

# A zeta function of a smooth manifold and elliptic cohomology

Ken-ichi SUGIYAMA

Department of Mathematics and Informatics

Faculty of Science

Chiba University

July 12, 2000

## Abstract

We will propose a new definition of a zeta function of a smooth manifold, using Grothendieck's idea of crystalline cohomology which is used to express Hasse-Weil's congruent zeta function of a smooth projective variety defined over a finite field as an alternating product of characteristic polynomials of Frobenius. In order to compute our zeta function, we will use the theory of elliptic cohomology.

## 1 Motivation

The purpose of this note is to explain a main idea of [9]. Details are found in [9].

Our definition of a zeta function depends on the Grothendieck's idea of **Crystalline Cohomology**, hence we first recall the definition of crystalline cohomology.

### Arithmetic case

In order to avoid an unnecessary complexity, we only consider the simplest case. Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety defined over a finite prime field  $\mathbf{F}_p$  of characteristic  $p$ . We assume an existence of a smooth model  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $X$  defined over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . The  $i$ -th *crystalline cohomology* of rational coefficient  $H_{crys}^i(X/\mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p$  of  $X$  is defined to be

$$H_{crys}^i(X/\mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_{DR}^i(X/\mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p. \quad (1)$$

It is well-known that  $H_{crys}^i(X/\mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p$  is independent a choice of a model  $\mathcal{X}$ . Also an endomorphism  $\phi$  called *Frobenius* acts on  $H_{crys}^i(X/\mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p$ .

$$\zeta_X(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|X(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})|}{n} T^n \quad (2)$$

be the Hasse-Weil's congruent zeta function of  $X$ .  $\zeta_X(T)$  can be expressed in terms of  $\phi$ . We prepare some notations.

**Notations 1.1.** •  $\text{Tr}_{\phi}^+(T) = \sum_{i \equiv 0(2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Tr}[\phi^n | H_{\text{crys}}^i(X/\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p] T^n$

•  $\text{Tr}_{\phi}^-(T) = \sum_{i \equiv 1(2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Tr}[\phi^n | H_{\text{crys}}^i(X/\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p] T^n$

Now the following formula is due to Grothendieck and Berthelot.

**Fact 1.1.**  $-T \frac{d}{dT} \log \zeta_X(T) = \text{Tr}_{\phi}^+(T) - \text{Tr}_{\phi}^-(T)$ .

*In particular,*  $\zeta_X(T) = \exp[-\int \{\text{Tr}_{\phi}^+(T) - \text{Tr}_{\phi}^-(T)\} \frac{dT}{T}]$ .

### Geometric case

Now we treat our geometric case. Let  $M$  be a compact oriented manifold with  $w_2(M) = p_1(M) = 0$  and let  $\mathcal{LM}$  be its free loop space. Also we assume  $M$  admits an almost complex structure. (In fact, this assumption is unnecessary.) Comparing to arithmetic case,  $M$  corresponds to  $X$  and  $\mathcal{LM}$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{LM}$  has a natural  $S^1$ -action by rotation of parameter. One can consider vector bundles  $\Sigma_+$  and  $\Sigma_-$  of infinite rank over  $\mathcal{LM}$  which is called as a *plus loop spinor bundle* and *minus loop spinor bundle* respectively. Between them, there exists a differential operator of the first order (*loop Dirac operator*),

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{LM}, \Sigma_+) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \Gamma(\mathcal{LM}, \Sigma_-). \quad (3)$$

$\Sigma_+$ ,  $\Sigma_-$ , and  $\mathcal{D}$  have the following properties ([10]);

- $\Sigma_+$  and  $\Sigma_-$  admit  $S^1$ -action which is equivalent to natural one of  $\mathcal{LM}$ .
- $\mathcal{D}$  is  $S^1$ -equivalent.

Therefore both  $\text{Ker} \mathcal{D}$  and  $\text{Coker} \mathcal{D}$  admit  $S^1$ -action and these correspond to the Frobenius action on  $H_{\text{crys}}(X/\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Let

- $\text{Ker} \mathcal{D} = \bigoplus_n H^+(n)$ ,
- $\text{Coker} \mathcal{D} = \bigoplus_n H^-(n)$ ,

be a weight decomposition by the  $S^1$ -action and we set

$$\chi_{\mathcal{D}}(M, q) = \sum_n \{\dim H^+(n) - \dim H^-(n)\} q^n. \quad (4)$$

This corresponds to  $-T \frac{d}{dT} \log \zeta_X(T)$ .

In the following sections, we will discuss a way of calculating this invariant using elliptic cohomology.

## 2 Elliptic cohomology

In this section,  $R$  denotes a commutative  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra. (In fact, in order to develop a theory of *elliptic cohomology*, it is sufficient  $R$  is a commutative ring with a unit such that 6 is invertible.)

### A complex oriented cohomology theory vs a formal group

A cohomology theory  $H^*$  is said to be *complex oriented* if the cohomology ring of the classifying space  $BU(1)$  of  $U(1)$  is isomorphic to a formal power series ring of one variable:

$$H^*(BU(1), R) \cong R[[T]]. \quad (5)$$

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the universal line bundle over  $BU(1)$ . By universality of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we have a map,

$$BU(1) \times BU(1) \xrightarrow{\phi} BU(1) \quad (6)$$

such that  $\phi^*\mathcal{L} = p_1^*\mathcal{L} \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{L}$ , where  $p_1$  (resp.  $p_2$ ) is the first (resp. second) projection. The functoriality of  $H^*$  induces a homomorphism

$$H^*(BU(1), R) \xrightarrow{\phi^*} H^*(BU(1), R) \hat{\otimes} H^*(BU(1), R), \quad (7)$$

and by (5), this is a ring homomorphism

$$R[[T]] \xrightarrow{\phi^*} R[[X, Y]]. \quad (8)$$

We set  $F_H(X, Y) = \phi^*(T)$ . One can easily see that  $F_H(X, Y)$  satisfies the following identities.

- (commutativity)  $F_H(X, Y) = F_H(Y, X)$ .
- (existence of unit)  $F_H(X, 0) = X$ .
- (associativity)  $F_H(F_H(X, Y), Z) = F_H(X, F_H(Y, Z))$ .
- $F_H(X, Y) = X + Y + (\text{higher order})$ .

In general, a formal power series  $F(X, Y) \in R[[X, Y]]$  which satisfies the above conditions is said to be a *formal group* defined over  $R$  ([8]). Here are some examples of formal groups.

**Example 2.1.** 1. (the formal group associated to additive group)

$$F(X, Y) = X + Y.$$

2. (the formal group associated to multiplicative group)

$$F(X, Y) = X + Y + XY.$$

In this way, we associate a formal group defined over  $R$  to a complex oriented cohomology theory whose coefficient ring is  $R$ . It is a result of Landweber ([5]) that one can also associate an  $R$ -coefficient complex oriented cohomology theory to a formal group defined over  $R$ .

### A formal group vs a complex genus

We first recall results due to Lazard and Quillen.

**Fact 2.1.** (Lazard [6]) Let  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{Z}[\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}]$ . ( $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$  is called as Lazard's ring.) Then there exists a formal group law  $F^u(X, Y)$  defined over  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$  which is universal in the following sense;

Let  $F(X, Y)$  be a formal group law defined over a ring  $R$ . Then there exists the unique ring homomorphism,  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\theta} R$  such that  $F(X, Y) = \theta(F^u(X, Y))$ .

**Fact 2.2.** (Quillen [7])  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}$  is isomorphic to the complex cobordism ring  $\Omega^U$  and the formal group determined by  $F_U(X, Y)$  is isomorphic to Lazard's universal formal group.

A ring homomorphism from  $\Omega^U$  to  $R$  is said to be a *complex genus* whose values are in  $R$ . The above two results imply a there is a one to one correspondence between a formal group defined over  $R$  and a complex genus whose values are in  $R$ .

Now we state our definition of *elliptic genus* and *elliptic cohomology*. Let

$$E = \{y^2 = x^3 - ax + b\}, \quad \omega = \frac{dx}{2y}. \quad (9)$$

be a pair of an elliptic curve and its invariant differential defined over  $R$ . We choose a formal parameter  $T$  of  $E$  at the origin to be

$$T = -\frac{x}{y}. \quad (10)$$

Let  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{E,0}$  be the formal completion of  $\mathcal{O}_E$  at the origin. The group law  $E \times E \xrightarrow{\mu} E$  of  $E$  induces a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{E,0} \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \mathcal{O}_{E,0} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{E,0}. \quad (11)$$

By the choice of a formal parameter  $T$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{E,0}$  is isomorphic to  $R[[T]]$ . Hence (11) becomes a homomorphism

$$R[[T]] \xrightarrow{\mu^*} R[[X, Y]], \quad (12)$$

and we define a formal group  $F_{(E,\omega)}(X, Y)$  associated to  $(E, \omega)$  to be  $F_{(E,\omega)}(X, Y) \mu^*(T)$ . The cohomology theory (resp. complex genus) which associated to a pair  $(E, \omega)$  is said to be *elliptic cohomology* (resp. *elliptic genus*). Moreover if  $(E, \omega)$  is defined over a ring of modular forms (of certain level), these are said to be *modular*. One can obtain the following proposition without difficulties.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\phi$  be a modular elliptic genus of level  $\Gamma$ . Then, for an almost complex compact manifold of dimension  $2n$ ,  $\phi(M)$  is a modular form holomorphic at cusps of weight  $n$  and of level  $\Gamma$ .*

Particular cases of the proposition is considered in [3] and [6].

### 3 How to compute a zeta function (after Witten and Zagier)

In this section, we follow Witten and Zagier's argument to compute our zeta functions ([10], [11], [6].)

We first prepare some notations. For a manifold  $M$  and an indeterminate  $q$ , we set

$$S_q(TM \otimes \mathbf{C}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{Sym}^k(TM \otimes \mathbf{C})q^k \in K_0(M)[[q]], \quad (13)$$

where  $K_0(M)$  is the Grothendieck's group of  $M$ , and  $\text{Sym}^k$  is the  $k$ -th symmetric product.

Let  $M$  be an almost complex manifold with  $w_2(M) = p_1(M) = 0$ . Witten computed  $\chi_{\mathcal{D}}(M, q)$  by formally using Atiyah-Singer's fixed point formula and he obtained

$$\chi_{\mathcal{D}}(M, q) = \langle \hat{A}(M) \text{ch}(\otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{q^n}(TM \otimes \mathbf{C})), [M] \rangle. \quad (14)$$

The right hand side of (14) can be calculated more explicitly.

Let  $R = \mathbf{Q}[G_4, G_6]$ , where  $G_i$  is the Eisenstein series of weight  $i$ . We set

$$Q_{WS}(T) = \exp\left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(2k)!} G_{2k}(q) T^{2k}\right] \in \mathbf{Q}[G_4, G_6][[T]]. \quad (15)$$

Let  $g(T)$  be the formal inverse function of  $\frac{T}{Q(T)}$  and we write

$$g'(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_n T^n, a_n \in R. \quad (16)$$

We define a complex genus  $\phi_{WS}$  (which is said to be *Weierstrass-Witten genus*) to be

$$\phi_{WS}(\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})) = a_n. \quad (17)$$

Note that the rational complex cobordism ring  $\Omega^U \otimes \mathbf{Q}$  is generated by  $\{\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})\}_n$ . For an almost complex compact manifold  $M$  of dimension  $4k$  such that  $w_2(M) = p_1(M) = 0$ , we have

- $\chi_{\mathcal{D}}(M, q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{-4k} \phi_{WS}(M)$
- $\phi_{WS}(M)$  is a modular form of weight  $2k$  and of level 1.

For a modular form  $f$  of level 1, let  $a_0(f)$  be the constant term of the Fourier expansion of  $f$ . We define a *zeta function* of  $M$  to be the Mellin transform of  $\phi_{WS}(M) - a_0(\phi_{WS}(M))$  (Compare **Fact 1.1**):

$$\zeta_M(s) = \int_0^{\infty} [\phi_{WS}(M) - a_0(\phi_{WS}(M))](it) t^s \frac{dt}{t}. \quad (18)$$

In general, without the conditions  $w_2 = p_1 = 0$ , we define a zeta function of a smooth manifold by (16). Here are some examples.

**Example 3.1.** 1.  $\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{C})}(s) = -\frac{2^7 \pi^4}{4!} \zeta(s) \zeta(s - 3)$ ,

2.  $\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^6(\mathbb{C})}(s) = -\frac{2^8 3 \pi^6}{6!} \zeta(s) \zeta(s - 5)$ .

## 4 Comments and remarks

We will briefly explain a relationship between  $\phi_{WS}$  and a series of linear representations of Monster. Details are found in [3].

It is well-known (cf. [2]) as a *Moonshine conjecture* that there is a mysterious relationship between *Monster* and  $j(q) - 744$ , where  $j(q)$  is the elliptic modular function.

Let consider the Fourier expansion of  $j(q) - 744$ ,

$$j(q) - 744 = q^{-1} + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + 864299970q^3 + \dots \quad (19)$$

Note that the first coefficient 1 is the dimension of trivial representation of Monster. It is known the dimension of the smallest non-trivial irreducible representation of Monster is 196883, and this is nothing but  $a_1(j(q) - 744) - a_{-1}(j(q) - 744)$ . (Remember that  $a_i(\cdot)$  denotes the  $i$ -th Fourier coefficient.) So it is natural to conjecture that  $j(q) - 744$  is the generating function (in some sense) of dimension of irreducible representation of Monster. This conjecture was solved by Borcherds using a vertex operator algebra. ([1], [4])

Hirzebruch proposed a problem to construct a series of irreducible representation by a geometric way. ([3], **Prize Question**) His plan is as follows.

1. Construct a 24 dimensional compact oriented smooth spin manifold  $M$  with  $p_1 = 0 \in H^4(M, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\phi_{WS}(M) = E_4^3 - 744\Delta$ , where  $E_i$  is the normalized Eisenstein series of weight  $i$  and  $\Delta$  is the normalized cusp form of weight 12.
2. Find such a manifold which admits an action of Monster.

Such a manifold satisfies an identity

$$q^{-1} \cdot \hat{A}(M, \otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{q^n}(TM \otimes \mathbf{C})) = j(q) - 744, \quad (20)$$

where  $\hat{A}$  denotes  $\hat{A}$ -genus. This identity implies

- $\hat{A}(M) = 1$  and  $\hat{A}(M, TM \otimes \mathbf{C}) = 0$ .
- $\hat{A}(M, \text{Sym}^2(TM \otimes \mathbf{C})) = 196884$ .

Since we have a decomposition,

$$\text{Sym}^2(TM \otimes \mathbf{C}) = E \oplus \mathbf{1}, \quad (21)$$

where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the trivial bundle, the smallest non-trivial irreducible representation of Monster may be realized as the cohomology group of  $E$ .

Let  $M(1)_R$  be the graded ring of modular forms of full level which are holomorphic at the cusp whose Fourier coefficients are valued in a commutative ring  $R$ . It is easy to see that compact smooth oriented manifolds whose dimension is divisible by 4 and which satisfy conditions  $w_2 = 0$  and  $p_1 = 0 \in H^4(\mathbf{Q})$  form a subring of oriented codimension ring. We denote this subring by  $\Omega^0$ . Then  $\phi_{WS}$  becomes a ring homomorphism

$$\Omega^0 \xrightarrow{\phi_{WS}} M(1)_{\mathbf{Z}}. \quad (22)$$

If this is surjective, we obtain a manifold which satisfies the condition 1. We have obtained the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** *After tensoring  $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{6}]$ , (22) becomes surjective.*

In fact, we have constructed a compact smooth 24 dimensional manifold  $M$  satisfying the conditions and  $\phi_{WS}(M) = 144(E_4^3 - 744\Delta)$ . But we do not know whether (22) is surjective or not. A problem to find a manifold which admits an action of Monster seems much more difficult.

## References

- [1] R. Borcherds. Monstrous Lie superalgebras. *Inventiones Math.*, No. 109, pp. 405–444, 1992.
- [2] J. H. Conway and S.P. Norton. Monstrous and moonshine. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, No. 11, pp. 308–339, 1979.
- [3] T. Berger F. Hirzebruch and R. Jung. *Manifolds and Modular forms*, Vol. 20 of *Aspects of Mathematics*. Vieweg, 1992.
- [4] J. Lepowski I. Frenkel and A. Meurman. *Vertex Operator Algebra and the Monster*, Vol. 134 of *Mathematics*. Academic Press, 1988.

- [5] P. S. Landweber. Homological properties of comodule over  $MU_*MU$  and  $BP_*BP$ . *Amer. J. Math.*, Vol. 98, pp. 591–610, 1976.
- [6] P. S. Landweber, editor. *Elliptic curves and modular forms in algebraic topology*, No. 1326 in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1988. Proceedings Princeton 1986, Springer.
- [7] D. Quillen. On the formal group laws of unoriented and complex cobordism theory. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 75, pp. 1293–1298, 1969.
- [8] J. H. Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Vol. 106 of *Graduate Text in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [9] K. Sugiyama. Zeta functions of smooth manifolds and elliptic cohomology. preprint, 2000.
- [10] E. Witten. The index of the Dirac operator in loop space. *Springer Lecture Notes in mathematics*, Vol. 1326, No. 161-181, 1986.
- [11] D. Zagier. Notes on the Landweber-Stong elliptic genus. In P. S. Landweber, editor, *Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms in Algebraic Topology*, Vol. 1326 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 216–224. Springer-Verlag, 1986.