

# Jensen's operator inequality and its application

大阪教育大学 藤井淳一 (Jun-Ichi Fujii)  
Osaka Kyoiku University

大阪教育大学 藤井正俊 (Masatoshi Fujii)  
Osaka Kyoiku University

茨城大学 中本律男 (Ritsuo Nakamoto)  
Ibaraki University

## 1. Introduction.

In 1980, Kubo-Ando [12] established the theory of operator means. Hansen and Hansen-Pedersen [9] considered the Jensen inequality in the frame of operator inequalities. (See also [5] and [11].) Under such situation, we discussed the invariance of the operator concavity by the transformation among functions related to operator means in [4]. As a simple application, we could prove the operator concavity of the entropy function  $\eta(t) = -t \log t$  which was shown by Nakamura-Umegaki [13]. In the paper, we proposed the following characterization of the operator concavity:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f$  be a continuous, real-valued function on  $I = [0, r)$ . Then the following conditions are mutually equivalent:*

(1)  *$f$  is operator concave on  $I$ , i.e.,*

$$f(tA + (1 - t)B) \geq tf(A) + (1 - t)f(B) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } A, B \in S(I),$$

where  $X \in S(I)$  means that  $X$  is a selfadjoint operator whose spectrum is contained in  $I$ .

(2)  *$f(C^*AC) \geq C^*f(A)C$  for all isometries  $C$  and  $A \in S(I)$ .*

(3)  *$f(C^*AC + D^*BD) \geq C^*f(A)C + D^*f(B)D$  for all  $C, D$  with  $C^*C + D^*D = 1$  and  $A, B \in S(I)$ .*

(4)  *$f(PAP + P^\perp BP^\perp) \geq Pf(A)P + P^\perp f(B)P^\perp$  for all projections  $P$  and  $A \in S(I)$ .*

To show the utility of Theorem A, we review the following result in [4].

**Theorem B.** *Let  $f$  be a real-valued continuous function on  $(0, \infty)$ . Then  $f$  is operator concave if and only if so is  $f^*$ , where  $f^*(t) = tf(t^{-1})$  for  $t > 0$ .*

In fact, suppose that  $f$  is operator concave. For arbitrary positive invertible operators  $A, B$  and positive numbers  $s, t$  with  $s^2 + t^2 = 1$ , we put  $E = s^2A + t^2B$  and

$$X = sA^{1/2}E^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = tB^{1/2}E^{-1/2}.$$

Since  $X^*X + Y^*Y = 1$ , it follows from Theorem A (3) that

$$f(E^{-1}) = f(X^*A^{-1}X + Y^*B^{-1}Y) \geq X^*f(A^{-1})X + Y^*f(B^{-1})Y,$$

so that

$$f^*(E) = E^{1/2}f(E^{-1})E^{1/2} \geq s^2A^{1/2}f(A^{-1})A^{1/2} + t^2B^{1/2}f(B^{-1})B^{1/2},$$

that is,  $f^*$  is operator concave.

In addition, if we take  $f(t) = \log t$ , then  $f^*(t) = -t \log t$ . Hence, if one could the operator concavity of  $\log t$ , then that of the entropy function is easily obtained.

Concluding this section, we remark on the transformation  $f \rightarrow f^*$ . For this, we explain operator means briefly. A binary operation among positive operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{m}$  is called an operator mean (connection) if it is monotone and continuous from above in each variable and satisfies the transformer inequality. The principal result is the existence of an affine-isomorphism between the classes of all operator means and all nonnegative operator monotone functions on  $(0, \infty)$ , which is given by  $f_m(t) = 1 \mathfrak{m} t$  for  $t > 0$ . Thus  $f_m^*(t) = t \mathfrak{m} 1$  is corresponding to the transpose  $m^*$  of  $m$ , i.e.,  $A \mathfrak{m}^* B = B \mathfrak{m} A$ .

## 2. Yanagi-Furuichi-Kuriyama conjecture.

In this section, we apply Theorem A to an operator inequality related to a conjecture due to Yanagi-Furuichi-Kuriyama [14]. As a matter of fact, they proposed the following trace inequality: For  $A, B \geq 0$ ,

$$(1) \quad \text{Tr} ((A + B)^s (A(\log A)^2 + B(\log B)^2)) \geq \text{Tr} ((A + B)^{s-1} (A \log A + B \log B)^2)$$

for  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ .

We now prove it for  $s = 0$  by showing the following operator inequality:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be positive invertible operators on a Hilbert space. Then*

$$(A \log A + B \log B)(A + B)^{-1}(A \log A + B \log B) \leq A(\log A)^2 + B(\log B)^2.$$

*Proof.* It is similar to a proof of Theorem B. We put

$$C = A^{1/2}(A + B)^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad D = B^{1/2}(A + B)^{-1/2}.$$

Then we have  $C^*C + D^*D = 1$ . We here note that the function  $t^2$  is operator convex on the real line. Hence, if we put  $X = \log A$  and  $Y = \log B$ , then it follows that

$$(C^*XC + D^*YD)^2 \leq C^*X^2C + D^*Y^2D,$$

cf. Theorem A (3). Arranging it by multiplying  $(A + B)^{1/2}$  on both sides, we have the desired operator inequality.

In addition, we give a proof of (1) for  $s = 1$ . First of all, we note that an inequality

$$(2) \quad \text{Tr} (I(A|B)I(B|A)) \leq 0$$

holds for positive operators  $A$  and  $B$ , where  $I(A|B) = A \log A - A \log B$  is an operator version of Umegaki's relative entropy. Actually we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} (I(A|B)I(B|A)) &= \text{Tr} (A(\log A - \log B)B(\log B - \log A)) \\ &= -\text{Tr} (A^{1/2}(\log A - \log B)B(\log A - \log B)A^{1/2}) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now a direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr} ((A + B)(A(\log A)^2 + B(\log B)^2) - (A \log A + B \log B)^2) \\ &= \text{Tr} (AB(\log B)^2 + BA(\log A)^2 - 2(A \log A)(B \log B)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr} (I(A|B)I(B|A)) \\ &= \text{Tr} (A(\log A)B \log B - A(\log A)B \log A - A(\log B)B \log B + A(\log B)B \log A) \\ &= \text{Tr} (2A(\log A)B \log B - BA(\log A)^2 - AB(\log B)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Noting by (2), we have

$$\text{Tr} ((A + B)(A(\log A)^2 + B(\log B)^2)) \geq \text{Tr} ((A \log A + B \log B)^2),$$

which is the inequality (1) for  $s = 1$ .

Next we give two examples, which show that the above problem (1) can not be solved via operator inequalities in the following sense.

**Theorem 2.** *The following operator inequalities do not hold for positive invertible operators  $A$  and  $B$  in general:*

$$(1) \quad (A + B)^{1/2}(A(\log A)^2 + B(\log B)^2)(A + B)^{1/2} \geq (A \log A + B \log B)^2.$$

$$(2) \quad (A(\log A)^2 + B(\log B)^2)^{1/2}(A + B)(A(\log A)^2 + B(\log B)^2)^{1/2} \geq (A \log A + B \log B)^2.$$

*Proof.* For the former, we take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$\log A = \frac{\log(3 + \sqrt{8})}{2\sqrt{8}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{8} + 2 & 2 \\ 2 & \sqrt{8} - 2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\log(3 - \sqrt{8})}{2\sqrt{8}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{8} - 2 & -2 \\ -2 & \sqrt{8} + 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\log B = \frac{\log(3 + \sqrt{3})}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} + 2 & 1 \\ 1 & \sqrt{3} - 2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\log(3 - \sqrt{3})}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} - 2 & -1 \\ -1 & \sqrt{3} + 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(A + B)^{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{11}}{10} \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{10} \frac{\sqrt{11}}{10} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ -3 & 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$X = (A + B)^{1/2} \{A(\log A)^2 + B(\log B)^2\} (A + B)^{1/2} - (A \log A + B \log B)^2$$

is approximated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.2800534147 & 0.6060988713 \\ 0.6060988713 & 1.087423161 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\det X \approx -0.06281927236 < 0$ . Namely (1) does not hold for  $A$  and  $B$ .

For the latter, we take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$\log A = \frac{\log 3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\log B$  is the same as the above, so that

$$A(\log A)^2 + B(\log B)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 15.40739329 & 5.007156201 \\ 5.007156201 & 2.62046225 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence its point spectrum is  $\{0.8930894768, 17.13476606\}$  and its square root is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3.799679761 & 0.9847979508 \\ 0.9847979508 & 1.284770503 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the difference of the both sides

$$\{A(\log A)^2 + B(\log B)^2\}^{1/2} (A + B) \{A(\log A)^2 + B(\log B)^2\}^{1/2} - (A \log A + B \log B)^2$$

is approximated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 8.760452694 & -1.019211361 \\ -1.019211361 & -0.0425050649 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Namely (2) does not hold for  $A$  and  $B$ .

In a private communication with Professor Yanagi, we knew this conjecture last autumn. Very recently we were given an opportunity to read a preprint [9] by Furuta, related to Theorem 2. The authors would like to express their thanks to Professor Furuta for his kindness of sending it.

### 3. Jensen's operator inequalities.

Recently, F.Hansen and G.K.Pedersen [13] reconsidered the preceding results in [12, 11] by themselves, which is along with Theorem A. (See also [10].)

**Hansen-Pedersen's theorem.** *The following conditions are all equivalent to that  $f$  is operator convex on  $\mathcal{I}$  :*

$$(i) f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n C_k^* A_k C_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n C_k^* f(A_k) C_k \text{ for all selfadjoint } A_k \text{ with } \sigma(A_k) \subset \mathcal{I} \text{ and } C_k \text{ with } \sum_{k=1}^n C_k^* C_k = 1.$$

$$(ii) f(C^* A C) \leq C^* f(A) C \text{ for all selfadjoint } A \text{ with } \sigma(A) \subset \mathcal{I} \text{ and isometries } C.$$

$$(iii) P f(P A P + s(1 - P)) \leq P f(A) P \text{ for all selfadjoint operators } A \text{ with } \sigma(A) \subset \mathcal{I}, \text{ scalars } s \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and projections } P.$$

Now we synthesize Jensen's operator inequality. Among others, a theorem due to Davis [6] and Choi [5] is included as the fifth condition. (See also Ando [1].)

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $f$  be a real function on an interval  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $A$  or  $A_k$  a selfadjoint operator with  $\sigma(A), \sigma(A_k) \subset \mathcal{I}$ , and  $H$  or  $K$  a Hilbert space. Then the following conditions are mutually equivalent:*

$$(i) (1) f \text{ is operator convex on } \mathcal{I}.$$

$$(ii) f(C^* A C) \leq C^* f(A) C \text{ for all } A \in B(H) \text{ and isometries } C \in B(K, H).$$

$$(ii') f(C^* A C) \leq C^* f(A) C \text{ for all } A \text{ and isometries } C \text{ in } B(H).$$

$$(iii) f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n C_k^* A_k C_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n C_k^* f(A_k) C_k \text{ for all } A_k \in B(H) \text{ and } C_k \in B(K, H) \text{ with } \sum_k C_k^* C_k = 1_K.$$

$$(iii') f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n C_k^* A_k C_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n C_k^* f(A_k) C_k \text{ for all } A_k, C_k \in B(H) \text{ with } \sum_k C_k^* C_k = 1_H.$$

$$(iv) f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n P_k A_k P_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n P_k f(A_k) P_k \text{ for all } A_k, \text{ and projections } P_k \in B(H) \text{ with } \sum_k P_k = 1_H.$$

$$(v) f(\Phi(A)) \leq \Phi(f(A)) \text{ for all unital positive linear map } \Phi \text{ between } C^*\text{-algebras } \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \text{ and all } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Take  $B = B^* \in B(K)$  with  $\sigma(B) \in \mathcal{I}$ . For  $P = \sqrt{1_H - C C^*}$ , putting

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in B(H \oplus K), U = \begin{pmatrix} C & P \\ 0 & -C^* \end{pmatrix}, V = \begin{pmatrix} C & -P \\ 0 & C^* \end{pmatrix} \in B(K \oplus H, H \oplus K),$$

we have

$$C^*P = \sqrt{1_K - C^*C}C^* = 0 \in B(H, K), \quad PC = C\sqrt{1_K - C^*C} = 0 \in B(K, H),$$

so that both  $U$  and  $V$  are unitaries. Since

$$U^*XU = \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & C^*AP \\ PAC & PAP + CBC^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad V^*XV = \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & -C^*AP \\ -PAC & PAP + CBC^* \end{pmatrix},$$

then the operator convexity of  $f$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} f(C^*AC) & 0 \\ 0 & f(PAP + CBC^*) \end{pmatrix} &= f \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & 0 \\ 0 & PAP + CBC^* \end{pmatrix} \\ &= f \left( \frac{U^*XU + V^*XV}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{f(U^*XU) + f(V^*XV)}{2} = \frac{U^*f(X)U + V^*f(X)V}{2} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} C^*f(A)C & 0 \\ 0 & Pf(A)P + Cf(B)C^* \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (ii) by seeing the (1, 1)-components.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Putting

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & A_n \end{pmatrix} \in B(H \oplus \cdots \oplus H), \quad \tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ \vdots \\ C_n \end{pmatrix} \in B(K, H \oplus \cdots \oplus H),$$

we have  $\tilde{C}^*\tilde{C} = 1_K$ . It follows from (ii) that

$$f \left( \sum_{k=1}^n C_k^* A_k C_k \right) = f(\tilde{C}^* \tilde{A} \tilde{C}) \leq \tilde{C}^* f(\tilde{A}) \tilde{C} = \sum_{k=1}^n C_k^* f(A_k) C_k.$$

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v): Considering the universal enveloping von Neumann algebras and the uniquely extended linear map, we may assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a von Neumann algebra. Thereby a selfadjoint operator  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  can be approximated uniformly by a simple function  $A' = \sum_k t_k E_k$  where  $\{E_k\}$  is a decomposition of the unit  $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\sum_k \Phi(E_k) = 1_{\mathcal{B}}$  by the unitality of  $\Phi$ , then applying (iii) to  $C_k = \sqrt{\Phi(E_k)}$ , we have

$$f(\Phi(A')) = f \left( \sum_k t_k \Phi(E_k) \right) \leq \sum_k f(t_k) \Phi(E_k) = \Phi \left( \sum_k f(t_k) E_k \right) = \Phi(f(A')).$$

The continuity of  $\Phi$  implies (v).

Since (v) implies (iv) obviously, we next show (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Putting

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, U = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1-t} & -\sqrt{t} \\ \sqrt{t} & \sqrt{1-t} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} f((1-t)A + tB) & \\ & f((1-t)B + tA) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= f(PU^*XUP + (1-P)U^*XU(1-P)) \\ &\leq PU^*f(X)UP + (1-P)U^*f(X)U(1-P) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (1-t)f(A) + tf(B) & \\ & (1-t)f(B) + tf(A) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $f$  is operator convex.

Consequently, we proved the equivalence of (i) - (v). To complete the proof, we need (ii') $\Rightarrow$ (iii') because it is non-trivial in (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii')  $\Rightarrow$  (iii')  $\Rightarrow$  (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

Modifying the proof in [7], we can show (ii') $\Rightarrow$ (iii'). We may assume  $n = 2$ . Putting

$$\tilde{X} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & & \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & A_2 & \\ & & & \dots \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{V} = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 & \dots & \\ C_2 & 0 & \dots & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \in B(H \oplus H \oplus \dots),$$

we have  $\tilde{V}^*\tilde{V} = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} f(C_1^*A_1C_1 + C_2^*A_2C_2) & & \\ & f(A_2) & \\ & & \dots \end{pmatrix} = f(\tilde{V}^*\tilde{X}\tilde{V}) \leq \tilde{V}^*f(\tilde{X})\tilde{V} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} C_1^*f(A_1)C_1 + C_2^*f(A_2)C_2 & & \\ & f(A_2) & \\ & & \dots \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Remark 1.* (1) Theorem 3 includes the above two Jensen's operator inequalities. An essential part of the proof for the Hansen-Pedersen-Jensen inequality is to show that (1) implies (2). In fact, suppose (1) and  $C^*C \leq 1$ . Then, putting  $D = \sqrt{1 - C^*C}$ , we have by (iii') and  $f(0) \leq 0$  that

$$f(C^*AC + D0D) \leq C^*f(A)C + D^2f(0) \leq C^*f(A)C.$$

(2) Note that the property either 'isometric' or 'unital' assures the spectral invariance as follows: *If  $m \leq A \leq M$ , then  $m \leq C^*AC \leq M$  and  $m \leq \Phi(A) \leq M$  for any isometry  $C$  and a unital positive linear map  $\Phi$ .*

## 参考文献

- [1] T.Ando: "Topics on Operator Inequalities", Hokkaido Univ. Lecture Note, Sapporo, 1978.
- [2] M.-D.Choi: *A Schwarz inequality for positive linear maps on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Illinois J. Math., **18** (1974), 565-574.
- [3] C.Davis: *A Schwarz inequality for convex operator functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **8** (1957), 42-44.
- [4] M.Fujii: *On operator concavity related to means of operators*, Math. Japon., **30**(1985), 283-288.
- [5] M.Fujii and F.Kubo: *Around Jensen's inequality II*, Math. Japon., **27** (1981), 495-499.
- [6] T.Furuta: *A counterexample to the question proposed by Yanagi-Furuichi-Kuriyama on matrix inequalities and related counterexamples*, Preprint.
- [7] T.Furuta: *Parametric extensions of Shannon inequality and its reverse one in Hilbert space operators*, Linear Algebra Appl., **381** (2004), 219-235.
- [8] F.Hansen: *An operator inequality*, Math. Ann., **246** (1979/80), 249-250.
- [9] F.Hansen and G.K.Pedersen: *Jensen's inequality for operators and Löwner's theorem*, Math. Ann., **258** (1982), 229-241.
- [10] F.Hansen and G.K.Pedersen: *Jensen's operator inequality*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **35**(2003), 553-564.
- [11] D.Kainuma and M.Nakamura: *Around Jensen's inequality*, Math. Japon., **25** (1980), 585-588.
- [12] F.Kubo and T.Ando: *Means of positive linear operators*, Math. Ann., **248** (1980), 205-224.
- [13] M.Nakamura and H.Umegaki: *A note on the entropy for operator algebras*, Proc. Japan Acad., **37** (1961), 149-154.
- [14] K.Yanagi, S.Furuichi and K.Kuriyama: *On trace inequalities and their applications to noncommutative communication theory*, Linear Alg. Appl., to appear.



\* DEPARTMENT OF ARTS AND SCIENCES (INFORMATION SCIENCE), OSAKA KYOIKU UNIVERSITY, ASAHIGAOKA, KASHIWARA, OSAKA 582-8582, JAPAN.

*E-mail address* : fujii@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

\*\* DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSAKA KYOIKU UNIVERSITY, ASAHIGAOKA, KASHIWARA, OSAKA 582-8582, JAPAN.

*E-mail address* : mfujii@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

\*\*\* FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, IBARAKI UNIVERSITY, HITACHI, IBARAKI 316-0033, JAPAN.

*E-mail address* : nakamoto@base.ibaraki.ac.jp