

CONVERGENCE OF FORMAL SOLUTIONS OF SINGULAR FIRST ORDER NONLINEAR PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF TOTALLY CHARACTERISTIC TYPE

名古屋大学多元数理科学研究科 白井 朗 (AKIRA SHIRAI)  
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(t, x) = (t_1, \dots, t_d, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{C}^d \times \mathbf{C}^n$  be  $(d+n)$ -dimensional complex variables ( $d \geq 1, n \geq 1$ ).

We consider the following first order nonlinear partial differential equation:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x)t_i \partial_{t_j} u + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k(x) \partial_{x_k} u + c(x)u \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = \sum_{|l|=K} d_l(x)t^l + f_{K+1}(t, x, u, \{\partial_{t_j} u\}, \{\partial_{x_k} u\}), \\ u(t, x) = O(|t|^K), \end{cases}$$

where  $|t| = t_1 + \dots + t_d$ ,  $K$  is a fixed positive integer satisfying  $K \geq 2$  and  $a_{ij}(x), b_k(x), c(x)$  and  $d_l(x)$  are holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the origin, and  $f_{K+1}(t, x, u, \tau, \xi)$  ( $\tau = (\tau_j) \in \mathbf{C}^d, \xi = (\xi_k) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ ) is also holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the origin with the following Taylor expansion:

$$f_{K+1}(t, x, u, \tau, \xi) = \sum_{|p|+Kq+(K-1)|r|+K|s| \geq K+1} f_{pqr s}(x)t^p u^q \tau^r \xi^s,$$

where  $q \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, p = (p_1, \dots, p_d) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^d, r = (r_1, \dots, r_d) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^d, s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^n,$

$$|p| = p_1 + \dots + p_d, \quad |r| = r_1 + \dots + r_d, \quad |s| = s_1 + \dots + s_n,$$

and

$$t^p = \prod_{j=1}^d t_j^{p_j}, \quad \tau^r = \prod_{j=1}^d \tau_j^{r_j}, \quad \xi^s = \prod_{k=1}^n \xi_k^{s_k}.$$

This equation seems to be a natural extension of totally characteristic type studied by Chen-Tahara ([CT]) to several time-space variables.

Here we remark that the assumption  $K \geq 2$  implies  $\partial_{t_j} u(0, 0) = 0$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ ) which assures that  $(0, 0, u(0, 0), \{\partial_{t_j} u(0, 0)\}, \{\partial_{x_k} u(0, 0)\})$  belongs to the domain of definition of  $f_{K+1}(t, x, u, \tau, \xi)$ .

Now our first theorem is stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^d$  be the eigenvalues of the matrix  $(a_{ij}(0))$ . We assume that  $b_k(x) \not\equiv 0$  and  $b_k(0) = 0$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and let  $\{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^n$  be the eigenvalues of Jacobi matrix of  $(b_1(x), \dots, b_n(x))$  at  $x = 0$ . Then the formal power series solution of (1.1) exists uniquely and converges if the following conditions are satisfied:*

*There exists a positive constant  $\sigma_0 > 0$ , such that*

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j l_j + \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k m_k \right| \geq \sigma_0 (|l| + |m|) \quad (\text{Poincaré condition}),$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j l_j + \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k m_k + c(0) \neq 0 \quad (\text{Non-resonance condition})$$

hold for all  $(l, m) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^d \times (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$  with  $|l| \geq K$  and  $|m| \geq 0$ .

**Remark 1.** It is easy to show the following proposition.

The conditions (1.2) and (1.3) imply that

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j l_j + \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k m_k + c(0) \right| \geq \sigma (|l| + |m|)$$

holds by some positive constant  $\sigma > 0$  for all  $(l, m) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^d \times (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$  with  $|l| \geq K$  and  $|m| \geq 0$ . In the proof of Theorem 1, this condition will be used instead of (1.2) and (1.3).  $\square$

Next, we consider the following general equation:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} f(t, x, u(t, x), \{\partial_{t_j} u(t, x)\}, \{\partial_{x_k} u(t, x)\}) = 0, \\ u(0, x) \equiv 0. \end{cases}$$

**Assumption 1.**  $f(t, x, u, \tau, \xi)$  ( $\tau = (\tau_j) \in \mathbf{C}^d$ ,  $\xi = (\xi_k) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ ) is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the origin, and is an entire function in  $\tau$  variables for any fixed  $t, x, u$  and  $\xi$ . Moreover we assume that

$$(1.6) \quad f(0, x, 0, \tau, 0) \equiv 0$$

for  $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$  near the origin and  $\tau \in \mathbf{C}^d$ , which is a generalization of the definition of singular equations defined in [MS].

For the equation (1.5), we do not know whether or not the equation has a formal solution in general. Therefore, we assume the following:

**Assumption 2.** The equation (1.5) has a formal solution of the form

$$(1.7) \quad u(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^d \varphi_j(x) t_j + \sum_{|l| \geq 2, |m| \geq 0} u_{lm} t^l x^m \in \mathbf{C}[[t, x]].$$

By the existence of a formal solution,  $\{\varphi_j(x)\}$  satisfy the following system formally:

$$(1.8) \quad f(0, x, 0, \{\varphi_j(x)\}, 0) \equiv 0 \quad (\text{trivial relation}),$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} f(t, x, u(t, x), \{\partial_{t_j} u(t, x)\}, \{\partial_{x_k} u(t, x)\}) \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_i}(0, x, 0, \{\varphi_j(x)\}, 0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(0, x, 0, \{\varphi_j(x)\}, 0) \varphi_i(x) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_k}(0, x, 0, \{\varphi_j(x)\}, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_k}(x) = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, d. \end{aligned}$$

The formal solution of this system is not convergent in general. Therefore, we assume

**Assumption 3.** The coefficients  $\{\varphi_j(x)\}$  are all holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the origin of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ .

**Remark 2.** In the case  $d = 1$  ( $d$  is the dimension of  $t$  variables), a sufficient condition for the formal solution of (1.9) to converge has been already obtained by Miyake-Shirai [MS]. In the case  $d \geq 2$ , we give a sufficient condition for the formal solution of system (1.9) to be convergent, which will be given by Theorem 3 in Section 5, but for a while we consider the problem under Assumption 3 for simplicity of our arguments.  $\square$

Now we put  $\mathbf{a}(x) = (0, x, 0, \{\varphi_j(x)\}, 0)$  for simplicity, and define

$$(1.10) \quad A_{ij}(x) := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t_i \partial \tau_j}(\mathbf{a}(x)) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u \partial \tau_j}(\mathbf{a}(x)) \varphi_i(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \tau_j \partial \xi_k}(\mathbf{a}(x)) \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial x_k}(x),$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . Moreover we define

$$(1.11) \quad B_k(x) := \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_k}(\mathbf{a}(x)), \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

**Remark 3.** The functions  $A_{ij}(x)$  and  $B_k(x)$  correspond to  $a_{ij}(x)$  and  $b_k(x)$  in Theorem 1, respectively (see (1.13) below).  $\square$

Here we assume that the equation is of totally characteristic type, that is,

**Assumption 4.**  $B_k(x) \neq 0$  and  $B_k(0) = 0$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Now our second theorem which is our main result is stated as follows:

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose Assumptions 1, 2, 3 and 4. Let  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^d$  be the eigenvalues of  $(A_{ij}(0))$ , and let  $\{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^n$  be the eigenvalues of Jacobi matrix of the vector  $(B_k(x))$  at  $x = 0$ . Then the formal solution (1.7) is convergent if the following condition is satisfied:*

*There exists a positive constant  $\sigma > 0$ , such that,*

$$(1.12) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j l_j + \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k m_k + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(\mathbf{a}(0)) \right| \geq \sigma(|l| + |m|),$$

holds for all  $(l, m) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^d \times (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$  with  $|l| \geq 2$ ,  $|m| \geq 0$ .

**Remark 4.** We put  $v(t, x) = u(t, x) - \sum_{j=1}^d \varphi_j(x)t_j$  as a new unknown function. By Assumptions 1, 2, 3 and 4, we can easily see that  $v(t, x)$  satisfies the equation of the following form:

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^d A_{ij}(x)t_i \partial_{t_j} v + \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) \partial_{x_k} v + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(\mathbf{a}(x))v \\ \quad = \sum_{|l|=2} d_l(x)t^l + f_3(t, x, v, \{\partial_{t_j} v\}, \{\partial_{x_k} v\}), \\ v(t, x) = O(|t|^2). \end{cases}$$

This is an equation considered in Theorem 1 in the case  $K = 2$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to prove Theorem 1 in order to prove Theorem 2.  $\square$

## 2. REDUCTION OF THE EQUATION

As is mentioned in Remark 4, it is sufficient to study the equation (1.1).

By the assumption of Theorem 1,

$$(a_{ij}(0)) \sim \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \delta_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \delta_{d-1} & \\ & & & & \lambda_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial(b_1, \dots, b_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \Big|_{x=0} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & \nu_1 & & & \\ & \mu_2 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \nu_{n-1} & \\ & & & & \mu_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\delta_j, \nu_k = 0$  or  $1$  ( $1 \leq j \leq d-1, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ).

Then by transforming the variables, (1.1) is reduced to the following form:

$$(2.1) \quad (\Lambda + \Delta)v(t, x) = \sum_{|l|=K} \alpha_l(x)t^l + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \beta_{ij}(x)t_i \partial_{t_j} v + \gamma(x)v \\ + \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(x) \partial_{x_k} v + \tilde{f}_{K+1}(t, x, v, \{\partial_{t_j} v\}, \{\partial_{x_k} v\}),$$

with  $v(t, x) = O(|t|^K)$ , where

$$\Lambda = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j t_j \partial_{t_j} + \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k x_k \partial_{x_k} + c(0),$$

$$\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \delta_j t_j \partial_{t_{j+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \nu_k x_k \partial_{x_{k+1}},$$

and  $\alpha_l(x)$ ,  $\beta_{ij}(x)$ ,  $\gamma(x)$  and  $\varphi_k(x)$  are holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the origin, and satisfy  $\beta_{ij}(x) = O(|x|)$ ,  $\gamma(x) = O(|x|)$  and  $\varphi_k(x) = O(|x|^2)$ , and  $\tilde{f}_{K+1}(t, x, u, \tau, \xi)$  is a holomorphic function which has a similar Taylor expansion with  $f_{K+1}(t, x, u, \tau, \xi)$ .

In the following sections, we shall prove the existence and convergence of the unique formal solution of (2.1).

### 3. PREPARATION TO PROVE THEOREM 1

Let  $\mathbf{C}[t, x]_{L,M}$  be the set of homogeneous polynomial of degree  $L$  in  $t$  variables and of degree  $M$  in  $x$  variables, that is,

$$\mathbf{C}[t, x]_{L,M} = \left\{ f_{LM}(t, x) = \sum_{|l|=L, |m|=M} f_{lm} t^l x^m \mid f_{lm} \in \mathbf{C} \right\}.$$

For the operator  $\Lambda + \Delta$ , the following lemma holds:

**Lemma 1.** *For all  $L \geq K$  and  $M \geq 0$ , the operator*

$$\Lambda + \Delta : \mathbf{C}[t, x]_{L,M} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}[t, x]_{L,M}$$

*is invertible. Moreover, if the majorant relation  $f_{LM}(t, x) \ll F \times (t_1 + \cdots + t_d)^L (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^M$  ( $f_{LM}(x) \in \mathbf{C}[t, x]_{L,M}$ ,  $F > 0$ ) holds, then we obtain the following majorant relation:*

$$(3.1) \quad (\Lambda + \Delta)^{-1} f_{LM}(t, x) \ll \frac{C}{L+M} F \times (t_1 + \cdots + t_d)^L (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^M,$$

*where  $C > 0$  is a positive constant independent of  $L$  and  $M$ .*

*Proof.* We define a norm of  $u_{LM}(t, x) \in \mathbf{C}[t, x]_{L,M}$  by

$$\|u_{LM}\| := \inf \left\{ C > 0 \mid u_{LM}(t, x) \ll C(t_1 + \cdots + t_d)^L (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^M \right\}.$$

We remark that  $\mathbf{C}[t, x]_{L,M}$  becomes a Banach space by this norm.

First, by (1.4) it is easily checked that  $\Lambda$  is invertible on  $\mathbf{C}[t, x]_{L,M}$  and

$$(3.2) \quad \|\Lambda^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sigma(L+M)}$$

holds for the operator norm of  $\Lambda^{-1}$  on  $\mathbf{C}[t, x]_{L,M}$ .

Next, since  $u_{LM}(t, x) \ll \|u_{LM}\|(t_1 + \cdots + t_d)^L(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u_{LM}(t, x) &\ll \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} L|\delta_j| \cdot \|u_{LM}\|(t_1 + \cdots + t_d)^L(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^M \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} M|\nu_k| \cdot \|u_{LM}\|(t_1 + \cdots + t_d)^L(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^M \\ &\ll \left\{ L(d-1) \max_{j=1, \dots, d-1} |\delta_j| + M(n-1) \max_{k=1, \dots, n-1} |\nu_k| \right\} \times \\ &\quad \times \|u_{LM}\|(t_1 + \cdots + t_d)^L(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^M. \end{aligned}$$

Here we make a change of variables by  $t_j = \varepsilon^{j-1}\tau_j$ ,  $x_k = \varepsilon^{k-1}y_k$ , then  $\delta_j$  and  $\nu_k$  (the components of nilpotent part of Jordan canonical form) turns to  $\varepsilon\delta_j$  and  $\varepsilon\nu_k$ , respectively. Therefore, by choosing  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, we may assume that the components of nilpotent part are small enough. Hence we may assume that

$$(3.3) \quad \max_{j=1, \dots, d-1} |\delta_j| < \frac{\sigma}{2(d-1)}, \quad \max_{k=1, \dots, n-1} |\nu_k| < \frac{\sigma}{2(n-1)}.$$

Then

$$\Delta u_{LM}(t, x) \ll \frac{\sigma(L+M)}{2} \|u_{LM}\|(t_1 + \cdots + t_d)^L(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^M$$

holds, and we obtain

$$\|\Delta\| \leq \frac{\sigma(L+M)}{2}.$$

Therefore, the operator norm of  $\Delta\Lambda^{-1}$  is estimated by

$$\|\Delta\Lambda^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sigma(L+M)} \frac{\sigma(L+M)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} < 1.$$

By using the Neumann's series, we can see that  $\Lambda + \Delta$  is invertible and the norm of the inverse operator is estimated by

$$\|(\Lambda + \Delta)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{2}{\sigma} \frac{1}{L+M},$$

which we want to prove since  $C = 2/\sigma$  is independent of  $L$  and  $M$ .  $\square$

Now, we define some notations, which are used in the proof of Theorem 1.

**Definition** (1) Let  $(t, x) \in \mathbf{C}^d \times \mathbf{C}^n$  ( $d \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ) be complex variables. For formal power series  $f(t, x) = \sum_{|l| \geq 0, |m| \geq 0} f_{l,m} t^l x^m$ , we define

$$|f|(t, x) = \sum_{|l| \geq 0, |m| \geq 0} |f_{l,m}| t^l x^m.$$

(2) Let  $(t, X) \in \mathbf{C}^d \times \mathbf{C}$  ( $d \geq 0$ ) be complex variables. For formal power series  $f(t, X) = \sum_{|l| \geq 0, M \geq 0} f_{l, M} t^l X^M$ , we define the shift operator  $S$  by

$$S(f)(t, X) = \sum_{|l| \geq 0, M \geq 0} f_{l, M+1} t^l X^M = \frac{f(t, X) - f(t, 0)}{X}.$$

**Remark 5.** The following facts are easily shown:

- $f(t, x) \ll |f|(t, x)$ ;
- If  $f(t, x)$  and  $g(t, X)$  are convergent power series, then  $|f|(t, x)$  and  $S(g)(t, X)$  are also convergent. □

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

First, we prove a unique existence of formal power series solution.

Let

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{|l| \geq K, |m| \geq 0} u_{lm} t^l x^m = \sum_{L \geq K} u_L(t, x) = \sum_{L \geq K, M \geq 0} u_{LM}(t, x)$$

be a formal solution of (2.1), where

$$u_{LM}(t, x) = \sum_{|l|=L, |m|=M} u_{lm} t^l x^m \in \mathbf{C}[t, x]_{L, M},$$

$$u_L(t, x) = \sum_{|l|=L} u_l(x) t^l = \sum_{M \geq 0} u_{LM}(t, x).$$

We put  $P = \Lambda + \Delta$  for simplicity. We substitute  $u(t, x) = \sum_{L \geq K} u_L(t, x)$  into (2.1), then we have the following recursion formula:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} Pu_K(t, x) = \sum_{|l|=K} \alpha_l(x) t^l + \sum_{i, j=1}^d \beta_{ij}(x) t_i \partial_{t_j} u_K(t, x) \\ \quad + \gamma(x) u_K(t, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(x) \partial_{x_k} u_K(t, x), \\ Pu_L(t, x) = \sum_{i, j=1}^d \beta_{ij}(x) t_i \partial_{t_j} u_L(t, x) + \gamma(x) u_L(t, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(x) \partial_{x_k} u_L(t, x) \\ \quad + G_L(t, x, \{u_p\}_{K \leq p < L}, \{\partial_{t_j} u_p\}_{K \leq p < L}, \{\partial_{x_k} u_p\}_{K \leq p < L}), \text{ for } L > K, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $G_L(t, x, \zeta, \tau, \xi)$  is a polynomial of  $(t, \zeta, \tau, \xi)$ .

First, we consider the case  $L = K$ . We substitute  $u_K(t, x) = \sum_{M \geq 0} u_{KM}(t, x)$  into the above recursion formula, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} Pu_{K0}(t, x) = \sum_{|l|=K} \alpha_l(0)t^l, \\ Pu_{KM}(t, x) = \sum_{|l|=K} \alpha_l^M(x)t^l + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \sum_{p=1}^M \beta_{ij}^p(x)t_i \partial_{t_j} u_{K,M-p}(t, x) \\ \quad + \sum_{p=1}^M \gamma^p(x)u_{K,M-p}(t, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{p=2}^M \varphi_k^p(x) \partial_{x_k} u_{K,M-p+1}(t, x), \end{array} \right.$$

where we put

$$\alpha_l(x) = \sum_{M \geq 0} \alpha_l^M(x), \quad \alpha_l^M(x) = \sum_{|m|=M} \alpha_{lm} x^m,$$

$$\beta_{ij}(x) = \sum_{M \geq 1} \beta_{ij}^M(x), \quad \beta_{ij}^M(x) = \sum_{|m|=M} \beta_{ijm} x^m,$$

$$\gamma(x) = \sum_{M \geq 1} \gamma^M(x), \quad \gamma^M(x) = \sum_{|m|=M} \gamma_m x^m,$$

$$\varphi_k(x) = \sum_{M \geq 2} \varphi_k^M(x), \quad \varphi_k^M(x) = \sum_{|m|=M} \varphi_{km} x^m.$$

By Lemma 1, we know that the solution sequence  $\{u_{KM}(t, x)\}_{M \geq 0}$  exists uniquely. Moreover, by the same argument, we see that  $\{u_{LM}(t, x)\}$  ( $L > K$ ) exist uniquely. These show that the formal solution exists uniquely.

Next, we prove the convergence of the formal solution. We put  $U(t, x) = Pu(t, x)$  as a new unknown function. By Lemma 1, the equation (2.1) is reduced to the following equation:

$$(4.1) \quad U(t, x) = \sum_{|l|=K} \alpha_l(x)t^l + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \beta_{ij}(x)t_i \partial_{t_j} P^{-1}U(t, x) \\ + \gamma(x)P^{-1}U(t, x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(x) \partial_{x_k} P^{-1}U(t, x) \\ + \tilde{f}_{K+1}(t, x, P^{-1}U(t, x), \{\partial_{t_j} P^{-1}U(t, x)\}, \{\partial_{x_k} P^{-1}U(t, x)\}).$$

We know that (4.1) has a unique formal solution of the form

$$U(t, x) = \sum_{|l| \geq K, |m| \geq 0} U_{lm} t^l x^m = \sum_{L \geq K} U_L(t, x) = \sum_{L \geq K, M \geq 0} U_{LM}(t, x).$$



In order to get a majorant series of  $U(t, x)$ , we prepare some majorant series for the coefficients of (4.1). We put  $T = t_1 + \cdots + t_d$ ,  $X = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$ , and choose

$$\sum_{|l|=K} \alpha_l(x)t^l \ll A(X)T^K, \quad \beta_{ij}(x) \ll |\beta_{ij}|(X, \dots, X) =: XB_{ij}(X),$$

$$\gamma(x) \ll |\gamma|(X, \dots, X) =: XG(X), \quad \varphi_k(x) \ll |\varphi_k|(X, \dots, X) =: X^2\Phi_k(X),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{K+1}(t, x, u, \tau, \xi) &\ll |\tilde{f}_{K+1}|(T, \dots, T, X, \dots, X, u, \tau, \xi) \\ &=: F_{K+1}(T, X, u, \tau, \xi) \\ &= \sum_{|p|+Kq+(K-1)|r|+K|s|\geq K+1} F_{pqrs}(X)T^{|p|}u^q\tau^r\xi^s, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A(X)$ ,  $B_{ij}(X)$ ,  $G(X)$  and  $\Phi_k(X)$  are holomorphic in a neighbourhood of  $X = 0$ , and  $F_{K+1}(T, X, u, \tau, \xi)$  is also holomorphic near  $(T, X, u, \tau, \xi) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ .

Now, we consider the following equation:

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} w(T, X) &= A(X)T^K + C \sum_{i,j=1}^d XB_{ij}(X)w(T, X) \\ &\quad + CXG(X)w(T, X) + C \sum_{k=1}^n X^2\Phi_k(x)(t, x)S(w)(T, X) \\ &\quad + F_{K+1}\left(T, X, Cw, \left\{\frac{Cw}{T}\right\}, \{CS(w)\}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant appeared in Lemma 1.

Let  $w(T, X) = \sum_{L \geq K, M \geq 0} w_{LM}(T, X)$  be the formal solution of (4.2). By the construction of (4.2), we can easily check that  $U(t, x) \ll w(T, X)$  by the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.** For two formal power series  $U(t, x)$  and  $w(T, X)$  satisfying

$$U(t, x) = \sum_{L \geq K, M \geq 0} U_{LM}(t, x) \ll w(T, X) = \sum_{L \geq K, M \geq 0} w_{LM}T^L X^M,$$

the following majorant relations hold:

- (1)  $P^{-1}U(t, x) \ll Cw(T, X)$ ,
- (2)  $t_i \partial_{t_j} P^{-1}U(t, x) \ll Cw(T, X)$ ,
- (3)  $\partial_{t_j} P^{-1}U(t, x) \ll \frac{Cw(T, X)}{T}$ ,
- (4)  $\partial_{x_k} P^{-1}U(t, x) \ll CS(w)(T, X)$ .

*Proof.* By using Lemma 1, we can prove this lemma easily. First, (1) is proved as follows:

$$P^{-1}U(t, x) = \sum_{L \geq K, M \geq 0} P^{-1}U_{LM}(t, x) \ll \sum_{L \geq K, M \geq 0} \frac{C}{L+M} w_{LM}T^L X^M \ll Cw(T, X).$$

Secondly, (2) and (3) is proved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} t_i \partial_{t_j} P^{-1} U(t, x) &= \sum_{L \geq K, M \geq 0} t_i \partial_{t_j} P^{-1} U_{LM}(t, x) \\ &\ll \sum_{L \geq K, M \geq 0} \frac{CL}{L+M} w_{LM} T^L X^M \ll Cw(T, X); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_j} P^{-1} U(t, x) &= \sum_{L \geq K, M \geq 0} \partial_{t_j} P^{-1} U_{LM}(t, x) \\ &\ll \sum_{L \geq K, M \geq 0} \frac{CL}{L+M} w_{LM} T^{L-1} X^M \ll \frac{Cw(T, X)}{T}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (4) is proved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_k} P^{-1} U(t, x) &= \sum_{L \geq K, M \geq 0} \partial_{x_k} P^{-1} U_{LM}(t, x) \\ &\ll \sum_{L \geq K, M \geq 1} \frac{CM}{L+M} w_{LM} T^L X^{M-1} \ll CS(w)(T, X). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Since  $w(T, X) \gg 0$ , we have

$$(4.3) \quad XS(w)(T, X) = w(T, X) - w(T, 0) \ll w(T, X).$$

Let us consider the following equation:

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} v(T, X) &= A(X)T^K + CXh(X)v(T, X) \\ &\quad + F_{K+1} \left( T, X, Cv, \left\{ \frac{Cv}{T} \right\}, \{CS(v)\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with  $v(T, X) = O(T^K)$ , where  $h(X) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d B_{ij}(X) + G(X) + \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi_k(X)$ . Then the following majorant relation is obvious:

$$w(T, X) \ll v(T, X).$$

We put  $y(T, X) = v(T, X)/T$  as a new unknown function. By substituting this into (4.4), we see that  $y(T, X)$  satisfies

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} y(T, X) &= A(X)T^{K-1} + CXh(X)y(T, X) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{T} F_{K+1} \left( T, X, CTy, \{Cy\}, \{CTS(y)\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with  $y(T, X) = O(T^{K-1})$ .

We decompose the formal solution  $y(T, X)$  as follows:

$$y(T, X) = y_1(X)T^{K-1} + y_2(X)T^K + T^K z(T, X).$$

We remark that  $y_1(X)$  and  $y_2(X)$  are holomorphic functions in a neighbourhood of  $X = 0$ . Indeed,  $y_1(X)$  and  $y_2(X)$  are given by

$$y_1(X) = \frac{A(X)}{1 - CXh(X)},$$

$$y_2(X) = \frac{1}{1 - CXh(X)} \sum_{|p|+Kq+(K-1)|r|+K|s|=K+1} F_{pqrs}(X) \{Cy_1(X)\}^{q+|r|} \{CS(y_1)(X)\}^{|s|}.$$

These are holomorphic functions in a neighbourhood of  $X = 0$ .

In this case,  $z(T, X)$  satisfies the following equation:

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{cases} z(T, X) = CXh(X)z(T, X) + H(T, X, Tz(T, X), TS(z)(T, X)), \\ z(0, X) \equiv 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H(T, X, \eta_1, \eta_2) = & \frac{1}{T^{K+1}} \left[ F_{K+1}(T, X, Cy_1(X)T^K + Cy_2(X)T^{K+1} + CT^K\eta_1, \right. \\ & \left. \{Cy_1(X)T^{K-1} + Cy_2(X)T^K + CT^{K-1}\eta_1\}, \right. \\ & \left. \{CS(y_1)(X)T^K + CS(y_2)(X)T^{K+1} + CT^K\eta_2\} \right] \\ & - \sum_{|p|+Kq+(K-1)|r|+K|s|=K+1} F_{pqrs}(X) (Cy_1(X))^{q+|r|} (CS(y_1)(X))^{|s|}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 6.** The order of zeros in  $T$  variable of  $H(T, X, CTz(T, X), CTS(z)(T, X))$  is greater than or equal to 1.  $\square$

In order to prove the convergence of  $z(T, X)$ , it is sufficient to show the following:

**Lemma 3.** *There exists a small positive constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $z_\varepsilon(\rho) = z(\varepsilon\rho, \rho)$  is convergent in a neighbourhood of  $\rho = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We substitute  $T = \varepsilon\rho$  and  $X = \rho$  into (4.6) and by using the relation (4.3), we have

$$\rho S(z)(\varepsilon\rho, \rho) \ll z_\varepsilon(\rho).$$

By this relation, the following majorant relation also holds,

$$TS(z)(T, X)|_{T=\varepsilon\rho, X=\rho} = \varepsilon\rho S(z)(\varepsilon\rho, \rho) \ll \varepsilon z_\varepsilon(\rho).$$

Here we consider

$$(4.7) \quad \psi(\rho) = C\rho h(\rho)\psi(\rho) + H(\varepsilon\rho, \rho, \varepsilon\rho\psi(\rho), \varepsilon\psi(\rho)).$$

In the right hand side of (4.7), we decompose  $H(\varepsilon\rho, \rho, \varepsilon\rho\psi(\rho), \varepsilon\psi(\rho))$  into the term of  $\psi(\rho)$  and otherwise as follows:

$$H(\varepsilon\rho, \rho, \varepsilon\rho\psi(\rho), \varepsilon\psi(\rho)) = \varepsilon \frac{\partial H}{\partial \eta_2}(0, 0, 0, 0)\psi(\rho) + \widetilde{H}(\varepsilon\rho, \rho, \varepsilon\rho\psi(\rho), \varepsilon\psi(\rho)).$$

We remark that the following fact holds:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \widetilde{H}}{\partial \psi}(\varepsilon\rho, \rho, \varepsilon\rho\psi, \varepsilon\psi) \right|_{\rho=0, \psi=0} = 0.$$

We put  $(\partial H/\partial \eta_2)(0, 0, 0, 0) = K_0 \geq 0$ , then (4.7) is rewritten by

$$(4.8) \quad (1 - \varepsilon K_0)\psi(\rho) = C\rho h(\rho)\psi(\rho) + \widetilde{H}(\varepsilon\rho, \rho, \varepsilon\rho\psi(\rho), \varepsilon\psi(\rho)).$$

We choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $1 - \varepsilon K_0 > 0$ . Then by using the implicit function theorem, we can see that (5.8) has a unique holomorphic solution  $\psi(\rho)$  with  $\psi(0) = 0$  in a neighbourhood of  $\rho = 0$ . Moreover we can see  $z_\varepsilon(\rho) \ll \psi(\rho)$ .

Thus we complete the proof of Lemma 3.  $\square$

## 5. SOLVABILITY OF THE SYSTEM (1.9)

In this section, we give a sufficient condition for the formal solution of the system (1.9) to be convergent. Recall that (1.9) is

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_i}(0, x, 0, \{\varphi_j(x)\}, 0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(0, x, 0, \{\varphi_j(x)\}, 0)\varphi_i(x) \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_k}(0, x, 0, \{\varphi_j(x)\}, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi_i(x)}{\partial x_k} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d. \end{aligned}$$

By Assumption 4 of Theorem 2, the condition

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_k}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j(0)\}, 0) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

was assumed.

Let  $\varphi(x) = {}^t(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_d(x))$  be the unknown functions. We put  $\varphi(0) = {}^t(\varphi_1^0, \dots, \varphi_d^0) \in \mathbf{C}^d$  as the constant term of  $\varphi(x)$ . We substitute  $\varphi_j(x) = \varphi_j^0 + \psi_j(x)$  into the system (1.9), and by restricting at  $x = 0$ , we see that  $\{\varphi_j^0\}$  satisfies the following system:

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_i}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0)\varphi_i^0 = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d.$$

This system has some roots by the assumption of the existence of a formal solution, and we fix such  $\{\varphi_j^0\}$ .

For such fixed  $\{\varphi_j^0\}$ , we see that  $\{\psi_j(x)\}$  satisfies the system of the followin

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.2) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi_k \partial x_l}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0) x_l \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial x_k}(x) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi_k \partial \tau_p}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0) \psi_p(x) \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial x_k}(x) \\
& + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0) \psi_i(x) \\
& + \sum_{p=1}^d \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t_i \partial \tau_p}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u \partial \tau_p}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0) \varphi_i^0 \right\} \psi_p(x) \\
& + \sum_{l=1}^n \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t_i \partial x_l}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u \partial x_l}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0) \varphi_i^0 \right\} x_l \\
& = (\text{degree in } x \text{ is greater than or equal to } 2), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d.
\end{aligned}$$

This system is written as follows for simplicity,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.3) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n a_{kl} x_l \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial x_k}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^d b_{kp} \psi_p(x) \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial x_k}(x) \\
& + c \psi_i(x) + \sum_{p=1}^d d_{ip} \psi_p(x) + \sum_{l=1}^n e_{il} x_l \\
& = (\text{degree in } x \text{ is greater than or equal to } 2), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_{kl} := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi_k \partial x_l}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0), \quad b_{kp} := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi_k \partial \tau_p}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0),$$

$$c := \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0),$$

$$d_{ip} := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t_i \partial \tau_p}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u \partial \tau_p}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0) \varphi_i^0,$$

$$e_{il} := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t_i \partial x_l}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u \partial x_l}(0, 0, 0, \{\varphi_j^0\}, 0) \varphi_i^0.$$

Here we decompose  $\psi_i(x)$  into  $\psi_i(x) = \tilde{\psi}_i(x) + \eta_i(x)$  ( $\tilde{\psi}_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \psi_{ik}x_k$ ,  $\eta_i(x) = O(|x|^2)$ ). We substitute this into the system (5.3) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.4) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n a_{kl}x_l \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_i}{\partial x_k}(x) + \frac{\partial \eta_i}{\partial x_k}(x) \right) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^d b_{kp}(\tilde{\psi}_p(x) + \eta_p(x)) \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_i}{\partial x_k}(x) + \frac{\partial \eta_i}{\partial x_k}(x) \right) \\
& + c(\tilde{\psi}_i(x) + \eta_i(x)) + \sum_{p=1}^d d_{ip}(\tilde{\psi}_p(x) + \eta_p(x)) + \sum_{l=1}^n e_{il}x_l \\
& = \text{(degree in } x \text{ is greater than or equal to 2)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d.
\end{aligned}$$

By picking up the degree 1 part on the both sides, we see that  $\{\tilde{\psi}_i(x)\}$  satisfy the following system:

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.5) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n a_{kl}x_l \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_i}{\partial x_k}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^d b_{kp}\tilde{\psi}_p(x) \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_i}{\partial x_k}(x) \\
& + c\tilde{\psi}_i(x) + \sum_{p=1}^d d_{ip}\tilde{\psi}_p(x) + \sum_{l=1}^n e_{il}x_l = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ .

By the existence of a formal solution, (5.5) has some solutions  $\{\tilde{\psi}_i(x)\}$  of linear functions, and we fix such  $\{\tilde{\psi}_i(x)\}$ .

For fixed  $\{\varphi_i^0\}$  and  $\{\tilde{\psi}_i(x)\}$ , we see that  $\{\eta_i(x)\}$  satisfy the following system:

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.6) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \left( a_{kl} + \sum_{p=1}^d b_{kp}\psi_{pl} \right) x_l \frac{\partial \eta_i}{\partial x_k}(x) + c\eta_i(x) + \sum_{p=1}^d \left( d_{ip} + \sum_{k=1}^n b_{kp}\psi_{ik} \right) \eta_p(x) \\
& = \text{(degree in } x \text{ is greater than or equal to 2.)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d.
\end{aligned}$$

We remark that the degree 2 part in the right hand side of this system does not include  $\{\eta_i(x)\}$ .

The following theorem holds:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(A_{kl})_{k,l=1,2,\dots,n}$  be a matrix defined by

$$(A_{kl})_{k,l=1,2,\dots,n} = \left( a_{kl} + \sum_{p=1}^d b_{kp}\psi_{pl} \right)_{k,l=1,2,\dots,n}.$$

Let  $\{\kappa_k\}_{k=1}^n$  be the eigenvalues of  $(A_{kl})_{k,l=1,2,\dots,n}$ . If there exists a positive constant  $\sigma_0$  such that the condition

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n \kappa_k m_k \right| \geq \sigma_0 |m|, \quad (\text{Poincaré condition})$$

holds for all  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$  with  $|m| \geq 2$ , then the formal solution of the system (1.9) is convergent in a neighbourhood of the origin.

**Remark 7.** Let  $(B_{ip})_{i,p=1,2,\dots,d}$  be a matrix defined by

$$(B_{ip})_{i,p=1,2,\dots,d} = \left( d_{ip} + \sum_{k=1}^n b_{kp} \psi_{ik} \right)_{i,p=1,2,\dots,d},$$

and let  $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^d$  be the eigenvalues of  $(B_{ip})_{i,p=1,2,\dots,d}$ .

By the same argument in Remark 1, we have

$$(5.7) \quad \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \kappa_k m_k + c + \omega_j \right| \geq \sigma |m|, \quad \text{by some } \sigma > 0, \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, d,$$

for large  $m$ , which will be used in the proof. □

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

The proof of Theorem 3 is the same method of the proof of Theorem 1 in case that the unknown function is a vector values. However, there are some difference in the detail. Therefore, we introduce only the outline of the proof of Theorem 3 in this section.

**Step 1.** By taking a linear transformation of the independent variables and a linear transformation of the unknown functions, (5.6) is reduced to the following form:

$$(6.1) \quad (\Lambda + \Delta + \mathbf{B}) \begin{pmatrix} w_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ w_d(x) \end{pmatrix} \\ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \Lambda_d \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Delta & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \Delta \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{B} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} w_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ w_d(x) \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{|m|=2} a_{1,m} x^m + g_{3,1}(x, w(x), \partial_x w(x)) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{|m|=2} a_{d,m} x^m + g_{3,d}(x, w(x), \partial_x w(x)) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $w_j(x)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ ) denote new unknown functions after linear transformations and

$$\Lambda_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \kappa_k x_k \partial_{x_k} + c + \omega_j, \quad \Delta = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_k x_k \partial_{x_{k+1}}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & e_{d-1} & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\varepsilon_j$  and  $e_j$  denote the nilpotent components of the Jordan canonical forms of the matrices  $(A_{kl})$  and  $(B_{ip})$ , respectively, and

$$g_{3,i}(x, \eta, \zeta) = \sum_{|\alpha|+2|\beta|+|\gamma|\geq 3} g_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(i)} x^\alpha \eta^\beta \zeta^\gamma.$$

**Step 2.** We define  $\mathbf{C}[x]_M$  by  $\mathbf{C}[x]_M = \{\sum_{|m|=M} u_m x^m ; u_m \in \mathbf{C}\}$ , and define a norm of  $u(x) = {}^t(u_1(x), \dots, u_d(x)) \in (\mathbf{C}[x]_M)^d$  by

$$\|u\| := \inf\{C > 0 ; u_i(x) \ll C(x_1 + \dots + x_n)^M, i = 1, 2, \dots, d\}.$$

By the same argument in the proof of Lemma 1 and by Remark 7, we can prove the same results of Lemma 1 for the operator  $\Lambda + \Delta + \mathbf{B}$ .

**Step 3.** By the same method in the previous sections, we can construct a majorant equation whose formal solution is a majorant function of the all unknown functions of the system. Finally, by the implicit function theorem, we prove the convergence of the formal solution of the majorant equation.

## REFERENCES

- [CL] Chen H. and Luo Z., On the Holomorphic Solution of Non-linear Totally Characteristic Equations with Several Space Variables, *Preprint 99/23 November 1999, Institut für Mathematik, Universität Potsdam.*
- [CLT] Chen H. and Luo Z. and Tahara H., Formal solutions of nonlinear first order totally characteristic type PDE with irregular singularity, *to appear.*
- [CT] Chen H. and Tahara H., On Totally Characteristic Type Non-linear Partial Differential Equations in Complex Domain, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **35** (1999), 621–636.
- [GT] Gérard R. and Tahara H., Singular Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, *Vieweg*, 1996.
- [MS] Miyake M. and Shirai A., Convergence of Formal Solutions of First Order Singular Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in Complex Domain, *Annales Polonic Mathematici (volume dedicated to the memory of B. Ziemian)*, **74** (2000), 215–228.



- [O] Ouchi S., Genuine solutions and formal solutions with Gevrey type estimates of nonlinear partial differential equations, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, **2** (1995), 375–417.
- [S] Shirai A., Maillet Type Theorem for Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Newton Polygons, *J. Math. Soc. Japan. Vol 53*, **3** (2001).

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, HURO-CHO, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA  
464-8602, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* m96034q@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp