

The commutator subgroup of the general Λ -quadratic group $\mathbf{GQ}(A, \Lambda)$

岡山大学大学院自然科学研究科 松崎 勝彦 (Katsuhiko Matsuzaki)

The Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a ring with the unity, $- : A \rightarrow A$ an involution, $\lambda \in \text{center}(A)$ a symmetry, and Λ a form parameter on A in the sense of [1, Section 1]. We refer to the tuple $(A, (-, \lambda), \Lambda)$ as a *form ring*. The *general Λ -quadratic group* $\mathbf{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)$ is defined to be the matrix group corresponding to the automorphism group on the Λ -hyperbolic module $\Lambda - \mathbf{H}(A^n)$. The *elementary Λ -quadratic group* $\mathbf{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)$ is the subgroup of $\mathbf{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)$ generated by all elementary Λ -quadratic $2n \times 2n$ -matrices. If \mathfrak{q} is an involution invariant ideal of A , then the *relative congruence subgroup* $\mathbf{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$ is defined to be

$$\ker[\mathbf{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbf{GQ}_{2n}(A/\mathfrak{q}, \Lambda/\mathfrak{q})],$$

where

$$\Lambda/\mathfrak{q} = \text{image}[\Lambda \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{q}],$$

and the *relative elementary subgroup* $\mathbf{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$ is defined to be the normal subgroup of $\mathbf{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)$ generated by all elementary Λ -quadratic matrices belonging to $\mathbf{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$. The groups $\mathbf{GQ}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$ and $\mathbf{EQ}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$ are defined to be the inductive limits of $\mathbf{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$ and $\mathbf{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$, respectively, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is evident that $\mathbf{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$ is canonically embedden in $\mathbf{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$ as a subgroup. The next result is given as [1, Corollary 3.9].

Theorem 1.1. *The commutator subgroup $[\mathbf{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q}), \mathbf{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)]$ is equal to $\mathbf{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$.*

The proof of the theorem in [1] uses the lemma:

Lemma 1.2. *Let $G_{2n} = \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$ and $E_{2n} = \text{EQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$. Then*

$$[G_{2n}, G_{2n}] \cong [\text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda), \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)] \times [\text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda), \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})]$$

and

$$E_{2n} \cong \text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \times \text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q}).$$

In [1], isomorphisms above are obtained by implicitly identifying $\text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$ with

$$\text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})' = \ker[\text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q}) \longrightarrow \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)]$$

and $\text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$ with

$$\text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})' = \ker[\text{EQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q}) \longrightarrow \text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)],$$

respectively.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the lemma in a precise formulation (Lemma 1.3 below) without employing the groups $\text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})'$ and $\text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})'$ so that we can clarify the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $G_{2n} = \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$ and $E_{2n} = \text{EQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$. Then there is a canonical map*

$$\psi : G_{2n} \longrightarrow \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \times \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$$

such that the restrictions

$$\psi_{G_{2n}} : [G_{2n}, G_{2n}] \longrightarrow [\text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda), \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)] \times [\text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda), \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})]$$

and

$$\psi_{E_{2n}} : E_{2n} \longrightarrow \text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \times \text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$$

are well-defined and isomorphisms.

2. SMASH PRODUCTS OF GROUPS AND OF RINGS,
 Λ -QUADRATIC ELEMENTARY MATRICES

In this section we define the *smash product* of groups, one of rings and elementary matrices. They will be used in the proof Lemma 1.3.

Definition 2.1. Let Γ be a group and H a subgroup of Γ . If G is a subgroup of $N_\Gamma(H)$, we define the *smash product* $G \rtimes H$ by

$$G \rtimes H = \{(\sigma, \rho) \mid \sigma \in G, \rho \in H\}$$

with multiplication

$$(2.1) \quad (\sigma', \rho') \cdot (\sigma, \rho) = (\sigma'\sigma, (\sigma^{-1}\rho'\sigma)\rho).$$

Let $(A, (-, \lambda), \Lambda)$ be a form ring and \mathfrak{q} an involution invariant ideal of A . A *form ideal* of level \mathfrak{q} of (A, Λ) is a pair $(\mathfrak{q}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}})$ where $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is an additive subgroup of A such that

- (1) $\{q - \lambda\bar{q} \mid q \in \mathfrak{q}\} + \{\sum_i q_i \Lambda \bar{q}_i \mid q_i \in \mathfrak{q}\} \subset \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} \subset \mathfrak{q} \cap \Lambda$ and
- (2) $a \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} \bar{a} \subset \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}$ ($a \in A$).

Definition 2.2. (a) Let A be a ring. If \mathfrak{q} is a both sides ideal of A , we define the *smash product ring* $A \rtimes \mathfrak{q}$ by

$$A \rtimes \mathfrak{q} = \{(a, q) \mid a \in A, q \in \mathfrak{q}\}$$

with addition : $(a, q) + (a', q') = (a + a', q + q')$ and multiplication : $(a, q)(a', q') = (aa', qa' + aq' + qq')$.

(b) If $(\mathfrak{q}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is a form ideal of (A, Λ) , we define the *smash product form ring*

$$(A, \Lambda) \rtimes (\mathfrak{q}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}) = (A \rtimes \mathfrak{q}, \Lambda \rtimes \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}})$$

where the involution on $A \rtimes \mathfrak{q}$ is defined by $(a, q) \mapsto (\bar{a}, \bar{q})$, and $\Lambda \rtimes \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} = \{(a, q) \mid a \in \Lambda, q \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}\}$. If $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q} \cap \Lambda$, then we shall write $(A, \Lambda) \rtimes \mathfrak{q}$ instead of $(A, \Lambda) \rtimes (\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q} \cap \Lambda)$.

We have the ring homomorphism

$$f : A \times \mathfrak{q} \longrightarrow A; (a, q) \longmapsto a,$$

its splitting

$$i : A \longrightarrow (A \times \mathfrak{q}); a \longmapsto (a, 0),$$

the form ring homomorphism

$$g : (A, \Lambda) \times (\mathfrak{q}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}) \longrightarrow (A, \Lambda)$$

induced by f , and its splitting

$$j : (A, \Lambda) \longrightarrow (A, \Lambda) \times (\mathfrak{q}, \Lambda_{\mathfrak{q}})$$

induced by i .

Let $M_{n,n}(A)$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in A , and $M_{n,n}(\mathfrak{q})$ the set of all $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in \mathfrak{q} . If $P = (p_{ij}) \in M_{n,n}(A)$ and $Q = (q_{ij}) \in M_{n,n}(\mathfrak{q})$, then we have the $n \times n$ -matrix (r_{ij}) with entries $r_{ij} := (p_{ij}, q_{ij}) \in A \times \mathfrak{q}$. The correspondence $M_{n,n}(A) \times M_{n,n}(\mathfrak{q}) \longrightarrow M_{n,n}(A \times \mathfrak{q}); ((p_{ij}), (q_{ij})) \longmapsto (r_{ij})$, is clearly a bijection. Thus we abuse the notation (P, Q) for the assigned matrix (r_{ij}) in $M_{n,n}(A \times \mathfrak{q})$. By definition, the formula of multiplication

$$(2.2) \quad (P, Q)(P', Q') = (PP', PQ' + QP' + QQ')$$

holds for (P, Q) and $(P', Q') \in M_{n,n}(A \times \mathfrak{q})$.

Definition 2.3. A matrix having one form among the following $2n \times 2n$ -matrices is called a Λ -quadratic elementary matrix.

$$\mathbf{H}(\varepsilon_{i,j}(a)) (i \neq j, a \in A) : \begin{cases} (k, k)\text{-entry} = 1 & (k = 1, \dots, 2n), \\ (i, j)\text{-entry} = a, \\ (n+j, n+i)\text{-entry} = -\bar{a}, \\ \text{all other entries} = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon_{n+i,j}(a) (i \neq j, a \in A) : \begin{cases} (k, k)\text{-entry} = 1 & (k = 1, \dots, 2n), \\ (i, n+j)\text{-entry} = a, \\ (j, n+i)\text{-entry} = -\bar{\lambda}a, \\ \text{all other entries} = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{i,n+j}(a) \ (i \neq j, a \in A) : & \begin{cases} (k, k)\text{-entry} = 1 \ (k = 1, \dots, 2n), \\ (n+i, j)\text{-entry} = a, \\ (n+j, i)\text{-entry} = -\lambda \bar{a}, \\ \text{all other entries} = 0. \end{cases} \\ \varepsilon_{n+i,i}(a) \ (a \in \bar{\Lambda}) : & \begin{cases} (k, k)\text{-entry} = 1 \ (k = 1, \dots, 2n), \\ (i, n+i)\text{-entry} = a, \\ \text{all other entries} = 0. \end{cases} \\ \varepsilon_{i,n+i}(a) \ (a \in \Lambda) : & \begin{cases} (k, k)\text{-entry} = 1 \ (k = 1, \dots, 2n), \\ (n+i, i)\text{-entry} = a, \\ \text{all other entries} = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4 (A. Bak [1, Lemma 3.1]). Let $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_{2n}(A)$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in M_{n,n}(A)$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \iff \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\delta} & \lambda \bar{\beta} \\ \bar{\lambda} \bar{\gamma} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. PROOF OF LEMMA 1.3

Throughout this section, let $P \in M_{2n,2n}(A)$ and $Q \in M_{2n,2n}(q)$ and therefore $(P, Q) \in M_{2n,2n}(A \times q)$. Let I_{2n} (or I if the context is clear) denote the identity matrix in $M_{2n,2n}(A)$ and O_{2n} (or O if the context is clear) the null matrix in $M_{2n,2n}(A)$.

Lemma 3.1. *The following (1) and (2) hold.*

(1) $P \in \text{GL}_{2n}(A)$ if and only if $(P, O_{2n}) \in \text{GL}_{2n}(A \times q)$.

(2) If $P \in \text{GL}_{2n}(A)$ and $(P, Q) \in \text{GL}_{2n}(A \times q)$ then $(I_{2n}, P^{-1}Q) \in \text{GL}_{2n}(A \times q)$.

Proof. Claim (1) is obvious. Suppose P and (P, Q) are as in (2). Then, since $(P, O)^{-1} = (P^{-1}, O)$,

$$(3.1) \quad (P, O)^{-1}(P, Q) = (P^{-1}, O)(P, Q) = (I, P^{-1}Q).$$

By (P^{-1}, O) and $(P, Q) \in \text{GL}_{2n}(A \times q)$, $(I, P^{-1}Q) \in \text{GL}_{2n}(A \times q)$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *The following (1) and (2) hold.*

(1) $P \in \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)$ if and only if $(P, O_{2n}) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times q)$.

(2) If $P \in \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)$ and $(P, Q) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$ then $(I_{2n}, P^{-1}Q) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$.

Proof. We check $P \in \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \Rightarrow (P, O) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$. If $P = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in M_{n,n}(A)$, then $P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\delta} & \lambda\bar{\beta} \\ \bar{\lambda}\bar{\gamma} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$ by Lemma 2.4. The equality

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\alpha, O) & (\beta, O) \\ (\gamma, O) & (\delta, O) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{(\delta, O)} & \lambda\overline{(\beta, O)} \\ \overline{\lambda(\gamma, O)} & \overline{(\alpha, O)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (I, O) & (O, O) \\ (O, O) & (I, O) \end{pmatrix}$$

clearly holds. Thus $(P, O) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$. The implication “ $(P, O) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q}) \Rightarrow P \in \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)$ ” is similarly checked. Suppose P and (P, Q) are as in (2). Then $(I, P^{-1}Q) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$ follows from (3.1) and $(P^{-1}, O), (P, Q) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *If $(I_{2n}, Q) \in \text{GL}_{2n}(A \times \mathfrak{q})$ then $I_{2n} + Q \in \text{GL}_{2n}(A)$.*

Proof. For the inverse matrix (I, Q') of (I, Q) ,

$$(I, Q)(I, Q') = (I, Q + Q' + QQ') = (I, O).$$

Thus,

$$Q + Q' + QQ' = O.$$

This implies

$$(I + Q)(I + Q') = I$$

and hence

$$I + Q \in \text{GL}_{2n}(A).$$

\square

Lemma 3.4. *If $(I_{2n}, Q) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$ then $I_{2n} + Q \in \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)$.*

Proof. Suppose $(I, Q) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$. Writing $Q = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ u & v \end{pmatrix}$, with $x, y, u, v \in M_{n,n}(\mathfrak{q})$, we have the equality

$$\begin{pmatrix} (I, x) & (O, y) \\ (O, u) & (I, v) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{(I, v)} & \lambda\overline{(O, y)} \\ \overline{\lambda(O, u)} & \overline{(I, x)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (I, O) & (O, O) \\ (O, O) & (I, O) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This provides the equality

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} (I, \bar{v} + x + x\bar{v} + \bar{\lambda}y\bar{u}) & (O, \lambda\bar{y} + \lambda x\bar{y} + y + y\bar{x}) \\ (O, u + u\bar{v} + \bar{\lambda}\bar{u} + \bar{\lambda}v\bar{u}) & (I, \lambda u\bar{y} + \bar{x} + v + v\bar{x}) \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} (I, O) & (O, O) \\ (O, O) & (I, O) \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} I+x & y \\ u & I+v \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{I+v} & \lambda\bar{y} \\ \bar{\lambda}\bar{u} & \overline{I+x} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} I + \bar{v} + x + x\bar{v} + \bar{\lambda}y\bar{u} & \lambda\bar{y} + \lambda x\bar{y} + y + y\bar{x} \\ u + u\bar{v} + \bar{\lambda}\bar{u} + \bar{\lambda}v\bar{u} & I + \lambda u\bar{y} + \bar{x} + v + v\bar{x} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & I \end{pmatrix} \text{ by (3.2).}$$

By Lemma 2.4, $I + Q = \begin{pmatrix} I+x & y \\ u & I+v \end{pmatrix}$ lies in $\text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *If $(P, Q) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \ltimes \mathfrak{q})$, then $(P, I_{2n} + P^{-1}Q) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \ltimes \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$.*

Proof. If $(P, Q) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \ltimes \mathfrak{q})$, then $P \in \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)$ clearly. By Lemma 3.2 (2), we obtain $(I, P^{-1}Q) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \ltimes \mathfrak{q})$. Then by Lemma 3.4, $I + P^{-1}Q \in \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$. \square

We define the map

$$\psi : \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \ltimes \mathfrak{q}) \longrightarrow \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \ltimes \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q});$$

$$(P, Q) \longmapsto (P, I + P^{-1}Q).$$

The well-definedness follows from Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 3.6. *The map ψ is a homomorphism.*

Proof. If (P, Q) and (P', Q') belong to $\text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \ltimes \mathfrak{q})$, then by (2.2),

$$\psi((P, Q)(P', Q')) = (PP', I + P'^{-1}Q + P'^{-1}P^{-1}QP' + P'^{-1}P^{-1}QQ').$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(P, Q)\psi(P', Q') &= (P, I + P^{-1}Q) \cdot (P', I + P'^{-1}Q') \\ &= (PP', P'^{-1}(I + P^{-1}Q)P'(I + P'^{-1}Q')) \text{ by (2.1)} \\ &= (PP', I + P'^{-1}Q + P'^{-1}P^{-1}QP' + P'^{-1}P^{-1}QQ').\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\psi((P, Q)(P', Q')) = \psi(P, Q)\psi(P', Q')$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *If $A \in \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda)$ and $B \in \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$, then $(A, AB - A) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$.*

Proof. If $B = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in M_{n,n}(\mathfrak{q})$, then the equality

$$\begin{aligned}&\begin{pmatrix} (I, \alpha - I) & (O, \beta) \\ (O, \gamma) & (I, \delta - I) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{(I, \delta - I)} & \overline{\lambda(O, \beta)} \\ \overline{\lambda(O, \gamma)} & \overline{(I, \alpha - I)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (I, \alpha\bar{\delta} + \bar{\lambda}\beta\bar{\gamma} - I) & (O, \lambda\alpha\bar{\beta} + \beta\bar{\alpha}) \\ (O, \gamma\bar{\delta} + \bar{\lambda}\delta\bar{\gamma}) & (I, \lambda\gamma\bar{\beta} + \delta\bar{\alpha} - I) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (I, O) & (O, O) \\ (O, O) & (I, O) \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

holds. By Lemma 2.4, $(I, B - I) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$.

Next, if $A = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ u & v \end{pmatrix}$ with $x, y, u, v \in M_{n,n}(A)$, then (A, O) clearly belong to $\text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$. Thus by (2.2),

$$(A, AB - A) = (A, O)(I, B - I) \in \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q}).$$

\square

We define the map

$$\phi : \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \times \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q}) \longrightarrow \text{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q});$$

$$(A, B) \longmapsto (A, AB - A).$$

The well-definedness of the map follows from Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 3.8. *The map ϕ is a homomorphism.*

Proof. If (A, B) and (A', B') belong to $\text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \times \text{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$, then by (2.1),

$$\phi((A, B) \cdot (A', B')) = \phi(AA', A'^{-1}BA'B') = (AA', ABA'B' - AA').$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(A, B)\phi(A', B') \\
&= (A, AB - A)(A', A'B' - A') \\
&= (AA', A(A'B' - A') + (AB - A)A' + (AB - A)(A'B' - A')) \\
&= (AA', AA'B' - AA' + ABA' - AA' + ABA'B' - ABA' - AA'B' + AA') \\
&= (AA', ABA'B' - AA').
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\phi((A, B)(A', B')) = \phi(A, B)\phi(A', B')$. □

Lemma 3.9. *The compositions $\psi \circ \phi$ and $\phi \circ \psi$ of the maps ψ and ϕ are the identity maps.*

Proof. By definition, $(\psi \circ \phi)(A, B) = \psi(A, AB - A) = (A, B)$ and $(\phi \circ \psi)(A, Q) = \phi(A, I - A^{-1}Q) = (A, Q)$. □

We have shown

$$\mathrm{GQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q}) \cong \mathrm{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \times \mathrm{GQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q}).$$

We define the map

$$\psi_E : \mathrm{EQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \times \mathrm{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q})$$

to be the restriction of ψ , and

$$\phi_E : \mathrm{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \times \mathrm{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathfrak{q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{EQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$$

to be restriction of ϕ .

The well-definedness of ψ_E is checked: for example in the case of

$$H(\varepsilon_{ij}(x)) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & & x_{ij} & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & -\bar{x}_{ji} & & 1 \end{array} \right) \in \mathrm{EQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathfrak{q})$$

with $(x_{ij} = (a_{ij}, q_{ij}))$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_E(H(\varepsilon_{ij}(x))) \\ &= \left(\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & & a_{ij} & & & \\ & \dots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \dots & \\ & & & -\bar{a}_{ji} & & 1 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & & q_{ij} & & & \\ & \dots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \dots & \\ & & & -\bar{q}_{ji} & & 1 \end{array} \right) \right) \\ & \in \text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \times \text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathbf{q}). \end{aligned}$$

The well-definedness of ϕ_E is checked as follows. For example in the case of

$$(H(\varepsilon_{ij}(a)), \varepsilon_{i,n+j}(q)) \in \text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \times \text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathbf{q}),$$

by (2.2), the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_E(H(\varepsilon_{ij}(a)), \varepsilon_{i,n+j}(q)) \\ &= \left(\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} I_n + (a_{ij}) & 0 & \\ 0 & I_n + (-\bar{a}_{ji}) & \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & (q_{ij}) + (\lambda\bar{q}_{ji}) + (-\lambda a\bar{q}_{ii}) \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right) \\ &= \left(\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} I_n + (a_{ij}) & 0 & \\ 0 & I_n + (-\bar{a}_{ji}) & \\ \hline \end{array} \right), O \right) \left(I, \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & (q_{ij}) + (-\lambda\bar{q}_{ji}) \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Since these two matrices belong to $\text{EQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathbf{q})$,

$$\phi_E(H(\varepsilon_{ij}(a)), \varepsilon_{i,n+j}(q)) \in \text{EQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathbf{q}).$$

By Lemma 3.9, the compositions $\psi_E \circ \phi_E$ and $\phi_E \circ \psi_E$ of the maps ψ_E and ϕ_E are clearly the identity maps. Thus, we have shown

$$\text{EQ}_{2n}((A, \Lambda) \times \mathbf{q}) \cong \text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda) \times \text{EQ}_{2n}(A, \Lambda, \mathbf{q}).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bak, *K-Theory of Forms*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1981.