

A NOTE OF REAL PARTS OF SOME SEMI-HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

神奈川大学工学部 長 宗雄 (MUNEO CHŌ)
 新潟大学教育人間科学部 古谷 正 (TADASI HURUYA)
 成均館大学 (韓国) Young Ok Kim
 成均館大学 (韓国) Jun Ik Lee

Let \mathcal{H} be Hilbert space and $B(\mathcal{H})$ be set of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . Then for $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$

$$T: \text{semi-hyponormal} \iff |T| \geq |T^*|.$$

About semi-hyponormal operators, we have following 3 problems:

- (1) $\text{Re } \sigma(T) = \sigma(\text{Re } T) ?$
- (2) $\text{conv} \sigma(T) = \overline{W(T)} ?$
- (3) $\|(T - z)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{d(z, \sigma(T))}$ for every $z \notin \sigma(T) ?$

We have 2 kinds of concrete examples of semi-hyponormal operators. D. Xia provides interesting examples (see [1],[5]). Let $\ell^2(\mathbf{Z})$ be the Hilbert space of all doubly-infinite sequences $a = \{a_k\}$ of complex numbers such that

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Researche (No.09640229)

$\|a\|^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 < \infty$, and let V be the bilateral shift: $(Va)_k = a_{k-1}$. Let \mathcal{K} be a Hilbert space and let \mathcal{H} be the Hilbert space of all doubly-infinite sequences $x = \{x_k\}$ of elements of \mathcal{K} such that $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^2 < \infty$. Then we have $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Let $e_m = \{a_k\} \in \ell^2(\mathbf{Z})$ such that $a_m = 1$ and 0's elsewhere. Every $x = \{x_k\} \in \mathcal{H}$ has the representation $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e_k \otimes x_k$. Let $\{A_k\}$ be a doubly-infinite sequence of positive operators on \mathcal{K} such that $\{\|A_k\|\}$ is bounded. We define bounded operators A and U on \mathcal{H} by

$$Ae_k \otimes x_k = e_k \otimes A_k x_k, \text{ and } Ue_k \otimes x_k = e_{k+1} \otimes x_k \quad (k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots),$$

respectively. Then U has the form $V \otimes id_{\mathcal{K}}$. Put $T = UA$. Such an operator is called an operator valued bilateral weighted shift [3]. If positive operators $\{A_k\}$ satisfy that $A_{k+1} \geq A_k$ for every k and there exists j such that $A_{j+1}^2 \not\geq A_j^2$, then T is semi-hyponormal but not hyponormal.

Next second example is as follows: Let S on $\ell^2(\mathbf{Z})$ defined by $S = V(P + I + \frac{1}{2}(V + V^*))$, where P denotes the orthogonal projection from $\ell^2(\mathbf{Z})$ onto the subspace generated by $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, \dots\}$. Xia showed that S is semi-hyponormal but not hyponormal [8, Chapter 3, Corollary 1.4].

2. Spectral properties.

Lemma 1. *Let T be an operator valued bilateral weighted shift. Then there exists a closed set F of positive real numbers such that*

$$\sigma(T) = \{z : |z| \in F\}.$$

Proof. Let $c \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $|c| = 1$. By [6, p. 52, Corollary 2] T and cT are unitarily equivalent. The proof follows from this property.

Theorem 2. *Let T be an operator valued bilateral weighted shift such that $r(T) = \|T\|$. Then*

$$\text{conv } \sigma(T) = \overline{W(T)} \quad (\text{i.e., } T \text{ is convexoid.})$$

and

$$\sigma(\operatorname{Re} T) = \operatorname{Re} (\sigma(T)).$$

Proof. Let $x \in \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T)$. Suppose that $x \notin \operatorname{Re} \sigma(T)$. Let L be the line $\operatorname{Re} z = x$. Then L is disjoint from $\sigma(T)$. Suppose that $\sigma(T)$ is on the left side of L . There exists $\varepsilon (> 0)$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(T) \leq x - \varepsilon$. For any complex number $\lambda = |\lambda|e^{i\theta}$, we can choose $z \in \sigma(T)$ such that $z = \|T\|e^{i\theta}$ by Lemma 1. Since $(\|T\| + |\lambda|)e^{i\theta} \in \sigma(T + \lambda I)$, we have

$$r(T + \lambda I) \geq \|T\| + |\lambda| \quad (\geq \|T + \lambda I\|).$$

Hence we have $r(T + \lambda I) = \|T + \lambda I\|$, that is, T is a transaloid. Therefore by [3] or [5, Theorem 6.15.11] we have

$$\operatorname{conv} \sigma(T) = \overline{W(T)}.$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{conv} \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T) = \overline{W(\operatorname{Re} T)} = \operatorname{Re} \overline{W(T)} = \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{conv} \sigma(T) \leq x - \varepsilon.$$

This implies that $x \leq x - \varepsilon$, which is a contradiction. We proceed similarly in case $\sigma(T)$ is on the right side. Therefore $\sigma(\operatorname{Re} T) \subseteq \operatorname{Re} \sigma(T)$.

Let $x \in \operatorname{Re} \sigma(T)$. By Lemma 1, there exists $z \in \sigma(T)$ such that $\operatorname{Re} z = x$ and $|z| = \|T\|$. Since z is a boundary point of $\sigma(T)$, there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of unit vectors such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - zI)f_n\| = 0$. By [5, Lemma 7.5.2], we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T^* - \bar{z}I)f_n\| = 0$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\operatorname{Re} T - xI)f_n\| = 0,$$

so that $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(T) = \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T)$.

In general, it holds that if T is semi-hyponormal, then $r(T) = \|T\|$. Hence we have

Corollary 3. *Let T be a semi-hyponormal operator valued bilateral weighted shift. Then*

$$\operatorname{conv} \sigma(T) = \overline{W(T)} \text{ and } \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T) = \operatorname{Re}(\sigma(T)).$$

Theorem 4. *With the notations in the introduction, let $S = V(P + I + \frac{1}{2}(V + V^*))$. Then we have*

$$\sigma(\operatorname{Re} S) = \operatorname{Re} \sigma(S).$$

Proof. It F is a proper closed subset of $[0, 2\pi]$ such that $m([0, 2\pi]) = m(F)$. Since $[0, 2\pi] - F$ contains an open interval (a, b) , we have $m([0, 2\pi]) - m(F) \geq m((a, b)) > 0$. This is a contradiction. Hence there exists no proper closed set F such that $m(F) = m([0, 2\pi])$. Applying [8, Chapter 4, Example 4.1] with $\alpha(\cdot) = 1$ and $\beta(\cdot) = 1 + \cos \theta$, we have that

$$\sigma(S) = \{e^{i\theta}(1 + \cos \theta + k) : 0 \leq k \leq 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}.$$

Hence $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(S) = \{(1 + k)\cos \theta + \cos^2 \theta : 0 \leq k \leq 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\} = [-1, 3]$. Since S is semi-hyponormal, it holds that $\sigma_a(S) = \sigma_{na}(S)$. Hence we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \sigma(S) \subseteq \sigma(\operatorname{Re} S).$$

Next we will prove that $\sigma(\operatorname{Re} S) \subseteq [-1, 3]$. First by the definition of S , we have $\|\operatorname{Re} S\| \leq \|S\| \leq 3$. Since $\operatorname{Re} S$ is convexoid, we may only prove $(\operatorname{Re} S) + I \geq 0$.

Since $\operatorname{Re} S$ can be canonically represented by a matrix form with real components, for $\lambda \in \sigma(\operatorname{Re} S)$ we choose a sequence $\{f_m\}$ of unit vectors in $\ell^2(\mathbf{Z})$ with real components such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|((\operatorname{Re} S) - \lambda I)f_m\| = 0$. Since

$$2\operatorname{Re} S = (V + V^*) + \frac{1}{2}(V^2 + V^{*2}) + (VP + PV^*) + VV^*,$$

we have, for $f = (\alpha_n)$ with all $\alpha_n \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2(((\operatorname{Re} S) + I)f, f) &= ((V + V^*)f, f) + \frac{1}{2}((V^2 + V^{*2})f, f) \\ &\quad + ((VP + PV^*)f, f) + (VV^*f, f) + 2(f, f) \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+2} + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} + 3 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+2} + 4 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$- 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} + 3 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2.$$

If we can choose a sequence $\{(a_n, b_n, c_n)\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ of triplets of positive numbers satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 2(((\operatorname{Re} S) + I)f, f) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_n \alpha_n + b_n \alpha_{n+1} + c_n \alpha_{n+2})^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_{n+2}^2 + b_{n+1}^2 + c_n^2) \alpha_n^2 + 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_n b_n + b_{n-1} c_{n-1}) \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_n c_n) \alpha_n \alpha_{n+2}, \end{aligned}$$

then we have $(\operatorname{Re} S) + I \geq 0$ and we hence can finish the proof.

For $n \geq -1$, since

$$(i) a_{n+2}^2 + b_{n+1}^2 + c_n^2 = 3, \quad (ii) 2(a_{n+1} b_{n+1} + b_n c_n) = 4 \quad \text{and} \quad (iii) 2a_n c_n = 1,$$

we define

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad b_n = \sqrt{2} \quad \text{and} \quad c_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

For $n \leq -2$, since

$$(i) a_{n+2}^2 + b_{n+1}^2 + c_n^2 = 3, \quad (ii) 2(a_{n+1} b_{n+1} + b_n c_n) = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad (iii) 2a_n c_n = 1,$$

inductively we define, in the following order:

$$c_n = \sqrt{3 - a_{n+2}^2 - b_{n+1}^2}, \quad b_n = \frac{1 - a_{n+1} b_{n+1}}{c_n} \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = \frac{1}{2c_n}.$$

For a definition of c_n , we need to check that $3 > a_{n+2}^2 + b_{n+1}^2$. We calculate

$$\begin{array}{lll} c_{-2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, & b_{-2} = 0, & a_{-2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ c_{-3} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}, & b_{-3} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}, & a_{-3} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{10}} \\ c_{-4} = \sqrt{\frac{21}{10}}, & b_{-4} = 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{105}}, & a_{-4} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{42}} \\ c_{-5} = \sqrt{\frac{109}{42}}, & b_{-5} = 17\sqrt{\frac{2}{2289}}, & a_{-5} = \sqrt{\frac{21}{218}} \\ c_{-6} = \sqrt{\frac{573}{218}}, & b_{-6} = 92\sqrt{\frac{2}{62457}} \quad \text{and} & a_{-6} = \sqrt{\frac{109}{1146}} \end{array}$$

Then we have that

$$1.61 \leq c_{-5}, c_{-6} \leq 1.64, \quad 0.50 \leq b_{-5}, b_{-6} \leq 0.53, \quad 0.30 \leq a_{-5}, a_{-6} \leq 0.32,$$

$$1.64 \leq \sqrt{3 - 0.53^2 - 0.32^2} \leq c_{-7} \leq \sqrt{3 - 0.50^2 - 0.30^2} \leq 1.64,$$

$$0.50 \leq \frac{1 - 0.53 \times 0.32}{1.64} \leq b_{-7} \leq \frac{1 - 0.50 \times 0.30}{1.61} \leq 0.53$$

and

$$0.30 \leq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 1.64} \leq a_{-7} \leq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 1.61} \leq 0.32.$$

Thus we can define c_n, b_n and a_n for $n \leq -8$. This completes the proof.

By a similar argument in Theorem 2, we have that $\text{Im } \sigma(T) = \sigma(\text{Im } T)$ for T of Theorem 2. In the proof of Theorem 4 we regarded $\text{Re } S$ as an infinite matrix with real components.

References

- [1] M. Chō and H. Jin, *p-hyponormal operators*, Nihonkai Math. J. **6**(1995), 201-206.
- [2] M. Chō, I. S. Hwang and J. I. Lee, *On spectral properties of log-hyponormal operators*, preprint (1999).
- [3] K.F. Clancey, *Seminormal operators*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. No. 742, 1979.
- [4] T. Furuta and R. Nakamoto, *On the numerical range of an operator*, Proc. Japan Acad. **47**(1971), 279-284.
- [5] V.I. Istrătescu, *Introduction to linear operator Theory*, Dekker, New York, 1981.
- [6] C. Pearcy, *Topics in operator theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1974.

- [7] C.R. Putnam, *Commutation properties of Hilbert space operators and related Topics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, New York, 1967.
- [8] D. Xia, *Spectral theory of hyponormal operators*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.

Muneo Chō

Department of Mathematics, Kanagawa University, Yokohama 221-8686, Japan

Tadasi Huruya

Faculty of Education and Human Sciences, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan

Young Ok Kim and Jun Ik Lee

Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 440-764, Korea