

## $n$ -Insertion on Languages

京都産業大学・理学部 伊藤 正美

京都産業大学・大学院 杉浦 亮

Masami Ito<sup>1</sup>, Ryo Sugiura<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics<sup>1</sup>, Graduate School<sup>2</sup>Kyoto Sangyo University<sup>1,2</sup>, Kyoto 603-8555, Japan

### Abstract

In this paper, we define the  $n$ -insertion  $A \triangleright^{[n]} B$  of a language  $A$  into a language  $B$  and provide some properties of  $n$ -insertions. For instance, the  $n$ -insertion of a regular language into a regular language is regular but the  $n$ -insertion of a context-free language into a context-free language is not always context-free. However, it can be shown that the  $n$ -insertion of a regular (context-free) language into a context-free (regular) language is context-free. We also consider the decomposition of regular languages under  $n$ -insertion.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $u, v \in X^*$  and let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then the  $n$ -insertion of  $u$  into  $v$ , i.e.  $u \triangleright^{[n]} v$ , is defined as  $\{v_1 u_1 v_2 u_2 \dots v_n u_n v_{n+1} \mid u = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in X^*, v = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n v_{n+1}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1} \in X^*\}$ . For languages  $A, B \subseteq X^*$ , the  $n$ -insertion  $A \triangleright^{[n]} B$  of  $A$  into  $B$  is defined as  $\bigcup_{u \in A, v \in B} u \triangleright^{[n]} v$ . The shuffle product  $A \diamond B$  of  $A$  and  $B$  is defined as  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A \triangleright^{[n]} B$ . In Section 2, we provide some properties of  $n$ -insertions. For instance, the  $n$ -insertion of a regular language into a regular language is regular but the  $n$ -insertion of a context-free language into a context-free language is not always context-free. However, it can be shown that the  $n$ -insertion of a regular (context-free) language into a context-free (regular) language is context-free. In Section 3, we prove that, for a given regular language  $L \subseteq X^*$  and a positive integer  $n$ , it is decidable whether  $L = A \triangleright^{[n]} B$  for some nontrivial regular languages  $A, B \subseteq X^*$ . Here a language  $C \subseteq X^*$  is said to be *nontrivial* if  $C \neq \{\epsilon\}$  where  $\epsilon$  is the empty word. Regarding definitions and notations concerning formal languages and automata, not defined in this paper, refer, for instance,

## 2 Shuffle Product and $n$ -Insertion

First, we consider the shuffle product of languages.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $A, B \subseteq X^*$  be regular languages. Then  $A \diamond B$  is a regular language.*

*Proof* By  $\bar{X}$  we denote the new alphabet  $\{\bar{a} \mid a \in X\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = (S, X, \delta, s_0, F)$  be a finite deterministic automaton with  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = A$  and let  $\mathcal{B} = (T, X, \theta, t_0, G)$  be a finite deterministic automaton with  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}) = B$ . Define the automaton  $\bar{\mathcal{B}} = (T, \bar{X}, \bar{\theta}, t_0, G)$  as  $\bar{\theta}(t, \bar{a}) = \theta(t, a)$  for any  $t \in T$  and  $a \in X$ . Let  $\rho$  be the homomorphism of  $(X \cup \bar{X})^*$  onto  $X^*$  defined as  $\rho(a) = \rho(\bar{a}) = a$  for any  $a \in X$ . Moreover, let  $\mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathcal{B}}) = \bar{B}$ . Then  $\rho(\bar{B}) = \{\rho(\bar{u}) \mid \bar{u} \in \bar{B}\} = B$  and  $\rho(A \diamond \bar{B}) = A \diamond B$ . Hence, to prove the lemma, it is enough to show that  $A \diamond \bar{B}$  is a regular language over  $X \cup \bar{X}$ . Consider the automaton  $\mathcal{A} \diamond \bar{\mathcal{B}} = (S \times T, X \cup \bar{X}, \delta \diamond \bar{\theta}, (s_0, t_0), F \times G)$  where  $\delta \diamond \bar{\theta}((s, t), a) = (\delta(s, a), t)$  and  $\delta \diamond \bar{\theta}((s, t), \bar{a}) = (s, \theta(t, a))$  for any  $(s, t) \in S \times T$  and  $a \in X$ . Then it is easy to see that  $w \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A} \diamond \bar{\mathcal{B}})$  if and only if  $w \in A \diamond \bar{B}$ , i.e.  $A \diamond \bar{B}$  is regular. This completes the proof of the lemma.

**Proposition 2** *Let  $A, B \subseteq X^*$  be regular languages and let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then  $A \triangleright^{[n]} B$  is a regular language.*

*Proof* Let the notations of  $\bar{X}$ ,  $\bar{B}$  and  $\rho$  be the same as above. Notice that  $A \triangleright^{[n]} \bar{B} = (A \diamond \bar{B}) \cap (\bar{X}^* X^*)^n \bar{X}^*$ . Since  $(\bar{X}^* X^*)^n \bar{X}^*$  is regular,  $A \triangleright^{[n]} \bar{B}$  is regular. Consequently,  $A \triangleright^{[n]} B = \rho(A \triangleright^{[n]} \bar{B})$  is regular.

**Remark 3** The  $n$ -insertion of a context-free language into a context-free language is not always context-free. For instance, it is well known that  $A = \{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 1\}$  and  $B = \{c^n d^n \mid n \geq 1\}$  are context-free languages over  $\{a, b\}$  and  $\{c, d\}$ , respectively. However, since  $(A \triangleright^{[2]} B) \cap a^+ c^+ b^+ d^+ = \{a^n c^m b^n d^m \mid n, m \geq 1\}$  is not context-free,  $A \triangleright^{[2]} B$  is not context-free.

Now consider the  $n$ -insertion of a regular (context-free) language into a context-free (regular) language.

**Lemma 4** *Let  $A \subseteq X^*$  be a regular language and let  $B \subseteq X^*$  be a context-free language. Then  $A \diamond B$  is a context-free language.*

*Proof* The notations which we will use for the proof are assumed to be the same as above. Let  $\mathcal{A} = (S, X, \delta, s_0, F)$  be a finite deterministic automaton with  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = A$  and let  $\mathcal{B} = (T, X, \Gamma, \theta, t_0, \epsilon)$  be a pushdown au-

tomaton with  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}) = B$ . Let  $\bar{\mathcal{B}} = (T, \bar{X}, \Gamma, \bar{\theta}, t_0, \gamma_0, \epsilon)$  be a pushdown automaton such that  $\bar{\theta}(t, \bar{a}, \gamma) = \theta(t, a, \gamma)$  for any  $t \in T, a \in X \cup \{\epsilon\}$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $\rho(\mathcal{N}(\bar{\mathcal{B}})) = B$ . Now define the pushdown automaton  $\mathcal{A} \diamond \bar{\mathcal{B}} = (S \times T, X \cup \bar{X}, \Gamma \cup \{\#\}, \delta \diamond \bar{\theta}, (s_0, t_0), \gamma_0, \epsilon)$  as follows: (1)  $\forall a \in X, \delta \diamond \bar{\theta}((s_0, t_0), a, \gamma_0) = \{((\delta(s_0, a), t_0), \#\gamma_0)\}, \delta \diamond \bar{\theta}((s_0, t_0), \bar{a}, \gamma_0) = \{((s_0, t'), \#\gamma') \mid (t', \gamma') \in \bar{\theta}(t_0, \bar{a}, \gamma_0)\}$ . (2)  $\forall a \in X, \forall (s, t) \in S \times T, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \cup \{\#\}, \delta \diamond \bar{\theta}((s, t), a, \gamma) = \{((\delta(s, a), t), \gamma)\}$ . (3)  $\forall a \in X, \forall (s, t) \in S \times T, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \delta \diamond \bar{\theta}((s, t), \bar{a}, \gamma) = \{((s, t'), \gamma') \mid (t', \gamma') \in \bar{\theta}(t, \bar{a}, \gamma)\}$ . (4)  $\forall (s, t) \in F \times T, \delta \diamond \bar{\theta}((s, t), \epsilon, \#) = \{((s, t), \epsilon)\}$ .

Let  $w = \bar{v}_1 u_1 \bar{v}_2 u_2 \dots \bar{v}_n u_n \bar{v}_{n+1}$  where  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in X^*$  and  $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_{n+1} \in \bar{X}^*$ . Assume  $\delta \diamond \bar{\theta}((s_0, t_0), w, \gamma_0) \neq \emptyset$ . Then we have the following configuration:  $((s_0, t_0), w, \gamma_0) \vdash_{\mathcal{A} \diamond \bar{\mathcal{B}}}^* ((\delta(s_0, u_1 u_2 \dots u_n), t'), \epsilon, \# \dots \#\gamma')$  where  $(t', \gamma') \in \bar{\theta}(t_0, \bar{v}_1 \bar{v}_2 \dots \bar{v}_{n+1}, \gamma_0)$ . If  $w \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A} \diamond \bar{\mathcal{B}})$ , then  $(\delta(s_0, u_1 u_2 \dots u_n), t'), \epsilon, \# \dots \#\gamma' \vdash_{\mathcal{A} \diamond \bar{\mathcal{B}}}^* (\delta(s_0, u_1 u_2 \dots u_n), t'), \epsilon, \epsilon)$ . Therefore,  $(\delta(s_0, u_1 u_2 \dots u_n), t') \in F \times T$  and  $\gamma' = \epsilon$ . This means that  $u_1 u_2 \dots u_n \in A$  and  $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_{n+1} \in \bar{B}$ . Hence  $w \in A \times \bar{B}$ . Now let  $w \in A \times \bar{B}$ . Then, by the above configuration, we have  $((s_0, t_0), w, \gamma_0) \vdash_{\mathcal{A} \diamond \bar{\mathcal{B}}}^* ((\delta(s_0, u_1 u_2 \dots u_n), t'), \epsilon, \# \dots \#) \vdash_{\mathcal{A} \diamond \bar{\mathcal{B}}}^* ((\delta(s_0, u_1 u_2 \dots u_n), t'), \epsilon, \epsilon)$  and  $w \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A} \diamond \bar{\mathcal{B}})$ . Thus  $A \diamond \bar{B} = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A} \diamond \bar{\mathcal{B}})$  and  $A \diamond \bar{B}$  is context-free. Since  $\rho(A \diamond \bar{B}) = A \diamond B$ ,  $A \diamond B$  is context-free.

**Proposition 5** *Let  $A \subseteq X^*$  be a regular (context-free) language and let  $B \subseteq X^*$  be a context-free (regular) language. Then  $A \triangleright^{[n]} B$  is a context-free language.*

*Proof* We consider the case that  $A \subseteq X^*$  is regular and  $B \subseteq X^*$  is context-free. Since  $A \triangleright^{[n]} \bar{B} = (A \diamond \bar{B}) \cap (\bar{X}^* X^*)^n \bar{X}^*$  and  $(\bar{X}^* X^*)^n \bar{X}^*$  is regular,  $A \triangleright^{[n]} \bar{B}$  is context-free. Consequently,  $A \triangleright^{[n]} B = \rho(A \triangleright^{[n]} \bar{B})$  is context-free.

### 3 Decomposition

Let  $L \subseteq X^*$  be a regular language and let  $\mathcal{A} = (S, X, \delta, s_0, F)$  be a finite deterministic automaton accepting the language  $L$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = L$ . For  $u, v \in X^*$ , by  $u \sim v$  we denote the equivalence relation of finite index on  $X^*$  such that  $\delta(s, u) = \delta(s, v)$  for any  $s \in S$ . Then it is well known that for any  $x, y \in X^*$ ,  $xuy \in L \Leftrightarrow xvy \in L$  if  $u \sim v$ . Let  $[u] = \{v \in X^* \mid u \sim v\}$  for  $u \in X^*$ . It is easy to see that  $[u]$  can be effectively constructed using  $\mathcal{A}$  for

any  $u \in X^*$ . Now let  $n$  be a positive integer. We consider the decomposition  $L = A \triangleright^{[n]} B$ . Let  $K_n = \{([u_1], [u_2], \dots, [u_n]) \mid u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in X^*\}$ . Notice that  $K_n$  is a finite set.

**Lemma 6** *There is an algorithm to construct  $K_n$ .*

*Proof* Obvious from the fact that  $[u]$  can be effectively constructed for any  $u \in X^*$  and  $\{[u] \mid u \in X^*\} = \{[u] \mid u \in X^*, |u| \leq |S|^{|S|}\}$ . Here  $|u|$  and  $|S|$  denote the length of  $u$  and the cardinality of  $S$ , respectively.

For  $u \in X^*$ , we define  $\rho_n(u)$  by  $\{([u_1], [u_2], \dots, [u_n]) \mid u = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in X^*\}$ . Let  $\mu = ([u_1], [u_2], \dots, [u_n]) \in K_n$  and let  $B_\mu = \{v \in X^* \mid \forall v = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n v_{n+1}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1} \in X^*, \{v_1\}[u_1]\{v_2\}[u_2] \dots \{v_n\}[u_n]\{v_{n+1}\} \subseteq L\}$ .

**Lemma 7**  *$B_\mu \subseteq X^*$  is a regular language and it can be effectively constructed.*

*Proof* Let  $S^{(i)} = \{s^{(i)} \mid s \in S\}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , and let  $\tilde{S} = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq n} S^{(i)}$ . We define the following nondeterministic automaton  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}' = (\tilde{S}, X, \tilde{\delta}, \{s_0^{(0)}\}, S^{(n)} \setminus F^{(n)})$  with  $\epsilon$ -move where  $F^{(n)} = \{s^{(n)} \mid s \in F\}$ . The state transition relation  $\tilde{\delta}$  is defined as follows:

$$\tilde{\delta}(s^{(i)}, a) = \{\delta(s, a)^{(i)}, \delta(s, a u_{i+1})^{(i+1)}\} \text{ for any } a \in X \cup \{\epsilon\} \text{ and } i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } \tilde{\delta}(s^{(n)}, a) = \{\delta(s, a)^{(n)}\} \text{ for any } a \in X.$$

Let  $v \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}')$ . Then  $\delta(s_0, v_1 u_1 v_2 u_2 \dots v_n u_n v_{n+1})^{(n)} \in \tilde{\delta}(s_0^{(0)}, v_1 v_2 \dots v_n v_{n+1}) \cap (S^{(n)} \setminus F^{(n)})$  for some  $v = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n v_{n+1}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1} \in X^*$ . Hence  $v_1 u_1 v_2 u_2 \dots v_n u_n v_{n+1} \notin L$ , i.e.  $v \in X^* \setminus B_\mu$ . Now let  $v \in X^* \setminus B_\mu$ . Then there exists  $v = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n v_{n+1}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1} \in X^*$  such that  $v_1 u_1 v_2 u_1 \dots v_n u_n v_{n+1} \notin L$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{\delta}(s_0^{(0)}, v_1 v_2 \dots v_n v_{n+1}) \in S^{(n)} \setminus F^{(n)}$ , i.e.  $v = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n v_{n+1} \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}')$ . Consequently,  $B_\mu = X^* \setminus \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}')$  and  $B_\mu$  is regular. Notice that  $X^* \setminus \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}')$  can be effectively constructed.

Symmetrically, consider  $\nu = ([v_1], [v_2], \dots, [v_n], [v_{n+1}]) \in K_{n+1}$  and  $A_\nu = \{u \in X^* \mid \forall u = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in X^*, [v_1]\{u_1\}[v_2]\{u_2\} \dots [v_n]\{u_n\}[v_{n+1}] \subseteq L\}$ .

**Lemma 8**  *$A_\nu \subseteq X^*$  is a regular language and it can be effectively constructed.*

*Proof* Let  $S^{(i)} = \{s^{(i)} \mid s \in S\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , and let  $\bar{S} = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} S^{(i)}$ . We define the following nondeterministic automaton  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}' = (\bar{S}, X, \bar{\delta}, \{\delta(s_0, v_1)^{(1)}\})$ ,

$S^{(n+1)} \setminus F^{(n+1)})$  with  $\epsilon$ -move where  $F^{(n+1)} = \{s^{(n+1)} \mid s \in F\}$ . The state transition relation  $\bar{\delta}$  is defined as follows:

$\bar{\delta}(s^{(i)}, a) = \{\delta(s, a)^{(i)}, \delta(s, au_{i+1})^{(i+1)}\}$  for any  $a \in X \cup \{\epsilon\}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

By the same way as in the proof of Lemma 6, we can prove that  $A_\nu = X^* \setminus \mathcal{L}(\bar{B}')$ . Therefore,  $A_\nu$  is regular. Notice that  $X^* \setminus \mathcal{L}(\bar{B}')$  can be effectively constructed.

**Proposition 9** *Let  $A, B \subseteq X^*$  and let  $L \subseteq X^*$  be a regular language. If  $L = A \triangleright^{[n]} B$ , then there exist regular languages  $A', B' \subseteq X^*$  such that  $A \subseteq A', B \subseteq B'$  and  $L = A' \triangleright^{[n]} B'$ .*

*Proof* Put  $B' = \bigcap_{\mu \in \rho_n(A)} B_\mu$ . Let  $v \in B$  and let  $\mu \in \rho_n(A)$ . Since  $\mu \in \rho_n(A)$ , there exists  $u \in A$  such that  $\mu = ([u_1], [u_2], \dots, [u_n])$  and  $u = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in X^*$ . By  $u \triangleright^{[n]} v \subseteq L$ , we have  $\{v_1\}[u_1]\{v_2\}[u_2] \dots \{v_n\}[u_n]\{v_{n+1}\} \subseteq L$  for any  $v = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n v_{n+1}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1} \in X^*$ . This means that  $v \in B_\mu$ . Thus  $B \subseteq \bigcap_{\mu \in \rho_n(A)} B_\mu = B'$ . Now assume that  $u \in A$  and  $v \in B'$ . Let  $u = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in X^*$  and let  $\mu = ([u_1], [u_2], \dots, [u_n]) \in \rho_n(u) \subseteq \rho_n(A)$ . By  $v \in B' \subseteq B_\mu$ ,  $v_1 u_1 v_2 u_2 \dots v_n u_n v_{n+1} \in \{v_1\}[u_1]\{v_2\}[u_2] \dots \{v_n\}[u_n]\{v_{n+1}\} \subseteq L$  for any  $v = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n v_{n+1}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1} \in X^*$ . Hence  $u \triangleright^{[n]} v \subseteq L$  and  $A \triangleright^{[n]} B' \subseteq L$ . On the other hand, since  $B \subseteq B'$  and  $A \triangleright^{[n]} B = L$ , we have  $A \triangleright^{[n]} B' = L$ . Symmetrically, put  $A' = \bigcap_{\nu \in \rho_{n+1}(B')} A_\nu$ . By the same way as the above, we can prove that  $A \subseteq A'$  and  $L = A' \triangleright^{[n]} B'$ .

**Theorem 10** *For any regular language  $L \subseteq X^*$  and a positive integer  $n$ , it is decidable whether  $L = A \triangleright^{[n]} B$  for some nontrivial regular languages  $A, B \subseteq X^*$ .*

*Proof* Let  $\mathbf{A} = \{A_\nu \mid \nu \in K_{n+1}\}$  and  $\mathbf{B} = \{B_\mu \mid \mu \in K_n\}$ . By the preceding lemmata,  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$  are finite sets of regular languages which can be effectively constructed. Assume that  $L = A \triangleright^{[n]} B$  for some nontrivial regular languages  $A, B \subseteq X^*$ . In this case, by Proposition 8, there exist regular languages  $A \subseteq A'$  and  $B \subseteq B'$  which are an intersection of languages in  $\mathbf{A}$  and an intersection of languages in  $\mathbf{B}$ , respectively. It is obvious that  $A', B'$  are nontrivial languages. Thus we have the following algorithm: (1) Take any languages from  $\mathbf{A}$  and let  $A'$  be their intersection. (2) Take any languages from  $\mathbf{B}$  and let  $B'$  be their intersection. (3) Calculate  $A' \triangleright^{[n]} B'$ . (4) If

$A' \triangleright^{[n]} B' = L$ , then the output is "YES". (5) If the output is "NO", search another pair of  $\{A', B'\}$  until obtaining the output "YES". (6) This procedure terminates after a finite-step trial. (7) Once we get the output "YES", then  $L = A \triangleright^{[n]} B$  for some nontrivial regular languages  $A, B \subseteq X^*$ . (8) Otherwise, there are no such decompositions.

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. By  $\mathcal{F}(n, X)$ , we denote the class of finite languages  $\{L \subseteq X^* \mid \max\{|u| \mid u \in L\} \leq n\}$ . Then the following result by C. Câmpeanu et al. ([1]) can be obtained as a corollary of Theorem 10.

**Corollary** *For a given positive integer  $n$  and a regular language  $A \subseteq X^*$ , the problem whether  $A = B \diamond C$  for a nontrivial language  $B \in \mathcal{F}(n, X)$  and a nontrivial regular language  $C \subseteq X^*$  is decidable.*

*Proof* Obvious from the following fact: If  $u, v \in X^*$  and  $|u| \leq n$ , then  $u \diamond v = u \triangleright^{[n]} v$ .

The proof of the above corollary was given by the different way in ([3]) using the following result: *Let  $A, L \subseteq X^*$  be regular languages. Then it is decidable whether there exists a regular languages  $B \subseteq X^*$  such that  $L = A \diamond B$ .*

## References

- [1] C. Câmpeanu, K. Salomaa, S. Vágvolgyi, Shuffle quotient and decompositions, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Springer), to appear.
- [2] J.E. Hopcroft and J.D. Ullman, *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages and Computation*, Addison-Wesley, Reading MA, 1979.
- [3] M. Ito, Shuffle decomposition of regular languages, Journal of Universal Computer Science 8 (2002), 257 - 259.