

# Crossed homomorphisms and the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem

近畿大学・理工学部 浅井 恒信 (Tsunenobu Asai)

Department of Mathematics, Kinki University

室蘭工業大学 竹ヶ原 裕元 (Yugen Takegahara)

千吉良 直紀 (Naoki Chigira)

Muroran Institute of Technology

愛媛大学・理学部 庭崎 隆 (Takashi Niwasaki)

Department of Mathematics, Ehime University

## 1 Theorems

We can find several proofs, for example, in [6–13], of the following classical theorem of Frobenius:

**Theorem 1.1 (Frobenius).** *Let  $n$  be an integer and  $G$  a finite group. Then*

$$|\{g \in G \mid g^n = 1\}| \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(n, |G|)},$$

where  $|X|$  denotes the cardinality of a set  $X$ .

This theorem is equivalent to the fact that

$$|\text{Hom}(C, G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(|C|, |G|)}$$

for any finite cyclic group  $C$ , where  $\text{Hom}$  denotes the set of group homomorphisms. Yoshida has generalized the theorem as follows:

**Theorem 1.2 (Yoshida [12]).** *Let  $A$  be a finite abelian group and  $G$  a finite group. Then*

$$|\text{Hom}(A, G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(|A|, |G|)}.$$

Another way of generalization is due to P. Hall:

**Theorem 1.3 (P. Hall [10]).** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $\theta$  an automorphism of  $G$ . If the order of  $\theta$  divides a positive integer  $n$ , then*

$$|\{g \in G \mid g \cdot \theta(g) \cdot \theta^2(g) \cdots \theta^{n-1}(g) = 1\}| \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(n, |G|)}.$$

The theorem of Frobenius corresponds to the case  $\theta = 1$ . We reform this Hall's generalization in terms of ' $Z^1(A, G)$ ' as well as Theorem 1.1 in terms of  $\text{Hom}(A, G)$ , as follows.

Let a group  $A$  act on a group  $G$  by a group homomorphism  $\varphi: A \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ , where  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is the automorphism group of  $G$ . For  $a \in A$  and  $g \in G$ , we indicate  $\varphi(a)(g)$  by  ${}^a g$ . A map  $\lambda: A \rightarrow G$  is called a *crossed homomorphism* or a *derivation* (with respect to  $\varphi$ ) provided

$$\lambda(ab) = \lambda(a) \cdot {}^a \lambda(b) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A.$$

We denote by  $Z^1(A, G)$  the set of crossed homomorphisms from  $A$  to  $G$ . For example, the zero map  $0: A \rightarrow G$  sending all the elements of  $A$  onto  $1 \in G$  is a crossed homomorphism. If the action  $\varphi$  is trivial, then  $Z^1(A, G) = \text{Hom}(A, G)$ . On the other hand, if  $G$  is abelian, then  $Z^1(A, G)$  coincides with the first cocycle group of the  $\mathbb{Z}A$ -module  $G$  with respect to the standard resolution of  $A$ . However, unless  $G$  is abelian,  $Z^1(A, G)$  may be only a set; it may not have a group structure in general.

Now, Hall's theorem is equivalent to the fact that

$$|Z^1(C, G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(|C|, |G|)}$$

for any finite cyclic group  $C$  and for any action of  $C$  on  $G$ . Yoshida and the first author of this report have conjectured the following:

**Conjecture 1.4** ([5]). If a finite group  $A$  acts on a finite group  $G$ , then

$$|Z^1(A, G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(|A/A'|, |G|)},$$

where  $A'$  denotes the commutator subgroup of  $A$ .

This conjecture is a generalization of all the theorems above, and is still open. Recent progress for this conjecture is found in [1–4]. In particular, in order to prove the conjecture completely, it suffices to prove the conjecture in the case where  $A$  is an abelian  $p$ -group and  $G$  is a  $p$ -group for a prime  $p$  ([1]). This reduction mainly owes to the functorial properties of  $Z^1(A, G)$  on the variables  $A$  and  $G$ , where the latter is first observed by Brauer [6] in a certain case (see §3.3 for generalization). In addition, Brauer has based his alternative proof of the theorem of Frobenius on the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.5 (Brauer [6]).** *Let  $G$  be a finite normal subgroup of a group  $E$ . Then, for any  $g \in G$  and  $x \in E$ ,  $(gx)^{|G|}$  and  $x^{|G|}$  is conjugate by an element of  $G$ .*

In this report, we shall generalize this Brauer's lemma as the formula

$$\text{res}_{A, A^{|G|}}(Z^1(A, G)) = B^1(A^{|G|}, G)$$

for abelian  $A$  (Theorem 4.1), where  $B^1$  denotes the set of coboundaries, which will be introduced in the next section. Throughout the report, our main tools are the functorial properties of  $Z^1(A, G)$ , and our principle is to compare  $Z^1(A, G)$  with  $B^1(A, G)$ . As a corollary of our arguments together with the Feit-Thompson theorem, we shall also prove Theorem 4.2 which is equivalent to the second statement of the following classical theorem:

**Theorem 1.6 (Schur-Zassenhaus).** *Let  $G$  be a finite normal subgroup of a finite group  $E$  such that  $\gcd(|E : G|, |G|) = 1$ . Then*

- (1) *There exists a subgroup  $A$  of  $E$  such that  $E = G \rtimes A$ .*
- (2) *If  $E = G \rtimes A = G \rtimes B$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are conjugate by an element of  $G$ .*

Note that if  $G$  is abelian, then it is well known that the first statement of the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem is equivalent to  $H^2(A, G) = 0$ , and the second is so to  $H^1(A, G) = 0$ . In fact, we shall prove  $Z^1(A, G) = B^1(A, G)$  for any finite group  $A$  and  $G$  whose orders are relatively prime.

*Notation.* For the remainder of the report, we fix the following notation: let  $A$  and  $G$  be groups, which need not be finite, and let  $A$  act on  $G$  by a group homomorphism  $\varphi: A \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ . With respect to this action  $\varphi$ , we denote by  $Z^1(A, G)$  the set of crossed homomorphisms from  $A$  to  $G$ , and by  $G \rtimes A$  the semidirect product of  $G$  and  $A$ . For  $x \in G \rtimes A$ , we denote by  $\text{Inn}(x)$  the inner automorphism associated with  $x$ , so that  $\text{Inn}(x)(y) = {}^x y = xyx^{-1}$  for all  $y \in G \rtimes A$ .

## 2 Coboundaries

For a given map  $\lambda: A \rightarrow G$ , consider the map  $\tilde{\lambda}: A \rightarrow G \rtimes A$  which is defined by

$$\tilde{\lambda}(a) = \lambda(a)a \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

It is easy to show that  $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$  if and only if  $\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Hom}(A, G \rtimes A)$ , and in this case,  $\tilde{\lambda}$  becomes a splitting monomorphism of the canonical epimorphism  $\pi: G \rtimes A \rightarrow A$ . On the other hand, any splitting monomorphism  $\theta$  of  $\pi$  defines a complement  $\theta(A) \leq G \rtimes A$  of  $G$ , and vice versa. From these observations, we obtain the following well-known result:

**Theorem 2.1.** *There are two bijections*

$$\begin{aligned} Z^1(A, G) &\xrightarrow{\Phi} \{\theta \in \text{Hom}(A, G \rtimes A) \mid \pi \circ \theta = \text{id}_A\} \\ &\xrightarrow{\Psi} \{B \leq G \rtimes A \mid GB = G \rtimes A, G \cap B = 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi(\lambda) = \tilde{\lambda}$  and  $\Psi(\theta) = \theta(A)$ .

As in homological algebra, we introduce the concept of ‘coboundary’ as well as cocycle. For arbitrary  $g \in G$  and  $a \in A$ , regarding them as elements in  $G \rtimes A$ , we consider their commutator  $[g, a]$ , where

$$[g, a] = gag^{-1}a^{-1} = g \cdot {}^a(g^{-1}) \in G.$$

Then this induces a map  $[g, -]: A \rightarrow G$  sending  $a \in A$  to  $[g, a] \in G$ . We call this map  $[g, -]$  a *coboundary* or an *inner derivation* induced from  $g$  (with respect to  $\varphi$ ), and set

$$B^1(A, G) = \{[g, -] \mid g \in G\}.$$

Easy calculation shows that  $B^1(A, G) \subseteq Z^1(A, G)$ . In fact, if  $G$  is abelian, then  $B^1(A, G)$  coincides with the first coboundary group of the  $\mathbb{Z}A$ -module  $G$  with respect to the standard resolution of  $A$ . However, in general cases,  $B^1(A, G)$  may not have a group structure. Our principle of this report is to compare  $B^1(A, G)$  with  $Z^1(A, G)$ . First we emphasize the following lemma on the relation between the coboundary  $[g, -]$  and conjugation by  $g$ . Since  $[g, a]a = {}^g a$  in  $G \rtimes A$ , we have

**Lemma 2.2.** *Given  $g \in G$ , set  $\gamma = [g, -]$ . Then  $\tilde{\gamma}(a) = {}^g a$  for all  $a \in A$ .*

In other words,  $\Phi([g, -]) = \text{Inn}(g)$  on  $A$ . Note that  ${}^g A \neq A$  in general.

## 3 Parameters

Both  $Z^1(A, G)$  and  $B^1(A, G)$  have three parameters: groups  $A$ ,  $G$  and action  $\varphi$ . We shall consider functorial properties on these parameters.

### 3.1 Change of actions

We fix  $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$ . For given  $a \in A$ , the inner automorphism  $\text{Inn}(\tilde{\lambda}(a))$  on  $G \rtimes A$  leaves the normal subgroup  $G$  invariant. This induces a new action  $\text{Inn} \tilde{\lambda}: A \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ , namely,

$$(\text{Inn} \tilde{\lambda})(a)(g) = \tilde{\lambda}(a)g = \lambda(a)({}^a g) \quad \text{for } a \in A \text{ and } g \in G.$$

We denote simply by  $Z_\lambda^1(A, G)$  the set of crossed homomorphisms with respect to  $\text{Inn} \tilde{\lambda}$ .

Since  $G \rtimes A = G \rtimes \tilde{\lambda}(A)$ , Theorem 2.1 states that both  $Z^1(A, G)$  and  $Z_\lambda^1(A, G)$  correspond to the same set — the set of complements of  $G$  in  $G \rtimes A$ . This is a group-theoretic meaning of the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1 (Change of actions).** *Let  $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$ . Then right multiplication by  $\lambda$  induces a bijection  $\lambda_r: Z_\lambda^1(A, G) \rightarrow Z^1(A, G)$ , which is defined by*

$$\lambda_r(\eta)(a) = \eta(a)\lambda(a) \quad \text{for all } \eta \in Z_\lambda^1(A, G) \text{ and } a \in A.$$

We often write  $\lambda_r(\eta) = \eta \cdot \lambda$ .

Let us determine the image of the coboundaries by this bijection  $\lambda_r$ . Set

$$B_\lambda^1(A, G) = \{[g, -]_\lambda \mid g \in G\},$$

where  $[g, -]_\lambda: A \rightarrow G$  denotes the coboundary induced from  $g$  with respect to the action  $\text{Inn} \tilde{\lambda}$ , i.e.,

$$[g, a]_\lambda = g \cdot \tilde{\lambda}(a)(g^{-1}) \in G \leq G \rtimes A \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

We indicate  $\lambda_r([g, -]_\lambda) = [g, -]_\lambda \cdot \lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$  by  ${}^g \lambda$ , so that

$$({}^g \lambda)(a) = [g, a]_\lambda \cdot \lambda(a) = {}^g(\tilde{\lambda}(a)) \cdot a^{-1}.$$

On the other hand,  $G$  acts on  $\text{Hom}(A, G \rtimes A)$  by

$${}^g \theta = \text{Inn}(g) \circ \theta \quad \text{for } g \in G \text{ and } \theta \in \text{Hom}(A, G \rtimes A).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$ . Then we have*

- (1)  $\lambda_r(B_\lambda^1(A, G)) = \{{}^g \lambda \mid g \in G\}$ .
- (2)  ${}^g \tilde{\lambda} = {}^g \tilde{\lambda}$  for any  $g \in G$ . (In other words,  ${}^g \lambda$  is the 'G-part' of  ${}^g \tilde{\lambda}$ .)

As the easiest case, we consider the zero map.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $0 \in Z^1(A, G)$  be the zero map. Then we have*

- (1)  $\tilde{0}: A \rightarrow G \rtimes A$  is the inclusion map (the canonical monomorphism).
- (2)  ${}^g 0 = [g, -]$  and  ${}^g \tilde{0} = \text{Inn}(g)$  on  $A$  for any  $g \in G$ .

This implies the following at once:

**Corollary 3.4.** *All the complements of  $G$  in  $G \rtimes A$  are conjugate if and only if  $B^1(A, G) = Z^1(A, G)$ .*

Note that any two conjugate complements of  $G$  in  $G \rtimes A$  are conjugate by an element of  $G$ . We can also show the following by easy calculation:

**Lemma 3.5.** *For any  $g, h \in G$ , we have*

$${}^g [h, -] = [g, -]_{[h, -]} \cdot [h, -] = [gh, -].$$

### 3.2 Contravariant parameter $A$

Suppose that there is a short exact sequence of groups  $1 \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow \bar{A} \rightarrow 1$ . We consider a problem whether there exists an *exact* sequence such as

$$1 \rightarrow Z^1(\bar{A}, G_?) \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} Z^1(A, G) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{A,B}} Z^1(B, G),$$

where  $G_?$  is some subgroup of  $G$  on which  $B$  acts trivially,  $\text{incl}$  is the inclusion map, and  $\text{res}_{A,B}$  is the restriction map (although exactness of a sequence is not defined in the category of sets). Whereas we can not find such a common subgroup  $G_?$ , we can locally do as follows:

**Theorem 3.6.** *Suppose that  $\mu \in Z^1(B, G)$  lies in  $\text{res}_{A,B}(Z^1(A, G))$ , namely,  $\mu = \text{res}_{A,B}(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$ . Then  $\lambda_r: Z^1_\lambda(\bar{A}, C_G(\tilde{\mu}(B))) \rightarrow Z^1(A, G; B, \mu)$  induces a bijection*

$$\lambda_r: Z^1_\lambda(\bar{A}, C_G(\tilde{\mu}(B))) \rightarrow Z^1(A, G; B, \mu),$$

where we regard  $Z^1_\lambda(\bar{A}, C_G(\tilde{\mu}(B))) \subseteq Z^1_\lambda(A, G)$  in a natural way, and where we set

$$Z^1(A, G; B, \mu) = \text{res}_{A,B}^{-1}(\mu) = \{\tau \in Z^1(A, G) \mid \text{res}_{A,B}(\tau) = \mu\}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

**Corollary 3.7.** *Under the notation in Theorem 3.6, we have*

$$\lambda_r(B^1_\lambda(\bar{A}, C_G(\tilde{\mu}(B)))) = \{h\lambda \mid h \in C_G(\tilde{\mu}(B))\}.$$

### 3.3 Covariant parameter $G$ — Brauer's argument

Suppose that there is a short exact sequence of groups  $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow K \backslash G \rightarrow 1$ . We consider a similar problem whether there exists an exact sequence such as

$$1 \rightarrow Z^1(A, K_?) \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} Z^1(A, G) \xrightarrow{\text{mod } K} \text{Map}(A, K \backslash G),$$

where  $K_?$  is some subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\text{Map}$  denotes the set of maps, which may be replaced by  $Z^1$  if  $K$  is  $A$ -invariant. For this problem, Brauer [6] gave an answer in the case where  $A$  is cyclic with trivial action on  $G$ , i.e.,  $Z^1(A, G) = \text{Hom}(A, G)$ . Moreover, it is remarkable that he assumed  $K$  is neither normal nor  $A$ -invariant. We can generalize his answer as follows.

For  $K \leq G$  and  $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$ , let  $K_\lambda$  be the maximal  $\tilde{\lambda}(A)$ -invariant subgroup of  $K$ , namely,

$$K_\lambda = \bigcap_{a \in A} \tilde{\lambda}(a)K.$$

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $K$  be a subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$ . Then  $\lambda_r: Z^1_\lambda(A, G) \rightarrow Z^1(A, G)$  induces a bijection*

$$\lambda_r: Z^1_\lambda(A, K_\lambda) \rightarrow \{\eta \in Z^1(A, G) \mid K\eta(a) = K\lambda(a) \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

**Corollary 3.9.** *Under the notation in Theorem 3.8, we have*

$$\lambda_r(B^1_\lambda(A, K_\lambda)) = \{k\lambda \mid k \in K_\lambda\}.$$

## 4 Applications

For given  $B \leq A$  and  $g \in G$ , we indicate the coboundary  $[g, -]: B \rightarrow G$  by  $[g, -]_B$  to avoid ambiguities, so that  $\text{res}_{A,B}([g, -]_A) = [g, -]_B$ . Note that it always holds that

$$\text{res}_{A,B}(B^1(A, G)) = B^1(B, G). \quad (*)$$

If  $n$  is an integer and  $A$  is abelian, then  $A^n = \{a^n \mid a \in A\}$  is a subgroup of  $A$ . The following is a generalization of Brauer's lemma (Lemma 1.5).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $A$  be a finitely generated abelian group and let  $G$  be a finite group. Then*

$$\text{res}_{A, A^{|G|}}(Z^1(A, G)) = B^1(A^{|G|}, G).$$

*Proof.* We use induction on the rank of  $A$ .

(1) Suppose that  $A$  is cyclic. We reduce this case to Hall's theorem (Theorem 1.3) as follows. Taking an epimorphism  $F \simeq \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z^1(A, G) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & Z^1(A^{|G|}, G) \\ \text{inf} \downarrow & & \text{inf} \downarrow \\ Z^1(F, G) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & Z^1(F^{|G|}, G). \end{array}$$

This allows us to assume that  $A = F$ . Since  $F \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $|F : F^{|G|}| = |G| = |Z^1(F, G)|$ . On the other hand, we have  $B^1(F^{|G|}, G) = \{[g, -]_{F^{|G|}} \mid g \in [G/C_G(F^{|G|})]\}$ , where  $[G/H]$  denotes a set of representatives for left cosets in  $G$  modulo a subgroup  $H$ . Thus, by definition,

$$\text{res}_{F, F^{|G|}}^{-1}(B^1(F^{|G|}, G)) = \bigoplus_{g \in [G/C_G(F^{|G|})]} Z^1(F, G; F^{|G|}, [g, -]_{F^{|G|}}).$$

However, Theorem 3.6 and usual argument for conjugation yield that

$$Z^1(F, G; F^{|G|}, [g, -]_{F^{|G|}}) \simeq Z^1_{[g, -]}(F/F^{|G|}, C_G(g(F^{|G|}))) \simeq Z^1(F/F^{|G|}, C_G(F^{|G|})).$$

Therefore Hall's theorem implies that

$$\left| \text{res}_{F, F^{|G|}}^{-1}(B^1(F^{|G|}, G)) \right| = \left| G : C_G(F^{|G|}) \right| \cdot \left| Z^1(F/F^{|G|}, C_G(F^{|G|})) \right| \equiv 0 \pmod{|G|},$$

which forces  $\left| \text{res}_{F, F^{|G|}}^{-1}(B^1(F^{|G|}, G)) \right| = |G| = |Z^1(F, G)|$ , as desired.

(2) Suppose that  $A = B \times C$  for nontrivial subgroups  $B$  and  $C$ , and  $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$ . By the equation (\*) and the inductive assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B^1(B^{|G|}, G) &= \text{res}_{A, B^{|G|}}(B^1(A, G)) \\ &\subseteq \text{res}_{A, B^{|G|}}(Z^1(A, G)) \subseteq \text{res}_{B, B^{|G|}}(Z^1(B, G)) = B^1(B^{|G|}, G), \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

so that  $\text{res}_{A, B^{|G|}}(Z^1(A, G)) = B^1(B^{|G|}, G)$ . Hence  $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G; B^{|G|}, [h, -]_{B^{|G|}})$  for some  $h \in G$ . However, we have also  $[h, -]_A \in Z^1(A, G; B^{|G|}, [h, -]_{B^{|G|}})$ . Theorem 3.6 yields that

$$[h, -]_r : Z^1_{[h, -]}(A/B^{|G|}, C_G(h(B^{|G|}))) \rightarrow Z^1(A, G; B^{|G|}, [h, -]_{B^{|G|}})$$

is bijective. Thus  $\lambda = \eta \cdot [h, -]_A$  for some  $\eta \in Z^1_{[h, -]}(A/B^{|G|}, C_G({}^h(B^{|G|})))$ . Again applying induction to  $C^{|G|} \leq A/B^{|G|} \simeq (B/B^{|G|}) \times C$  as in (\*\*), we have

$$\text{res}_{A/B^{|G|}, C^{|G|}}(Z^1_{[h, -]}(A/B^{|G|}, C_G({}^h(B^{|G|})))) = B^1_{[h, -]}(C^{|G|}, C_G({}^h(B^{|G|}))).$$

Hence there exists  $g \in C_G({}^h(B^{|G|}))$  such that  $\text{res}_{A/B^{|G|}, C^{|G|}}(\eta) = [g, -]_{[h, -]}$ , the commutator of  $g$  with respect to the action  $\text{Inn}[h, -]$ . This means that

$$\lambda(bc) = \eta(c) \cdot [h, bc] = [g, c]_{[h, -]} \cdot [h, bc] = [g, bc]_{[h, -]} \cdot [h, bc] \quad \text{for all } b \in B^{|G|}, c \in C^{|G|}.$$

Consequently,  $\text{res}_{A, A^{|G|}}(\lambda) = [g, -]_{[h, -]} \cdot [h, -] = [gh, -]$  on  $A^{|G|}$  by Lemma 3.5, as desired.  $\square$

As observed in Corollary 3.4, the second statement of the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem (Theorem 1.6) is equivalent to the following theorem, which can be reduced to the case where either  $A$  or  $G$  is abelian by the Feit-Thompson theorem and by our arguments.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $A$  and  $G$  are finite groups with  $\gcd(|A|, |G|) = 1$ , then  $Z^1(A, G) = B^1(A, G)$ .*

*Proof.* We use induction on  $|A|$  and  $|G|$ . By the Feit-Thompson theorem, we may assume that either  $A' \leq A$  or  $G' \leq G$ .

(1) Suppose that  $A' \leq A$ , and consider the short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/A' \rightarrow 1$ . By induction, we have  $Z^1(A', G) = B^1(A', G)$ , so that

$$Z^1(A, G) = \bigoplus_{h \in [G/C_G(A')]} Z^1(A, G; A', [h, -]_{A'}).$$

By applying Theorem 3.6 to  $[h, -]_A \in Z^1(A, G; A', [h, -]_{A'})$ ,

$$[h, -]_r: Z^1_{[h, -]}(A/A', C_G({}^h A')) \rightarrow Z^1(A, G; A', [h, -]_{A'})$$

is bijective. However,  $A/A'$  is abelian and  $(A/A')^{|H|} = A/A'$  for all  $H \leq G$  by hypothesis. Hence Theorem 4.1 implies that

$$Z^1_{[h, -]}(A/A', C_G({}^h A')) = B^1_{[h, -]}(A/A', C_G({}^h A')).$$

Consequently, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that every element of  $Z^1(A, G)$  is of the form  $[g, -]_{[h, -]} \cdot [h, -] = [gh, -]$  for some  $g, h \in G$ .

(2) Suppose that  $G' \leq G$ , and consider the short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/G' \rightarrow 1$ . We have a natural map  $Z^1(A, G) \rightarrow Z^1(A, G/G')$ . However,  $G/G'$  is an  $A$ -module of order relatively prime to  $|A|$ . Hence it is well known in cohomology theory that  $Z^1(A, G/G') = B^1(A, G/G')$ . Therefore, for each  $\lambda \in Z^1(A, G)$ , there exists some  $h \in G$  such that  $G'\lambda(a) = G'[h, a]$  for all  $a \in A$ . By Theorem 3.8,

$$[h, -]_r: Z^1_{[h, -]}(A, G') \rightarrow \{\eta \in Z^1(A, G) \mid G'\eta(a) = G'[h, a] \text{ for all } a \in A\}$$

is a bijection. However,  $Z^1_{[h, -]}(A, G') = B^1_{[h, -]}(A, G')$  by induction. Consequently, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that  $\lambda = [g, -]_{[h, -]} \cdot [h, -] = [gh, -]$  for some  $g \in G'$ .  $\square$

As stated in the proof, this theorem is a generalization of a well known theorem in cohomology theory for  $A$ -modules  $G$ . Although we have used the Feit-Thompson theorem, the arguments of (1) and (2) in the proof are very parallel.

## References

- [1] T. Asai, N. Chigira, T. Niwasaki, and Y. Takegahara, *On the number of crossed homomorphisms II*, in preparation.
- [2] T. Asai, T. Niwasaki, and Y. Takegahara, *Crossed homomorphisms from rank 2 abelian to exceptional  $p$ -groups*, *J. Algebra* **270** (2003), 212–237.
- [3] T. Asai and Y. Takegahara, *On the number of crossed homomorphisms*, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **28** (1999), 535–543.
- [4] ———,  $|\text{Hom}(A, G)|$ , IV, *J. Algebra* **246** (2001), 543–563.
- [5] T. Asai and T. Yoshida,  $|\text{Hom}(A, G)|$ , II, *J. Algebra* **160** (1993), 273–285.
- [6] R. Brauer, *On a theorem of Frobenius*, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **76** (1969), 562–565.
- [7] W. Burnside, *The Theory of Groups of Finite Order*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1907.
- [8] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, 2nd ed., Pure and Appl. Math., Interscience Publishers, New York, 1966.
- [9] M. Hall, *The Theory of Groups*, MacMillan, New York, 1959.
- [10] P. Hall, *On a theorem of Frobenius*, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)* **40** (1935), 468–501.
- [11] I. M. Isaacs and G. R. Robinson, *On a Theorem of Frobenius: Solutions of  $x^n = 1$  in finite groups*, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **99** (1992), no. 4, 352–354.
- [12] T. Yoshida,  $|\text{Hom}(A, G)|$ , *J. Algebra* **156** (1993), 125–156.
- [13] H. Zassenhaus, *The Theory of Groups*, 2nd ed., Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1958.