

# Complete hypersurfaces with infinite fundamental group\*

佐賀大学工学部 成 慶明 (Qing-Ming Cheng)

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science and Engineering

Saga University, Saga 840-8502, Japan

cheng@ms.saga-u.ac.jp

## 1. Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional hypersurface in a unit sphere  $S^{n+1}(1)$  of dimension  $n+1$ . In this section, we shall study curvature structures of complete hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in a unit sphere. First of all, we present several examples.

**Example 1.** For any  $0 < c < 1$ , by considering the standard immersions

$$S^{n-1}(c) \subset \mathbf{R}^n, \quad S^1(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \subset \mathbf{R}^2$$

and taking the Riemannian product immersion

$$S^1(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \times S^{n-1}(c) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^n,$$

we obtain a compact hypersurface  $S^1(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \times S^{n-1}(c)$  in  $S^{n+1}(1)$  with constant scalar curvature  $n(n-1)r$ , where  $r = \frac{n-2}{nc^2} > 1 - \frac{2}{n}$ .

We know that this hypersurface  $S^1(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \times S^{n-1}(c)$  has the following characterizations:

1.  $r > 1 - \frac{2}{n}$ ,
2. the number of its distinct principal curvatures is two.
3. its fundamental group is infinity.

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 53C42

\* Research partially Supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan.

**Example 2.** By make using of the same construction as in example 1, we can obtain a compact hypersurface  $S^k(c_1) \times S^{n-k}(c_2)$ ,  $1 < k < n - 1$ , in  $S^{n+1}(1)$  with constant scalar curvature  $n(n - 1)r$ . This hypersurface has finite fundamental group and the number of its distinct principal curvatures is two.

**Example 3.** We consider an isoparametric hypersurface  $M^6$  in  $S^7(1)$  with principal curvatures  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \theta$ ,  $\lambda_3 = \frac{\theta+1}{1-\theta}$ ,  $\lambda_4 = \lambda_5 = -\frac{1}{\theta}$ ,  $\lambda_6 = -\frac{1-\theta}{1+\theta}$ , where  $\theta = \sqrt{\frac{13+\sqrt{165}}{2}}$ . This hypersurface  $M^6$  satisfies  $r = 1$  and the number of its distinct principal curvatures is four.

In 1977, S.Y. Cheng and Yau [4] characterized compact hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in  $S^{n+1}(1)$ . They proved

**Theorem 1.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact hypersurface with constant scalar curvature  $n(n - 1)r$ . If  $r \geq 1$  and the sectional curvatures of  $M$  are non-negative, then  $M$  is isometric to the totally umbilical hypersurface  $S^n(c)$  or the Riemannian product  $S^k(c_1) \times S^{n-k}(c_2)$   $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , where  $S^k(c)$  denote the sphere of radius  $c$ .

*Proof.* For a  $C^2$ -function  $f$  on  $M$ , we consider a differential operator  $\square$  defined by

$$\square f = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (nH\delta_{ij} - h_{ij})\nabla_i\nabla_j f, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $h_{ij}$  and  $H$  are components of the second fundamental form and the mean curvature of  $M$ , respectively. Thus, we have

$$\square nH = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n h_{ijk}^2 - n^2\|\text{grad}H\|^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 K_{ij}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\lambda_i$ 's are principal curvatures and  $h_{ijk}$ 's denote components of the covariant differentiation of the second fundamental form. From  $r \geq 1$ , we can prove

$$\sum_{i,j,k=1}^n h_{ijk}^2 \geq n^2|\text{grad}H|^2. \quad (1.3)$$

Since  $M$  has non-negative sectional curvature, we have  $K_{ij} \geq 0$ . Hence, we infer

$$\square nH \geq 0. \quad (1.4)$$

According to Stokes theorem, we know that  $H$  is constant and the number of distinct principal curvatures is at most two. Therefore,  $M$  is an isoparametric hypersurface with at most two distinct principal curvatures. From a theorem of Cartan, we know that theorem 1 is true.  $\square$

Further, by making use of the similar method which was used by Nakagawa and the author in [3] and the differential operator (1.1) introduced by S.Y. Cheng and Yau, Li [5] proved

**Theorem 2.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact hypersurface with constant scalar curvature  $n(n-1)r$ . If  $r \geq 1$  and  $S \leq (n-1)\frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2} + \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}$ , then  $M$  is isometric to either the totally umbilical hypersurface or the Riemannian product  $S^1(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \times S^{n-1}(c)$  with  $c^2 = \frac{n-2}{nr} \leq \frac{n-2}{n}$ , where  $S$  is the squared norm of the second fundamental form of  $M$ .

*Proof.* Since  $S \leq (n-1)\frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2} + \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}$  holds, we can prove

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 K_{ij} \geq 0.$$

From  $r \geq 1$ , we know that (1.3) is satisfied. Thus, we infer that the inequality (1.4) is true. Hence, theorem 2 is true by using the same assertion as in theorem 1.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** In proofs of theorems 1 and 2, the estimate  $\sum_{i,j,k=1}^n h_{ijk}^2 \geq n^2 |\text{grad}H|^2$  is necessary. In order to prove it, the condition of  $r \geq 1$  and the assumption of constant scalar curvature is essential. Hence, the condition  $r \geq 1$  and the assumption of constant scalar curvature play an essential role in theorems 1 and 2.

**Remark 2.** From example 1, we know that some of  $S^1(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \times S^{n-1}(c)$  does not appear in these results of theorems 1 and 2 because some of them does not satisfy the condition  $r \geq 1$ .

Moreover, Cheng [2] researched the inversed problem of example 1. The following was proved.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete hypersurface with constant scalar curvature  $n(n-1)r$  in  $S^{n+1}(1)$ . If  $M$  has only two distinct principal curvatures one of which is simple, then,  $r > 1 - \frac{2}{n}$  holds and  $M$  is isometric to  $S^1(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \times S^{n-1}(c)$  if  $r \neq \frac{n-2}{n-1}$  and  $S \geq (n-1)\frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2} + \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}$ , where  $c^2 = \frac{n-2}{nr}$ .

From the assertions above, it is natural and interesting to study the following:

**Problem 1.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact hypersurface with constant scalar curvature  $n(n-1)r$  in  $S^{n+1}(1)$ . If  $r > 1 - \frac{2}{n}$  and  $S \leq (n-1)\frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2} + \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}$ , then is  $M$  isometric to the totally umbilical hypersurface or the Riemannian product  $S^1(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \times S^{n-1}(c)$ ?

From theorem 2, we know that if  $r \geq 1$ , then the problem 1 was solved affirmatively. In [2], the author gave an affirmative answer for this problem when  $r = \frac{n-2}{n-1}$ . But for the other case, this problem seems to be a very hard problem.

**Problem 2.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact hypersurface with constant scalar curvature  $n(n-1)r$  in  $S^{n+1}(1)$ . If  $r > 1 - \frac{2}{n}$  and the sectional curvature is non-negative, then is  $M$  isometric to the totally umbilical hypersurface or the Riemannian product  $S^k(\sqrt{c_1}) \times S^{n-k}(c_2)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ?

## 2. Compact hypersurfaces with infinite fundamental group

In this section, we shall try to solve problems 1 and 2 introduced in the section 1. From example 1, we know that  $S^1(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \times S^{n-1}(c)$  has infinite fundamental group. We shall consider these problems under a topological condition. The following theorems will be proved.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact hypersurface with infinite fundamental group in  $S^{n+1}(1)$ . If  $r \geq \frac{n-2}{n-1}$  and  $S \leq (n-1)\frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2} + \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}$ , then  $M$  is isometric to the Riemannian product  $S^1(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \times S^{n-1}(c)$ , where  $n(n-1)r$  is the scalar curvature of  $M$  and  $c^2 = \frac{n-2}{nr}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $r \geq \frac{n-2}{n-1}$  and  $S \leq (n-1)\frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2} + \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}$ , we infer

$$n + 2nH^2 - S \geq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \sqrt{n^2H^2(S - nH^2)}. \quad (2.1)$$

For any point  $p$  and any unit vector  $\vec{u} \in T_pM$ , we choose a local orthonormal frame field  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  such that  $e_n = \vec{u}$ . From Gauss equation, we have

$$\text{Ric}(\vec{u}) = (n-1) + nHh_{nn} - \sum_{i=1}^n h_{in}^2 \quad (2.2)$$

and we can prove

$$\text{Ric}(\vec{u}) \geq \frac{n-1}{n} \left\{ n + 2nH^2 - S - \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \sqrt{n^2H^2(S - nH^2)} \right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

From (2.1), we have  $\text{Ric}(\vec{u}) \geq 0$ . In particular, we can show that if  $S < (n-1)\frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2} + \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}$  holds, then  $\text{Ric}(\vec{u}) > 0$ . Thus, if there exists a point  $p$  in  $M$  such that  $S < (n-1)\frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2} + \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}$ , then, at the point  $p$ , the Ricci curvature is positive. From the following Lemma 1 due to Aubin [1], we know that there exists a metric on  $M$  such that the Ricci curvature is positive on  $M$ . According to Myers theorem, we know that the fundamental group is finite. This is impossible because  $M$  has infinite fundamental group.

**Lemma 1.** (cf. Aubin [1, p. 344]). *If the Ricci curvature of a compact Riemannian manifold is non-negative and positive at somewhere, then the manifold carries a metric with positive Ricci curvature.*

Thus, we must have  $S = (n-1)\frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2} + \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}$ . And at each point, there exists a unit vector  $\vec{u}$  such that  $\text{Ric}(\vec{u}) = 0$ . Thus, we can conclude that  $M$  has only two distinct principal curvatures one of which is simple. Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be a local orthonormal frame field such that  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ , where  $\lambda_i$ 's are principal curvatures on  $M$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $\mu = \lambda_n$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1}$ . From Gauss equation (2.2) and the definition of the Ricci curvature, we have  $1 + \mu\lambda = 0$

because of  $1 + \lambda_i \lambda_j = 1 + \lambda^2 > 0$ , for any  $i, j = 1, \dots, n-1$ . From Gauss equation, we have

$$\mu = \frac{n(r-1)}{2\lambda} - \frac{n-2}{2}\lambda.$$

Hence  $\lambda^2 = \frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2}$  and  $\mu^2 = \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}$ .

We consider the integral submanifold of the corresponding distribution of the space of principal vectors corresponding to the principal curvature  $\lambda$ . Since the multiplicity of the principal curvature  $\lambda$  is greater than 1, we know that the principal curvature  $\lambda$  is constant on this integral submanifold (cf. Otsuki [6]). From  $\lambda^2 = \frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2}$  and  $\mu^2 = \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}$ , we know that the scalar curvature  $n(n-1)r$  and the principal curvature  $\mu$  are constant. Thus, we obtain that  $M$  is isoparametric. Therefore,  $M$  is isometric to the Riemannian product  $S^1(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \times S^{n-1}(c)$  because  $S = (n-1)\frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2} + \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}$  holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact hypersurface with infinite fundamental group in  $S^{n+1}(1)$ . If the sectional curvatures are non-negative, then  $M$  is isometric to the Riemannian product  $S^1(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \times S^{n-1}(c)$ .*

*Proof.* Since the sectional curvatures are non-negative, we have that the Ricci curvature is non-negative. From the arguments in the proof of theorem 4, we infer that at each point, there exists a unit vector  $\vec{u}$  such that  $\text{Ric}(\vec{u}) = 0$ .

Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be a local orthonormal frame field such that  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ , where  $\lambda_i$ 's are principal curvatures on  $M$ . Then, from Gauss equation, we have  $1 + \lambda_i \lambda_j \geq 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Further, there exists an  $i$  such that  $\sum_{j \neq i} (1 + \lambda_i \lambda_j) = 0$  from the definition of Ricci curvature. Hence, we must have  $1 + \lambda_i \lambda_j = 0$  for  $j \neq i$ . Therefore,  $M$  has only two distinct principal curvatures one of which is simple. Let  $\mu = \lambda_i$  and  $\lambda = \lambda_j$  for  $j \neq i$ . From Gauss equation, we have

$$\mu = \frac{n(r-1)}{2\lambda} - \frac{n-2}{2}\lambda. \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $1 + \mu\lambda = 0$  and (2.4) hold, we have  $\lambda^2 = \frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2}$  and  $\mu^2 = \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}$ . Hence, we have

$$S = (n-1)\lambda^2 + \mu^2 = (n-1)\frac{n(r-1)+2}{n-2} + \frac{n-2}{n(r-1)+2}.$$

By making use of the same assertion as in the proof of theorem 4, we infer that  $M$  is isometric to the Riemannian product  $S^1(\sqrt{1-c^2}) \times S^{n-1}(c)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 5.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** *In our theorems 4 and 5, we do not assume that the scalar curvature is constant. And in our theorem 5, we do not assume any condition on scalar curvature.*

## References

- [1] Aubin, T., Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York. 1998

- [2] Cheng, Q.-M., Hypersurfaces in a unit sphere  $S^{n+1}(1)$  with constant scalar curvature, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 64(2001), 755-768
- [3] Cheng, Q.-M. and Nakagawa, H., Totally umbilical hypersurfaces, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 20(1990), 1-10
- [4] Cheng, S. Y. and Yau, S. T., Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature, *Math. Ann.*, 225(1977), 195-204.
- [5] Li. H., Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space forms, *Math. Ann.*, 305(1996), 665-672
- [6] Otsuki, T., Minimal hypersurfaces in a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature, *Amer. J. Math.*, 92(1970), 145-173