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Introduction

Fuchsian partial differential operator was defined by Baouendi-Goulaouic [B-G]. This includes non-characteristic type as a special case, and Cauchy-Kovalevskaja type theorem (namely, unique solvability for Cauchy problem) was proved in [B-G] under the conditions of characteristic exponents. After that, Tahara [T] treated a Fuchsian Volevič system and proved Cauchy-Kovalevskaja type theorem in the complex domain under the conditions of characteristic exponents. Further, as an application he obtained Cauchy-Kovalevskaja type theorem for this system in the framework of hyperfunctions under the hyperbolicity condition. On the other hand, Laurent-Monteiro Fernandes [L-MF1] extended notion of Fuchsian type to a general system of differential equation (that is, coherent left $\mathcal{D}_X$-Module, here and in what follows, we shall write Module with a capital letter, instead of sheaf of left modules) which includes Fuchsian Volevič system, and proved Cauchy-Kovalevskaja type theorem in the complex domain in general setting (that is, without conditions of characteristic exponents). As for the uniqueness of hyperfunction solution for Cauchy problem, Oaku [O 1] and Oaku-Yamazaki [O-Y] extended the uniqueness result to Fuchsian system. Hence in this paper, we shall prove the solvability theorem for general Fuchsian hyperbolic system in the framework of hyperfunctions (that is, hyperfunctions with a real analytic parameter, or mild hyperfunctions) without the conditions of characteristic exponents. To this end, in addition to Cauchy-Kovalevskaja type theorem due to Laurent-Monteiro Fernandes [L-MF 1], we use the theory of microsupports due to Kashiwara-Schapira (see [K-S]). This theory enable us to prove our desired result, in fact, our key theorem (Theorem 2.2) is only an exercise of this theory, and from this, we easily deduce Cauchy-Kovalevskaja type theorem for general Fuchsian hyperbolic system in the framework of hyperfunctions.
1 Preliminaries

In this section, we shall fix the notation and recall known results used in later sections. General references are made to Kashiwara-Schapira [K-S].

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the sets of all the integers, real numbers and complex numbers respectively. Moreover we set $N := \{n \in \mathbb{Z}; n \geq 1\} \subset \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{>0} := \{r \in \mathbb{R}; r > 0\}$.

In this paper, all the manifolds are assumed to be paracompact. If $\tau: E \to Z$ is a vector bundle over a manifold $Z$, then we set $\dot{E} := E \setminus Z$ and $\dot{\tau}$ the restriction of $\tau$ to $\dot{E}$. Let $M$ be an $(n+1)$-dimensional real analytic manifold and $N$ a one-codimensional closed real analytic submanifold of $M$. We denote by $f: N \to M$ the canonical embedding. Let $X$ and $Y$ be complexifications of $M$ and $N$ respectively such that $Y$ is a closed submanifold of $X$ and that $Y \cap M = N$. We also denote by $f: Y \to X$ the canonical embedding with same notation $f$. By local coordinates $(z, \tau) = (x + \sqrt{-1}y, t + \sqrt{-1}s)$ of $X$ around each point of $N$, we have locally the following relation:

\[
N = \mathbb{R}_z^2 \times \{0\} \xrightarrow{\pi} M = \mathbb{R}_t^2 \times \mathbb{R}_t \\
Y = \mathbb{C}_\tau^2 \times \{0\} \xrightarrow{f} X = \mathbb{C}_t^2 \times \mathbb{C}_\tau
\]

(1.1)

The embedding $f$ induces a natural embedding $f': T_N Y \hookrightarrow T_M X$ and by this mapping we regard $T_N Y$ as a closed submanifold of $T_M X$. Further, $f$ induces mappings:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
N & \xrightarrow{i_N} & \sqrt{-1}T^*_NM & <N & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow i & \downarrow f & \downarrow i_M & \downarrow \\
T_N Y & \leftarrow \xrightarrow{f} & N \times T^*_M X & \xrightarrow{f} & T_M X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \tau & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
N & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{C}_t & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M
\end{array}
\]

(1.2)

Here $\pi_N$, $\pi_M$ and $\pi$ are canonical projections, $i_N$, $i_M$ and $i$ are zero-section embeddings, and $\Box$ means the square is Cartesian.

We write $M \setminus N = \Omega_+ \cup \Omega_-$, where each $\Omega_\pm$ is an open subset and $\partial \Omega_\pm = N$. We set $M_+ := \Omega_+ \cup N$. By local coordinates, we can write

\[
\Omega_+ = \{(x, t) \in M; t > 0\} \subset M_+ = \{(x, t) \in M; t \geq 0\}.
\]

We remark that a natural morphism $\mathbb{C}_{M_+} \to \mathbb{C}_N$ gives natural morphisms

\[
\mathcal{R Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_M}(\mathbb{C}_N, \mathbb{C}_M) = \omega_{N/M} \to \mathcal{R Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_M}(\mathbb{C}_{M_+}, \mathbb{C}_M) = \mathbb{C}_{\Omega_+} \to \mathbb{C}_M.
\]

Here $\omega_{N/M}$ denotes the relative dualizing complex. As usual, we denote by $\mu_\ast(\ast)$ and $\nu_\ast(\ast)$ be specialization and microlocalization functors respectively. Further, $\mu^{\ast\ast}(\ast, \ast)$ denotes $\mu$ hom bifunctor. We denote by $\mathbb{D}^b(X)$ the derived category of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$-linear spaces.
with bounded cohomologies. Let $F$ be an object of $D^b(X)$. Then, by Kashiwara-Schapira [K-S, Chapter IV], we obtain morphisms:

\[
Rf_{d!}(f^{-1}\mu_M(F) \otimes \omega_{N/M}) \to Rf_{d!}(f^{-1}\mu_{\text{hom}}(C_{\Omega^+}, F) \otimes \omega_{N/M}) \\
\to \mu_N(f^{-1}F \otimes \omega_{Y/X}).
\] (1.3)

## 2 Near-Hyperbolicity Condition

Let $F$ be an object of $D^b(X)$. We denote by $SS(F)$ the microsupport of $F$ due to Kashiwara-Schapira (see [K-S]). $SS(F)$ is a closed conic involutive subset of $T^*X$ and described as follows: Let $(w)$ be local coordinates of $X$ and $(w_0; \zeta_0)$ a point of $T^*X$. Then $(w_0; \zeta_0) \notin SS(F)$ if and only if the following condition holds: There exist an open neighborhood $U$ of $w_0$ in $X$ and a proper convex closed cone $\gamma \subset X$ satisfying $\zeta_0 \in \text{Int} \\gamma^\circ \cup \{0\}$ such that

\[
R\Gamma(H_\epsilon \cap (x + \gamma); F) \cong R\Gamma(L_\epsilon \cap (x + \gamma); F)
\]
holds for any $w \in U$ and any sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Here $\text{Int} \ A$ denotes the interior of $A$, $\gamma^\circ := \bigcap_{\zeta \in \gamma} \{w \in X; \Re \langle w, \zeta \rangle \leq 0\}$ and

\[
L_\epsilon := \{w \in X; \Re \langle w - w_0, \zeta_0 \rangle = -\epsilon\} \subset \{w \in X; \Re \langle w - w_0, \zeta_0 \rangle \geq -\epsilon\}.
\]

Next, we shall recall the definition of the near-hyperbolicity condition due to Laurent-Monteiro Fernandes [L-MF 2, Definition 1.3.1]:

2.1 Definition. Let $F$ be an object of $D^b(X)$. We say $F$ is near-hyperbolic at $x_0 \in N$ in $\pm dt$-codirection if there exist positive constants $C$ and $\epsilon_1$ such that

\[
SS(F) \cap \{(z, \tau; z^*, \tau^*) \in T^*X; |z - x_0| < \epsilon_1, |\tau| < \epsilon_1, t \neq 0\} \subset \{(z, \tau; z^*, \tau^*) \in T^*X; |t^*| \leq C(|y^*(|y| + |s|) + |x^*|)\}
\]
holds by local coordinates of $X$ in (1.1) and the following associated coordinates of $T^*X$:

\[
(z, \tau; z^*, \tau^*) = (x + \sqrt{-1} y, t + \sqrt{-1} s; x^* + \sqrt{-1} y^*, t^* + \sqrt{-1} s^*).
\]

Our first main result is:

2.2 Theorem. Let $F$ be an object of $D^b(X)$. Assume that $F$ is near-hyperbolic at $x_0 \in N$ in $\pm dt$-codirection. Then, the morphisms in (1.3) induce isomorphisms for any $p^* \in T_N^*Y \cap \pi_N^{-1}(x_0)$:

\[
Rf_{d!}(f^{-1}\mu_M(F) \otimes \omega_{N/M})_{p^*} \cong Rf_{d!}(f^{-1}\mu_{\text{hom}}(C_{\Omega^+}, F) \otimes \omega_{N/M})_{p^*} \\
\cong \mu_N(f^{-1}F \otimes \omega_{Y/X})_{p^*}.
\]
Sketch of Proof. Let $\nu_{\Omega_{+}}(F)$ be the inverse Fourier-Sato transform of $\mu_{\text{hom}}(C_{\Omega_{+}}, F)$. Since the Fourier-Sato transformation gives an equivalence, to prove the theorem, we may prove

$$
\nu_{M}(F)|_{T_{N}Y \cap \tau_{N}^{-1}(x_{0})} \rightarrow \nu_{N}(f^{-1}F)|_{T_{N}Y \cap \tau_{N}^{-1}(x_{0})}
$$

are isomorphisms. By virtue of the microsupport theory, we can use the same argument as in [B-S, Lemme 3.2].

\[\square\]

3 Microfunction with a Real Analytic Parameter.

Recall the diagram (1.2). As usual, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{X}$, $\mathcal{A}_{M} := \mathcal{O}_{X}|_{M}$, $\mathcal{B}_{M}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{M}$ the sheaves of holomorphic functions on $X$, of real analytic functions on $M$, of hyperfunctions on $M$ and of microfunctions on $T_{M}^{*}X$ respectively.

3.1 Definition. We set

$$
\mathcal{C}_{N|M}^{A} := Rf_{d!}f_{\pi}^{-1}\mathcal{C}_{M}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{N|M}^{A} := i_{N}^{-1}\mathcal{C}_{N|M}^{A} = R\pi_{N*}\mathcal{C}_{N|M^{	au}}^{A}.
$$

It is known that $f_{d!}f_{\pi}^{-1}\mathcal{C}_{M}$ is the sheaf of microfunctions with a real analytic parameter $t$, and $f_{d!}f_{\pi}^{-1}\mathcal{C}_{M}|_{N}$ is the sheaf of hyperfunctions with a real analytic parameter $t$:

3.2 Proposition (cf. [S], [S-K-K, Chapter I, Theorem 2.2.6]).

1. $\mathcal{C}_{N|M}^{A}$ is concentrated in degree zero and conically soft; that is, the direct image of $\mathcal{C}_{N|M}^{A}|_{T_{N}^{*}Y}$ on $T_{N}^{*}Y/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is a soft sheaf.

2. There exists the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{M}|_{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{N|M}^{A} \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_{N*}\mathcal{C}_{N|M}^{A} \rightarrow 0.
$$

3. There exists the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{N|M}^{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{M}|_{N} \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_{*}(\mathcal{C}_{M}|_{\sqrt{-1}T_{N}^{*}M}) \rightarrow 0.
$$

We recall that the morphism in (1.3) induces restriction morphisms:

$$
\mathcal{C}_{N|M}^{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{N}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{N|M}^{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{N}.
$$

In order to study microlocal boundary value problems, Kataoka [Kt] defined the sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{N|M_{+}}^{A}$ of mild microfunctions on $T_{N}^{*}Y$, and $\mathcal{B}_{N|M_{+}} := \mathcal{E}_{N|M_{+}}^{A}|_{N}$ is called the sheaf of mild hyperfunctions. Note that by Schapira-Zampieri [Sc-Z]

$$
\mathcal{E}_{N|M_{+}}^{A} = Rf_{d!}f_{\pi}^{-1}\mu_{\text{hom}}(C_{\Omega_{+}}, \mathcal{O}_{X}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M/X}[n + 1]
$$

holds. Here $\mathcal{O}_{M/X}$ denotes the relative orientation sheaf. $\mathcal{E}_{N|M_{+}}^{A}$ is conically soft, and there exists an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{M}|_{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{N|M_{+}} \rightarrow \hat{\pi}_{N*}\mathcal{E}_{N|M_{+}}^{A} \rightarrow 0.
$$

Further by (1.3), the restriction morphism $\mathcal{E}_{N|M_{+}}^{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{N}$ factorizes through the boundary value morphism $\mathcal{E}_{N|M_{+}}^{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{N}$. 
4 Cauchy and Boundary Value Problems for Fuchsian Hyperbolic Systems

First, we recall the definition of Fuchsian differential operators in the sense of Baouendi-Goulaouic [B-G].

4.1 Definition. Let us take local coordinates in \( (1.1) \). Then we say that \( P \) is a Fuchsian differential operator of weight \((k, m)\) in the sense of Baouendi-Goulaouic [B-G] if \( P \) can be written in the following form

\[
P(z, \tau, \partial_z, \partial_{\tau}) = \tau^k \partial_\tau^m + \sum_{j=1}^{k} P_j(z, \tau, \partial_z) \tau^{k-j} \partial_\tau^{m-j}.
\]

Here \( \text{ord} \, P_j \leq j \,(0 \leq j \leq m), \) and \( P_j(z, 0, \partial_z) \in \mathcal{O}_Y \,(1 \leq j \leq k) \).

Note that a Fuchsian differential operator of weight \((m, m)\) is nothing but an operator with regular singularity along \( Y \) in a weak sense due to Kashiwara-Oshima [K-O].

Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a \( \mathcal{D}_X \)-Module. The inverse image in the sense of \( \mathcal{D} \)-Module is defined by

\[
Df^* \mathcal{M} := \mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X} \otimes_{f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X} f^{-1} \mathcal{M} \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_Y).
\]

Here \( \mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X} := \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X} f^{-1} \mathcal{D}_X \) is the transfer bi-Module as usual. Further we set \( \mathcal{M}_Y := \mathcal{H}^0 Df^* \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X} f^{-1} \mathcal{M} \).

Next, let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a Fuchsian system along \( Y \) in the sense of Laurent-Monteiro Fernandes [L-MF1]. Since precise definition of Fuchsian system is complicated, we do not recall it here. We remark that \( \mathcal{M} \) is Fuchsian along \( Y \) if and only if there exists locally an epimorphism \( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X P_i \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \), where each differential operators \( P_i \) is an operator with regular singularity along \( Y \) in a weak sense.

4.2 Remark. (1) Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a coherent \( \mathcal{D}_X|_Y \)-Module for which \( Y \) is non-characteristic. Then \( \mathcal{M} \) is Fuchsian. More generally, any regular-specializable system is Fuchsian.

(2) Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a Fuchsian system along \( Y \). Then:

(i) By Laurent-Schapira [L-S, Théorème 3.3], all the cohomologies of \( Df^* \mathcal{M} \) are coherent \( \mathcal{D}_Y \)-Module.

(ii) Laurent-Monteiro Fernandes [L-MF1, Théorème 3.2.2] proved that there exists the following isomorphism (that is, Cauchy-Kovalevskaja type theorem):

\[
f^{-1} R \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq R \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(Df^* \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_Y).
\]

4.3 Definition. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a coherent \( \mathcal{D}_X|_Y \)-Module. Then we say \( \mathcal{M} \) is near-hyperbolic at \( x_0 \in N \) in \( \pm dt \) codirection if \( R \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X) \) is near-hyperbolic in the sense of Definition 2.1. We remark that \( \text{SS}(R \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X)) = \text{char}(\mathcal{M}) \). Here \( \text{char}(\mathcal{M}) \) is the characteristic variety of \( \mathcal{M} \).
4.4 Example. (1) Let $P$ be a Fuchsian differential operator of weight $(k, m)$ in the sense of Baouendi-Goulaouic [B-G]. Then $\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P$ is Fuchsian along $Y$. Moreover, assume that $P$ is Fuchsian hyperbolic in the sense of Tahara [T]; that is, the principal symbol is written as $\sigma_m(P)(z, \tau; z^*, \tau^*) = \tau^k p(z, \tau; z^*, \tau^*)$. Here $p(z, \tau; z^*, \tau^*)$ satisfies the following condition:

$$p(x, t; z^*, \tau^*) = 0 \text{ with respect to } \tau^* \text{ are real.}$$

Then $\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P$ is near-hyperbolic (see [L-MF2, Lemma 1.3.2]).

(2) Let $P = \partial - A(z, \tau, \partial_z)$ be a Fuchsian Volevič system of size $m$ due to Tahara [T]; that is,

(i) $A(z, \tau, \partial_z) = (A_{ij}(z, \tau, \partial_z))_{i,j=1}^m$ is a matrix of size $m$ whose components are in $\mathcal{D}_X$ with $[A_{ij}, \tau] = 0$;

(ii) There exists $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\det(A_{ij}(z, \tau, \partial_z)) \leq n_i - n_j + 1$ and $A_{ij}(z, 0, \partial_z) \in \mathcal{O}_Y$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

Set $\sigma(A)(z, \tau; z^*) := (\sigma_{n_i-n_j+1}(A_{ij})(z, \tau; z^*))_{i,j=1}^m$. Then

$$\text{char}(\mathcal{D}_X^m/\mathcal{D}_X^m P) = \{(z, \tau; z^*, \tau^*) \in T^* X; \det(\tau \tau^* - \sigma(A)(z, \tau; z^*)) = 0\},$$

and we can prove that $\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X^m P$ is Fuchsian along $Y$. Moreover assume that $P$ is Fuchsian hyperbolic in the sense of Tahara [T]; that is,

$$\det(\tau \tau^* - \sigma(A)(z, \tau; z^*)) = \tau^m p(z, \tau; z^*, \tau^*),$$

and $p(z, \tau; z^*, \tau^*)$ satisfies the condition (4.1). Then $\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X^m P$ is near-hyperbolic.

By Theorem 2.2 and Cauchy-Kovalevskaïa type theorem, we obtain:

4.5 Theorem. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Fuchsian system along $Y$. Assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is near-hyperbolic at $x_0 \in N$ in $\pm dt$-codirection. Then for any $p^* \in T_N Y \cap \pi_N^{-1}(x_0)$, the morphisms in (1.3) induce isomorphisms

$$R\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}_{N|M})_{p^*} \cong R\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}_{N|M_{+}})_{p^*} \cong R\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(Df^* \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}_N)_{p^*}.$$

In particular, the morphisms in (1.3) induce isomorphisms

$$R\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_{N|M})_{x_0} \cong R\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_{N|M_{+}})_{x_0} \cong R\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(Df^* \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_N)_{x_0}.$$

4.6 Remark. Oaku-Yamazaki [O-Y] showed that for any Fuchsian system $\mathcal{M}$ along $Y$, two morphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}_{N|M})_{p^*} \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}_{N|M_{+}})_{p^*} \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{M}_Y, \mathcal{G}_N)_{p^*},$$

are always injective without the near-hyperbolicity condition. Precisely speaking, we always assumed that $\text{codim}_m N \geq 2$ in [O-Y]. However, the same proof also works even in the case where $\text{codim}_m N = 1$; Oaku ([O1], [O2]) defined the sheaf $\mathcal{G}_{N|M_{+}}$ of
F-mild microfunctions on $T^*_N Y$, and $\mathcal{B}_{N|M_+}^F := \mathcal{C}_{N|M_+}^F \mid N$ is called the sheaf of F-mild hyperfunctions ([O1], [O2], cf. [O-Y]). As is mentioned above, we can apply the methods in [O-Y] of the higher-codimensional case to the one-codimensional case to prove the following: there exist natural morphisms $\mathcal{C}_{N|M}^A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{N|M_+}^F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{N|M_+}^F$ such that the composition coincides with $\mathcal{C}_{N|M}^A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{N|M_+}^F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{N|M_+}^F$, and these induce monomorphisms:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_X} (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_{N|M}^A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_X} (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_{N|M_+}^F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_X} (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_{N|M_+}^F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_Y} (\mathcal{M}_Y, \mathcal{C}_N)$$

for any Fuchsian system $\mathcal{M}$ along $Y$. Hence, under the near-hyperbolic condition, we obtain isomorphisms:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_X} (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_{N|M_+}^F) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_Y} (\mathcal{M}_Y, \mathcal{C}_N),$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_X} (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_{N|M_+}^F) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_Y} (\mathcal{M}_Y, \mathcal{B}_N).$$

Our conjecture is: if $\mathcal{M}$ is a Fuchsian system along $Y$ and satisfies near-hyperbolicity condition, then the following hold:

$$R\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_X} (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_{N|M_+}^F) \simeq R\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_Y} (\mathcal{M}_Y, \mathcal{C}_N).$$
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