

# Related Topics to Transferable Utility Games — An Infinite Market Game —

Hidetoshi Komiya (小宮 英敏)  
Faculty of Business and Commerce (商学部)  
Keio University (慶應義塾大学)  
e-mail:hkomiya@fbc.keio.ac.jp

## 1 Introduction

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space throughout this paper. A *game*  $v$  is a nonnegative real valued function, defined on the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}$ , which maps the empty set to zero. An *outcome* of a game  $v$  is a finitely additive real valued function  $\alpha$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\alpha(\Omega) = v(\Omega)$ . For an outcome  $\alpha$  of  $v$ , an integrable function  $f$  satisfying  $\int_S f d\mu = \alpha(S)$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{F}$  is said to be an *outcome density* of  $\alpha$  with respect to  $\mu$ . An outcome indicates outcomes to each coalitions while an outcome density designates outcomes to every players. The *core* of  $v$  is the set of outcomes  $\alpha$  satisfying  $\alpha(S) \geq v(S)$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{F}$ .

To every game  $v$  we associate an extended real number  $|v|$  defined by

$$|v| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v(S_i) : \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \chi_{S_i} \leq \chi_{\Omega} \right\},$$

where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $S_i \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\lambda_i$  is a real number. The notation  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of a subset  $A$  of  $\Omega$ . For a game  $v$  with  $|v| < \infty$ , we define two games  $\bar{v}$  and  $\hat{v}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}(S) &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v(S_i) : \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \chi_{S_i} \leq \chi_S \right\}, \quad S \in \mathcal{F}, \\ \hat{v}(S) &= \min \{ \alpha(S) : \alpha \text{ is additive, } \alpha \geq v, \alpha(\Omega) = |v| \}, \quad S \in \mathcal{F}, \end{aligned}$$

following [3]. A game  $v$  is said to be *balanced* if  $v(\Omega) = |v|$ , *totally balanced* if  $v = \bar{v}$  and *exact* if  $v = \hat{v}$ , respectively. It is proved in [3] that the core of a game is nonempty if and only if it is balanced, every exact game is totally balanced, and every totally balanced game is balanced.

A game  $v$  is said to be *monotone* if  $S \subset T$  implies  $v(S) \leq v(T)$  for any  $S$  and  $T$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . A game  $v$  is said to be *continuous* at  $\Omega$  if it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(S_n) = v(\Omega)$  for any nondecreasing sequence  $\{S_n\}$  of measurable sets such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n = \Omega$ .

## 2 Market Games

We denote utilities of players by a Carathéodory type function  $u$  defined on  $\Omega \times R_+^l$  to  $R_+$ , where  $R_+^l$  denotes the nonnegative orthant of the  $l$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $R^l$ , and  $R_+$  is the set of nonnegative real numbers. The nonnegative number  $u(\omega, x)$  designates the density of the utility of a player  $\omega$  getting goods  $x$ . We always use the ordinary coordinatewise order when having concern with an order in  $R_+^l$ . We suppose that the function  $u : \Omega \times R_+^l \rightarrow R_+$  satisfies the conditions:

1. The function  $\omega \mapsto u(\omega, x)$  is measurable for all  $x \in R_+^l$ ;
2. The function  $x \mapsto u(\omega, x)$  is continuous, concave, nondecreasing, and  $u(\omega, 0) = 0$ , for almost all  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$ ;
3.  $\sigma \equiv \sup\{u(\omega, x) : (\omega, x) \in \Omega \times B_+\} < \infty$ , where  $B_+ = \{x \in R_+^l : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ , and  $\|x\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm of  $x \in R_+^l$ .

For any set  $S$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , the set of integrable functions on  $S$  to  $R_+^l$  is denoted by  $L_1(S, R_+^l)$ . We take an element  $e$  of  $L_1(\Omega, R_+^l)$  as the density of initial endowments for the players. For any  $S$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , define

$$v(S) \equiv \sup \left\{ \int_S u(\omega, x(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) : x \in L_1(S, R_+^l), \int_S x d\mu = \int_S e d\mu \right\}.$$

The set function  $v$  defined above is called a *market game* derived from the market  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, u, e)$ .

It is well known that the function  $\omega \mapsto u(\omega, x(\omega))$  is measurable for any  $x \in L_1(S, R_+^l)$ . Moreover we need to show that the function  $\omega \mapsto u(\omega, x(\omega))$  is integrable in order to define  $v(S)$  as a real number.

**Lemma 1** If  $x \in L_1(S, R_+^l)$ , then  $u(\cdot, x(\cdot)) \in L_1(S, R_+)$  for any  $S \in \mathcal{F}$  and the map  $x \mapsto u(\cdot, x(\cdot))$  is continuous with respect to the norm topologies of  $L_1(S, R_+^l)$  and  $L_1(S, R_+)$ .

**Proof** Let  $x \in L_1(S, R_+^l)$ . Since  $u(\omega, \cdot)$  is concave, for any  $x \in R_+^l$  with  $\|x\| > 1$ , we have the inequality

$$\frac{u(\omega, x) - u(\omega, x/\|x\|)}{\|x - x/\|x\|\|} \leq \frac{u(\omega, x/\|x\|) - u(\omega, 0)}{\|x/\|x\| - 0\|},$$

and hence we have  $u(\omega, x) \leq \|x\|\sigma$  for any  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $x \in R_+^l$  with  $\|x\| > 1$ . It is obvious from the definition of  $\sigma$  that  $u(\omega, x) \leq \sigma$  for any  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $x \in R_+^l$  with  $\|x\| \leq 1$ . Thus we have  $u(\omega, x) \leq \sigma(1 + \|x\|)$  for any  $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times R_+^l$  and this leads to the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S u(\omega, x(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) &\leq \int_S \sigma(1 + \|x(\omega)\|) d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \sigma \left( \mu(S) + \int_S \|x(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) \right) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows that  $u(\cdot, x(\cdot)) \in L_1(S, R_+)$ . The second part of the assertion is verified in Theorem 2.1 of [2]. Although Theorem 2.1 of [2] is proved under the hypotheses that  $S$  is a measurable set in  $R^l$  and the second argument  $x$  of the function  $u$  runs over  $R$ , the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [2] is valid even in our setting. Thus the map  $x \mapsto u(\cdot, x(\cdot))$  is norm continuous. Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2** A market game  $v$  is actually a game and is monotone.

**Proof** It is obvious  $v(\emptyset) = 0$ . The finiteness of  $v(S)$  follows since the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S u(\omega, x(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) &\leq \sigma \int_S (1 + \|x\|) d\mu \\ &\leq \sigma \left( \mu(S) + \sum_{i=1}^l \int_S x^i d\mu \right) = \sigma \left( \mu(S) + \sum_{i=1}^l \int_S e^i d\mu \right) \end{aligned}$$

hold if

$$\int_S x d\mu = \int_S e d\mu,$$

where  $x^i$  and  $e^i$  are the  $i$ -th coordinate functions of  $x$  and  $e$ , respectively. Moreover  $v$  is monotone because  $u$  has nonnegative values. Q.E.D.

### 3 Cores of Market Games

We start with a lemma on concave functions.

**Lemma 3** If  $f : R_+^l \rightarrow R$  is concave and  $f(0) = 0$ , then for any  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R_+^l$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \geq 0$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \leq 1$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(x_i) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i\right).$$

**Proof** We can assume that  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i > 0$  without loss of generality. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(x_i) &= \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda} f(x_i) \\ &\leq \lambda f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda} x_i\right) \\ &= (1 - \lambda)f(0) + \lambda f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i\right) \\ &\leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Let  $S$  be a measurable set. For any  $x \in L_1(S, R_+^l)$ , define  $\bar{x} \in L_1(\Omega, R_+^l)$  by

$$\bar{x}(\omega) = \begin{cases} x(\omega), & \text{if } \omega \in S; \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega \in S^c. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 1** A market game  $v$  is totally balanced.

**Proof** Take any  $S \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $S_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\lambda_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \chi_{S_i} \leq \chi_S$ . We can assume that  $\mu(S) > 0$  without loss of generality.

Let  $\epsilon$  be an arbitrary positive number. Take  $x_i \in L_1(S_i, R_+^l)$  such that

$$\int_{S_i} x_i d\mu = \int_{S_i} e d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad v(S_i) - \frac{\epsilon}{n} < \int_{S_i} u(\omega, x_i(\omega)) d\mu(\omega),$$

and define  $y \in L_1(S, R_+^l)$  by

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \bar{x}_i.$$

Then we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S y \, d\mu &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \int_S \bar{x}_i \, d\mu \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \int_{S_i} e \, d\mu \\ &= \int_S e \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \chi_{S_i} \, d\mu \\ &\leq \int_S e \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $y' \in L_1(S, R_+^l)$  by

$$y' = y + \frac{1}{\mu(S)} \left( \int_S e \, d\mu - \int_S y \, d\mu \right).$$

Then it is easily seen that  $\int_S y' \, d\mu = \int_S e \, d\mu$ .

On the other hand, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the family of all nonempty subsets  $A$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $T_A \equiv \bigcap_{i \in A} S_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in A^c} (S \setminus S_j) \neq \emptyset$ . Then it is easily seen that  $S_i = \bigcup_{A \ni i} T_A$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\{T_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a partition of  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i$ , and  $\sum_{i \in A} \lambda_i \leq 1$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . For any  $i$  and  $A$  with  $i \in A \in \mathcal{A}$ , define  $x_i^A = x_i|_{T_A}$ , the restriction of  $x_i$  to  $T_A$ . Then we have

$$\bar{x}_i = \sum_{A \ni i} \bar{x}_i^A \quad \text{and} \quad y = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i \in A} \lambda_i \bar{x}_i^A.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v(S_i) - \epsilon &< \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \int_{S_i} u(\omega, x_i(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{A \ni i} \lambda_i \int_{T_A} u(\omega, x_i^A(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \\
&= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i \in A} \lambda_i \int_{T_A} u(\omega, x_i^A(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \\
&= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \int_{T_A} \sum_{i \in A} \lambda_i u(\omega, x_i^A(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \\
&\leq \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \int_{T_A} u(\omega, \sum_{i \in A} \lambda_i x_i^A(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \quad \text{by Lemma 3} \\
&= \int_S u(\omega, \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i \in A} \lambda_i \bar{x}_i^A(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \quad \text{by } u(\omega, 0) = 0 \\
&= \int_S u(\omega, y(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \\
&\leq \int_S u(\omega, y'(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \quad \text{by monotonicity of } u(\omega, \cdot) \\
&\leq v(S).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v(S_i) \leq v(S).$$

Thus  $\bar{v}(S) \leq v(S)$  and the reverse inequality is obvious. Hence we have  $\bar{v} = v$ . Q.E.D.

**Proposition 2** A market game  $v$  is continuous at  $\Omega$ .

**Proof** Let  $\{S_n\}$  be a nondecreasing sequence of measurable sets with  $\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$  and  $\epsilon$  an arbitrary positive number. Then, there is  $x \in L_1(S, R_+^l)$  such that

$$v(\Omega) - \epsilon < \int_{\Omega} u(\omega, x(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} x d\mu = \int_{\Omega} e d\mu.$$

Let  $x_n$  be the restriction  $x|_{S_n}$  and define a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  of functions in  $L_1(S_n, R_+^l)$  by

$$y_n^i = \begin{cases} \frac{\int_{S_n} e^i d\mu}{\int_{S_n} x_n^i d\mu} x_n^i, & \text{if } \int_{S_n} x_n^i d\mu > \int_{S_n} e^i d\mu; \\ x_n^i + \frac{1}{\mu(S_n)} \left( \int_{S_n} e^i d\mu - \int_{S_n} x_n^i d\mu \right), & \text{if } \int_{S_n} x_n^i d\mu \leq \int_{S_n} e^i d\mu, \end{cases}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, l$ . It is obvious that

$$\int_{S_n} y_n d\mu = \int_{S_n} e d\mu.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_n} |y_n^i - x_n^i| d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{S_n} e^i d\mu - \int_{S_n} x_n^i d\mu \right| = 0,$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \|\bar{y}_n - x\| d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_n} \|y_n - x\| d\mu + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_n^c} \|x\| d\mu = 0,$$

and hence  $\bar{y}_n$  converges to  $x$  with respect to the norm topology of  $L_1(\Omega, R_+^l)$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_n} u(\omega, y_n(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u(\omega, \bar{y}_n(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} u(\omega, x(\omega)) d\mu(\omega)$$

and hence, for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$v(\Omega) - \epsilon < \int_{S_n} u(\omega, y_n(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \leq v(S_n).$$

Thus we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v(S_n) = v(\Omega)$ . Q.E.D.

Now we have reached our main theorem combining Proposition 1 and Proposition 2.

**Theorem 1** A market game  $v$  has a nonempty core, and every element  $\alpha$  of the core is countably additive and has a unique outcome density  $f$  in  $L_1(\Omega, R_+)$  with respect to  $\mu$ , and hence it follows that

$$\alpha(S) = \int_S f d\mu, \quad S \in \mathcal{F}.$$

**Proof** The core is nonempty by Proposition 1. Since  $v$  is continuous at  $\Omega$  by Proposition 2, any element  $\alpha$  of the core is continuous at  $\Omega$ , which implies that  $\alpha$  is countably additive. To prove existence of an outcome density for  $\alpha$ , it is sufficient to show that  $\alpha$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  by virtue of the Radon-Nikodym theorem. If  $\mu(S) = 0$ , then  $v(S^c) = v(\Omega)$  by the definition of the market game  $v$ , and hence we have  $\alpha(S^c) \geq v(S^c) = v(\Omega) = \alpha(\Omega)$ , that is,  $\alpha(S) = 0$ . Q.E.D.

**Remark 1** Similar to the assertion of Theorem 1, an exact game which is continuous at  $\Omega$  has a nonempty core and every element of the core is countably additive. Moreover, there is a measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  such that every element of the core is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$  according to [3]. The following example shows that there is a market game which is not exact and Theorem 1 is independent of the results of [3].

**Example 1** [[1], pp. 192] Let  $l = 1$ ,  $\Omega = [0, 1]$  and  $\mu$  be the Lebesgue measure. Define  $u : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by

$$u(\omega, x) = \sqrt{x + \omega} - \sqrt{\omega} \quad \text{and} \quad e(\omega) = \frac{1}{32} \quad \text{for all } \omega \in [0, 1].$$

According to [1], the supremum is attained for every measurable sets in the definition of the market game, and the core has only one element  $\alpha$  and the outcome density  $f$  of  $\alpha$  is given by

$$f(\omega) = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\omega})^2 + \frac{1}{32}, & \text{if } \omega \in [0, \frac{1}{4}); \\ \frac{1}{32}, & \text{if } \omega \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Thus it follows  $\alpha([\frac{1}{2}, 1]) = \frac{1}{64}$ , and hence  $\hat{v}([\frac{1}{2}, 1]) = \frac{1}{64}$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\sqrt{x + \omega} - \sqrt{\omega} \leq \sqrt{x + \frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}x$$

for  $1/2 \leq \omega \leq 1$  and  $x \geq 0$ . Thus, if  $x \in L_1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}_+)$  satisfies

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 x d\mu = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 e d\mu = \frac{1}{64},$$

then

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 u(\omega, x(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \leq \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}x d\mu = \frac{1}{64\sqrt{2}} < \frac{1}{64}.$$

Therefore we have  $v([\frac{1}{2}, 1]) < \hat{v}([\frac{1}{2}, 1])$  and  $v$  is not exact.



## References

- [1] R.J. Aumann and L.S. Shapley, Values of Non-Atomic Games, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1974.
- [2] M.A. Krasnosel'skii, Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Integral Equations, Pergmon Press, Oxford, 1963.
- [3] D. Schmeidler, Cores of Exact Games, I, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **40** (1972), 214-225
- [4] L.S. Shapley and M. Shubik, On Market Games, J. Econ. Theory **1** (1969), 9-25.