

ON SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH THE QUASI-PAINLEVÉ PROPERTY II

Shun Shimomura  
 Department of Mathematics, Keio University  
 下村 俊・慶應大理工

1. Introduction

We say that a nonlinear differential equation

$$y'' = R(x, y, y'), \quad R(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{C}(x, y, z)$$

has the quasi-Painlevé property if, for each solution, every movable singular point is an algebraic branch point. In the previous paper [3], we have shown that

$$y'' = \frac{10}{9}y^4 + x$$

admits the quasi-Painlevé property. In this paper, we treat a more general non-linear equation of the form

$$(E) \quad y'' = \frac{10}{9}y^4 + P(x),$$

where  $P(x)$  is a polynomial with complex coefficients satisfying  $\deg P \geq 1$ .

Our main results are stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $y(x)$  be an arbitrary solution of (E). Suppose that  $x = x_0$  is an algebraic branch point of  $y(x)$ . Then, around it,*

$$(1) \quad y(x) = \xi^{-2/3} - \frac{9}{22}P(x_0)\xi^2 + c\xi^{8/3} + \frac{9P'(x_0)}{14}\xi^3 + \sum_{j \geq 10} c_j \xi^{j/3},$$

$\xi = x - x_0$ , where  $c$  is an integration constant,  $c_j$  ( $j \geq 10$ ) are uniquely determined polynomials of  $(x_0, c)$ , and  $\xi^{1/3}$  is an arbitrary branch of  $\phi$  such that  $\phi^3 = \xi$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $\deg P \not\equiv 4N$ , then (E) admits no meromorphic solutions.*

**Theorem 3.** *If  $\deg P \in 4\mathbf{N}$ , then (E) admits no meromorphic solutions except at most four polynomial solutions.*

For an arbitrary polynomial  $p(x)$ , equation (E) with  $P(x) = p''(x) - 10p(x)^4/9$  admits the polynomial solution  $y = p(x)$ . In particular, if  $P(x) = -10(\alpha x + \beta)^4/9$ , then there exist four solutions  $\pm(\alpha x + \beta)$ ,  $\pm i(\alpha x + \beta)$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Equation (E) has the quasi-Painlevé property. For each solution, around every movable singularity  $x = x_0$ , it is expressible by a Puiseux series expansion of the form (1).*

## 2. Lemmas

We review some lemmas which will be used in the proofs of our results.

Suppose that  $F(x, u, v)$  and  $G(x, u, v)$  are analytic functions in a neighbourhood of  $(a_0, b_0, c_0) \in \mathbf{C}^3$ . For a system of differential equations

$$(2) \quad u' = F(x, u, v), \quad v' = G(x, u, v),$$

we have the following lemma due to Painlevé.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{C}$  be a curve with finite length terminating in  $x = a_0$ . Suppose that a solution  $(u, v) = (\varphi(x), \psi(x))$  of (2) has the properties below:*

- (i) *for every point  $\xi \in \Gamma \setminus \{a_0\}$ ,  $\varphi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  are analytic at  $x = \xi$ ;*
- (ii) *there exists a sequence  $\{a_n\} \subset \Gamma \setminus \{a_0\}$ ,  $a_n \rightarrow a_0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) such that  $(\varphi(a_n), \psi(a_n)) \rightarrow (b_0, c_0) \in \mathbf{C}^2$ .*

*Then,  $\varphi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  are analytic at  $x = a_0$ .*

Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic function in the whole complex plane. For  $r > 0$ , consider the functions defined by

$$\begin{aligned} m(r, f) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\phi})| d\phi, \\ N(r, f) &:= \int_0^r (n(t, f) - n(0, f)) \frac{dt}{t} + n(0, f) \log r, \\ T(r, f) &:= m(r, f) + N(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

which are called, respectively, the proximity function, the counting function and the characteristic function ([1]). Here  $\log^+ s := \max\{\log s, 0\}$  ( $s > 0$ ), and  $n(r, f)$  denotes the number of poles in the disc  $|z| \leq r$ , each counted according to its multiplicity. Clearly, if  $f(x)$  is entire, then  $T(r, f) = m(r, f)$ . It is known that  $T(r, f)$  is monotone increasing with respect to  $r$ , and is a convex function of  $\log r$ . Furthermore,  $f(z)$  is a rational function, if and only if  $T(r, f) = O(\log r)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ; and  $f(z)$  is a transcendental function, if and only if  $\log r/T(r, f) = o(1)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . The following lemma is concerning the proximity function of a meromorphic solution of a differential equation ([1, Lemma 2.4.2]).

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose that the differential equation  $w^{p+1} = \Phi(z, w)$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  admits a meromorphic solution  $w = f(z)$ , where  $\Phi(z, w)$  is a polynomial of  $(z, w, w', \dots, w^{(q)})$ . If the total degree of  $\Phi(z, w)$  with respect to  $w$  and its derivatives does not exceed  $p$ , then*

$$m(r, f) = O(\log T(r, f) + \log r)$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $r \notin E$ , where  $E$  is an exceptional interval with finite length.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

For an algebraic branch point  $x_0$  of  $y(x)$ , we have  $|y(x_0)| = +\infty$ . Indeed, if  $|y(x_0)| < +\infty$ , then, for some  $x_1 \in \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$|y'(x)| \leq |y'(x_1)| + \left| \int_{x_1}^x \left( \frac{10}{9} y(t)^4 + P(t) \right) dt \right| < +\infty$$

along a curve tending to  $x_0$ . By Lemma 5,  $y(x)$  is analytic at  $x = x_0$ , which is a contradiction. Putting

$$y(x) = c_0 \xi^\alpha (1 + o(1)), \quad \xi = x - x_0, \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{Q}, \quad \alpha < 0, \quad c_0 \neq 0,$$

and substituting into (E), we have  $(10/9)c_0^3 \xi^{3\alpha} = \alpha(\alpha - 1)\xi^{-2}$ . Hence

$$y(x) = \xi^{-2/3} + \sum_{j=l}^{\infty} c_j \xi^{j/(3p)}, \quad p \in \mathbf{N}, \quad l \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad l \geq -2p + 1,$$

where  $\xi^{1/3}$  is an arbitrary branch of  $\phi$  such that  $\phi^3 = \xi$ . Substituting this into (E) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{10}{9} \xi^{-8/3} + \frac{l(l-3p)}{9p^2} c_l \xi^{l/(3p)-2} + \dots \\ &= \frac{10}{9} (\xi^{-8/3} + 4c_l \xi^{l/(3p)-2} + \dots + P(x_0) + P'(x_0)\xi + \dots). \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that  $l = 6p$  and that  $c_{6p} = -9P(x_0)/22$ . In general, the coefficients  $c_j$  are determined by

$$(3) \quad \left( \frac{j}{p} + 5 \right) \left( \frac{j}{p} - 8 \right) c_j = Q_j(x_0, c_k; k \leq j-1), \quad c_{-2p} = 1,$$

where  $Q_j$  are polynomials of  $x_0$  and  $c_k$ . Suppose that  $c_j \neq 0$  for some  $j$  satisfying  $j/p \notin \mathbf{Z}$ , and let  $j_0$  be the minimal one among such numbers. Then  $Q_{j_0} = 0$ , and hence  $c_{j_0} = 0$ , which is a contradiction. This implies  $p = 1$ . Using (3), we have

$$c_6 = -\frac{9}{22} P(x_0), \quad c_7 = 0, \quad c_8 = c, \quad c_9 = \frac{9}{14} P'(x_0),$$

where  $c$  is an arbitrary constant. Thus we obtain the desired series expansion.

#### 4. Proofs of Theorems 2 and 3

Suppose that  $\deg P \notin 4\mathbf{N}$ , and that (E) admits a meromorphic solution  $Y(x)$ . Then  $Y(x)$  is entire, because  $Y(x)$  has no poles. If  $Y(x)$  is a polynomial, then  $Y(x) = C_0x^m + O(x^{m-1})$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $C_0 \neq 0$  around  $x = \infty$ . Substitution of this into (E) yields that  $\deg P = 4m$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $Y(x)$  is transcendental and entire. Applying Lemma 6 to the equality  $10Y(x)^4/9 = Y''(x) - P(x)$ , we have  $T(r, Y) = m(r, Y) = O(\log T(r, Y) + \log r)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $r \notin E_0$ ,  $\mu(E_0) < \infty$ . Hence  $T(r, Y) \leq K_0 \log r$  for  $r \notin E_0$ , where  $K_0$  is some positive number. For each  $r > 0$ , there exists a number  $r'(r) \geq r$  such that  $r'(r) - r \leq 2\mu(E_0)$  and that  $r'(r) \notin E_0$ . Since  $T(r, Y)$  is monotone increasing,

$$T(r, Y) \leq T(r'(r), Y) \leq K_0 \log r'(r) \leq K_0 \log(r + 2\mu(E_0)) = O(\log r),$$

which contradicts the transcendency of  $Y(x)$ . Thus Theorem 2 has been proved. Next suppose that  $\deg P = 4m$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , namely  $P(x) = P_0x^{4m} + \dots + P_{4m}$ , and that  $Y_0(x) = C_0x^d + C_1x^{d-1} + \dots + C_0$  is a polynomial solution of (E). Then  $4d = 4m$  and  $10C_0^4/9 + P_0 = 0$ . Hence the number of polynomial solutions does not exceed four. Thus we obtain Theorem 3.

#### 5. Proof of Theorem 4

Let  $y(x)$  be an arbitrary solution of (E).

**5.1. Equivalent system of equations.** Suppose that  $x = x_0$  is an algebraic branch point of  $y(x)$ . Since  $P(x_0) = P(x) - P'(x_0)\xi + O(\xi^2)$ ,  $\xi = x - x_0$ , series (1) is written in the form

$$y(x) = \xi^{-2/3} \left( 1 - \frac{9}{22}P(x)\xi^{8/3} + c\xi^{10/3} + \frac{81}{77}P'(x)\xi^{11/3} + \dots \right).$$

Putting  $y = u^{-2}$ , we have

$$\xi^{1/3} = \pm u \left( 1 - \frac{9}{44}P(x)u^8 + \frac{c}{2}u^{10} \pm \frac{81}{154}P'(x)u^{11} + \dots \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y'(x) &= -\frac{2}{3}\xi^{-5/3} - \frac{9}{11}P(x)\xi + \frac{8}{3}c\xi^{5/3} + \frac{9 \cdot 47}{154}P'(x)\xi^2 + \dots \\ &= \pm u^{-5} \left( -\frac{2}{3} - \frac{3}{2}P(x)u^8 + \frac{13}{3}cu^{10} + \dots \right) + \frac{9}{2}P'(x)u^6. \end{aligned}$$

Observing these facts, we define new unknown variables  $u, v$  by

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} y &= u^{-2}, \\ y' &= \mp \frac{2}{3}u^{-5} \left( 1 + \frac{9}{4}P(x)u^8 + u^{10}v \right) + \frac{9}{2}P'(x)u^6. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have a system of equations with respect to  $u, v$ . Now regarding  $x, v$  as unknown functions of  $u$ , we get

$$(5) \quad \frac{dx}{du} = \pm 3u^2 U(x, u, v)^{-1}, \quad \frac{dv}{du} = 3u^3 V(x, u, v) U(x, u, v)^{-1},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, u, v) &= 1 + \frac{9}{4}P(x)u^8 + u^{10}v \mp \frac{27}{4}P'(x)u^{11}, \\ V(x, u, v) &= -\frac{5}{3}u^6v^2 + \frac{3}{4}u^4(-8P(x) \pm 33P'(x)u^3)v \\ &\quad - \frac{81}{16}u^2(P(x) \mp 3P'(x)u^3)(P(x) \mp 6P'(x)u^3) + \frac{27}{4}P''(x). \end{aligned}$$

Note that (5) is equivalent to (E), and that  $(x(u), v(u))$  is a solution of (5) analytic at  $u = 0$  and satisfying  $x(0) = x_0, v(0) = -13c/2$ .

**5.2. Lyapunov function.** The second equation of (4) is written in the form

$$\left(y' - \frac{9}{2}P'(x)y^{-3}\right)^2 = \frac{4}{9}u^{-10} \left(1 + \frac{9}{4}P(x)y^{-4} + y^{-5}v\right)^2,$$

which implies that

$$(6) \quad V = (y')^2 - 9P'(x)y^{-3}y' - \frac{4}{9}y^5 - 2P(x)y$$

satisfies

$$(7) \quad V = -\frac{81}{4}P'(x)^2y^{-6} + \frac{9}{4}P(x)^2y^{-3} + \left(\frac{8}{9} + 2P(x)y^{-4}\right)v + \frac{4}{9}y^{-5}v^2.$$

Furthermore,  $V(x)$  satisfies the first order equation

$$V' - 27P'(x)y^{-4}V = 243P'(x)^2y^{-7}y' - 9P''(x)y^{-3}y' + 45P(x)P'(x)y^{-3}.$$

Using this equation, we have

**Lemma 7.** *If  $y(x)^{-1}$  is bounded along a path  $\Gamma$  with finite length, then  $V(x)$  is also bounded along  $\Gamma$ .*

**5.3. Derivation of Theorem 4.** Suppose that  $x = a$  is a singular point of  $y(x)$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a segment terminating in  $a$  such that each  $\xi \in \Gamma \setminus \{a\}$  is at most an algebraic branch point of  $y(x)$ . Modifying  $\Gamma$  if necessary, we may suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a curve terminating in  $a$ , and that  $y(x)$  is analytic along  $\Gamma \setminus \{a\}$ . Put

$$A = \liminf_{x \rightarrow a, x \in \Gamma} |y(x)|.$$

We divide into three cases (i)  $0 < A < +\infty$ , (ii)  $A = +\infty$ , (iii)  $A = 0$ .

**Case (i)**  $0 < A < +\infty$ : By Lemma 7,  $V(x)$  is bounded on  $\Gamma$  near  $a$ . Then, by (6), there exists a sequence  $\{a_n\} \subset \Gamma$  such that  $y(a_n) \rightarrow y_0$  ( $\neq 0, \infty$ ),  $y'(a_n) \rightarrow y_1$  ( $\neq \infty$ ),  $a_n \rightarrow a$ . This fact together with Lemma 5 implies that  $y(x)$  is analytic at  $x = a$ .

**Case (ii)**  $A = +\infty$ : By supposition,  $y(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow a$  along  $\Gamma$ , and  $V(x)$  is bounded along  $\Gamma$  near  $a$ . Then, regarding (7) as a quadratic equation with respect to  $v$ , we can choose a branch  $v_-(x)$  of  $v(x)$  which is bounded along  $\Gamma$ . Let  $u_-(x)$  be the corresponding branch of  $u(x)$  such that  $u_-(x)^{-2} = y(x)$  (cf. (4)). Denote by  $x = x(u)$  the inverse function of  $u = u_-(x)$ . Then,  $x = x(u)$  and  $v = v_-(x(u))$  are analytic functions of  $u$  along  $\Gamma^* = u_-(\Gamma \setminus \{a\})$  satisfying

- (a)  $x(u) \rightarrow a$  as  $u \rightarrow u_-(a) = 0$  along  $\Gamma^*$ ;
- (b)  $v_-(x(u))$  is bounded along  $\Gamma^*$ .

Take a sequence  $\{b_n\} \subset \Gamma^*$  satisfying  $b_n \rightarrow u_-(a) = 0$ ,  $x(b_n) \rightarrow a$ ,  $v_-(x(b_n)) \rightarrow v_0 \neq \infty$ . Observe that  $(x(u), v_-(x(u)))$  is a solution of (5). By Lemma 5,  $x(u)$  is analytic at  $u = 0$ , implying that  $x = a$  is at most an algebraic branch point of  $y(x) = u(x)^{-2}$ .

**Case (iii)**  $A = 0$ : For  $y(x)$ , we note the following lemma, which is obtained from [2, Lemma 2.2] with  $R_0 = \Delta = 1/2$ ,  $K = 1 + |a|$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Set  $\theta_0 = (1 + |a|)^{-1}/42$ . Let  $c$  be a point such that  $|c - a| < 1/4$ , and suppose that  $y(x)$  is analytic at  $x = c$ . If the inequalities  $|y(c)| \leq \theta_0/6$ ,  $|y'(c)| \geq 2$  hold, then  $y(x)$  is analytic for  $|y'(c)||x - c| < \theta_0$  and satisfies  $|y(x)| \geq \theta_0/4$  on the circle  $|y'(c)||x - c| = \theta_0/2$ .*

Let us consider the set  $\Gamma_0 = \{x \in \Gamma \mid |y(x)| \leq \theta_0/6\}$ . By the supposition  $A = 0$ , we have  $\Gamma_0 \cap \{x \mid |x - a| < \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We may suppose that  $|y'(x)| \geq 2$  for  $x \in \Gamma_0$ . Indeed, if this is not the case, then there exists a sequence  $\{a_n\} \subset \Gamma_0$ ,  $a_n \rightarrow a$  such that  $y(a_n)$  and  $y'(a_n)$  are bounded, and hence  $y(x)$  is analytic at  $x = a$ . Now we proceed along  $\Gamma$  toward  $x = a$ . Suppose that we meet the first point  $c_1 \in \Gamma_0$ . By Lemma 8, there exists a disc  $D_1 : |x - c_1| \leq |y'(c_1)|^{-1}\theta_0/2$  such that  $|y(x)| \geq \theta_0/4$  on the boundary  $\partial D_1$ . Note that  $a \notin D_1$ . Restarting from a point in  $\Gamma \cap \partial D_1$ , we proceed along  $\Gamma$  until we meet the next point  $c_2 \in \Gamma_0$ . Take the disc  $D_2 : |x - c_2| \leq |y'(c_2)|^{-1}\theta_0/2$ , and repeat the procedure above. In this way, we get a sequence of discs  $\{D_j\}$  such that  $|y(x)| \geq \theta_0/4$  on the boundary  $\partial D_j$ . Then,  $|y(x)| \geq \theta_0/6$  on the boundary of the set  $\Gamma \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D_j\right)$ , which contains a curve  $\gamma$  with the properties: (i)  $\gamma$  terminates in  $a$ ; (ii)  $|y(x)| \geq \theta_0/6$ ; (iii)  $y(x)$  is analytic along  $\gamma \setminus \{a\}$ . Hence this case is reduced to either (i) or (ii), which completes the proof of Theorem 4.

## 6. A remark

As was shown in [3], the equation

$$y'' = \frac{10}{9}y^4 + x$$

has the quasi-Painlevé property, and, the quadratic version of this is the first Painlevé equation

$$(I) \quad y'' = 6y^2 + x.$$

For (E), the corresponding version is

$$(8) \quad y'' = 6y^2 + P(x).$$

In general, this equation does not always admit the quasi-Painlevé property. In fact, equation (8) with  $P(x) = x^2$  possesses the solution of the form

$$y = \xi^{-2} - \frac{x_0^2}{10}\xi^2 - \frac{x_0}{3}\xi^3 + \left(c + \frac{1}{7}\log \xi\right)\xi^4 + \dots, \quad \xi = x - x_0,$$

which means that  $x = x_0$  is a logarithmic branch point.

### References

- [1] I. Laine, *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*, de Gruyter, 1993.
- [2] S. Shimomura, *Japan. J. Math.* **29** (2003), 159–180.
- [3] S. Shimomura, *RIMS Kokyuroku* **1367** (2004), 204–210.