

Uniqueness of solutions with prescribed numbers of zeros for two-point boundary value problems

八戸工業高等専門学校・電気工学科 田中 敏 (Satoshi Tanaka)
 Department of Electrical Engineering,
 Hachinohe National College of Technology

We consider the second order ordinary differential equation

$$(1) \quad u'' + a(x)f(u) = 0, \quad x_0 < x < x_1$$

with the boundary condition

$$(2) \quad u(x_0) = u(x_1) = 0,$$

where $a \in C^2[x_0, x_1]$, $a(x) > 0$ for $x \in [x_0, x_1]$, $f \in C^1(\mathbf{R})$, $f(s) > 0$, $f(-s) = -f(s)$ for $s > 0$.

By a change of variable, it can be shown that the existence of solutions of the problem (1) and (2) is equivalent to the existence of radial solutions of the following Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations in annular domains

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + K(|x|)f(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $K \in C^1[R_1, R_2]$, $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N : R_1 < |x| < R_2\}$, $R_1 > 0$ and $N \geq 2$. (See, for example, [8])

Note that if u is a solution of (1), so is $-u$, because of $f(-s) = -f(s)$. Hence we consider solutions u of the problem (1) and (2) with $u'(x_0) > 0$ only.

In this paper we study the uniqueness of solutions of the problem (1) and (2) having exactly $k - 1$ zeros in (x_0, x_1) , and hence consider the following problem:

$$(P_k) \quad \begin{cases} u'' + a(x)f(u) = 0, & x_0 < x < x_1, \\ u(x_0) = u(x_1) = 0, & u'(x_0) > 0, \\ u \text{ has exactly } k - 1 \text{ zeros in } (x_0, x_1), \end{cases}$$

where k is a positive integer.

For existence of solutions of (P_k) , we refer to [1], [2], [3], [6], [7], [8]. In particular we shall describe the result in [7]. We thus assume that there exist limits f_0 and f_∞ such that $0 \leq f_0, f_\infty \leq \infty$,

$$f_0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} \frac{f(s)}{s} \quad \text{and} \quad f_\infty = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s}.$$

Let λ_k be the k -th eigenvalue of

$$\begin{cases} \varphi'' + \lambda a(x)\varphi = 0, & x_0 < x < x_1, \\ \varphi(x_0) = \varphi(x_1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is known that

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1} < \dots, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = \infty.$$

The following Theorem A has been obtained in [7].

Theorem A. *Let $k \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Then the following (i) and (ii) holds:*

- (i) *if $f_0 < \lambda_k < f_\infty$ or $f_\infty < \lambda_k < f_0$, then (P_k) has at least one solution;*
- (ii) *if $f(s)/s < \lambda_k$ for $s > 0$ or $f(s)/s > \lambda_k$ for $s > 0$, then (P_k) has no solution.*

Now we consider the uniqueness of solutions of (P_k) .

Assume moreover that either the following (F1) or (F2) holds:

$$(F1) \quad \left(\frac{f(s)}{s}\right)' > 0 \quad \text{for } s > 0; \quad (F2) \quad \left(\frac{f(s)}{s}\right)' < 0 \quad \text{for } s > 0.$$

The functions

$$f(s) = |s|^{p-1}s \quad (p > 1) \quad \text{and} \quad f(s) = \frac{s}{1 + |s|^q} \quad (q > 1)$$

are typical cases satisfying (F1) and (F2), respectively. From (F1) and (F2) it follows that $f(s)/s$ is monotone function, and hence we note that the limits f_0 and f_∞ exist in $[0, \infty]$.

For the uniqueness of the solutions of (P_k) , the following Theorems B–D were obtained.

Theorem B (Coffman [1]). *Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$ and $p > 1$. Then the solution of the following problem exists and is unique:*

$$\begin{cases} u'' + x^\nu |u|^{p-1}u = 0, & 0 < x_0 < x < x_1, \\ u(x_0) = u(x_1) = 0, & u'(x_0) > 0, \\ u \text{ has exactly } k - 1 \text{ zeros in } (x_0, x_1). \end{cases}$$

Theorem C (Coffman–Marcus [2]). *Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\sigma \in \mathbf{R}$. Suppose that f satisfies (F1), $f_0 = 0$ and $f_\infty = \infty$. Then the solution of the following problem exists and is unique:*

$$\begin{cases} u'' + x^{-2-\sigma} f(x^\sigma u) = 0, & 0 < x_0 < x < x_1, \\ u(x_0) = u(x_1) = 0, & u'(x_0) > 0, \\ u \text{ has exactly } k - 1 \text{ zeros in } (x_0, x_1). \end{cases}$$

Theorem D (Yanagida [9]). Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Suppose that

$$q \in C^1[x_0, x_1], \quad q(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x_0 \leq x \leq x_1.$$

Assume moreover that either the following (i) or (ii) holds:

- (i) (F1) holds and $q'(x)/q(x)$ is nonincreasing in $x \in [x_0, x_1]$;
- (ii) (F2) holds and $q'(x)/q(x)$ is nondecreasing in $x \in [x_0, x_1]$.

Then the problem

$$\begin{cases} u'' + h(q(x)u)u = 0, & x_0 < x < x_1, \\ u(x_0) = u(x_1) = 0, & u'(x_0) > 0, \\ u \text{ has exactly } k - 1 \text{ zeros in } (x_0, x_1) \end{cases}$$

has at most one solution, where $h(s) = f(s)/s$.

Main results in this paper as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Assume that either the following (C1) or (C2) holds:

- (C1) (F1) holds and $([a(x)]^{-\frac{1}{2}})'' \leq 0$ for $x_0 \leq x \leq x_1$;
- (C2) (F2) holds and $([a(x)]^{-\frac{1}{2}})'' \geq 0$ for $x_0 \leq x \leq x_1$.

Then (P_k) has at most one solution.

Combining Theorem 1 with Theorem A, we obtain the following result.

Corollary. Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Assume that either (C1) or (C2) is satisfied. Then the following (i) and (ii) hold:

- (i) if $f(s)/s = \lambda_k$ for some $s > 0$, the solution of (P_k) exists and is unique;
- (ii) if $f(s)/s \neq \lambda_k$ for all $s > 0$, then (P_k) has no solution.

Example. Consider the problem

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} u'' + (e^x + \mu)|u|^{p-1}u = 0, & 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0, & u'(0) > 0, \\ u \text{ has exactly } k - 1 \text{ zeros in } (0, 1), \end{cases}$$

where $p > 1$, $\mu > -1$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

From Theorem A it follows that (3) has at least one solution.

Theorem D implies that if $-1 < \mu \leq 0$, then the solution of (3) is unique.

Theorem 1 shows that if $\mu \geq e/2$, then the solution of (3) is unique.

To prove Theorem 1 we use the shooting method. Namely we consider the solution $u(x; \alpha)$ of (1) satisfying the initial condition

$$u(x_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u'(x_0) = \alpha > 0,$$

and observe the behavior of zeros of $u(x; \alpha)$ in $(0, 1]$, where α is a parameter. We note that $u(x; \alpha)$ exists on $[x_0, x_1]$ is unique and satisfies $u \in C^1([x_0, x_1] \times (0, \infty))$, since $a \in C^2[x_0, x_1]$ and $f \in C^1(\mathbf{R})$.

Let $z_k(\alpha)$ be the k -th zero of $u(x; \alpha)$ in $(x_0, x_1]$ (if $z_k(\alpha)$ exists). Note that $u(x; \alpha)$ is a solution of (P_k) if and only if $z_k(\alpha) = x_1$. Since

$$u(z_k(\alpha); \alpha) = 0, \quad u'(z_k(\alpha); \alpha) \neq 0,$$

the implicit function theorem implies that

$$z'_k(\alpha) = -\frac{u_\alpha(z_k(\alpha); \alpha)}{u'(z_k(\alpha); \alpha)}.$$

We can show that if (C1) or (C2) holds, then $z'_k(\alpha) < 0$ or $z'_k(\alpha) > 0$, respectively, by using the similar arguments by Kajikiya [4] and the identity obtained by Korman and Ouyang [5]. Then we conclude that there exists at most one number $\alpha > 0$ such that $z_k(\alpha) = x_1$, so that (P_k) has at most one solution.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. V. Coffman, On the positive solutions of boundary-value problems for a class of nonlinear differential equations, *J. Differential Equations* **3** (1967), 92–111.
- [2] C. V. Coffman and M. Marcus, Existence and uniqueness results for semi-linear Dirichlet problems in annuli, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **108** (1989), 293–307.
- [3] P. Hartman, On boundary value problems for superlinear second order differential equations, *J. Differential Equations* **26** (1977), 37–53.
- [4] R. Kajikiya, Necessary and sufficient condition for existence and uniqueness of nodal solutions to sublinear elliptic equations. *Adv. Differential Equations* **6** (2001), 1317–1346.
- [5] P. Korman and T. Ouyang, Solution curves for two classes of boundary-value problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **27** (1996), 1031–1047.
- [6] M. Naito and Y. Naito, Solutions with prescribed numbers of zeros for nonlinear second order differential equations, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **37** (1994), 505–520.
- [7] Y. Naito and S. Tanaka, On the existence of multiple solutions of the boundary value problem for nonlinear second order differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* (to appear)
- [8] W.-M. Ni and R. D. Nussbaum, Uniqueness and nonuniqueness for positive radial solutions of $\Delta u + f(u, r) = 0$. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **38** (1985), 67–108.
- [9] E. Yanagida, Sturmian theory for a class of nonlinear second-order differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **187** (1994), 650–662.