{z}) = \int_{\widetilde{N}_1} \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}}(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\zeta}).$$

Since $\tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}} \in HP(W) \circ \pi$ for every $\tilde{\zeta} \in \widetilde{N}_1$, this implies that $\tilde{h} \in HP(W) \circ \pi \cap HB(\widetilde{W}) \subset HB(W) \circ \pi$.

We next prove 'only if' part. Suppose $\omega_z(N_2) > 0$. Then, by Lemma 4.2, there exists a $\tilde{\xi} \in \widetilde{N}_2$ such that

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{N}_2 \cap \widetilde{U}_r(\tilde{\xi})) > 0 \tag{4.10}$$

for every $r > 0$. Moreover, by Lemma 4.3, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{\Delta}_1(\zeta) \setminus \widetilde{U}_\rho(\tilde{\xi}) \neq \emptyset \tag{4.11}$$

for every $\zeta \in N_2 \cap \pi(\widetilde{U}_\rho(\tilde{\xi}))$. Set

$$\widetilde{E}_1 = \widetilde{N}_2 \cap \widetilde{U}_{\rho/2}(\tilde{\xi}).$$

Then, by (4.10) and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\omega_z(\pi(\widetilde{E}_1)) > 0. \tag{4.12}$$

Set

$$\widetilde{E}_2 = \widetilde{N}_2 \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(\widetilde{U}_{\rho/2}(\xi))) \setminus \widetilde{U}_\rho(\xi).$$

Inview of (4.11), we find that

$$\pi(\widetilde{E}_1) = \pi(\widetilde{E}_2). \tag{4.13}$$

Put $\tilde{h}(\tilde{z}) = \tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{E}_1)$. Then $\tilde{h}(\tilde{z})$ is a bounded harmonic function on $\widetilde{W}$. We only need to show $\tilde{h} \notin HB(W) \circ \pi$. By the Fatou-Naïm-Dood theorem (cf. [C-C, p.152]), $\tilde{h}(\tilde{z})$ has fine limit 1 (0, resp.) at almost all $\tilde{\zeta}$ in $\widetilde{E}_1$ ($\widetilde{E}_2$, resp.) with respect to $\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}$, since $\overline{\widetilde{E}_1} \cap \overline{\widetilde{E}_2} = \emptyset$. Accordingly there exists a subset $\widetilde{F}_1$ ($\widetilde{F}_2$, resp.) of $\widetilde{E}_1$ ($\widetilde{E}_2$, resp.) with $\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{F}_1) = 0$ ($\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{z}}(\widetilde{F}_2) = 0$, resp.) such that, for every $\tilde{\zeta}$ in $\widetilde{E}_1 \setminus \widetilde{F}_1$ ($\widetilde{E}_2 \setminus \widetilde{F}_2$, resp.),

$$\mathcal{F} - \lim_{\tilde{z} \to \tilde{\zeta}} \tilde{h}(\tilde{z}) = 1 \quad (\mathcal{F} - \lim_{\tilde{z} \to \tilde{\zeta}} \tilde{h}(\tilde{z}) = 0, \text{ resp.}) \tag{4.14}$$

Then, by Lemma 4.1, $\omega_z(\pi(\widetilde{F}_1) \cup \pi(\widetilde{F}_2)) = 0$. Hence, by (4.12) and (4.13), there exist points $\tilde{\zeta}_1 \in \widetilde{E}_1 \setminus \widetilde{F}_1$ and $\tilde{\zeta}_2 \in \widetilde{E}_2 \setminus \widetilde{F}_2$ with $\pi(\tilde{\zeta}_1) = \pi(\tilde{\zeta}_2)$. This with (4.14) implies that there exists an open subset $\widetilde{O}_1$ ($\widetilde{O}_2$, resp.) of $\widetilde{W}$ such that $\widetilde{O}_1 \cup \{\tilde{\zeta}_1\}$ ($\widetilde{O}_2 \cup \{\tilde{\zeta}_2\}$, resp.) is a minimal fine neighborhood of $\tilde{\zeta}_1$ ($\tilde{\zeta}_2$, resp.) and that

$$\inf_{\tilde{z} \in \widetilde{O}_1} \tilde{h}(\tilde{z}) \geq \frac{2}{3} \quad (\sup_{\tilde{z} \in \widetilde{O}_2} \tilde{h}(\tilde{z}) \leq \frac{1}{3}, \text{ resp.}). \tag{4.15}$$

Then, by virtue of Proposition 2.3, we see that $(\pi(\widetilde{O}_1) \cap \pi(\widetilde{O}_2)) \cup \{\pi(\tilde{\zeta}_1)\}$ is a minimal fine neighborhood of $\pi(\tilde{\zeta}_1) = \pi(\tilde{\zeta}_2)$, and hence $\pi(\widetilde{O}_1) \cap \pi(\widetilde{O}_2) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, by (4.15), there exists a subset $\widetilde{U}_j$ of $\widetilde{O}_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) with $\pi(\widetilde{U}_1) = \pi(\widetilde{U}_2)$ such that

$$\inf_{\tilde{z} \in \widetilde{U}_1} \tilde{h}(\tilde{z}) \geq \frac{2}{3} \quad (\sup_{\tilde{z} \in \widetilde{U}_2} \tilde{h}(\tilde{z}) \leq \frac{1}{3}, \text{ resp.}).$$

This means that $\tilde{h} \notin HB(W) \circ \pi$.

The proof is herewith complete. □

5. Harmonic functions on covering surfaces of the unit disc

Let D be the unit disc $\{|z| < 1\}$. In this section, we are concerned with application of Theorem 1 and Therem 2 in case base surface is D. As is wellknown, the Martin compactification D^* of D is identified with the closure $\overline{D}$ of D with respect to Euclidian topology and the Martin boundary Δ^D of D consists of only minimal points. In this view, we regard $\partial D = \{|z| = 1\}$ as the (minimal) Martin boundary of D.

To state our main result of this section, we introduce some notations. For a discrete subset A of D, we denote by $\mathcal{B}_p(A)$ the class of p-sheeted unlimited covering surface $\widetilde{D}$ of D such that there exists a branch point in $\widetilde{D}$ of order $p-1$ (or multiplicity p) over every

$z \in A$ and there exist no branch points in $\widetilde{D}$ over $D \setminus A$. In addition to the Euclidean metric, we consider the pseudohyperbolic metric on D given by

$$\rho(z, w) = \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - \overline{w}z} \right|.$$

For $\zeta \in \partial D$ and a positive number $C(< 1)$, we also consider the Stolz type domain with vertex ζ given by

$$S_C(\zeta) = \{z \in D : \ C|z - \zeta| < 1 - |z|\}.$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let $A = \{a_n : \ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ be a discrete subset of D and $\widetilde{D}$ belong to $\mathcal{B}_p(A)$. Suppose that there exists a positive constant $C(< 1)$ satisfying the following two conditions*
(i) for every pair (a_m, a_n) in A with $a_m \neq a_n$, $\rho(a_m, a_n) \geq C$;
(ii) for every $\zeta \in \partial D$, there exists a subset $B_\zeta = \{b_n : \ n \geq n_0\}$ $(n_0 = n_0(\zeta))$ of A such that $b_n \in \{z : \ 2^{-n-1} \leq |z - \zeta| \leq 2^{-n}\} \cap S_C(\zeta)$ for every $n \geq n_0$.
Then $HP(\widetilde{D}) = HP(D) \circ \pi$, where π is the projection map.

For a bounded Borel subset K of $\mathbf{C}$, we denote by $\lambda(K)$ the logarithmic capacity. As a necessary condition for minimal thinness, the following is available (cf. [LF],[J]).

Lemma 5.1. *Let ζ be in $\partial D = \Delta_1^D$ and E a relatively closed subset of $S_C(\zeta)$. If E is minimally thin at ζ, then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log \dfrac{1}{\lambda(E_n)}} < \infty,$$

where $E_n = E \cap \{z : \ 2^{-n-1} \leq |z - \zeta| \leq 2^{-n}\}$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let ζ be an arbitrary point in ∂D. By virtue of Theorem 1, we only have to show that $\Delta_1^{\widetilde{D}}(\zeta)$ consists of a sigle point. Take an arbitrary $M \in \mathcal{M}_D(\zeta)$. Our goal is to show that $\pi^{-1}(M)$ *is connected.* In fact, in view of Proposition 2.4, connectivity of $\pi^{-1}(M)$ for all $M \in \mathcal{M}_D(\zeta)$ implies $\Delta_1^{\widetilde{D}}(\zeta)$ consists of a single point.

We first assume that there exists an $a_n \in M \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Then, it is easily seen that $\pi^{-1}(M)$ is connected, since $\widetilde{D}$ has a branch point of order $p - 1$ over $a_n \in M$ and M is connected.

We next assume $M \cap A = \emptyset$. Put $F = D \setminus M$. Note that F is minimally thin at ζ and relatively closed in D. For each $n(\geq n_0)$, let F_n be the connencted component of F which contains $b_n \in B_\zeta$. We also assume that there exists an F_n $(n \geq n_0)$ such that

$$d(F_n) < C^2 2^{-n-1}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $d(F_n)$ indicates the diameter of F_n. Then there exists a closed Jordan curve γ_n in $M \setminus A$ such that γ_n surrounds F_n and

$$d(F_n) < d(\gamma_n) < C^2 2^{-n-1}. \tag{5.2}$$

By (i) and (ii), we have

$$|a_m - b_n| \geq C|1 - \overline{b_n}a_m| \geq C(1 - |b_n|) \geq C^2 2^{-n-1},$$

for every $a_m \in A \setminus \{b_n\}$. Hence, by means of (5.2), we see that γ_n surrounds only one point b_n in A. Therefore, $\pi^{-1}(\gamma_n)$ is connected, since $\widetilde{D}$ has a branch point of order $p-1$ over b_n. This with $\gamma_n \in M$ and connectivity of M yields that $\pi^{-1}(M)$ is connected. Accordingly, we completes the proof if we show that there exists an F_n $(n \geq n_0)$ satifying (5.1).

We assume that

$$d(F_n) \geq C^2 2^{-n-1} \tag{5.3}$$

for every $n(\geq n_0)$. Set $E = F \cap S_{\frac{C}{2}}(\zeta)$. Note that E is minimally thin at ζ. We denote by F_n^* the connected component of E which contains b_n. Then, in view of (ii) and (5.3), we find that there exists a positive constant $C_1(\leq C^2/2)$ such that

$$d(F_n^*) \geq C_1 2^{-n} \tag{5.4}$$

for every $n(\geq n_0)$. Set $E_n = E \cap \{z : 2^{-n-1} \leq |z - \zeta| \leq 2^{-n}\}$. Note that $b_n \in E_n$. Then, by (5.4), we see that, for every $n \geq n_0$, at least one of $\{E_{n-1}, E_n, E_{n+1}\}$ contains a continuum whose diameter is equal to or greater than $C_1 2^{-n-1}$. From this it follows that

$$\max\{\lambda(E_{n-1}), \lambda(E_n), \lambda(E_{n+1})\} \geq C_1 2^{-n-3}$$

for every $n(\geq n_0)$ (cf.[T]). Hence we see that

$$\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\lambda(E_{n-1})}} + \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\lambda(E_n)}} + \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\lambda(E_{n+1})}} \geq \frac{1}{n \log 2 + \log(8/C_1)}$$

for every $n(\geq n_0)$. Therefore we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=n_0-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\lambda(E_n)}} &\geq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\lambda(E_{n-1})}} + \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\lambda(E_n)}} + \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\lambda(E_{n+1})}} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log 2 + \log(8/C_1)} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.1, this is absurd, since E is minimally thin at ζ.

The proof is herewith complete. □

Using the notation above, we restate Proposition in Introduction as follows:

Corollary 5.1. *Let* $A = \{(1 - 2^{-n-1})e^{i2\pi k/2^{n+2}} : n = 1, 2, ..., \ k = 1, ..., 2^{n+2}\}$ *and* $\widetilde{D}$ *belong to* $\mathcal{B}_p(A)$. *Then* $HP(D) \circ \pi = HP(\widetilde{D})$, *where* π *is the projection map.*

Proof. It is easily seen that A and a positive constant C satisfy the condition (i) of Theorem 5.1. Let ζ be an arbitrary point in ∂D. For every positive integer n, we can choose a positive integer k_n with $1 \leq k_n \leq 2^{n+2}$ such that

$$\left|\arg \zeta - \frac{2\pi k_n}{2^{n+2}}\right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2^{n+2}}. \tag{5.5}$$

Set

$$b_n = (1 - 2^{-n-1})e^{i2\pi k_n/2^{n+2}} \quad (n = 1, 2, ...).$$

Then, by (5.5), we have

$$(2^{-n-1})^2 \leq |b_n - \zeta|^2 \leq (2^{-n-1})^2 + 4\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2^{n+3}}.$$

In view of this with (5.5), it is easily seen that $B_\zeta := \{b_n : n \geq 1\}$ and a positive constant C satisfy the condition (ii) of Theorem 5.1. $\square$

At the last, we give a p-sheeted unlimited covering surface $\widetilde{D}_1$ of D with projection map π such that $HB(D) \circ \pi = HB(\widetilde{D}_1)$ and $HP(D) \circ \pi \neq HP(\widetilde{D}_1)$. Let A be the same as in Corollary 5.1. Set $M = \{|z - \frac{1}{2}| < \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $A_1 = A \setminus M$. Consider a covering surface $\widetilde{D}_1 \in \mathcal{B}_p(A_1)$ with projection map π. We now show that $HB(D) \circ \pi = HB(\widetilde{D}_1)$ and $HP(D) \circ \pi \neq HP(\widetilde{D}_1)$. As is proved in the proof of Corollary 5.1, A_1 and a positive constant C satisfy the following two conditions:
(i) for every pair (a_m, a_n) in A_1 with $a_m \neq a_n$, $\rho(a_m, a_n) \geq C$;
(ii) for every $\zeta \in \partial D \setminus \{1\}$, there exist a subset $B_\zeta = \{b_n : n \geq n_0\}$ $(n_0 = n_0(\zeta))$ of A_1 such that $b_n \in \{z : 2^{-n-1} \leq |z - \zeta| \leq 2^{-n}\} \cap S_C(\zeta)$ for every $n \geq n_0$.
Therefore the proof of Theorem 5.1 yields that $\nu_{\widetilde{D}_1}(\zeta) = 1$ for every $\zeta \in \partial D \setminus \{1\}$. Hence, by virtue of Theorem 2, we have $HB(D) \circ \pi = HB(\widetilde{D}_1)$. On the other hand, it is easily seen that M belongs to $\mathcal{M}_D(1)$ and $\pi^{-1}(M)$ consists of p components. Hence, by Proposition 2.2 and 2.4, $\nu_{\widetilde{D}_1}(1) = p(> 1)$. Therefore, by Theorem 1, we see that $HP(D) \circ \pi \neq HP(\widetilde{D}_1)$.

Refernces

[A-S] L. Arlfors and L. Sario, *Riemann Surfaces*, Princeton, 1960.

[B-H] J. Bliedtner and W. Hansen, *Potential Theory*, Springer, 1986.

[B] M. Brelot, *On Topologies and Boundaries in Potential Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. **175**, Springer, 1971.

[C-C] C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea: *Ideale Ränder Riemanncher Flächen*, Springer, 1969.

[F] O. Forster, *Lectures on Riemann Surfaces*, GTM **81**, Springer.

[H] M. Heins, *Riemann surfaces of infinite genus*, Ann. of Math., **55**(1952), 296-317.

[Hl] L. Helms, *Introduction to Potential Theory*, Wiley-Interscience, 1969.

[J] H. L. Jackson, *Some results on thin sets in a half plane*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **20**(1970), 201-218.

[LF] J. Lelong-Ferrand, *Etude au voisinage de la frontière des fonctions surharmoniques positives dans un demi-espace*, Ann. Ecole N. Sup., **66**(1949), 125-159.

[J-M-S] N. Jin, H. Masaoka and S. Segawa, *Kuramochi boundary of unlimited covering surfaces*, preprint.

[M] H. Masaoka, *Criterion of Wiener type for minimall thinness on covering surfaces*, Proc. Japan Acad., **72**(1996), 154-156.

[M-S1] H. Masaoka and S. Segawa, *Harmonic dimension of covering surfaces and minimal fine neighborhood*, Osaka J. Math., **34**(1997), 659-672.

[M-S2] H. Masaoka and S. Segawa, *Martin boundary of unlimited covering surfaces*, preprint.

[S-N] L. Sario and M.Nakai, *Classification Theory of Riemann Surfaces*, Springer, 1970.

[T] M. Tsuji, *Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory*, Maruzen, 1959.